*Networks and
Heterogeneous Media*

Research article

Global solution to the Cauchy problem of fractional drift diffusion system with power-law nonlinearity

Caihong Gu[1] **and Yanbin Tang**[1,2,*]

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, 430074, China

[2] Hubei Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Scientific Computing, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, 430074, China

* **Correspondence:** Email: tangyb@hust.edu.cn.

Abstract: In this paper, we consider the global existence, regularizing decay rate and asymptotic behavior of mild solutions to Cauchy problem of fractional drift diffusion system with power-law nonlinearity. Using the properties of fractional heat semigroup and the classical estimates of fractional heat kernel, we first prove the global-in-time existence and uniqueness of the mild solutions in the frame of mixed time-space Besov space with multi-linear continuous mappings. Then, we show the asymptotic behavior and regularizing-decay rate estimates of the solution to equations with power-law nonlinearity by the method of multi-linear operator and the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the global solution to the Cauchy problem of fractional drift diffusion system with power-law nonlinearity,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \Lambda^\alpha v = -\nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \partial_t w + \Lambda^\alpha w = \nabla \cdot (w^m \nabla \phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \Delta \phi = v - w, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \ w(x,0) = w_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \geq 1$ is an integer, $v(x,t), w(x,t)$ are the densities of negatively and positively charged particles, $\phi(x,t)$ is the electric potential determined by the Poisson equation $\Delta \phi = v - w$. The difficulties mainly

come from higher-order nonlinear couplings.

By the fundamental solution of Laplacian:

$$\Phi_N(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}|x|, & N = 1, \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln|x|, & N = 2, \\ \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega(N)|x|^{N-2}}, & N \geq 3, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\omega(N)$ denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$, the electric potential ϕ can be expressed by the convolution:

$$\phi = (-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v) = \Phi_N * (w - v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_N(x - y)(w - v)(y)dy. \tag{1.3}$$

$\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ is the Calderón-Zygmund operator, and the fractional Laplacian $\Lambda^\alpha = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with $1 < \alpha < 2N$ is a non-local fractional differential operator defined as Eq (1.4)

$$\Lambda^\alpha v(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|^\alpha \mathcal{F} v(\xi), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are the Fourier transform and its inverse [1].

In probabilistic terms, replacing the Laplacian Δ with its fractional power $-\Lambda^\alpha = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, it leads to interesting and largely open questions of extensions of results for Brownian motion driven stochastic equations to those driven by Lévy $\alpha-$stable flights.

In the physical literature, such fractal anomalous diffusions have been recently enthusiastically embraced by a slew of investigators in the context of hydrodynamics, acoustics, trapping effects in surface diffusion, statistical mechanics, relaxation phenomena, and biology [2].

An important technical difficulty is that the densities of the semigroups generated by $-\Lambda^\alpha = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ do not decay rapidly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as is the case of the heat semigroup $S(t) = e^{t\Delta}$ ($\alpha = 2$), the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel $K_t(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^2})$ decays exponentially while the densities $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha})(0 < \alpha < 2)$ of non-Gaussian Lévy $\alpha-$stable semigroups $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$ have only an algebraic decay rate $|x|^{-N-\alpha}$.

For a more general nonlinear term in Eq (1.1), the motivation is the Keller-Segel model [3, 4], a prototype of cross-diffusion models related to pattern formation, it describes the time and space dynamics of the density of cells (or organisms) $n(t, x)$ interacting with a chemoattractant $S(t, x)$ according to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n = \nabla_x \cdot (D_n(n, s)\nabla_x n - \chi(n, s)n\nabla_x s) + F(n, s), \\ \partial_t s = D_s(n, s)\Delta s + G(n, s), \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where F and G are the source terms related to interactions [5]. The positive definite nonlinear terms $D_n(n, s)$ and $D_s(n, s)$ are the diffusivity of the chemoattractant and of the cells, respectively. In many applications the cross-diffusion function $\chi(n, s)$ has a complicated structure, and even it has a very simple structure, for example, a polynomial $\chi(n, s) = n^m$, it fails to satisfy a global Lipschitz condition.

For $m = 1$, Eq (1.1) becomes a fractional drift-diffusion system Eq (1.6),

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \Lambda^\alpha v = -\nabla \cdot (v\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \partial_t w + \Lambda^\alpha w = \nabla \cdot (w\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \Delta\phi = v - w, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \; w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Zhao-Liu [6] established global well-posedness and asymptotic stability of mild solutions for the Cauchy problem Eq (1.5) with small initial data in critical Besov spaces, and proved the regularizing-decay rate estimates which imply that mild solutions are analytic in space variables. Ogawa-Yamamoto [7] considered the global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem Eq (1.5), they showed that the time- global existence of the solutions with large initial data in Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and obtained the asymptotic expansion of the solution up to the second terms as $t \to +\infty$.

For $\alpha = 2$, Eq (1.6) corresponds to the usual drift-diffusion system,

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t v - \Delta v = -\nabla \cdot (v\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\partial_t w - \Delta w = \nabla \cdot (w\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\Delta\phi = v - w, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \; w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}
\tag{1.7}
$$

it has been studied widely [8–14]. Karch [15] considered the Cauchy problem of a scalar equation with a bilinear operator B

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u = \Delta u + B(u, u), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{cases}
$$

For $w = 0$, Eq (1.6) corresponds to the generalized Keller-Segel model of chemotaxis:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t v + \Lambda^\alpha v = -\nabla \cdot (v\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\Delta\phi = v, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{cases}
\tag{1.8}
$$

For $1 < \alpha < 2$, Escudero [16] proved that Eq (1.8) admits a one-dimensional global solution (the same result also holds for $\alpha = 2$), Biler-Karch [17] studied the Blowup solutions to generalized Keller-Segel model, and Biler-Wu [18] considered two-dimensional chemotaxis models with fractional diffusion. For $\alpha = 2$, Biler-Boritchev-Karch et al., considered the concentration phenomena [19] and gave sharp Sobolev estimates for concentration of solution [20] to the diffusive aggregation model:

$$
\partial_t v - \varepsilon\Delta v = -\nabla \cdot (v\nabla K * v)
$$

with the Poisson kernel function K from the equation $\Delta\phi = v$.

Wu-Zheng [21] considered the parabolic-parabolic system corresponding to the parabolic-elliptic system Eq (1.8), the Keller-Segel system with fractional diffusion generalizing the Keller-Segel model of chemotaxis

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = \pm\nabla \cdot (u\nabla\phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\varepsilon\partial_t\phi + \Lambda^\alpha\phi = u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}
\tag{1.9}
$$

for initial data (u_0, v_0) in the critical Fourier-Herz space $\dot{B}_q^{2-2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \dot{B}_q^{2-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$.

For the fractional evolution equations with higher order nonlinearity, Miao-Yuan-Zhang [22] studied the Cauchy problem for the semilinear fractional power dissipative equation

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = F(u), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{cases}
\tag{1.10}
$$

with the nonlinear term $F(u) = f(u)$ or $F(u) = Q(D)f(u)$, where $Q(D)$ is a homogeneous pseudo differential operator and $f(u) = |u|^b u$ or $|u|^{b_1} u + |u|^{b_2} u$ with $b > 0, b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > 0$. For example, the equation in Eq (1.10) contains the semilinear fractional power dissipative equation $\partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = \pm |u|^b u$, the generalized convection-diffusion equation $\partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = a \cdot \nabla(|u|^b u)$, and so on.

Following the idea of Karch [15], due to the fractional heat semigroup $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$ and the well-known Duhamel principle, we rewrite the system Eq (1.1) as a system of integral equations

$$
\begin{cases}
v(t) = S_\alpha(t)v_0 + B(v, \cdots, v, w), \\
w(t) = S_\alpha(t)w_0 + B(w, \cdots, w, v),
\end{cases}
\tag{1.11}
$$

where

$$
B(\underbrace{v, \cdots, v}_{m}, w) = \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau) \nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi)(\tau) d\tau, \ \phi = (-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v).
\tag{1.12}
$$

A solution of Eq (1.11) and Eq (1.12) is called a mild solution of Eq (1.1).

Inspired by the contributions summarized in the above items, we aim to extend the results to the system Eq (1.1) with higher-order nonlinear terms $\nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi)$ and $\nabla \cdot (w^m \nabla \phi)$. The goal of this article is to prove the global well-posedness of mild solutions to the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) with small initial data in critical Besov spaces. When $m = 1$ in the higher order nonlinear term $\nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi)$, we recover the result proved in [6]. The outline of the rest of the article is as follows. In Section 2 we give the definition of homogeneous Besov space by the fractional heat semigroup operator and present some useful estimates. In Section 3 we establish the global existence and uniqueness of the mild solution. In Section 4 we discuss the asymptotic stability of the mild solution. In Section 5 we give the regularizing-decay rate estimates of the mild solution. In Section 6 we consider a fractional drift diffusion system with a generalized electric potential equation and we also give the global existence and asymptotic stability of the mild solution.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be its dual. Now, we introduce a definition of the homogeneous Besov space by the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$.

Definition 2.1. [6] Let $l > 0$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Define

$$
\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-l}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : S_\alpha f \in C((0, +\infty), L^p), \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{l}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha f\|_{L^p} < \infty \right\}
\tag{2.1}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-l}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{l}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha(t)f\|_{L^p}.
\tag{2.2}
$$

$(\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-l}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-l}})$ is a Banach space.

