

QFE, 9(3): 506–528.

DOI: 10.3934/QFE.2025017 Received: 24 March 2025 Revised: 21 May 2025 Accepted: 04 June 2025

Published: 01 July 2025

https://www.aimspress.com/journal/QFE

Research article

Climate risk and renewable energy development: the non-linear moderating role of institutional environment

Xianfeng Luo and Qian Ding*

School of Business, Central South University, Changsha, China

* Correspondence: Email: dingqian@csu.edu.cn.

Supplementary

Appendix

Table A.1. Definitions and sources of variables.

Variables	Definition	Sources						
Dependent	Dependent variable							
REE	Renewable energy development, renewable power	International Renewable Energy Agency						
	electricity generation (Gwh)	(IRENA)						
Explanator	ry variable							
CMV	Climate risk, Climate vulnerability (ND	Notre Dame Global Adaptation Index						
	vulnerability Index)							
Threshold	Threshold variables							
CR	National institutional environment, Composite	International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)						
	Risking Rating (Scores with a range from 0 to 100)							
ER	Economic institutional environment, Economic	International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)						
	Risk Rating (Scores with a range from 0 to 50)							
FR	Financial institutional environment, Financial Risk	International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)						
	Rating (Scores with a range from 0 to 50)							

Variables	Definition	Sources					
Dependent	Dependent variable						
PRR	Political institutional environment, Political Risk	International Country Risk Guide (ICRG)					
	Rating (Scores with a range from 0 to 100)						
Control va	riables						
LGDP	GDP (current US\$)	World Development Indicators (WDI)					
FDI	Foreign direct investment, net outflows (% of	World Development Indicators (WDI)					
	GDP)						
LCOE	Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) emissions (total) excluding	World Development Indicators (WDI)					
	LULUCF (Mt CO ₂ e)						
COAL	Coal (quad Btu)	Energy Information Administration (EIA)					

Table A.2. Replacement of the dependent variable.

Dependent	RED							
variable			ED					
Threshold	CR		ER		FR		PRR	
variables								
Regimes	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-
	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime
Models	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CMV	-0.1290^{**}	0.0010	-0.1339^{***}	0.0006	-0.1342^{***}	0.0152***	-0.1053^{***}	* - 0.1574***
	(-7.7761)	(0.1399)	(-8.3996)	(0.1294)	(-8.3528)	(3.1980)	(-6.1670)	(-3.4083)
$RED_{i,t\text{-}1}$	0.0342^{**}	0.1399***	0.0672***	0.0875	0.0462^{***}	0.1560***	0.1606***	-0.1833^{**}
	(1.9851)	(4.9128)	(3.2636)	(3.4065)	(3.0677)	(4.1049)	(6.2915)	(-2.5379)
Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Included								
Observations	1955		1955		1955		1955	
Location	4.1835		3.5507		3.5997		4.4829	
parameters, c								
Slope	71.7491		130.1348		32.5793		9.8423	
parameters, γ								

Notes: ***, **, and * indicate the 1%, 5%, and 10% significance levels, respectively. The T-statistic are in parentheses.

Table A.3. Exclusion of the effects of the COVID-19.

Dependent	RED							
variable								
Threshold	CR		ER		FR		PRR	
variables								
Regimes	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-
	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime
Models	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
CMV	-0.0945^{***}	-0.0079	-0.1016***	-0.0299	-0.1095^{***}	0.0144***	-0.0835^{***}	-0.0626
	(-5.0216)	(-0.9043)	(-5.2569)	(-4.3205)	(-5.9733)	(3.3607)	(-4.2448)	(-1.6083)
$RED_{i,t-1}$	0.0284^{*}	0.0660^{***}	0.0673***	0.0161	0.0321^{**}	0.0826^{***}	0.1003***	-0.1039^{**}
	(1.8847)	(2.9875)	(4.6245)	(0.7136)	(2.4838)	(2.9432)	(5.4970)	(-2.5909)
Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Included								
Observations	1785		1785		1785		1785	
Location	4.1847		3.6359		3.5886		4.4314	
parameters, c								
Slope	38.2631		153.5509		33.5764		11.3110	
parameters, γ								

Notes: ***, **, and * indicate the 1%, 5%, and 10% significance levels, respectively. The T-statistic are in parentheses

Table A.4. CMV lagged one period regression results.

Dependent	RED							
variable								
Threshold	CR		ER		FR		PRR	
variables								
Regimes	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-	Low-	High-
	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime	Regime
Models	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
L.CMV	-0.1068^{**}	* - 0.0027	-0.1163^{***}	0.0062	-0.1174^{***}	0.0130^{***}	-0.0948^{**}	* - 0.0918**
	(-5.8075)	(-0.3603)	(-6.3467)	(1.4808)	(-6.4107)	(3.1947)	(-4.8701)	(-2.3446)
$RED_{i,t\text{-}1}$	0.0298^{*}	0.0815***	0.0451***	0.0619***	0.0397***	0.0942^{***}	0.1184***	-0.1434^{***}
	(1.9525)	(3.7582)	(2.6989)	(3.0298)	(2.9287)	(3.2650)	(6.1521)	(-3.1693)
Controls	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Included								
Observations	1955		1955		1955		1955	
Location	4.1793		3.5356		3.5934		4.4507	
parameters, c								
Slope	68.8206		121.2242		41.5800		11.9302	
parameters, γ								

Notes: ***, ***, and * indicate the 1%, 5%, and 10% significance levels, respectively. The T-statistic are in parentheses.

Table A.5. Two-step system GMM estimates of the dynamic quadratic model.

Variables	(1) Coefficients	(2) p-value	
L.RED	0.942***	0.000	
CMV	-0.082**	0.014	
CMV^2	0.001**	0.028	
LGDP	0.025	0.236	
FDI	-0.000	0.605	
LCOE	0.000	0.103	
COAL	-0.001	0.480	
Constant	1.745**	0.044	
Observations	1,870		
Number of countries	85		
AR (1) test	-4.155	0.000	
AR (2) test	-0.045	0.964	
Sargan test	176.81	0.000	
Hansen test	78.42	0.891	

Notes: This table reports the estimation results of the Syst-GMM estimator. The AR(1) and AR(2) tests for autocorrelation have a null hypothesis of no autocorrelation, while the Sargan-Hansen test has the null hypothesis that the model and overidentifying conditions are correct specified with pvalues. ***, **, and * stand for 1%, 5%, and 10% significant level, respectively



© 2025 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)