



Research article

# Constructing a new robust bilevel fashion product supply chain network with uncertain demand and transportation cost

Shanshan Gao<sup>1</sup>, Meiyu Liu<sup>2,\*</sup> and Yankui Liu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Risk Management & Financial Engineering Laboratory, School of Management, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, Hebei, China

<sup>2</sup> Hebei Key Laboratory of Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence, College of Mathematics & Information Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, Hebei, China

\* **Correspondence:** Email: meiyumath@163.com, liumeiyu@stumail.hbu.edu.cn.

## Appendix A: Omitted Proofs

### Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof** First, constraint (3.12) can be equivalently represented as  $M(CM_w) \leq F_1$  with

$$M(CM_w) = \sup_{CM_w \in U_{CM}^2} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w - \min_{CM'_w \in U_{CM}^1} \phi(CM_w, CM'_w) \right\},$$

where  $\phi(CM_w, CM'_w)$  measures the distance between  $CM_w$  and  $CM'_w$ . The function  $\phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is assumed to be convex, closed, and nonnegative, and  $\phi(CM_w, CM_w) = 0$  in the case of  $CM_w \in \mathbb{R}_W$ . In this paper,  $\phi(CM_w, CM'_w) = \alpha(\|CM_w - CM'_w\|_1)$ , where  $\alpha$  denotes a function that is convex and nonnegative, satisfying the condition  $\alpha(0) = 0$ .

After introducing auxiliary variables  $\pi, \iota, \varrho, \varpi$ , we have

$$M(CM_w) = \sup_{CM_w \in U_{CM}^2, CM'_w \in U_{CM}^1, \pi, \iota} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w - \phi(CM_w, CM'_w) | \pi = CM_w, \iota = CM'_w \right\}.$$

Based on Lagrange duality, we obtain

$$M(CM_w) = \min_{\varrho, \varpi} \sup_{CM_w \in U_{CM}^2, CM'_w \in U_{CM}^1, \pi, \iota} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w - \phi(\pi, \iota) - \varrho(\iota - CM'_w) - \varpi(\pi - CM_w) \right\}.$$

We divide  $M(CM_w)$  into three parts:  $M(CM_w) = \min_{\varrho, \varpi} \{m_1(\varpi, x_w^t) + m_2(\varrho, \varpi) + m_3(\varrho)\}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\varpi, x_w^t) &= \sup_{CM_w \in U_{CM}^2} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w + \varpi CM_w \right\}, \\ m_2(\varrho, \varpi) &= \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \varrho\iota - \varpi\pi\}, \\ m_3(\varrho) &= \sup_{CM'_w \in U_{CM}^1} \{\varrho CM'_w\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the first part, one has

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\varpi, x_w^t) &= \sup_{CM_w \in U_{CM}^2} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w + \varpi CM_w \right\} \\ &= \sup_{CM_w} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_t CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w + \varpi CM_w - \delta(CM_w | U_{CM}^2) \right\} \\ &= \min_{\vartheta} \left\{ \delta^*(\vartheta | U_{CM}^2) - [f(\vartheta, x_w^t) + \varpi\vartheta]_* \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relationship between  $U_{CM}^2$  and  $Z_{CW}^2$ , it can be verified that  $\delta^*(\vartheta | U_{CM}^2) = CM_w^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2)$ . Proceeding, we can also derive the concave conjugate of the second term in  $m_1$ , the term associated with variable  $\vartheta$ ,

$$m_1(\varpi, x_w^t) = \min_{\vartheta} \left\{ CM_w^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varpi, x_w^t) \right\}.$$

For the second part,  $m_2(\varrho, \varpi)$  can be simplified as

$$m_2(\varrho, \varpi) = \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \varrho\iota - \varpi\pi\} = \phi^{**}(-\varrho, -\varpi),$$

and finally, for the third part,  $m_3(\varrho)$ , we have

$$m_3(\varrho) = \sup_{CM'_w \in U_{CM}^1} \{\varrho CM'_w\} = \delta^*(\varrho | Z_{CM}^1) = CM_w^0 \varrho + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \varrho | Z_{CM}^1).$$

Using the above expressions, inequality  $M(CM_w) \leq F_1$  can be equivalently represented as,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\varrho, \varpi} \left\{ \min_{\vartheta} \left\{ CM_w^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varpi, x_w^t) \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \phi^{**}(-\varrho, -\varpi) + CM_w^0 \varrho + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \varrho | Z_{CM}^1) \right\} \leq F_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inequality  $M(CM_w) \leq F_1$  holds if and only if we can find  $\varrho$ ,  $\varpi$ , and  $\vartheta$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & CM_w^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varpi, x_w^t) + \phi^{**}(-\varrho, -\varpi) \\ & + CM_w^0 \varrho + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \varrho | Z_{CM}^1) \leq F_1. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, by the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{**}(-\varrho, -\varpi) &= \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \varrho \iota - \varpi \pi\} \geq \sup_{\pi} \{-\phi(\pi, \pi) - (\varrho + \varpi) \pi\} \\ &= \sup_{\pi} \{-(\varrho + \varpi) \pi\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \varrho = -\varpi, \\ \infty, & \varrho \neq -\varpi, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we have  $\varrho = -\varpi$  and  $\phi^{**}(-\varrho, -\varpi) = 0$ .