If $(v(x, t), w(x, t))$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1), for any $\lambda > 0$, denote

$$v_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{m}} v(\lambda x, \lambda^\alpha t), \quad w_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{m}} w(\lambda x, \lambda^\alpha t), \tag{2.3}$$

$(v_\lambda(x, t), w_\lambda(x, t))$ is also a solution of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) with the initial data

$$(v_\lambda(x, 0), w_\lambda(x, 0)) = (\lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{m}} v_0(\lambda x), \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{m}} w_0(\lambda x)),$$

then $(v_\lambda(x, t), w_\lambda(x, t))$ is called a self-similar solution to Eq (1.1). We can verify that $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a critical space, i.e., the self-similar solution is invariant under the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{n}{p}}}$, which defined in [6], for initial data $(v_0(x), w_0(x))$ of the system Eq (1.1). In the case the index $s_c := \frac{n}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{m}$ provides the minimal regularity for the initial data to ensure the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1). In order to find a critical space for the solutions of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1), we define some time-weighted space-time space.

Let X be a Banach space and I be a finite or infinite interval. We define the time-weighted space-time Banach space,

$$C_\sigma(I; X) = \left\{ f \in C(I; X) : \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|f(t)\|_X < \infty \right\} \tag{2.4}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_{C_\sigma(I;X)} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|f(t)\|_X$. The corresponding homogeneous time-weighted space-time Banach space,

$$\dot{C}_\sigma(I; X) = \left\{ f \in C_\sigma(I; X) : \lim_{t\downarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \|f(t)\|_X = 0 \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

We denote $C_*([0, \infty); X)$ by the set of bounded maps from $[0, \infty)$ to X which are continuous for $t > 0$ and weakly continuously for $t = 0$.

For initial data $(v_0(x), w_0(x))$ in critical homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with minimal regularity, we want to find a mild solution of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) and discuss the global existence of mild solution in the following critical space,

$$X = C_*([0, \infty), \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C_{\frac{m\alpha p}{\alpha p - mN}}([0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \tag{2.6}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|u(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.7}$$

For the Laplacian operator Δ and the Calderón-Zygmund operator $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$, we have the following classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.2. *[23, 24] Let* $1 < p < N$, *the nonlocal operator* $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ *is bounded from* $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *to* $L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *i.e.,* $\forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} f\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N, p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.9}$$

For the fractional power operator $\Lambda^\alpha = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we first consider the Cauchy problem for the homogeneous linear fractional heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \Lambda^\alpha u = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

By the Fourier transform the solution can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} \mathcal{F} u_0(\xi)) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}) * u_0(x) \\ &= K_t(x) * u_0(x) = S_\alpha(t) u_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where the fractional heat kernel Eq (2.12),

$$K_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{ix\xi} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi = t^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} K(xt^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}), \tag{2.12}$$

the function $K(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ denotes the space of functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying that $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} f(x) = 0$.

For the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t)$ we have $L^p - L^q$ estimates

Lemma 2.3. *[9] Let $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$. Then, $\forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\|S_\alpha(t)f\|_{L^q} \le C(N, \alpha) t^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|\Lambda^\gamma S_\alpha(t)f\|_{L^q} \le C(N, \alpha) t^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p}, \tag{2.14}$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$.

Following the work of Kato [25, 26] and Lemarie-Rieusset [23] for the Navier-Stokes problem, Miao-Yuan [27] gave a general existence and uniqueness result for an abstract operator equation via a contraction argument.

Lemma 2.4. *[27] Let X be a Banach space and $B : X \times X \times \cdots \times X \to X$ be a $(m+1)-$linear continuous operator satisfying*

$$\|B(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{m+1})\|_X \le K \|u_1\|_X \|u_2\|_X \cdots \|u_{m+1}\|_X, \tag{2.15}$$

$\forall u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{m+1} \in X$ for some constant $K > 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $(m + 1)(2\varepsilon)^m K < 1$. Then for every $y \in X$ with $\|y\|_X \le \varepsilon$ the equation

$$u = y + B(u, u, \cdots, u) \tag{2.16}$$

has a unique solution $u \in X$ satisfying that $\|u\|_X \le 2\varepsilon$. Moreover, the solution u depends continuously on y in the sense that, if $\|y\|_X \le \varepsilon$ and $v = y_1 + B(v, v, \cdots, v)$, $\|v\|_X \le 2\varepsilon$, then

$$\|u - v\|_X \le \frac{1}{1 - (m + 1)(2\varepsilon)^m K} \|y - y_1\|_X. \tag{2.17}$$

We will use the Lemma to prove the global-in-time existence and uniqueness of the mild solutions to the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) in the mixed time-space Besov space.

3. Global existence and uniqueness in Besov space

In this section we give the global existence and uniqueness of mild solution to the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \leq 2N$ and*

$$\max\{1, \frac{mN}{\alpha}\} < p < \min\{N, \frac{m(m+1)N}{\alpha}\}. \tag{3.1}$$

If $(v_0, w_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\|(v_0, w_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}} \leq \varepsilon$, the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) has a unique global mild solution $(v, w) \in X$ such that $\|(v, w)\|_X \leq 2\varepsilon$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on initial data in the following sense: let $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) \in X$ be the solution of Eq (1.1) with initial data $(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)$ such that $\|(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \varepsilon$, then there is a constant C such that

$$\|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_X \leq C\|(v_0 - \tilde{v}_0, w_0 - \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

For the integral system Eqs (1.11) and (1.12) we first consider the term $S_\alpha(t)v_0 = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}v_0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $v_0(x) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Eq (3.1) hold true. Then $S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in X$ and*

$$\|S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_X \leq C(N, \alpha)\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. According to the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $L^p - L^q$ estimates for the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we have

$$\|S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$= \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{L^p} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(t)S_\alpha(s)v_0\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha)\sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)v_0\|_{L^p} = C(N, \alpha)\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

and

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{L^p} = \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in L^\infty((0, \infty), \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in L^\infty((0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)).$$

Moreover, following the method of [23] (Proposition 4.4, P33) we obtain that

$$S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in C_*([0, \infty), \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)).$$

On the other hand, from $v_0(x) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Definition 2.1, we have

$$S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in C((0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in C((0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)).$$

Hence, we have $S_\alpha(t)v_0 \in X$ and Eq (3.2) holds true. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(v, w) \in X$ and Eq (3.1) hold true. Then $B(v, \cdots, v, w) \in X$ and*

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)\|_X \leq C(N, \alpha, p)\|v\|_X^m\|v - w\|_X. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. According to the definition of the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, we have

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{L^p},$$

by the expression Eq (1.12) of $B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)$, that is,

$$B(\underbrace{v, \cdots, v}_{m}, w) = -\int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)d\tau, \ \phi = (-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v), \tag{3.4}$$

hence, by the Minkowski inequality, we get

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$= \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)\int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)d\tau\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}d\tau. \tag{3.5}$$

For $0 < s \leq t - \tau$, using the $L^p - L^q$ estimates Eq (2.13) and Eq (2.14) for the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we have

$$\sup_{0<s\leq t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha)(t - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$= C(N, \alpha)(t - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|\nabla \cdot S_\alpha(t - \tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha, p)(t - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}(t - \tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}\|(v^m\nabla\phi)](\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha, p)(t - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v\|_{L^p}^m\|\nabla\phi(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}},$$

the last inequality comes from the Hölder inequality for the product $v \cdot v \cdots v \cdot (v - w)$ and $\frac{m}{p} + \frac{N-p}{Np} = \frac{(m+1)N-p}{Np}$. Using the classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality Eq (2.8) and Eq (2.9), we have Eq (3.6):

$$\sup_{0<s\leq t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha, p)(t - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m\|(v - w)(\tau)\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $s > t - \tau$, using the $L^p - L^q$ estimates Eq (2.13) and Eq (2.14) for the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we have

$$\sup_{s>t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$= \sup_{s>t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(t+s-\tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha) \sup_{s>t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}(t+s-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v^m \nabla \phi(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha) \sup_{s>t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}(t+s-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v\|_{L^p}^m \|\nabla \phi(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}.$$

From the condition Eq (3.1): $\max\{1, \frac{mN}{\alpha}\} < p < \min\{N, \frac{m(m+1)N}{\alpha}\}$ and $s > t - \tau$, the function $f(s) = s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}(t+s-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}$ has the maximum

$$\max_{s>t-\tau} f(s) = f\left(\frac{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}}(t-\tau)\right) = C(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}},$$

where C is a constant, by Eq (2.9) we have

$$\sup_{s>t-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.7}$$

Together with Eq (3.6) and Eq (3.7) we have:

$$\sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting Eq (3.8) into Eq (3.5), we have

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p) \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p) \sup_{\tau>0}(\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p})^m \sup_{\tau>0}(\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^p})$$

$$\times \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1} d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p) \|v\|_X^m \|v-w\|_X \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1} d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p) \|v\|_X^m \|v-w\|_X,$$

in the last inequality we use the fact that the Beta function

$$\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1} d\tau$$

$$= \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m+1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}, \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}\right)$$

converges to a constant, since the condition Eq (3.1) implies that

$$\frac{m+1}{m} - \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} = \frac{m+1}{mp}(p - \frac{mN}{\alpha}) > 0,$$

$$\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} - \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{mp}(\frac{m(m+1)N}{\alpha} - p) > 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C(N, \alpha, p)\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}}^m\|v - w\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Next, we consider the estimate of $\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{L^p}$. From Eq (1.12) we have

