



Research article

Closed-loop supply chain decisions for power battery with AI technology under government subsidy

Qi Zheng and Miao Yu*

School of Management, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai, 201620, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: m330124210@sues.edu.cn.

Appendix A

Proofs in Model N, Model C and Model J

Model N is solved by the inverse induction method. The supplier initially determines e , w and p_m , followed the manufacturer decides on p and p_r . The profit function of the manufacturer first

takes the derivative of p and p_r , and lets $\frac{\partial \pi_m^{N*}}{\partial p} = 0$, $\frac{\partial \pi_m^{N*}}{\partial p_r} = 0$.

Solving these yields, $p = \frac{a + bw + e\rho}{2b}$, $p_r = \frac{1}{2}(p_m - p_m\lambda + v\lambda)$.

Substituting p and p_r into the supplier's profit function, and taking the derivative of e , w and p_m , then setting them equal to 0.

Subsequently, the equilibrium solution is obtained as below,

$$e^{N*} = \frac{-a\rho + bc\rho}{4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2}, \quad w^{N*} = \frac{2(a+bc)k(-1+s) + c\rho^2}{4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2}, \quad p^{N*} = \frac{(3a+bc)k(-1+s) + c\rho^2}{4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2},$$

$$p_m^{N*} = \frac{-c + c_r + c\lambda - c_r\lambda + v\lambda}{2(-1+\lambda)}, \quad p_r^{N*} = \frac{1}{4}(c + c_r(-1+\lambda) - c\lambda + v\lambda).$$

By substituting the equilibrium solutions into the profit functions, the optimal profits can be obtained, as below,

$$\pi_s^{N*} = \frac{1}{8} \left((c + c_r(-1+\lambda) - c\lambda + v\lambda)^2 + \frac{4(a-bc)^2 k(-1+s)}{4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2} \right),$$

$$\pi_m^{N*} = \frac{1}{16} (c_r + c(-1+\lambda) - (c_r + v)\lambda)^2 + \frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2(-1+s)^2}{(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2},$$

$$\pi_t^{N*} = \frac{48b^3 c^2 k^2(-1+s)^2 + 24bk(-1+s)(c - c_r - c\lambda + (c_r + v)\lambda)^2 \rho^2}{16(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{3(c - c_r - c\lambda + (c_r + v)\lambda)^2 \rho^4 + 8a^2 k(-1+s)(6bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)}{16(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2} \quad \text{The}$$

$$+ \frac{16abck(-1+s)(6bk(-1+s) + \rho^2) + 8b^2 k(-1+s)(6k(-1+s)(c - c_r - c\lambda + (c_r + v)\lambda)^2 + c^2 \rho^2)}{16(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2}.$$

proof processes of Model C and Model J are the same as Model N.

Appendix B

Proof of Proposition 1

We compare the optimal AI technology efforts across the three models,

$$e^{N*} - e^{C*} = \frac{-a\rho + bc\rho}{4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2} - \frac{-a\rho + bc\rho}{4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2} = \frac{4b(-a+bc)k\beta\rho}{(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2)},$$

$$e^{C*} - e^{J*} = \frac{-a\rho + bc\rho}{4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2} - \frac{(a-bc)\rho}{2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma) - \rho^2}$$

$$= \frac{2b(-a+bc)k(-1+s+\beta)\gamma\rho}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma) - \rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2)}.$$

Due to $-a+bc < 0, 4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2 < 0, 4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2 < 0,$

$-1+s+\beta < 0, 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma) - \rho^2 < 0,$

we conclude, $e^{N*} < e^{C*}, \quad e^{C*} < e^{J*},$

thus $e^{N*} < e^{C*} < e^{J*}.$

Proof of Corollary 1

We analyze the sensitivity of e^{C^*} and e^{J^*} to key parameters.

$$\text{With respect to } \beta, \frac{\partial e^{C^*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{4b(a-bc)kp}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2}, \frac{\partial e^{J^*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{2b(-a+bc)k(-2+\gamma)\rho}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}.$$

$$\text{With respect to } \gamma, \frac{\partial e^{J^*}}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{2b(-a+bc)k(-1+s+\beta)\rho}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}.$$

Due to $-a+bc < 0, -1+s < 0, -2+\gamma < 0, 4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2 < 0, 4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2 < 0,$

$-1+s+\beta < 0, 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2 < 0,$

we obtain, $\frac{\partial e^C}{\partial \beta} > 0, \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial \beta} > 0, \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial \gamma} > 0.$

