



Research article

Decision-making of third-party remanufacturing supply chain with risk aversion and retail service

Zichun Deng¹, Mohd Rizaimy Shaharudin^{2,*}, S. Sarifah Radiah Shariff^{3,4} and Ming-Lang Tseng^{5,6,7}

¹ Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

² Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Kedah Branch, 08400 Kedah, Malaysia

³ Malaysia Institute of Transport, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

⁴ School of Mathematical Science Studies, College of Computing, Informatics and Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

⁵ Institute of Innovation and Circular Economy, Asia University, Taichung 413, Taiwan

⁶ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 413, Taiwan

⁷ Graduate School of Business, UKM-Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43000, Selangor, Malaysia

* **Correspondence:** Email: rizaimy@uitm.edu.my.

Appendix

Proof of propositions.

Proof of Proposition 1.

According to Eq. (5), since $\frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_t^A)}{\partial q_r^2} = -(2\delta + k) < 0$, $E(\pi_t^A)$ is concave in q_r . By solving $\frac{\partial E(\pi_t^A)}{\partial q_r} = 0$, we

can obtain the following equation.

$$q_r = \frac{\delta\phi - c_r - f - \delta q_n}{2\delta + k} \quad (\text{A1})$$

From Eq. (6), the Hessian matrix of U_r can be derived: $H = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 U_r^A}{\partial q_n^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U_r^A}{\partial q_n \partial e} \\ \frac{\partial^2 U_r^A}{\partial e \partial q_n} & \frac{\partial^2 U_r^A}{\partial e^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -2 & \theta \\ \theta & -\beta \end{vmatrix}$. When

$2\beta - \theta^2 > 0$, the Hessian matrix is negative definite. By solving $\frac{\partial U_r^A}{\partial q_n} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial U_r^A}{\partial e} = 0$ simultaneously, we

can obtain:

$$q_n^A = \frac{\beta(\phi - \eta\sigma - \delta q_r - w)}{2\beta - \theta^2} \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$e^A = \frac{\theta(\phi - \eta\sigma - \delta q_r - w)}{2\beta - \theta^2} \quad (\text{A3})$$

From Eqs. (A1) to (A3), the Eqs. (7) to (9) can be obtained.

Proof of Proposition 2.

According to Eq. (10), the Hessian matrix of $E(\pi_m^A)$ is:

$$H = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial w^2} & \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial w \partial f} \\ \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial f \partial w} & \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial f^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{-2(2\delta + k)\beta}{(2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2} & \frac{2\beta\delta}{(2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2} \\ \frac{2\beta\delta}{(2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2} & \frac{-2(2\beta - \theta^2)}{(2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2} \end{vmatrix}. \text{ The matrix is negative}$$

definite when $(2\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \beta\delta^2 > 0$. By solving $\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial w} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^A)}{\partial f} = 0$ simultaneously, we can obtain the Eqs. (11) and (12).

Proof of Proposition 3.

According to Eq. (14), since $\frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_i^O)}{\partial q_r^2} = -k < 0$, $E(\pi_i^O)$ is concave in q_r . By solving $\frac{\partial E(\pi_i^O)}{\partial q_r} = 0$, we can obtain Eq. (15). Substituting Eq. (15) to retailer's response, the Eqs. (16) and (17) can be obtained.

Proof of Proposition 4.

From Eq. (18), Hessian matrix of $E(\pi_m^O)$ is:

$$H = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial w^2} & \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial w \partial p_o} \\ \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial p_o \partial w} & \frac{\partial^2 E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial p_o^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{2\beta}{2\beta - \theta^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \beta\delta^2)}{k^2(2\beta - \theta^2)} \end{vmatrix}. \text{ It can be seen that when}$$

$(\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \beta\delta^2 > 0$, the matrix is negative definite and $E(\pi_m^O)$ is jointly concave in w and p_o . By solving $\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial w} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^O)}{\partial p_o} = 0$ simultaneously, Proposition 4 is proved.