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{L^p}$$

$$= \|\int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot (v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)d\tau\|_{L^p}$$

$$\le C(N, \alpha)\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}\|v^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}d\tau$$

$$\le C(N, \alpha)\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}\|v\|_{L^p}^m\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}d\tau$$

$$\le C(N, \alpha, p)\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}}^m\|v - w\|_{\mathcal{X}}\int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{m}-1+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}d\tau$$

$$\le C(N, \alpha, p)\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}}^m\|v - w\|_{\mathcal{X}}t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}},$$

thus,

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{L^p} \le C(N, \alpha, p)\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}}^m\|v - w\|_{\mathcal{X}}. \tag{3.10}$$

In order to prove that $B(v, \cdots, v, w) \in \mathcal{X}$, it suffices to prove that $B(v, \cdots, v, w)$ is continuous for $t > 0$ and weakly continuous for $t = 0$ in $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and it is continuous for $t \ge 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For any $0 < t_1 < t_2$, due to Eq (3.4) we have

$$B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t_2) - B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t_1)$$

$$= \int_0^{t_1} [S_\alpha(t_2 - \tau) - S_\alpha(t_1 - \tau)]\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} S_\alpha(t_2 - \tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)d\tau$$

$$:= I(t_1, t_2) + II(t_1, t_2). \tag{3.11}$$

Similar to the estimate of $\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, we have

$$\|II(t_1, t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)II(t_1, t_2)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\le \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_2 - \tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1} d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X t_1^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X t_1^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} (t_2-t_1)^{1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}},$$

the condition Eq (3.1) implies that $1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}>0$, hence as $t_2 \to t_1$,

$$\|II(t_1,t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)II(t_1,t_2)\|_{L^p} \to 0. \tag{3.12}$$

According to the property of semigroup,

$$S_\alpha(t_2-\tau) - S_\alpha(t_1-\tau) = [S_\alpha(t_2-t_1)-I]S_\alpha(t_1-\tau), \tag{3.13}$$

for $\phi = (-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)$ we get

$$\|I(t_1,t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)I(t_1,t_2)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)[S_\alpha(t_2-t_1)-I]S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)\nabla\cdot(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \| \int_0^{t_2-t_1} \Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(\mu)S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)\nabla\cdot(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)d\mu\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \| \int_0^{t_2-t_1} \nabla S_\alpha(\mu)\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)d\mu\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\leq \int_0^{t_1} \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \int_0^{t_2-t_1} \|\nabla S_\alpha(\mu)\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\mu d\tau, \tag{3.14}$$

by the $L^p - L^q$ estimates Eq (2.13) and Eq (2.14) for the semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we have

$$\int_0^{t_2-t_1} \|\nabla S_\alpha(\mu)\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\mu$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha) \int_0^{t_2-t_1} \mu^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} d\mu \|\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$= C(N,\alpha)(t_2-t_1)^{1-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}. \tag{3.15}$$

For $0 < s \leq t_1 - \tau$, we have

$$\sup_{0<s\leq t_1-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$= \sup_{0<s\leq t_1-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s)\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(t_1-\tau)(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha) \sup_{0<s\leq t_1-\tau} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} (t_1-\tau)^{-1} \|(v^m\nabla\phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha)(t_1-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}-1} \|v\|_{L^p}^m \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha, p)(t_1 - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p} - 1} \|v\|_{L^p}^m \|v - w\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.16}$$

For $s > t_1 - \tau$, we have

$$\sup_{s > t_1 - \tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(s) S_\alpha(t_1 - \tau)(v^m \nabla \phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N - p}}}$$

$$= \sup_{s > t_1 - \tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|\Lambda^\alpha S_\alpha(t_1 - \tau + s)(v^m \nabla \phi)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N - p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha) \sup_{s > t_1 - \tau} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} (t_1 - \tau + s)^{-1} \|v^m \nabla \phi\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N - p}}}$$

$$\leq C(N, \alpha, p)(t_1 - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p} - 1} \|v\|_{L^p}^m \|v - w\|_{L^p}. \tag{3.17}$$

Putting Eqs (3.15)–(3.17) into Eq (3.14), we have

$$\|I(t_1, t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$\leq \quad C(t_2 - t_1)^{1 - \frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p} - 1} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v - w)(\tau)\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\leq \quad C(t_2 - t_1)^{1 - \frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \sup_{\tau > 0} (\tau^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p})^m \sup_{\tau > 0} (\tau^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v - w)(\tau)\|_{L^p})$$

$$\times \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - \tau)^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p} - 1} \tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} - \frac{1}{m} - 1} d\tau$$

$$\leq \quad C(t_2 - t_1)^{1 - \frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v\|_X^m \|v - w\|_X B t_1^{\frac{mN}{\alpha p} - 1}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $C = C(N, \alpha, p)$ the Beta function $B = \mathcal{B}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}, \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} - \frac{1}{m})$ converges due to the condition Eq (3.1), thus we have

$$\|I(t_1, t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|v\|_X^m \|v - w\|_X (t_2 - t_1)^{1 - \frac{mN}{\alpha p}} t_1^{\frac{mN}{\alpha p} - 1}, \tag{3.19}$$

that is,

$$\|I(t_1, t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sup_{s > 0} s^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(s) I(t_1, t_2)\|_{L^p} \to 0 \text{ as } t_2 \to t_1. \tag{3.20}$$

Putting Eq (3.12) and Eq (3.20) into Eq (3.11) we have

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t_1) - B(v, \cdots, v, w)(t_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \text{ as } t_2 \to t_1. \tag{3.21}$$

This means that $B(v, \cdots, v, w)$ is continuous for $t > 0$ in $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $B(v, \cdots, v, w)$ is weakly continuous for $t = 0$ in $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it is continuous for $t \geq 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, we have

$$B(v, \cdots, v, w) \in C_*([0, \infty), \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap C_{\frac{m\alpha p}{\alpha p - mN}}([0, \infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)), \tag{3.22}$$

that is, $B(v, \cdots, v, w) \in X$ and Eq (3.3) holds true, i.e.,

$$\|B(v, \cdots, v, w)\|_X \leq C(N, \alpha, p) \|v\|_X^m \|v - w\|_X. \tag{3.23}$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

The proof of Theorem 3.1. Now for the integral system Eq (1.11) and Eq (1.12) from the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1), we have

$$(v(t), w(t)) = S_\alpha(t)(v_0, w_0) + (B(v, \cdots, v, w), B(w, \cdots, w, v)), \tag{3.24}$$

in Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we deal with the terms $S_\alpha(t)(v_0, w_0)$ and

$$B(v, \cdots, v, w) = \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v - w)](\tau)d\tau,$$

$$B(w, \cdots, w, v) = \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot [w^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v)](\tau)d\tau,$$

respectively. For the Banach space X and multi-linear operator $B(v, \cdots, v, w)$, which satisfies the estimate Eq (3.23), following the Lemma 2.4, for every $(v_0, w_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(m + 1)(2\varepsilon)^m C(N, \alpha, p) < 1$, then Eq (3.24) has a unique solution $(v, w) \in X$ such that $\|(v, w)\|_X \leq 2\varepsilon$. Therefore, the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) has a unique global-in-time mild solution in the mixed time-space Besov space. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4. Asymptotic stability analysis

Theorem 4.1. *Let N be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \leq 2N$ and Eq (3.1) hold true and (v, w) and $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ be two mild solutions of the Cauchy problem Eq (1.1) described in Theorem 3.1 corresponding to initial conditions (v_0, w_0) and $(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)$, respectively. If $(v_0, w_0), (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0 - \tilde{v}_0, w_0 - \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

then, we have the following asymptotic stability

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{m}-\frac{N}{p}}\|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Since $(v_0, w_0), (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Theorem 3.1, there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\|(v_0, w_0), (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}} \leq \varepsilon$, then the mild solutions (v, w) and $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ satisfy that $\|(v, w), (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})\|_X \leq 2\varepsilon$. From Eq (1.11) and Eq (1.12) we have

$$\begin{cases} v - \tilde{v} = S_\alpha(t)(v_0 - \tilde{v}_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} B_k(v - \tilde{v}, v, \tilde{v}, v - w) \\ \qquad + B_m(\tilde{v}, (v - \tilde{v}) - (w - \tilde{w})), \\ w - \tilde{w} = S_\alpha(t)(w_0 - \tilde{w}_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} B_k(w - \tilde{w}, w, \tilde{w}, w - v) \\ \qquad + B_m(\tilde{w}, (w - \tilde{w}) - (v - \tilde{v})), \end{cases}$$

where

$$B_k(v - \tilde{v}, v, \tilde{v}, v - w) = B(v - \tilde{v}, \underbrace{v, \cdots, v}_{k}, \underbrace{\tilde{v}, \cdots, \tilde{v}}_{m-1-k}, v - w)$$

$$= \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)](\tau)d\tau, \tag{4.3}$$

$$B_m(\tilde{v},(v-\tilde{v})-(w-\tilde{w})) = B(\underbrace{\tilde{v},\cdots,\tilde{v}}_{m},(v-\tilde{v})-(w-\tilde{w}))$$

$$= \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [\tilde{v}^m\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}((v-\tilde{v})-(w-\tilde{w}))](\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.4}$$