The comparative statics with respect to k, ρ and s follow similarly and are summarized below,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial e^N}{\partial k} &= \frac{4b(a-bc)(-1+s)\rho}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial k} = \frac{4b(a-bc)(-1+s+\beta)\rho}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial k} &= \frac{2b(-a+bc)(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)\rho}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial e^N}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{(a-bc)(-4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial \rho} = -\frac{(a-bc)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)-\rho^2)}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{(a-bc)(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial e^N}{\partial s} &= \frac{4b(a-bc)kp}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial s} = \frac{4b(a-bc)kp}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial s} &= \frac{2b(-a+bc)k(-2+\gamma)\rho}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

we conclude, $\frac{\partial e^N}{\partial k} < 0, \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial k} < 0, \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial k} < 0; \frac{\partial e^N}{\partial \rho} > 0, \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial \rho} > 0, \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial \rho} > 0;$

$$\frac{\partial e^N}{\partial s} > 0, \frac{\partial e^C}{\partial s} > 0, \frac{\partial e^J}{\partial s} > 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2

Comparison of wholesale price w , $w^{N*} - w^{C*} = -\frac{2(a-bc)k\beta\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)} < 0$,

$$w^{J*} - w^{N*} = \frac{2(a-bc)k(2bk(-1+s)(-1+s+\beta)\gamma + (-\beta + (-1+s+\beta)\gamma)\rho^2)}{((2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2))}.$$

When $0 < s < \frac{4bk-2bk\beta-\rho^2}{4bk} - \frac{\sqrt{4b^2k^2\beta^2\gamma+8bk\beta\rho^2-4bk\beta\gamma\rho^2+\gamma\rho^4}}{4bk\sqrt{\gamma}}$,

we have $w^{J*} < w^{N*}$, thus $w^{J*} < w^{N*} < w^{C*}$.

Comparison of retail price p , $p^{N*} - p^{C*} = -\frac{3(a-bc)k\beta\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)} < 0$,

$$p^{J*} - p^{N*} = \frac{(a-bc)k(2bk(-1+s)(-1+s+\beta)\gamma + (-3\beta + 2(-1+s+\beta)\gamma)\rho^2)}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}.$$

When $0 < s < \frac{2bk-bk\beta-\rho^2}{2bk} - \frac{\sqrt{b^2k^2\beta^2\gamma+6bk\beta\rho^2-2bk\beta\gamma\rho^2+\gamma\rho^4}}{2bk\sqrt{\gamma}}$,

we have $p^{J*} < p^{N*}$, so $p^{J*} < p^{N*} < p^{C*}$.

Proof of Proposition 3

Supplier's profit comparison, $\pi_s^{N*} - \pi_s^{C*} = -\frac{(a-bc)^2k\beta\rho^2}{2(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)} < 0$,

$$\pi_s^{C*} - \pi_s^{J*} = \frac{b(a-bc)^2k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2\gamma}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)} < 0.$$

Due to $-a+bc < 0$, $-1+s < 0$, $-2+\gamma < 0$, $4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2 < 0$,

$4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2 < 0$, $-1+s+\beta < 0$, $2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2 > 0$,

which implies $\pi_s^{N*} < \pi_s^{C*} < \pi_s^{J*}$.

Manufacturer's profit comparison,

$$\pi_m^{N*} - \pi_m^{C*} = -\frac{(a-bc)^2k\beta\rho^2(16b^2k^2(-1+s)\beta+2bk(2-2s+\beta)\rho^2-\rho^4)}{2(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2},$$

$$\pi_m^{C*} - \pi_m^{J*} = \frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta) \gamma (4b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^3 \gamma + 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+2s+6\beta-\beta\gamma)\rho^2 + (-1+s+3\beta)\rho^4)}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2 (-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2},$$

$$\text{when } 0 < \beta < \frac{-4bk\rho^2 + 4bks\rho^2 + \rho^4}{-16b^2k^2 + 16b^2k^2s + 2bk\rho^2}, 0 < \gamma < -\frac{(-1+s+3\beta)\rho^2 (4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{2bk(-1+s+\beta)(2bk(-1+s+\beta)^2 - \beta\rho^2)} \text{ we}$$

$$\text{obtain } \pi_m^{N*} < \pi_m^{C*} < \pi_m^{J*}.$$

Proof of Corollary 2

Sensitivity of supplier's profit to subsidy rate s ,

$$\frac{\partial \pi_s^N}{\partial s} = \frac{(a-bc)^2 k \rho^2}{2(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_s^C}{\partial s} = \frac{(a-bc)^2 k \rho^2}{2(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2} > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi_s^J}{\partial s} = \frac{(a-bc)^2 k \rho^2}{2(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$\text{Sensitivity of manufacturer's profit to subsidy rate } s, \frac{\partial \pi_m^N}{\partial s} = \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s)\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^3} > 0,$$

$$\text{when } 0 < s < 1-3\beta, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_m^C}{\partial s} = \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s+3\beta)\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^3} > 0,$$