Proof of Corollary 1.

$$(i) \frac{\partial w^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial w^{O^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\sigma}{2} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial q_n^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\beta(2\delta + k)\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\theta(2\delta + k)\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial q_r^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\beta\delta\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{((\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)}, \text{ it can be seen that when } (\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) > \beta\delta^2, \frac{\partial p_n^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} > 0; \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial p_r^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\delta(\delta + k)\beta\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{A^*})}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\beta\sigma\Delta_1}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial E(\pi_r^A)}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\beta\sigma \left(\frac{((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - 2\beta\delta^2)\Delta_1}{-2\eta\sigma(2\delta + k)((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} \right)}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2}, \text{ it}$$

can be obtained that when $((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - 2\beta\delta^2)\Delta_1 > 2\eta\sigma(2\delta + k)((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)$,

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^A)}{\partial \eta} > 0; \text{ otherwise, } \frac{\partial E(\pi_r^A)}{\partial \eta} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial U_r^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\beta\sigma(2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k)\Delta_1}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_t^{A^*})}{\partial \eta} = \frac{(2\delta + k)\beta\delta\sigma\Delta_2}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(I)^{A^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{((1 + \lambda_u)(2\delta + k) - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)\delta)\beta\sigma}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_o^{O^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{k\delta\beta\sigma}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} > 0. \quad \frac{\partial q_n^{O^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\beta\sigma(\delta + k)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_r^{O^*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\delta\beta\sigma}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} > 0. \quad \frac{\partial e^{O^*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\sigma\theta(\delta + k)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{O*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{((\delta+k)(\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)\sigma}{2((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)} > 0, \text{ it can be seen that when } (\delta+k)(\beta-\theta^2) > \delta^2\beta, \frac{\partial p_n^{O*}}{\partial \eta} > 0; \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{O*}}{\partial \eta} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial p_r^{O*}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\beta\sigma k}{2((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{O*})}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\beta\sigma\Delta_6}{2((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{O*})}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\sigma\beta \left(\frac{((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-2\delta^2\beta)\Delta_6}{-2\eta\sigma(\delta+k)((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)} \right)}{4((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)^2}, \text{ it can be seen that when}$$

$$((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-2\delta^2\beta)\Delta_6 > 2\eta\sigma(\delta+k)((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{O*})}{\partial \eta} > 0 \quad ; \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{O*})}{\partial \eta} < 0. \quad \frac{\partial U_r^{O*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\beta\sigma(\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)\Delta_6}{4((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial(\pi_i^{O*})}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\sigma\delta\beta k\Delta_2}{4((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(I)^{O*}}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{((\delta+k)(1+\lambda_u)-(\lambda_r-\lambda_u)\delta)\beta\sigma}{2((\delta+k)(2\beta-\theta^2)-\delta^2\beta)} < 0.$$

Proof of Corollary 2.

$$\frac{\partial q_n^{A*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2(2\delta+k)((2\delta+k)(\phi-\eta\sigma-c_n)-\delta(\delta\phi-c_r))}{2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{A*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta((2\delta+k)(\phi-\eta\sigma-c_n)-\delta(\delta\phi-c_r))(2(2\delta+k)-\delta^2)}{2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_r^{A*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\theta^2\delta\Delta_1}{2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} > 0. \quad \frac{\partial p_n^{A*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{(2\delta+k)\theta^2\Delta_1}{2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_r^{A*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\theta^2\delta(\delta+k)\Delta_1}{2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} > 0. \quad \frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{A*})}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2\Delta_1^2}{4((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