Note that  $f_*(\vartheta - \varpi, x_w^t) = f_*(\vartheta + \varrho, x_w^t)$  due to  $\varrho = -\varpi$ . Thus, one has

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\vartheta + \varrho, x_w^t) &= \min_{CM_w} \{CM_w(\vartheta + \varrho) - CM_w * x_w^t * DM_w\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \vartheta + \varrho = x_w^t * DM_w, \\ \infty, & \vartheta + \varrho \neq x_w^t * DM_w. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f_*(\vartheta + \varrho, x_w^t) = 0$  with  $\vartheta + \varrho = x_w^t * DM_w$  and  $\|\varrho\|_1 \leq \theta_{CM}$ .

As a consequence, one has

$$\begin{cases} CM_w^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2) + CM_w^0 \varrho + \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \varrho | Z_{CM}^1) \leq F_1, \\ \vartheta + \varrho = x_w^t * DM_w, \\ \|\varrho\|_1 \leq \theta_{CM}. \end{cases}$$

Under uncertainty sets  $(U_{CM}^1, U_{CM}^2)$  with perturbation sets  $(Z_{CM}^1, Z_{CM}^2)$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \varrho | Z_{CM}^1) = \kappa'_{CM} \sqrt{(\sigma'_{CM} m_{CM})^2 + \mu'_{CM} |\sigma'_{CM} l_{CM}| + \sigma'_{CM} |u_{CM}|}, \\ m_{CM} + l_{CM} + u_{CM} = - \sum_w \sum_t \eta_w^t * \widetilde{CM}_w, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \delta^*(\widetilde{CM}_w \vartheta | Z_{CM}^2) = \mu_{CM} |\sigma_{CM} c_{CM}| + \kappa_{CM} \sqrt{(\sigma_{CM} d_{CM})^2}, \\ c_{CM} + d_{CM} = - \sum_w \sum_t (x_w^t * DM_w - \eta_w^t) * \widetilde{CM}_w. \end{cases}$$

Based on the above analysis, we derive the equivalent reformulation (4.3). The proof of theorem is complete.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 2

**Proof** We start by reformulating constraint (3.13) in the equivalent form  $M(CW_{wr}) \leq F_2$  under the condition that

$$\begin{aligned} M(CW_{wr}) &= \sup_{CW_{wr} \in U_{CW}^2} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \min_{CW'_{wr} \in U_{CW}^1} \phi(CW_{wr}, CW'_{wr}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

After introducing auxiliary variables  $\pi, \iota, \varsigma, \varphi$ , we obtain

$$M(CW_{wr}) = \sup_{CW_{wr} \in U_{CW}^2, CM'_{wr} \in U_{CW}^1, \pi, \iota} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} - \phi(CW_{wr}, CW'_{wr}) | \pi = CW_{wr}, \iota = CW'_{wr} \right\}.$$

Based on Lagrange duality, one has

$$M(CW_{wr}) = \min_{\varsigma, \varphi} \sup_{CW_{wr} \in U_{CW}^2, CW'_{wr} \in U_{CW}^1, \pi, \iota} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} - \phi(\pi, \iota) - \varsigma(\iota - CW'_{wr}) - \varpi(\pi - CW_{wr}) \right\}.$$

We next divide  $M(CW_{wr})$  into three parts:  $M(CW_{wr}) = \min_{\varsigma, \varphi} \{m_1(\varphi, y_{wr}^t) + m_2(\varsigma, \varphi) + m_3(\varsigma)\}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\varphi, y_{wr}^t) &= \sup_{CW_{wr} \in U_{CW}^2} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} + \varphi CW_{wr} \right\}, \\ m_2(\varsigma, \varphi) &= \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \varsigma \iota - \varphi \pi\}, \\ m_3(\varsigma) &= \sup_{CW'_{wr} \in U_{CW}^1} \{\varsigma CW'_{wr}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the first part  $m_1(\varphi, y_{wr}^t)$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\varphi, y_{wr}^t) &= \sup_{CW_{wr}} \left\{ \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} + \varphi CW_{wr} - \delta(CW_{wr} | U_{CW}^2) \right\} \\ &= \min_{\vartheta} \left\{ \delta^*(\vartheta | U_{CW}^2) - [f(\vartheta, y_{wr}^t) + \varphi \vartheta]_* \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By exploiting the relationship between  $U_{CW}^2$  and  $Z_{CW}^2$ , we first verify that:  $\delta^*(\vartheta | U_{CW}^2) = CW_{wr}^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2)$ . Building on this, the concave conjugate for the second term in  $m_1$  (which involves  $\vartheta$ ) can be derived, yielding:  $m_1(\varphi, y_{wr}^t) = \min_{\vartheta} \{CW_{wr}^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varphi, y_{wr}^t)\}$ . Furthermore,  $m_2$  can be simplified as  $m_2(\varsigma, \varphi) = \phi^{**}(-\varsigma, -\varphi)$ , and, finally,  $m_3(\varsigma) = \delta^*(\varsigma | Z_{CW}^1) = CW_{wr}^0 \varsigma + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \varsigma | Z_{CW}^1)$ .