$$\text{when } 0 < s < \frac{3\beta-2\beta\gamma+\gamma-1}{\gamma-1}, \quad \frac{\partial \pi_m^J}{\partial s} = \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (1-s-3\beta+(-1+s+2\beta)\gamma)\rho^2}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)^3} > 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 4

$$\text{Emissions comparison, } E^{N*} - E^{C*} = \frac{b(-a+bc)k\beta\rho^2(\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u)}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)},$$

$$E^{C*} - E^{J*} = \frac{2b^2(a-bc)k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2\gamma(\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u)}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)},$$

$$\text{Due to } \tau_c > 0, \tau_m > 0, \tau_u > 0 \text{ and } -a+bc < 0, -1+s < 0, -2+\gamma < 0, 4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2 < 0,$$

$$4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2 < 0, -1+s+\beta < 0, 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2 > 0.$$

$$\text{We conclude } E^{N*} < E^{C*}, \quad E^{C*} < E^{J*}$$

$$\text{Thus } E^{N*} < E^{C*} < E^{J*}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3

Emissions sensitivity to subsidy rate s ,

$$\frac{\partial E^N}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)k\rho^2(\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u)}{(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial E^C}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)k\rho^2(\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u)}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2)^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial E^J}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)k\rho^2(\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u)}{(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma) + \rho^2)^2}.$$

Due to $\tau_c > 0, \tau_m > 0, \tau_u > 0$ and $a-bc > 0$,

$$\text{so } \frac{\partial E^N}{\partial s} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial E^C}{\partial s} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial E^J}{\partial s} > 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 5

Social welfare comparison, when $0 < \beta < -\frac{2(2+b)(-1+s)(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)}{8b(4+b)k(-1+s) + (6+b)\rho^2}$,

$$0 < \gamma < \frac{(4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2)(b(2+b)k(-1+s+\beta)^2 - \beta\rho^2)}{bk(-1+s+\beta)(b(6+b)k(-1+s+\beta)^2 + (-1+s)\rho^2)}, \text{ we obtain,}$$

$$SW^N - SW^C = -\frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2 \beta \rho^2 (8bk(-1+s)((2+b)(-1+s) + (4+b)\beta) + (2(2+b)(-1+s) + (6+b)\beta)\rho^2)}{2(4bk(-1+s) + \rho^2)^2 (4bk(-1+s+\beta) + \rho^2)^2} < 0,$$

$$SW^C - SW^J = \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)\gamma b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^3 (-8+b(-4+\gamma) + 6\gamma)}{(-8b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^2 (-2+\gamma) - 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-4+\gamma)\rho^2 + \rho^4)^2} - \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)\gamma(bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2-2\beta+b(-1+s+\beta)-s(-2+\gamma)+\gamma)\rho^2 + \beta\rho^4)}{(-8b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^2 (-2+\gamma) - 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-4+\gamma)\rho^2 + \rho^4)^2} < 0,$$

we conclude, $SW^N < SW^C < SW^J$.

Proof of Proposition 6

Optimal Environmental impact:

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{N*} = & \left(e\rho + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_c) + \left(\frac{1}{4}(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda+4e\rho) + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2} \right) \tau_u \\
& + \left(-\frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda) + e\rho + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_m) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)(\sqrt{e}\eta - \tau_r) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)\tau_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{C*} = & \left(e\rho + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_c) \\
& + \left(-\frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda) + e\rho + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_m) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)(\sqrt{e}\eta - \tau_r) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)\tau_i \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{4}(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda+4e\rho) + \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2} \right) \tau_u
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{J*} = & \left(e\rho - \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_c) \\
& + \left(-\frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda) + e\rho - \frac{(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2} \right) (-\sqrt{e}\eta + \tau_m) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(-1+\lambda)(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)(\sqrt{e}\eta - \tau_r) + \frac{1}{4}\lambda(c+cr(-1+\lambda)-c\lambda+v\lambda)\tau_i \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \left(cr+c(-1+\lambda)-(cr+v)\lambda+4e\rho - \frac{4(a-bc)(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)}{2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2} \right) \tau_u
\end{aligned}$$

$$E^{N*} - E^{C*} = \frac{3b(-a+bc)k\beta\rho^2(2\sqrt{e}\eta - \tau_c - \tau_m - \tau_u)}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)},$$

$$E^{C*} - E^{J*} = \frac{2b(-a+bc)k(-1+s+\beta)\gamma(bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)(2\sqrt{e}\eta - \tau_c - \tau_m - \tau_u)}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2)(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)},$$

Due to $\tau_c > 0, \tau_m > 0, \tau_u > 0$ and $-a+bc < 0, -1+s < 0, -2+\gamma < 0, 4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2 < 0,$

$4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2 < 0, -1+s+\beta < 0, 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2 > 0.$

And when $\eta > \frac{\tau_c + \tau_m + \tau_u}{2\sqrt{e}}$, we conclude $E^{N*} < E^{C*}, E^{C*} < E^{J*}$

Thus $E^{N*} < E^{C*} < E^{J*}$.