Since $E(\pi_r^{A*})$ is greater than zero, it can be known that

$$2((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)(\phi+\eta\sigma-c_n)-(2\beta-\theta^2)\Delta_1-2\delta\Delta_2 > 0, \text{ then we can obtain}$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{A*})}{\partial \beta} < -\frac{\theta^2\Delta_1^2\delta^2\beta}{8((2\beta-\theta^2)(2\delta+k)-\beta\delta^2)^3} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial U_r^{A^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\Delta_1^2 \left((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) + \beta\delta^2 \right) \theta^2}{8 \left((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2 \right)^3} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_t^{A^*})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{(2\delta + k)\theta^2 \delta \Delta_1 \Delta_2}{4 \left((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2 \right)^3} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(I)^{A^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2 \Delta_1 \left((2\delta + k)(1 + \lambda_u) - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)\delta \right)}{2 \left((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2 \right)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_o^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\theta^2 k \delta \Delta_6}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_n^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2 (\delta + k) \Delta_6}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_r^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\theta^2 \delta \Delta_6}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta \Delta_6 (2(\delta + k) - \delta^2)}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2 (\delta + k) \Delta_6}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_r^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{k\theta^2 \Delta_6}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{O^*})}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2 \Delta_6^2}{4 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{O^*})}{\partial \beta} < -\frac{\theta^2 \delta^2 \beta \Delta_6^2}{8 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^3} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial U_r^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\left((2\beta - \theta^2)(\delta + k) + \delta^2 \beta \right) \Delta_6^2 \theta^2}{8 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^3} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial (\pi_t^{O^*})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{k\theta^2 \delta \Delta_2 \Delta_6}{4 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^3} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(I)^{O^*}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\theta^2 \Delta_6 \left((1 + \lambda_u)(\delta + k) - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)\delta \right)}{2 \left((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2 \beta \right)^2} < 0.$$

Proof of Corollary 3.

$$\frac{\partial q_n^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\delta\beta\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\theta\delta\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_r^{A*}}{\partial k} = -\frac{\Delta_2(2\beta - \theta^2)}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\beta\delta\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_r^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\delta(2\beta - \theta^2 - \beta\delta)\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2}, \text{ we can know when } 2\beta - \theta^2 - \beta\delta > 0, \frac{\partial p_r^{A*}}{\partial k} > 0; \text{ otherwise, } \frac{\partial p_r^{A*}}{\partial k} < 0.$$

Since $2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)(\phi + \eta\sigma - c_n) - (2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_1 - 2\delta\Delta_2 > 0$, we can obtain that

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_r^A)}{\partial k} > \frac{\delta\beta\Delta_1\Delta_2(2\beta - \theta^2)}{8((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^3} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial U_r^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\delta\beta(2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_1\Delta_2}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \delta^2\beta)^3} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{A*})}{\partial k} = -\frac{\Delta_2^2}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_i^{A*})}{\partial k} = -\frac{\Delta_2^2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) + \beta\delta^2)}{8((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^3} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_o^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\delta\Delta_2(2\beta - \theta^2 - \delta\beta)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2}, \text{ it can be seen that } \frac{\partial p_o^{O*}}{\partial k} > 0 \text{ when } 2\beta - \theta^2 - \delta\beta > 0; \text{ otherwise, } \frac{\partial p_o^{O*}}{\partial k} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_n^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\beta\delta\Delta_2}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial q_r^{O*}}{\partial k} = -\frac{\Delta_2(2\beta - \theta^2)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\theta\delta\Delta_2}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial p_n^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\beta\delta\Delta_2}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} > 0.$$

$\frac{\partial p_r^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\Delta_2(2\beta - \theta^2 - \beta\delta)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2}$, it can be known that $\frac{\partial p_r^{O*}}{\partial k} > 0$ when $2\beta - \theta^2 - \beta\delta > 0$; otherwise

$$\frac{\partial p_r^{O*}}{\partial k} < 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\pi_m^{O*})}{\partial k} = -\frac{\Delta_2^2}{4((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} < 0.$$

Since $E(\pi_r^{O*}) > 0$, we have

$2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)(\phi + \eta\sigma - c_n) > \Delta_2\delta + ((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)(\phi - \eta\sigma - c_n)$, and it can be known

$$\text{that } \frac{\partial E(\pi_r^{O*})}{\partial k} > \frac{(2\beta - \theta^2)\beta\delta\Delta_2\Delta_6}{8((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^3} > 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial U_r^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\delta\beta(2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_2\Delta_6}{4((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^3} > 0.$$