Based on the above analysis, inequality  $M(CW_{wr}) \leq F_2$  can be equivalently represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\varsigma, \varphi} \left\{ \min_{\vartheta} \left\{ CW_{wr}^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varphi, y_{wr}^t) \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \phi^{**}(-\varsigma, -\varphi) + CW_{wr}^0 \varsigma + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \varsigma | Z_{CW}^1) \right\} \leq F_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the satisfaction of  $M(CW_{wr}) \leq F_2$  requires, and is guaranteed by, the existence of  $\varsigma, \varphi$ , and  $\vartheta$ , for which

$$\begin{aligned} CW_{wr}^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2) - f_*(\vartheta - \varphi, y_{wr}^t) + \phi^{**}(-\varsigma, -\varphi) \\ + CW_{wr}^0 \varsigma + \delta^*(\widetilde{CW}_{wr} \varsigma | Z_{CW}^1) \leq F_2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{**}(-\varsigma, -\varphi) &= \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \varsigma\iota - \varphi\pi\} \geq \sup_{\pi} \{-\phi(\pi, \pi) - (\varsigma + \varphi)\pi\} \\ &= \sup_{\pi} \{-(\varsigma + \varphi)\pi\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \varsigma = -\varphi, \\ \infty, & \varsigma \neq -\varphi, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $\varsigma = -\varphi$  and  $\phi^{**}(-\varsigma, -\varphi) = 0$ .

Note that  $f_*(\vartheta - \varphi, y_{wr}^t) = f_*(\vartheta + \varsigma, y_{wr}^t)$  due to  $\varsigma = -\varphi$ . Thus, one has

$$\begin{aligned}f_*(\vartheta + \varsigma, y_{wr}^t) &= \min_{CW_{wr}} \{CW_{wr}(\vartheta + \varsigma) - CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr}\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \vartheta + \varsigma = CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr}, \\ \infty, & \vartheta + \varsigma \neq CW_{wr} * y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f_*(\vartheta + \varsigma, y_{wr}^t) = 0$  with  $\vartheta + \varsigma = y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr}$  and  $\|\varsigma\|_1 \leq \theta_{CW}$ , which lead to

$$\begin{cases} CW_{wr}^0 \vartheta + \delta^*(\overline{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2) + CW_{wr}^0 \varsigma + \delta^*(\overline{CW}_{wr} \varsigma | Z_{CW}^1) \leq F_2 \\ \vartheta + \varsigma = y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} \\ \|\varsigma\|_1 \leq \theta_{CW}. \end{cases}$$

Based on uncertainty sets  $(U_{CW}^1, U_{CW}^2)$  with perturbation sets  $(Z_{CW}^1, Z_{CW}^2)$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{cases} \delta^*(\overline{CW}_{wr} \varsigma | Z_{CW}^1) = \kappa'_{CW} \sqrt{(\sigma'_{CW} m_{CW})^2 + \mu'_{CW} |\sigma'_{CW} l_{CW}| + \sigma'_{CW} |u_{CW}|}, \\ m_{CW} + l_{CW} + u_{CW} = - \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t \eta_{wr}^t * \overline{CW}_{wr}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \delta^*(\overline{CW}_{wr} \vartheta | Z_{CW}^2) = \mu_{CW} |\sigma_{CW} c_{CW}| + \kappa_{CW} \sqrt{(\sigma_{CW} d_{CW})^2}, \\ c_{CW} + d_{CW} = - \sum_w \sum_r \sum_t (y_{wr}^t * DW_{wr} - \eta_{wr}^t) * \overline{CW}_{wr}. \end{cases}$$

According to the above analysis, we can obtain equivalent reformulation (4.4). The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 3