By the definition of $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$–norm, we have

$$\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde{v}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} I_k + I_m, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$(I_k, I_m) = \|(B_k(v-\tilde{v},v,\tilde{v},v-w), B(\tilde{v},(v-\tilde{v})-(w-\tilde{w})))\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

For a constant $\theta \in (0,1)$ determined in later we have

$$I_k = \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)\int_0^t S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)](\tau)d\tau\|_{L^p}$$

$$\le \int_0^t \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}d\tau$$

$$\le \left(\int_0^{\theta t} + \int_{\theta t}^t\right) \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(t+s-\tau)\nabla \cdot [(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v-w)]\|_{L^p}d\tau$$

$$:= I_{k1} + I_{k2}. \tag{4.6}$$

In the procedure of estimate of Eq (3.5), instead of the product $v\cdot v\cdots v\cdot(v-w)$ with $m+1$ exponents such that $\frac{m}{p}+\frac{N-p}{Np}=\frac{(m+1)N-p}{Np}$, use the Hölder inequality for the product $(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}(v-w)$ with $m+1$ exponents such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{k}{p}+\frac{m-1-k}{p}+\frac{N-p}{Np}=\frac{(m+1)N-p}{Np}$, we can prove that

$$I_{k1} \le C \int_0^{\theta t} (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}\|v\|_{L^p}^k\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}^{m-1-k}\|v-w\|_{L^p}d\tau \tag{4.7}$$

$$\le C\varepsilon^m \int_0^\theta (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}(t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v(t\eta)-\tilde{v}(t\eta)\|_{L^p}d\eta,$$

and

$$I_{k2} \le C \int_{\theta t}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}\|v\|_{L^p}^k\|\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}^{m-1-k}\|v-w\|_{L^p}d\tau$$

$$\le C\varepsilon^m \int_{\theta t}^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\tau^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}(\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p})d\tau$$

$$\le C\varepsilon^m[\sup_{\theta t \le \tau \le t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v(\tau)-\tilde{v}(\tau)\|_{L^p}]. \tag{4.8}$$

Together Eq (4.7) with Eq (4.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_k \;\leq\;\; & C\varepsilon^m \int_0^\theta (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(t\eta)-\tilde{v}(t\eta)\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
+ \;\; & C\varepsilon^m [\sup_{\theta t \leq \tau \leq t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)-\tilde{v}(\tau)\|_{L^p}], \; k=1,2,\cdots,m-1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_m \;\leq\;\; & C\varepsilon^m \int_0^\theta \frac{(1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}}{\eta^{1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde{v})(t\eta),(w-\tilde{w})(t\eta))\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
+ \;\; & C\varepsilon^m [\sup_{\theta t \leq \tau \leq t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde{v})(\tau),(w-\tilde{w})(\tau))\|_{L^p}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

We next consider the term $\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$:

$$
\|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde{v}_0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} J_k + J_m,
\tag{4.11}
$$

where

$$
(J_k, J_m) = \|(B_k(v-\tilde{v}, v, \tilde{v}, v-w), B(\tilde{v}, (v-\tilde{v})-(w-\tilde{w})))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
$$

For the first term we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde{v}_0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
\leq \;\; & 2^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \sup_{t>0} (\frac{t}{2})^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(\frac{t}{2})(v_0-\tilde{v}_0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
\leq \;\; & 2^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde{v}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.12}
$$

For the term J_k and $\phi = (-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_k = \;\; & \| \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [(v-\tilde{v})v^k\tilde{v}^{m-1-k}\nabla\phi](\tau)d\tau\|_{L^p} \\
\leq \;\; & C\Big(\int_0^{\theta t} + \int_{\theta t}^t\Big)(t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^p}^k \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}^{m-1-k} \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}} d\tau \\
\leq \;\; & C\Big(\int_0^{\theta t} + \int_{\theta t}^t\Big)(t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^p}^k \|\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}^{m-1-k} \|v-w\|_{L^p} d\tau \\
\leq \;\; & C\varepsilon^m \Big(\int_0^{\theta t} + \int_{\theta t}^t\Big)(t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} (\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v-\tilde{v}\|_{L^p}) d\tau \\
\leq \;\; & C\varepsilon^m t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \int_0^\theta (1-\eta)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(t\eta)-\tilde{v}(t\eta)\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
& + C\varepsilon^m t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} [\sup_{\theta t \leq \tau \leq t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)-\tilde{v}(\tau)\|_{L^p}], \; k=1,2,\cdots,m-1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

Similarly, for the term J_m we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_m \;\leq\; & C\varepsilon^m t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \int_0^\theta \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}}{\eta^{1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde v)(t\eta),(w-\tilde w)(t\eta))\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
& + C\varepsilon^m t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} [\sup_{\theta t \leq \tau \leq t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde v)(\tau),(w-\tilde w)(\tau))\|_{L^p}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

Together Eq (4.5) with Eq (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|v-\tilde v\|_{\dot B_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v-\tilde v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
& \leq C \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde v_0)\|_{\dot B_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
& + C\varepsilon^m \int_0^\theta \frac{(1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}}{\eta^{1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde v)(t\eta),(w-\tilde w)(t\eta))\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
& + C\varepsilon^m \int_0^\theta \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}}}{\eta^{1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}} ((t\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde v)(t\eta),(w-\tilde w)(t\eta))\|_{L^p}) d\eta \\
& + C\varepsilon^m [\sup_{\theta t \leq \tau \leq t} \tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|((v-\tilde v)(\tau),(w-\tilde w)(\tau))\|_{L^p}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

For $w-\tilde w$ we can get the same estimate similar to Eq (4.15).

For the convenience we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(\theta) = & \int_0^\theta (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} \eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} d\eta \\
& + \int_0^\theta (1-\eta)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} d\eta, \\
F(t) = & \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0-\tilde v_0, w_0-\tilde w_0)\|_{\dot B_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \\
G(t) = & \|v-\tilde v\|_{\dot B_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|v-\tilde v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the condition Eq (3.1), $\max\{1, \frac{mN}{\alpha}\} < p < \min\{N, \frac{m(m+1)N}{\alpha}\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} &= \frac{m+1}{mp}(p - \frac{mN}{\alpha}) > 0, \\
-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} &= \frac{1}{mp}(\frac{m(m+1)N}{\alpha} - p) > 0, \\
1 - \frac{mN}{\alpha p} &= \frac{1}{p}(p - \frac{mN}{\alpha}) > 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then, we obtain that $Q(\theta)$ converges and $\lim_{\theta \to 0} Q(\theta) = 0$.

Due to the condition Eq (4.1) we have $\lim_{t \to +\infty} F(t) = 0$ and $F(t) \in L^\infty[0,+\infty)$. Passing the limit in Eq (4.15) we get

$$
M = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} G(t) \leq C(N,\alpha,p)\varepsilon^m(Q(\theta)+1)M,
\tag{4.16}
$$

Choosing θ and ε small enough such that $Q(\theta) < 1$ and $2C(N,\alpha,p)\varepsilon^m < 1$ respectively, then Eq (4.16) implies that $M = 0$. That is, Eq (4.2) holds true. The proof is complete. □

5. Regularizing-decay rate estimates

In this section we consider the regularizing decay rate estimates of the mild solutions to the system Eq (1.1). Compared to the case $m = 1$, the main difficulty is caused by the power-law nonlinearity term v^m as $m > 1$ in the first two equations of Eq (1.1). To overcome this difficulty, we will apply multiple Leibniz's rule. For the regularizing-decay rate estimates of mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, we refer the reader to [6, 28–30].

In what follows, for $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$, we denote $\partial_x^\beta = \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_{x_N}^{\beta_N}$ and $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_N$.

We first describe the main result on regularizing-decay rate estimates of the mild solutions to the system Eq (1.1).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \leq 2N$. Assume that p satisfies Eq (3.1) and $(v_0, w_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and (v, w) is the mild solution to the system Eq (1.1) with initial data (v_0, w_0). Furthermore, assume that there exist two positive constants M_1 and M_2 such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} \|(v(t), w(t))\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v(t), w(t))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_2. \tag{5.2}$$

Then, there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 depending only on M_1, M_2, N, α, m and p, such that

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq K_1 (K_2|\beta|)^{2|\beta|} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \tag{5.3}$$

for all $p \leq q \leq \infty$, $t \in (0, T)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$.

Remark 1. In fact, Eq (5.3) is equivalent to the claim

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q} \leq K_1 (K_2|\beta|)^{2|\beta|-\delta} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \tag{5.4}$$

for some $\delta \in (1, 2]$ and sufficiently large constants K_1 and K_2.

Let us first prepare the refined $L^p - L^q$ estimate for semigroup operator $S_\alpha(t)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then for any $f \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have*

$$\|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t) f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_0^{|\beta|} |\beta|^{\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{5.5}$$

for all $t > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, and C_0 is a constant depending only on N and α.

