

http://[www.aimspress.com](http://http://www.aimspress.com/journal/MBE)/journal/MBE

Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, 16(4): 1786–1797. [DOI: 10.3934](http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2019086)/mbe.2019086 Received: 22 October 2018 Accepted: 24 January 2019 Published: 06 March 2019

## *Research article*

# Local bifurcation of a Ronsenzwing-MacArthur predator prey model with two prey-taxis

## Xue Xu $^{1,\ast},$  Yibo Wang $^2$  and Yuwen Wang $^3$

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Harbin University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150001, P.R. China
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Telecommunication Satellite, China Academy of Space Technology, P.R. China
- <sup>3</sup> School of Mathematical and Science, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150025, P.R. China
- \* Correspondence: Email: xuxue06@163.com.

Abstract: The paper investigates the steady state bifurcation analysis in a general Ronsenzwing-MacArthur predator prey model with two prey-taxis under Neumann boundary conditions. The results show that the rich dynamics in predator prey systems with two prey taxis.

Keywords: predator-prey; taxis; steady state; bifurcation; Neumann boundary

## 1. Introduction

In addition to random diffusion of the predator and the prey, the model comprises also a prey-taxis term, which means that the spatial-temporal variations of the predator's velocity are directed by prey gradient. A chemotaxis model includes the responses of predators to the distribution of resources, that is, the foraging behavior of predators that move actively toward the higher prey density due to the prey defenses. The models with a prey-taxis term may undergo rich dynamics and generate different spatial patterns from other models without the prey-taxis.

The predator-prey systems with prey-taxis have been widely investigated from different point of view in recent years. The existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the two-species predator-prey model with one prey-taxis has been proved in [\[1\]](#page-10-0). The existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to an  $n \times m$  reaction-diffusion-taxis system has been extended in [\[3\]](#page-10-1). The global existence of classical solutions to a three-species predator-prey model with two prey-taxis including Holling II functional response has been investigate in [\[4\]](#page-10-2). Since the pattern formation of the attraction-repulsion Keller-Segel system has been studied in [\[7\]](#page-10-3), many progress on extended models has been developed in [\[8,](#page-10-4)[9,](#page-10-5)[13,](#page-11-0) [17\]](#page-11-1). The global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to a predator-prey model with nonlinear prey-taxis has been shown in [\[6,](#page-10-6) [12,](#page-11-2) [18\]](#page-11-3). Some results about the global bifurcation of solutions for a predator-prey model with one prey-taxis is obtained in [\[16\]](#page-11-4).

In this paper, we consider the following general Ronsenzwing-MacArthur model with two preytaxes under Neumann boundary conditions:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - \nabla(\alpha u \nabla v) - \nabla(\beta u \nabla w) + u[-c + \Phi(v) + \Psi(w)], & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + f(v) - u\Phi(v), & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \Delta w + g(w) - u\Psi(w), & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\
(u(0, x), v(0, x), w(0, x)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x), w_0(x)) \ge (0, 0, 0), & \text{in } \Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.1)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary; *u* represent the density of the predator, and *v*, *w* express the densities of two preys; *c* is the death rate of the predator;  $\Phi(v) > 0$ ,  $\Psi(w) > 0$  represent the functional response of the prey;  $f(v)$ ,  $g(w)$  are the growth function of the prey respectively, which satisfy

(1) 
$$
f(0) = f(k_1) = 0, g(0) = g(k_2) = 0.
$$
  
\n(2) 
$$
\begin{cases} f(v) > 0, & 0 < v < k_1, \\ f(v) < 0, & v > k_1, \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} g(w) > 0, & 0 < w < k_2, \\ g(w) < 0, & w > k_2. \end{cases}
$$

The terms  $\alpha u \nabla v$  and  $\beta u \nabla w$  are directed toward the increasing population density of *v* and *w*, respectively. In this way, the predators move in the direction of higher concentration of the prey species, where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  indicate their prey-tactic sensitivity.

Finally, we assume that all of the functions  $f, g, \Phi, \Psi$  are of  $C^1$  class functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . It follows<br>m a standard approach (eq. of [2, 11, 12, 14, 16]) that the system (1, 1), under these conditions, is from a standard approach (eg., cf.  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$  $[2, 11, 12, 14, 16]$ ) that the system  $(1.1)$ , under these conditions, is well-posed for non-negative initial data  $0 \le (u_0, v_0, w_0) \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)^3$  with  $p > N$ .<br>The steedy state solutions of the system (1,1) setiefy.

The steady state solutions of the system  $(1.1)$  satisfy

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta u - \nabla(\alpha u \nabla v) - \nabla(\beta u \nabla w) + u[-c + \Phi(v) + \Psi(w)] = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta v + f(v) - u \Phi(v) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta w + g(w) - u \Psi(w) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, & \text{on } \Omega, \\
(u(0, x), v(0, x), w(0, x)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x), w_0(x)) \ge (0, 0, 0), & \text{in } \Omega.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.2)

Using condition (2) and the positivity of both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , we find that a constant vector  $(u, v, w)$  with  $u \ge 0$  and  $v > 0$ ,  $w > 0$  is a solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) iff

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{f(v)}{\Phi(v)} = u = \frac{g(w)}{\Psi(w)}, & v \le k_1, w \le k_2, \\
u[\Phi(v) + \Psi(w) - c] = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.3)