**Proof** First, constraints (3.14) can be equivalently represented as  $M(D_i^t) \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t$  with

$$M(D_i^t) = \sup_{D_i^t \in U_D} \left\{ D_i^t - \min_{\overline{D}_i^t \in \overline{U}_D} \phi(D_i^t, \overline{D}_i^t) \right\},$$

where  $\phi(D_i^t, \overline{D}_i^t)$  measures the distance between  $D_i^t$  and  $\overline{D}_i^t$ . The distance function  $\phi(D_i^t, D_i^t)$  is defined as  $\phi(D_i^t, \overline{D}_i^t) = \alpha(\|D_i^t - \overline{D}_i^t\|_1)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a convex and nonnegative function with  $\alpha(0) = 0$ . Here,  $\phi(D_i^t, \overline{D}_i^t)$

serves to quantify the distance between  $D_i^t$  and  $\bar{D}_i^t$ , and is given by  $\phi(D_i^t, \bar{D}_i^t) = \alpha(|D_i^t - \bar{D}_i^t|_1)$ . In this expression,  $\alpha$  denotes a convex, nonnegative function, fulfilling  $\alpha(0) = 0$ .

After introducing auxiliary variables  $\pi, \iota, \chi, \tau$ , we obtain

$$M(D_i^t) = \sup_{D_i^t \in U_D, \bar{D}_i^t \in \bar{U}_D, \pi, \iota} \left\{ D_i^t - \min_{\bar{D}_i^t \in \bar{U}_D} \phi(D_i^t, \bar{D}_i^t) \mid \pi = D_i^t, \iota = D_i^t \right\}.$$

Based on the Lagrange duality, one has

$$M(D_i^t) = \min_{\chi, \tau} \sup_{D_i^t \in U_D, \bar{D}_i^t \in \bar{U}_D, \pi, \iota} \left\{ D_i^t - \min_{\bar{D}_i^t \in \bar{U}_D} \phi(D_i^t, \bar{D}_i^t) - \chi(\iota - D_i^t) - \tau(\pi - D_i^t) \right\}.$$

We represent  $M(D_i^t)$  as three parts:  $M(D_i^t) = \min_{\chi, \tau} \{m_1(\tau) + m_2(\chi, \tau) + m_3(\chi)\}$ , where

$$m_1(\tau) = \sup_{D_i^t \in U_D} \{D_i^t + \tau D_i^t\},$$

$$m_2(\chi, \tau) = \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \chi\iota - \tau\pi\},$$

$$m_3(\chi) = \sup_{\bar{D}_i^t \in \bar{U}_D} \{\chi \bar{D}_i^t\}.$$

We first deal with  $M(D_i^t)$ . Rewriting the first part yields  $m_1(\tau) = (1 + \tau)(D_i^{t0} + \mu'_D |\sigma'_D \bar{D}_i^t|)$ ,  $m_2(\chi, \tau) = \phi^{**}(-\chi, -\tau)$ , and  $m_3(\chi) = \chi(D_i^{t0} + \mu_D |\sigma_D \bar{D}_i^t|)$ .

Based on the above analysis, inequality  $M(D_i^t) \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t$  can be equivalently represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\chi, \tau} \{ & (1 + \tau)(D_i^{t0} + \mu'_D |\sigma'_D \bar{D}_i^t|) + \phi^{**}(-\chi, -\tau) + CM_w^0 \chi \\ & + \delta^*(\bar{C}M_w \chi | Z_{CM}^1) \} \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,  $M(D_i^t) \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t$  if and only if there exist  $\chi, \tau$ , and  $\vartheta$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \tau)(D_i^{t0} + \mu'_D |\sigma'_D \bar{D}_i^t|) + \phi^{**}(-\chi, -\tau) + CM_w^0 \chi \\ + \delta^*(\bar{C}M_w \chi | Z_{CM}^1) \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{**}(-\chi, -\tau) &= \sup_{\pi, \iota} \{-\phi(\pi, \iota) - \chi\iota - \tau\pi\} \geq \sup_{\pi} \{-\phi(\pi, \pi) - (\chi + \tau)\pi\} \\ &= \sup_{\pi} \{-(\chi + \tau)\pi\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \chi = -\tau, \\ \infty, & \chi \neq -\tau, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $\chi = -\tau$  and  $\phi^{**}(-\chi, -\tau) = 0$ .

Hence,  $\chi = -\tau$  with  $\|\chi\|_1 \leq \theta_D$  and based on uncertainty sets  $\bar{U}_D, U_D$  with perturbation sets  $\bar{Z}_D, Z_D$ , we obtain the following reformulation,

$$\begin{cases} D_i^{t0} + \mu'_D |\sigma'_D \tilde{D}_i^t * \eta_D| + \mu_D |\sigma_D \tilde{D}_i^t * (1 - \eta_D)| \leq \sum_r a_{ri}^t + \sum_r s_{ri}^t, \quad \forall i, t, \\ |\eta_D| \leq \theta_D. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we can obtain equivalent system (4.5). The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$



AIMS Press

©2026 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)