Proof. As $S_\alpha(t)$ is the convolution operator with fractional heat kernel $K_t(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha})$, by scaling we see that

$$K_t(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi = t^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}} K(xt^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}),$$

where $K(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{ix\cdot\xi} e^{-|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi$. It is clear that [22] (Lemma 2.2)

$$\nabla K(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \nabla K_t(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \forall\, t \in (0, \infty), \ \forall\, p \in [1, \infty],$$

thus, the Young inequality implies that

$$\|\partial_x S_\alpha(t)f\|_{L^q} \leq \|\partial_x K_t(x)\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{L^q} \leq C_0(N,\alpha)t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|f\|_{L^q}. \tag{5.6}$$

By the semigroup property of $S_\alpha(t)$ and the commutativity between semigroup and differential operators, we get

$$\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t)f = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\partial_{x_i} S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2|\beta|}\right)\right)^{\beta_i} S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)f. \tag{5.7}$$

Combining Eq (5.6) and Eq (5.7), and using Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t)f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq \prod_{i=1}^N \left\|\partial_{x_i} S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2|\beta|}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^q,L^q)}^{\beta_i} \left\|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)f\right\|_{L^q} \\
&\leq \left(C_0(N,\alpha)\left(\frac{t}{2|\beta|}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)^{|\beta|}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)^{-\frac{N}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\left\|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)f\right\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C_0(N,\alpha)^{|\beta|}|\beta|^{\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \sup_{t>0}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\left\|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)f\right\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C_0(N,\alpha)^{|\beta|}|\beta|^{\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^p,L^q)}$ denotes the norm of linear operator $\mathbf{T}$ from L^p to L^q. This proves the Lemma 5.2. □

Next we recall some useful results.

Lemma 5.3. *[31, Lemma 2.1] Let $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a positive constant C depending only on δ, such that*

$$\sum_{\alpha<\beta} \binom{\beta}{\alpha} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|-\delta}|\beta-\alpha|^{|\beta-\alpha|-\delta} \leq C(\delta)|\beta|^{|\beta|-\delta}, \ \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N. \tag{5.8}$$

Here the notation $\alpha < \beta$ means that $\alpha_i < \beta_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\binom{\beta}{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\beta_i!}{\alpha_i!(\beta_i-\alpha_i)!}$, and the dependence of $C(\delta)$ on δ is merely of the form $\sum_{j=1}^\infty j^{-\delta-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Lemma 5.4. *[28] Let ψ_0 be a measurable and locally bounded function in $(0,\infty)$ and $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of measurable functions in $(0,\infty)$. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu, \nu > 0$ satisfying $\mu + \nu = 1$. Let $B_\eta > 0$ be a number depending on $\eta \in (0,1)$ and B_η be non-increasing with respect to η. Assume that there is a positive constant σ such that*

$$0 \leq \psi_0(t) \leq B_\eta t^{-\alpha} + \sigma \int_{(1-\eta)t}^t (t-\tau)^{-\mu}\tau^{-\nu}\psi_0(\tau)d\tau, \tag{5.9}$$

$$0 \leq \psi_{j+1}(t) \leq B_\eta t^{-\alpha} + \sigma \int_{(1-\eta)t}^t (t-\tau)^{-\mu}\tau^{-\nu}\psi_j(\tau)d\tau \tag{5.10}$$

for all $j \geq 0$, $t > 0$ and $\eta \in (0,1)$. Let η_0 be a unique positive number such that

$$I(\eta_0) = \min\{\frac{1}{2\sigma}, I(1)\} \text{ with } I(\eta) = \int_{1-\eta}^1 (1-\tau)^{-\mu}\tau^{-\alpha-\nu}d\tau.$$

Then, for any $0 < \eta \le \eta_0$, we have

$$\psi_j(t) \le 2B_\eta t^{-\alpha}, \ \forall \ j \ge 0, t > 0.$$

We now prove the Theorem 5.1. Following the idea in Giga-Sawada [28], we first prove the Remark 1, a variant of Theorem 5.1 under extra regularity assumption.

Proposition 1. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem 5.1. Assume further that*

$$\left(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t)\right) \in C\left((0, T), L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)\right) \tag{5.11}$$

for all $p \le q \le \infty$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$. Then for any $\delta \in (1, 2]$, there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 depending only on M_1, M_2, N, α, m and p, such that

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q} \le K_1(K_2|\beta|)^{2|\beta|-\delta} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}} \tag{5.12}$$

for all $p \le q \le \infty$, $t \in (0, T)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$.

Proof. We split the proof into the following two steps by an induction $|\beta| = m$.
Step 1. We will prove Eq (5.12) for $m = 0$. Equation (5.2) implies that Eq (5.12) is trivial if $q = p$, thus it suffices to consider $q \in (p, \infty]$. Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ be a constant to be determined later, we take L^q−norm of the first equation in Eq (1.11) and split the time integral into two parts as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v(t)\|_{L^q} &\le \|S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{L^q} \\
&+ \left(\int_0^{t(1-\eta)} + \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t\right)\|S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\triangle)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q}d\tau \\
&:= E_1 + E_2 + E_3.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.13}
$$

We will estimate term by term.
For E_1, by Lemma 5.2 and Eq (5.1), one can easily see that

$$E_1 \le C_1(N, \alpha)t^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}} \le C_1(N, \alpha, M_1)t^{-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}. \tag{5.14}$$

For E_2 and E_3, by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Eq (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \|S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\triangle)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q}d\tau \\
&\le C_2(N, \alpha, p)\int_0^{t(1-\eta)}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}\|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m\|(v(\tau), w(\tau))\|_{L^p}d\tau \\
&\le C_2(N, \alpha, p)M_2^{m+1}\int_0^{t(1-\eta)}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}\tau^{-1-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}d\tau \\
&\le C_2(N, \alpha, p, M_2)\eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}}t^{-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.15}
$$

$$E_3 = \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \|S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m\nabla(-\triangle)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q}d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_3(N,\alpha,p) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^q} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^{m-1} \|(v(\tau),w(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_3(N,\alpha,p,M_2) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^q} d\tau. \tag{5.16}$$

Combining Eqs (5.14)–(5.16), and setting $\bar{B}_\eta = C_1(N,\alpha,M_1) + C_2(N,\alpha,p,M_2)\eta^{-1-\frac{1}{m}}$, the inequality Eq (5.13) yields that

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^q} \le \bar{B}_\eta t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} + C_3 \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^q} d\tau. \tag{5.17}$$

The estimate for $w(t)$ can be done analogously as Eq (5.17). Hence, we have

$$\|(v(t),w(t))\|_{L^q}$$
$$\le B_\eta t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} + C_4 \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|(v(\tau),w(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau, \tag{5.18}$$

where $B_\eta = 2\bar{B}_\eta$ and $C_4 = 2C_3(N,\alpha,p,M_2)$.

By applying Lemma 5.4, we get the desired estimate Eq (5.12) for $|\beta| = k = 0$ with $K_1 = 2B_{\eta_0}$ for some $\eta_0 = \eta_0(N,\alpha,p,m,M_1,M_2) \in (0,1)$.

Step 2. Next we prove Eq (5.12) for $|\beta| = k \ge 1$. Due to the appearance of nonlocal function ϕ, we use a different argument to prove Eq (5.12) for $p \le q < N$ and $N \le q \le \infty$, thus we split the proof into the following two cases.

Case 1: $p \le q < N$. In this case, we first differentiate the first equation of Eq (1.11) to obtain the identity

$$\partial_x^\beta v(t) = \partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t)v_0 - \int_0^t \partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]d\tau. \tag{5.19}$$

We take the L^q−norm of $\partial_x^\beta v$, for some $\eta \in (0,1)$ to be chosen later, we split the time integral into the following two parts:

$$\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^q} \le \|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{L^q}$$
$$+ \left(\int_0^{t(1-\eta)} + \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t\right)\|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$
$$:= \quad F_1 + F_2 + F_3. \tag{5.20}$$

We next estimate $F_i (i = 1,2,3)$ term by term.

For F_1, Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$F_1 \le C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{n}{\alpha q}} \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{n}{p}}} \le M_1 C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{n}{\alpha q}}. \tag{5.21}$$

For F_2, using Lemma 5.2, Lemma 2.3 and Eq (5.2), we have

$$F_2 = \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha) \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)[v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha) \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \prod_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4k}\Big)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^q,L^q)}^{k_i}$$

$$\times \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4}\Big)[v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha) \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \Big[C_0\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4k}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\Big]^k \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4}\Big)^{-\frac{(m+1)N-p}{\alpha p}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}$$

$$\times \|v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha,p) C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4}\Big)^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{m+1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v(\tau),w(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha,p) M_2^{m+1} C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \int_0^{t(1-\eta)} \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{4}\Big)^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{m+1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \tau^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_5(N,\alpha,p,M_2) C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \eta^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-1-\frac{1}{m}} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}, \tag{5.22}$$

where $k = k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_N$ and $k_i = |\beta_i| (i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$.