$\frac{\partial(\pi_i^{O*})}{\partial k} = \frac{((\delta - k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)\Delta_2^2}{8((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^3}$, it can be seen that when $(\delta - k)(2\beta - \theta^2) > \delta^2\beta$, $\frac{\partial(\pi_i^{O*})}{\partial k} > 0$; otherwise,

$$\frac{\partial(\pi_i^{O*})}{\partial k} < 0.$$

$$\text{From } \frac{\partial E(I)^{A*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\Delta_2((1 + \lambda_u)\beta\delta - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)(2\beta - \theta^2))}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2} \text{ and } \frac{\partial E(I)^{O*}}{\partial k} = \frac{\Delta_2((1 + \lambda_u)\beta\delta - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)(2\beta - \theta^2))}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2},$$

we can obtain that $\frac{\partial E(I)^{N*}}{\partial k} > 0$ when $\frac{(1 + \lambda_u)\beta\delta}{2\beta - \theta^2} + \lambda_u > \lambda_r$; otherwise, $\frac{\partial E(I)^{N*}}{\partial k} < 0$.

Proof of Corollary 4.

$$q_n^{A*} - q_n^{O*} = \frac{\delta^2\beta\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} > 0.$$

$$q_r^{A*} - q_r^{O*} = -\frac{\delta(2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} < 0.$$

$$e^{A*} - e^{O*} = \frac{\delta^2\theta\Delta_2}{2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)} > 0.$$

$$E(\pi_m^{A*}) - E(\pi_m^{O*}) = -\frac{\delta((2\beta - \theta^2)(\delta + k) - \delta^2\beta)\Delta_2^2 + \delta\phi(\delta\phi - c_r)(2\beta - \theta^2)^2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)(1 - \delta)k}{4((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} < 0.$$

Since $E(\pi_r^{A*}) > 0$, thus $2((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)(\phi + \eta\sigma - c_n) - (2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_1 - 2\delta\Delta_2 > 0$, we can obtain

$$\text{that } E(\pi_r^{A*}) - E(\pi_r^{O*}) > \frac{\Delta_2\Delta_6\delta^2(2\beta - \theta^2)\beta}{8((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2} > 0.$$

$$U_r^{A*} - U_r^{O*} = \frac{\beta\delta^2(2\beta - \theta^2)\Delta_2 \left(\Delta_6 \left(2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta) + \delta(2\beta - \theta^2) \right) + ((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)\delta(\phi - \eta\sigma - c_n) \right)}{8((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2((2\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \beta\delta^2)^2} > 0.$$

$$E(\pi_i^{A*}) - E(\pi_i^{O*}) = \frac{\delta^2\Delta_2^2((2\beta - \theta^2 - 2\delta\beta)(2\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) + 2\delta^3\beta^2)}{8((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)^2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)^2}, \text{ it can be seen that when}$$

$(2\beta - \theta^2 - 2\delta\beta)(2\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) + 2\delta^3\beta^2 > 0$, $E(\pi_i^{A*}) > E(\pi_i^{O*})$; otherwise, $E(\pi_i^{A*}) < E(\pi_i^{O*})$.

$$E(I)^{A*} - E(I)^{O*} = \frac{\left(\begin{matrix} (2\beta - \theta^2)(\delta\phi - c_r) \\ -\beta\delta(\phi - \eta\sigma - c_n) \end{matrix} \right) \left((1 + \lambda_u)\beta\delta^2 - (\lambda_r - \lambda_u)\delta(2\beta - \theta^2) \right)}{2((\delta + k)(2\beta - \theta^2) - \delta^2\beta)((2\beta - \theta^2)(2\delta + k) - \beta\delta^2)}, \text{ it can be known that when}$$

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda_u)\beta\delta}{2\beta - \theta^2} + \lambda_u > \lambda_r, E(I)^{A*} > E(I)^{O*}; \text{ otherwise } E(I)^{A*} < E(I)^{O*}.$$



AIMS Press

© 2026 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)