Proof of Proposition 7

Optimal Social welfare:

$$SW^{N*} = \frac{48b^3c^2k^2(-1+s)^2 + 8b^4c^2k^2(-1+s)^2 + 24bk(-1+s)(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^2 + 3(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^4 + 8a^2k(b(6+b)k(-1+s)^2-2\rho^2) + 16abck(-b(6+b)k(-1+s)^2+2\rho^2) + 16b^2k(3k(-1+s)^2(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2-c^2\rho^2)}{16(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2}$$

$$SW^{C*} = \frac{48b^3c^2k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2 + 8b^4c^2k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2 + 24bk(-1+s+\beta)(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^2 + 3(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^4 + 8a^2k(b(6+b)k(-1+s+\beta)^2-2\rho^2) + 16abck(-b(6+b)k(-1+s+\beta)^2+2\rho^2) + 16b^2k(3k(-1+s+\beta)^2(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2-c^2\rho^2)}{16(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2}$$

$$SW^{J*} = \frac{8b^4c^2k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2 - 16b^3c^2k^2(-1+s+\beta)^2(-3+2\gamma) - 12bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^2 + 3(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2\rho^4 + 8a^2k(bk(-1+s+\beta)^2(6+b-4\gamma)-2\rho^2) - 16abck(bk(-1+s+\beta)^2(6+b-4\gamma)-2\rho^2) + 4b^2k(3k(-1+s+\beta)^2(-2+\gamma)^2(c-cr-c\lambda+(cr+v)\lambda)^2-4c^2\rho^2)}{16(-2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^2}$$

Social welfare comparison,

$$\text{when } 0 < \beta < -\frac{2(2+b(-1+s)+6s)(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)}{8bk(-2+b(-1+s)+6s)+(6+b)\rho^2},$$

$$0 < \gamma < \frac{4b^2(2+b)k^2(-1+s+\beta)^3 + bk(-1+s+\beta)(b(-1+s+\beta)-2(3+s+\beta))\rho^2 - (1+s+\beta)\rho^4}{bk(-1+s+\beta)(b(6+b)k(-1+s+\beta)^2-2\rho^2)}, \text{ we}$$

obtain,

$$SW^N - SW^C = \frac{b(a-bc)^2k^2\beta\rho^2(8bk(b(-1+s)(-1+s+\beta)+6s(s+\beta)) - 2(1+2s+\beta)) + (4+12s+6\beta+b(-2+2s+\beta))\rho^2}{2(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^2(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^2} < 0,$$

$$SW^C - SW^J = \frac{2b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta) \gamma (b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^3 (-8+b(-4+\gamma)+6\gamma) - bk(-1+s+\beta)(b(-1+s+\beta)-2(3+s+\beta-\gamma))\rho^2 + (1+s+\beta)\rho^4)}{(-8b^2 k^2 (-1+s+\beta)^2 (-2+\gamma) - 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-4+\gamma)\rho^2 + \rho^4)^2} < 0,$$

we conclude, $SW^N < SW^C < SW^J$.

Proof of Corollary 4

Social welfare sensitivity to subsidy rate s ,

$$\frac{\partial SW^N}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (2+b(-1+s)+6s)\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2)^3}, \quad \frac{\partial SW^C}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (2+6s+6\beta+b(-1+s+\beta))\rho^2}{(4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2)^3},$$

$$\frac{\partial SW^J}{\partial s} = \frac{b(a-bc)^2 k^2 (2+6s+6\beta+b(-1+s+\beta)-4(s+\beta)\gamma)\rho^2}{(2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)+\rho^2)^3}.$$

Due to $-a+bc < 0, -1+s < 0, -2+\gamma < 0, 4bk(-1+s)+\rho^2 < 0,$

$$4bk(-1+s+\beta)+\rho^2 < 0, -1+s+\beta < 0, 2bk(-1+s+\beta)(-2+\gamma)-\rho^2 > 0,$$

So, when $s < \frac{-2+b}{6+b}, \frac{\partial SW^N}{\partial s} > 0,$

when $s < \frac{-2+b-6\beta-b\beta}{6+b}, \frac{\partial SW^C}{\partial s} > 0,$

When $s < \frac{-2+b-6\beta-b\beta+4\beta\gamma}{6+b-4\gamma}, \frac{\partial SW^J}{\partial s} > 0.$

The proof processes of Proposition 8 are the same as Proposition 1 and 3.



AIMS Press

© 2026 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)