Using Leibniz's rule, we split F_3 into the following three parts:

$$F_3 = \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_6(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)\partial_x^\beta[v^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$\leq C_6(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)[(\partial_x^\beta v^m)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$+ C_6(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)$$

$$\cdot \sum_{0<\gamma<\beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (\partial_x^\gamma v^m)(\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$+ C_6(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)[v^m \partial_x^\beta \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$:= F_{31} + F_{32} + F_{33}. \tag{5.23}$$

Here, the notation $\gamma < \beta$ means that $\gamma \leq \beta$ and $|\gamma| < |\beta|$.

Now, we establish the estimates for $F_{3j}(j = 1, 2, 3)$. For F_{31}, using Leibniz's rule again, we can split F_{31} into two parts as follows:

$$F_{31} = C_7(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)[(\partial_x^\beta v^m)\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)]\|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$= C_7(N,\alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)[\sum_\beta \binom{\beta_m}{\beta_{m-1}}\binom{\beta_{m-1}}{\beta_{m-2}}\cdots\binom{\beta_2}{\beta_1}$$

$$\times (\partial_x^{\beta_1} v)(\partial_x^{\beta_2 - \beta_1} v) \dots (\partial_x^{\beta_m - \beta_{m-1}} v) + m v^{m-1} (\partial_x^\beta v) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v) \big] \|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$= \quad C_7(N, \alpha) \sum_\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\beta_i}{\beta_{i-1}} \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (\partial_x^{\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}} v) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v)(\tau) \|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$+ C_7(N, \alpha, m) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right) v^{m-1} (\partial_x^\beta v) \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w - v) \|_{L^q} d\tau$$

$$:= \quad G_1 + G_2, \tag{5.24}$$

where we denote $\sum_\beta = \sum_{0 = \beta_0 \le \beta_1 \le \cdots \le \beta_{m-1} < \beta_m = \beta}$.

For G_2, using Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Eq (5.2), we have

$$G_2 \quad \le \quad C_8(N, \alpha, m, p) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v\|_{L^p}^{m-1} \|\partial_x^\beta v\|_{L^q} \|(v(\tau), w(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_8(N, \alpha, m, p) M_2^m \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1 + \frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|\partial_x^\beta v\|_{L^q} d\tau. \tag{5.25}$$

For G_1, using Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 5.3, Eq (5.2) and Eq (5.12), we have

$$G_1 \quad \le \quad C_9(N, \alpha, p) \sum_\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\beta_i}{\beta_{i-1}} \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{(m-1)N}{\alpha q} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^m \|\partial_x^{\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}} v\|_{L^q} \|(v(\tau), w(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_9(N, \alpha, p) M_2 \sum_\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\beta_i}{\beta_{i-1}} \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{(m-1)N}{\alpha q} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^m \left[K_1 (K_2 |\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}|^{2|\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}| - \delta}) \tau^{-\frac{|\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}|}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}} \right] \tau^{-\frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha p}} d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_9(N, \alpha, p, M_2) \sum_\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{\beta_i}{\beta_{i-1}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left[K_1 (K_2 |\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}|^{2|\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}| - \delta}) \right]$$

$$\times \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{(m-1)N}{\alpha q} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-\frac{k}{\alpha} - 1 + \frac{mN}{\alpha q} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha p}} d\tau$$

$$\le \quad C_9(N, \alpha, p, M_2)(C(\delta))^{2(m-1)} k^{2k - \delta} K_1^m K_2^{2k - m\delta} I(\eta) t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}, \tag{5.26}$$

where

$$I(\eta) = \int_{1-\eta}^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{(m-1)N}{\alpha q} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-\frac{k}{\alpha} - 1 + \frac{mN}{\alpha q} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha p}} d\tau. \tag{5.27}$$

For F_{32}, using the same arguments as G_1, we have

$$F_{32} \le C_{10}(N, \alpha) \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t \left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|S_\alpha\left(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\right) \Big[\sum_{0 < \gamma < \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (\partial_x^\gamma v^m)$$

$$\times (\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau))\big]\|_{L^q}d\tau$$

$$\leq C_{10}(N,\alpha)\sum_{0<\gamma<\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)(\partial_x^\gamma v^m)$$

$$\times(\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau))\|_{L^q}d\tau$$

$$= C_{10}(N,\alpha)\sum_{0<\gamma<\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)\Big[\sum_\gamma\prod_{i=1}^m\binom{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i-1}}$$

$$\times\prod_{j=1}^m(\partial_x^{\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}}v^m)\Big](\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau))\|_{L^q}d\tau$$

$$\leq C_{10}(N,\alpha)\sum_{0<\gamma<\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\sum_\gamma\prod_{i=1}^m\binom{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i-1}}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

$$\times\|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t-\tau}{2}\Big)\prod_{j=1}^m(\partial_x^{\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}}v^m)(\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau))\|_{L^q}d\tau,$$

according to the property of semigroup we get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{32} &\leq C_{10}(N,\alpha,p)\sum_{0<\gamma<\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\sum_\gamma\prod_{i=1}^m\binom{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i-1}}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{N(m-1)}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^m\|\partial_x^{\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}}v\|_{L^q}\|\partial_x^{\beta-\gamma}(v(\tau),w(\tau))\|_{L^p}d\tau\\
&\leq C_{10}(N,\alpha,p)\sum_{0<\gamma<\beta}\binom{\beta}{\gamma}\sum_\gamma\prod_{i=1}^m\binom{\gamma_i}{\gamma_{i-1}}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{N(m-1)}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{j=1}^m\Big[K_1(K_2|\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}|)^{2|\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}|-\delta}\tau^{-\frac{|\gamma_j-\gamma_{j-1}|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}\Big]\\
&\quad\times\Big[K_1(K_2|\beta-\gamma|)^{2|\beta-\gamma|-\delta}\tau^{-\frac{|\beta-\gamma|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\Big]d\tau\\
&\leq C_{10}(N,\alpha,p)(C(\delta))^mK_1^{m+1}K_2^{2k-(m+1)\delta}k^{2k-\delta}I(\eta)t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.28}$$

where $\sum_\gamma$ is defined the same as that in estimating F_{31} and $I(\eta)$ is defined in Eq (5.27).

For F_{33}, analogously we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{33} &\leq C_{11}\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{N(m-1)}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v\|_q^m\|\partial_x^\beta\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w-v)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}d\tau\\
&\leq C_{11}(N,\alpha)\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{N(m-1)}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v\|_q^m\|\partial_x^{\beta-1}(v(\tau),w(\tau))\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}d\tau\\
&\leq C_{11}(N,\alpha)\int_{t(1-\eta)}^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{N(m-1)}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\big[K_1\tau^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}\big]^m\\
&\quad\times\big[K_1(K_2(k-1))^{2(k-1)-\delta}\tau^{\frac{k-1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N(N-p)}{\alpha Np}}\big]d\tau\\
&\leq C_{11}(N,\alpha)K_1^{m+1}K_2^{2(k-1)-\delta}k^{2k-\delta}I(\eta)t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.29}$$

where $I(\eta)$ is defined in Eq (5.27).

Combining the above estimates Eqs (5.20)–(5.29) and setting $\bar{B}_\eta$ by

$$\bar{B}_\eta = M_1 C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} + C_5 C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \eta^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-1-\frac{1}{m}} + C_{12} k^{2k-\delta} I(\eta),$$

and

$$C_{12} = C_9 K_1^m K_2^{2k-m\delta} + C_{10} K_1^{m+1} K_2^{2k-(m+1)\delta} + C_{11} K_1^{m+1} K_2^{2(k-1)-\delta}, \tag{5.30}$$

we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^q} \le \bar{B}_\eta t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} + C_8 \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|\partial_x^\beta v(\tau)\|_{L^q} d\tau. \tag{5.31}$$

Similarly, we can deal with $\partial_x^\beta w(t)$. Hence, we conclude that

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q} \le B_\eta t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}$$
$$+ C_{13} \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|(\partial_x^\beta v(\tau), \partial_x^\beta w(\tau))\|_{L^q} d\tau, \tag{5.32}$$

where $B_\eta = 2\bar{B}_\eta$ and $C_{13} = 2C_8(N,\alpha,m,p)$.

Let $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2k}$. It is clear that $I(\eta_k)$ is strictly monotone decreasing in k and $I(\eta_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Choosing k_0 sufficiently large, such that $I(\frac{1}{2k}) \le \frac{1}{2C_{13}}$ for all $k \ge k_0$, applying Lemma 5.4, we get

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q} \le 2B_{\frac{1}{2k}} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \tag{5.33}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $|\beta| = k$. Note that from Eq (5.33), we can choose K_1 and K_2 sufficiently large such that Eq (5.12) holds for all β satisfying $|\beta| \le k_0$. Hence, it suffices to prove that it is possible to choose K_1 and K_2 such that $2B_{\frac{1}{2k}} \le K_1 (K_2 k)^{2k-\delta}$ for all $k > k_0$. Since

$$I(\frac{1}{2k}) = \int_{1-\frac{1}{2k}}^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{(m-1)N}{\alpha q}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha q}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} d\tau$$
$$\le (1-\frac{1}{2k})^{-\frac{k}{\alpha}-1-\frac{1}{m}} \le e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}(1-\frac{1}{2k})^{-1-\frac{1}{m}} \le 16,$$

we can calculate $2B_{\frac{1}{2k}}$ as follows:

$$2B_{\frac{1}{2k}} = 4\bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2k}} \le 4[M_1 C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} + C_5 C_0^k k^{\frac{k}{\alpha}}(2k)^{\frac{k}{\alpha}+1+\frac{1}{m}} + 16 C_{12} k^{2k-\delta}]$$
$$\le 4[M_1 C_0^k + 2^{\frac{k}{\alpha}+1+\frac{1}{m}} C_5 C_0^k k^{1+\frac{1}{m}+\delta} + 16 C_{12}] k^{2k-\delta}.$$

Obviously, there exists a constant $C_{14} > C_0$ such that $C_0^k + 2^{\frac{k}{\alpha}+1+\frac{1}{m}} C_0^k k^{1+\frac{1}{m}+\delta} \le C_{14}^{2k-\delta}$. Hence,

$$2B_{\frac{1}{2k}} \le 4[(M_1 + C_5) C_{14}^{2k-\delta} + 16 C_{12}] k^{2k-\delta}, \tag{5.34}$$

where C_{12} is defined in Eq (5.30).

Choosing $K_1 := 8(M_1 + C_5)$ and $K_2 := \max\{C_{14}, 32(C_9 + C_{10})K_1, 32C_{11}K_1^{\frac{m}{2}}\}$, we obtain Eq (5.12). This completes the proof of Proposition 1 for $p \leq q < N$.

Case 2: $N \leq q \leq \infty$. Now we are in a position to establish the estimate of $\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^q}$ for $N \leq q \leq \infty$. For p satisfying Eq (3.1), using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [32], we have

$$\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^q} \leq C(N, p)\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^p}^\theta \|\partial_x^2 \partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^p}^{1-\theta}, \ \theta = 1 - \frac{N}{2p} + \frac{N}{2q}. \tag{5.35}$$

Now, from Eq (5.35) and the result of Case 1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^\beta v(t)\|_{L^q} \\
\leq\ & C(N, p)[K_1(K_2 k)^{2k-\delta} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha p}}]^\theta [K_1(K_2(k+2))^{2(k+2)-\delta} t^{-\frac{k+2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha p}}]^{1-\theta} \\
\leq\ & C(N, p)K_1(K_2(k+2))^{2k+4-\delta} t^{-\frac{k}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{N}{\alpha q}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

It is clear that there exists a constant $C_{15} \geq 2$ such that $k^4 \leq C_{15}^{2k-\delta}$, thus we have

$$(K_2(k+2))^{2k+4-\delta} = K_2^4 k^4 (1 + \frac{2}{k})^{2k+4-\delta}(K_2 k)^{2k-\delta} \leq 81e^4 K_2^4 (C_{15}K_2 k)^{2k-\delta}.$$

Hence, we can choose K_1 and K_2 sufficiently large such that Eq (5.12) holds for all $p \leq q \leq \infty$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. □

Finally, let us show that under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, the mild solution $(v(t), w(t))$ of Eq (1.1) always satisfies the regularity condition Eq (5.12).

Proposition 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, the mild solution $(v(t), w(t))$ satisfies that*

$$t^{\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha q}}\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q} \leq \tilde{K}_1(\tilde{K}_2|\beta|)^{2|\beta|-\delta} \tag{5.37}$$

for all $p \leq q \leq \infty$, $t \in (0, T)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, where $\tilde{K}_1$ and $\tilde{K}_2$ are constants depending only on $M_1, M_2, m, N, \alpha, p$ and δ.

Proof. Since the mild solution $(v(t), w(t))$ is the limit function of the sequence $(v_j(t), w_j(t))$ of appropriate Picard iterations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(v_1(t), w_1(t)) = (S_\alpha(t)v_0, S_\alpha(t)w_0), \ \text{for } j \geq 2, \\
&v_j(t) = S_\alpha(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot [v_{j-1}^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v_{j-1} - w_{j-1})](\tau)d\tau, \\
&w_j(t) = S_\alpha(t)w_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau)\nabla \cdot [w_{j-1}^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(w_{j-1} - v_{j-1})](\tau)d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 1. We first show that

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{m} - \frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|(v_j(t), w_j(t))\|_{L^p} \leq M_2. \tag{5.38}$$

When $j = 1$, following from Eq (5.1) we have

$$\|(v_1, w_1)\|_{L^p} \quad = \quad \|(S_\alpha(t)v_0, S_\alpha(t)w_0)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \quad t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \sup_{0<t<T} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(S_\alpha(t)v_0, S_\alpha(t)w_0)\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \quad t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v_0,w_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}} \leq M_1 t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}}. \tag{5.39}$$

Hence Eq (5.38) holds for $j = 1$.

When $j \geq 2$, using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|v_j(t)\|_{L^p} \quad \leq \quad \|S_\alpha(t)v_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \|S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla \cdot [v_{j-1}^m \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(v_{j-1}-w_{j-1})]\|_{L^p}(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\leq \quad M_1 t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} + C(N,\alpha,p)\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \|v_{j-1}(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m \|(v_{j-1}(\tau), w_{j-1}(\tau))\|_{L^p} d\tau$$

$$\leq \quad M_1 t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} + C(N,\alpha,p)\Big[\sup_{0<s<T} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v_{j-1}(s), w_{j-1}(s))\|_{L^p}\Big]^{m+1} t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} B,$$

where $B = \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}} d\tau = \mathcal{B}(1-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}, -\frac{1}{m}+\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p})$ is the standard Beta function which is obviously finite.

For $w_j(t)$ we have the analogous estimate. Then, for $j = 2, 3, \cdots$, we get

$$\|(v_j(t), w_j(t))\|_{L^p} \leq C(N,\alpha,p,m,M_1,B) t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} := M_2 t^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}}, \tag{5.40}$$

where the constant $C(N,\alpha,p,m,M_1,B)$ is always finite. Therefore Eq (5.38) holds true.

Step 2. To apply the Lemma 5.4, we need to show that $\|(\partial_x^\beta v_1(t), \partial_x^\beta w_1(t))\|_{L^q}$ is locally bounded in $(0, T)$. Using Lemma 2.3 and Eq (5.1), we have

$$\|\partial_x^\beta v_1(t)\|_{L^q}$$

$$= \quad \|\partial_x^\beta S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big) S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big) v_0\|_{L^q} \leq C(N,\alpha)\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big) v_0\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \quad C(N,\alpha)\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{N}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \sup_{t>0}\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|S_\alpha\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big) v_0\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \quad C(N,\alpha) M_1\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}.$$

Similarly, we have a similar estimate on $w_j(t)$. Then $\|(\partial_x^\beta v_1(t), \partial_x^\beta w_1(t))\|_{L^q}$ is locally bounded in $(0, T)$.

Step 3. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 1, let $\psi_j(t) = \|\partial_x^\beta v_j(t)\|_{L^q}$, for all $j \geq 1$ and $t \in (0, T)$, we have

$$\psi_{j+1}(t) \leq \bar{B}_\eta t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} + C_8 \int_{t(1-\eta)}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \tau^{-1+\frac{mN}{\alpha p}} \psi_j(\tau)d\tau. \tag{5.41}$$

Using Lemma 5.4 (the version of sequences), we can choose appropriate constants $\tilde{K}_1$ and $\tilde{K}_2$ such that

$$\psi_j(t) \leq \tilde{K}_1(\tilde{K}_2|\beta|)^{2|\beta|-\delta} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}}. \tag{5.42}$$

For $w_j(t)$ we have the similar estimate. Hence we complete the proof of Proposition 2. □

The proof of Theorem 5.1. Now Theorem 5.1 follows immediately from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2. We complete the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

6. A generalized fractional drift diffusion system

In this section, we consider a fractional drift diffusion system with generalized electric potential equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \Lambda^\alpha v = \nabla \cdot (v^m \nabla \phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \partial_t w + \Lambda^\alpha w = \nabla \cdot (w^m \nabla \phi), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \phi = \mathcal{K}(v - w)(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x, y)(v - w)(y) dy, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \ w(x, 0) = w_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where c is a constant and $b(x, y)$ is the kernel function of nonlocal linear integral operator $\mathcal{K}$.

For $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta)^{-1}$ which comes from the Poisson equation $\Delta \phi = v - w$, Eq (6.1) becomes the fractional drift diffusion system Eq (1.1). For instance,

$$\mathcal{K}(u)(x) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x - y) u(y) |x - y|^{-N} dy, \tag{6.2}$$

where c is a constant. If $c < 0$, the equation $u_t = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \mathcal{K}(u))$ models the Brownian diffusion of charge carriers interacting via Coulomb forces. If $c > 0$, the operator $\mathcal{K}$ reflects the mutual gravitational attraction of particles. Furthermore, Biler-Woyczynski [33] considered the equation $u_t = \Lambda^\alpha u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \mathcal{K}(u))$.

We also give the global existence and asymptotic stability of the mild solution to the Cauchy problem Eq (6.1).

Theorem 6.1. *Let N be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \le 2N$ and Eq (3.1) hold true. Assume that $(v_0, w_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If the derivative of kernel function $b(x, y)$ satisfies*

$$|Db(x, y)| \le C |x - y|^{-N+1}, \tag{6.3}$$

then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\|(v_0, w_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}} \le \varepsilon$, the Cauchy problem Eq (6.1) has a unique global mild solution $(v, w) \in X$ such that $\|(v, w)\|_X \le 2\varepsilon$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on initial data in the following sense: let $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) \in X$ be the solution of Eq (6.1) with initial data $(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)$ such that $\|(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le \varepsilon$, then there is a constant C such that

$$\|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_X \le C \|(v_0 - \tilde{v}_0, w_0 - \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. After a few modifications of the proof to Theorem 3.1, we can prove this theorem. Here we just give the main difference in the proof.

By the fractional heat semigroup $S_\alpha(t) = e^{-t\Lambda^\alpha}$, we rewrite the system Eq (6.1) as a system of integral equations

$$\begin{cases} v(t) = S_\alpha(t) v_0 + B(v, \cdots, v, w), \\ w(t) = S_\alpha(t) w_0 + B(w, \cdots, w, v), \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

where

$$B(\underbrace{v, \cdots, v}_{m}, w) = \int_0^t S_\alpha(t - \tau) \nabla \cdot [v^m \nabla \mathcal{K}(v - w)](\tau) d\tau. \tag{6.6}$$

Similar to Eqs (3.4)–(3.8), we have

$$\|B(v,\cdots,v,w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$= \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)\int_0^t S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla\cdot[v^m\nabla\mathcal{K}(v-w)](\tau)d\tau\|_{L^p}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|S_\alpha(s)S_\alpha(t-\tau)\nabla\cdot[v^m\nabla\mathcal{K}(v-w)](\tau)\|_{L^p}d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha)\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v^m\nabla\mathcal{K}(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{(m+1)N-p}}}d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha)\int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\|v(\tau)\|_{L^p}^m\|\nabla\mathcal{K}(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}}d\tau, \tag{6.7}$$

due to the condition Eq (6.3): $|Db(x,y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-N+1}$, use Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|x-y|^{-N+1}|v-w|dy$, we have

$$\|\nabla\mathcal{K}(v-w)\|_{L^{\frac{Np}{N-p}}} \leq C(N,p)\|v-w\|_{L^p}. \tag{6.8}$$

then

$$\|B(v,\cdots,v,w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\sup_{\tau>0}(\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|v(\tau)\|_{L^p})^m \sup_{\tau>0}(\tau^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|(v-w)(\tau)\|_{L^p})$$

$$\cdot \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1}d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}}\tau^{\frac{(m+1)N}{\alpha p}-\frac{1}{m}-1}d\tau$$

$$\leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X, \tag{6.9}$$

therefore, we have

$$\|B(v,\cdots,v,w)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X. \tag{6.10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}}\|B(v,\cdots,v,w)(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C(N,\alpha,p)\|v\|_X^m\|v-w\|_X. \tag{6.11}$$

Following the main estimates Eq (6.10) and Eq (6.11) and the proof of Theorem 3.1, the Cauchy problem Eq (6.1) has a unique global-in-time mild solution in the mixed time-space Besov space. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

Using the same method we can prove that the mild solution of the Cauchy problem Eq (6.1) has the following asymptotic stability.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \leq 2N$, Eq (3.1) and Eq (6.3) hold true. Assume that (v, w) and $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})$ are two mild solutions of the Cauchy problem Eq (6.1) described in Theorem 6.1 corresponding to initial conditions (v_0, w_0) and $(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0)$, respectively. If $(v_0, w_0), (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{w}_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|S_\alpha(t)(v_0 - \tilde{v}_0, w_0 - \tilde{w}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0, \tag{6.12}$$

then, we have the following asymptotic stability

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^{\frac{\alpha}{m}-\frac{N}{p}} \|(v - \tilde{v}, w - \tilde{w})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right) = 0. \tag{6.13}$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $1 < \alpha \leq 2N$, Eq (3.1) and Eq (6.3) hold true. Assume that $(v_0, w_0) \in \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and (v, w) is the mild solution to the system Eq (6.1) with initial data (v_0, w_0). Furthermore, assume that there exist two positive constants M_1 and M_2 such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < T} \|(v(t), w(t))\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\frac{\alpha}{m}+\frac{N}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_1, \tag{6.14}$$

$$\sup_{0 < t < T} t^{\frac{1}{m}-\frac{N}{\alpha p}} \|(v(t), w(t))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M_2. \tag{6.15}$$

Then, there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 depending only on M_1, M_2, N, α, m and p, such that

$$\|(\partial_x^\beta v(t), \partial_x^\beta w(t))\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq K_1 (K_2 |\beta|)^{2|\beta|} t^{-\frac{|\beta|}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m}+\frac{N}{\alpha q}} \tag{6.16}$$

for all $p \leq q \leq \infty$, $t \in (0, T)$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$.

Acknowledgments

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts in this paper.

References

1. N. Jacob, *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes. Vol. III: Markov Processes and Applications*, London: Imperial College Press, 2005.

2. C. Gu, Y. Tang, Chaotic characterization of one dimensional stochastic fractional heat equation, *Chaos Soliton Fract*, **145** (2021), 110780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110780

3. E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Initiation of slide mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **26** (1970), 399–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(70)90092-5

4. E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theor. Biol.*, **30** (1971), 225–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(71)90050-6

5. N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, N. Chouhad, From a multiscale derivation of nonlinear cross diffusion models to Keller Segel models in a Navier-Stokes fluid, *Math. Models. Methods. Appl. Sci.*, **26** (2016), 2041–2069. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218202516400078

6. J. Zhao, Q. Liu, On the Cauchy problem for the fractional drift diffusion system in critical Besov spaces, *Appl Anal*, **93** (2014), 1431–1450. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2013.833608

7. T. Ogawa, M. Yamamoto, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to drift diffusion system with generalized dissipation, *Math. Models. Methods. Appl. Sci.*, **19** (2009), 939–967. https://doi.org/10.1142/S021820250900367X

8. P. Biler, *Singularities of Solutions to Chemotaxis Systems*, Berlin: De Gruyter, 2020.

9. G. Wu, J. Yuan, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the fractional power dissipative equation in critical Besov spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **340** (2008), 1326–1335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.09.060

10. N. Ben Abdallah, F. Méhats, N. Vauchelet, A note on the long time behavior for the drift diffusion poisson system. *Comptes Rendus Math*, **339** (2004), 683–688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2004.09.025

11. P. Biler, J. Dolbeault, Long time behavior of solutions to Nernst-Planck and Debye-Huckel drift diffusion systems, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, **1** (2000), 461–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s000230050003

12. S. Selberherr, *Analysis and Simulation of Semiconductor Devices*, New York: Springer, 1984.

13. T. Ogawa, S. Shimizu, The drift diffusion system in two dimensional critical Hardy space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255** (2008), 1107–1138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2008.05.020

14. T. Ogawa, S. Shimizu, End-point maximal regularity and its application to two-dimensional KellerSegel system, *Math. Zeitschrift.*, **264** (2010), 601–628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-009-0481-3

15. G. Karch, Scaling in nonlinear parabolic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **234** (1999), 534–558. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1999.6370

16. C. Escudero, The fractional Keller-Segel model, *Nonlinearity*, **19** (2006), 2909–2918. https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/19/12/010

17. P. Biler, G. Karch, Blowup of solutions to generalized Keller-Segel model, *J. Evol. Equ.*, **10** (2010), 247–262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00028-009-0048-0

18. P. Biler, G. Wu, Two dimensional chemotaxis models with fractional diffusion, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **32** (2009), 112–126. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.1036

19. P. Biler, A. Boritchev, G. Karch, P. Laurencot, Concentration phenomena in a diffusive aggregation model, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **271** (2021), 1092–1108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.09.035

20. P. Biler, A. Boritchev, G. Karch, P. Laurencot, Sharp Sobolev estimates for concentration of solutions to an aggregation-diffusion equation, *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.*, (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10884-021-09998-w

21. G. Wu, X. Zheng, On the well posedness for Keller-Segel system with fractional diffusion, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **34** (2011), 1739–1750. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.1480

22. C. Miao, B. Yuan, B. Zhang, Well posedness of the Cauchy problem for the fractional power dissipative equations, *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl*, **68** (2008), 461–484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2006.11.011

23. PG. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2002.

24. W.P. Ziemer, *Weakly Differentiable Functions*, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1989.

25. T. Kato, Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with applications to weak solutions, *Math. Zeitschrift.*, **187** (1984), 471–480.

26. T. Kato, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in Morrey spaces, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat*, **22** (1992), 127–155. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01232939

27. C. Miao, B. Yuan, Solutions to some nonlinear parabolic equations in pseudomeasure spaces, *Math. Nachrichten.*, **280** (2010), 171–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.200410472

28. Y. Giga, O. Sawada, On regularizing-decay rate estimates for solutions to the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, *Nonlinear analysis and applications: to V. Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday*, Berlin: Springer, 2003.

29. H. Miura, O. Sawada, On the regularizing rate estimates of Koch-Tataru's solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, *Asymptot. Anal.*, **49** (2006), 1–15.

30. O. Sawada, On analyticity rate estimates of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel potential spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **312** (2005), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.06.068

31. C. Kahane, On the spatial analyticity of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **33** (1969), 386–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247697

32. L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *ANN SCUOLA NORM-SCI*, **13** (1959), 115–162.

33. P. Biler, W.A. Woyczynski, Global and exploding solutions for nonlocal quadratic evolution problems, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **59** (1998), 845–869. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036139996313447