Particularly, we see that  $(u, v, w) := (0, k_1, k_2)$  is a constant non-negative steady state solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) (for any *<sup>c</sup>*). Moreover, by suitably choosing the pairs {*f*, <sup>Φ</sup>} and {*g*, <sup>Ψ</sup>} we can make that the first two equations in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) admit strictly positive solutions  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  satisfying  $u^* > 0$ ,  $0 < v^* < k_1$ ,  $0 < w^*$ <br>*k*. Finally, by choosing  $c = \Phi(v^*) + \Psi(w^*)$  we find that the second equation (1.2) is satisfied *k*<sub>2</sub>. Finally, by choosing  $c = \Phi(v^*) + \Psi(w^*)$  we find that the second equation [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) is satisfied.<br> **Relay we let**  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  **be a constant positive steady state solution of (1.2). Take the** *x* 

Below we let  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  be a constant positive steady state solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). Take the prey taxis  $\mathbf{f}$ <br>of the press the main parameter, we analyze the solutions bifurcating from  $(u^*, w^*, w^*)$  for the system coefficient  $\alpha$  as the main parameter, we analyze the solutions bifurcating from  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  for the system  $(1, 2)$ . The case of taking the prev taxis coefficient  $\beta$  as the parameter is similar. Our main bifurcation [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). The case of taking the prey taxis coefficient  $\beta$  as the parameter is similar. Our main bifurcation result will be given in the next §2.

We would like to point out that there are other forms of the Ronsenzwing-MacArthur model which include the conversion rate. It remains interesting to extend our method for such models.

#### 2. Main Results

Let N be the set of all positive integers, and  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $p > N$ , and set  $X := \{u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ : ∂*u*  $= 0$ , *Y* = *L<sup>p</sup>*(Ω).

∂n In the sequel, we let  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  be a fixed constant positive steady state solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) such that  $> 0.0 \le v^* \le k$ ,  $0 \le w^* \le k$ .  $u^* > 0, 0 < v^* < k_1, 0 < w^* < k_2...$ 

### *2.1. Prelimilary*

By linearizing [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) around  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$ , we have an eigenvalue problem as follows:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta \phi - \alpha u^* \Delta \psi - \beta u^* \Delta \varphi + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \phi + u^* \Psi'(w) \varphi = \mu \phi, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta \psi + [f'(v^*) - u^* \Phi'(v^*)] \psi - \Phi(v^*) \phi = \mu \psi, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta \varphi + [g'(w^*) - u^* \Psi'(w)] \varphi - \Psi(w^*) \phi = \mu \varphi, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.1)

The following result says that the eigenvalue problem [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) can be reduced into a sequence of matrix eigenvalue problems.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Lemma 2.1. *Let* {λ*<sup>n</sup>*} *be the sequence of eigenvalues of* <sup>−</sup><sup>∆</sup> *with Neumann boundary conditions satisfying*  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$  *For each n, let y<sub>n</sub>(x) be the corresponding eigenfunction for*  $\lambda_n$ *. Define*

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
A_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_n & \alpha u^* \lambda_n + u^* \Phi'(v^*) & \beta u^* \lambda_n + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \\ -\Phi(v^*) & -\lambda_n + f'(v^*) - u^* \Phi'(v^*) & 0 \\ -\Psi(w^*) & 0 & -\lambda_n + g'(w^*) - u^* \Psi'(w^*) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.2)

*Then*

- *1.* Let  $\mu$  be a complex number. Then  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) iff there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that µ *is an eigenvalue of A<sup>n</sup>*.
- 2.  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  *is locally asymptotically stable with respect to* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) *iff for every n*  $\in \mathbb{N}$ *, all eigenvalues*<br>of A, have negative real part *of A<sup>n</sup> have negative real part.*
- 3.  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  *is unstable with respect to* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) *iff there exists an n* ∈ N*, such that A<sub>n</sub> has at least one*<br>*eigenvalue with nonpegative real part eigenvalue with nonnegative real part.*

*Proof.* The proof of the first assertion can be done by direct computations. Here we omit the details. The rest two assertions follow from the principle of the linearized stability [\[5,](#page-10-9) [10\]](#page-10-10).  $\square$ 

To continue, we use a direct calculation to find that the characteristic polynomial for each  $A_n$  has the form

$$
P(\mu) = \mu^3 + a_2(\alpha, \lambda_n)\mu^2 + a_1(\alpha, \lambda_n)\mu + a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n),
$$
 (2.3)

where

$$
a_2(\alpha, \lambda_n) := 3\lambda_n + A + B,
$$
  
\n
$$
a_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) := 3\lambda_n^2 + [2A + 2B + \alpha u^* \Phi(v^*) + \beta u^* \Psi(w^*)] \lambda_n + [AB + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \Phi(v^*) + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*)]
$$
  
\n
$$
a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n) := \lambda_n^3 + [A + B + \alpha u^* \Phi(v^*) + \beta u^* \Psi(w^*)] \lambda_n^2 + [AB + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \Phi(v^*) + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \beta A u^* \Psi(w^*) + \alpha B u^* \Phi(v^*)] \lambda_n + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*) A + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \Phi(v^*) B,
$$

where

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
A := u^* \Phi'(v^*) - f'(v^*), \quad B := u^* \Psi'(w^*) - g'(w^*).
$$
 (2.4)

We impose the following conditions for the given constant equilibrium  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$ :

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
A > 0, B > 0, \Phi'(v^*) > 0, \Psi'(w^*) > 0.
$$
\n
$$
(2.5)
$$

Under [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) we see that  $a_2(\alpha, \lambda_n) > 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, as a result of applying the *Routh* − *Hurwitz* criterion (see [\[8\]](#page-10-4)), we have:

Corollary 2.2. *The following assertions are true.*

- *1.*  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  *is locally asymptotically stable for* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) *iff for each n*  $\in \mathbb{N}$  *there holds that*<br>(S1)  $g_*(\alpha, \lambda) > 0$  and  $g_*(\alpha, \lambda)g_*(\alpha, \lambda) = g_*(\alpha, \lambda) > 0$ (*S*1)  $a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n) > 0$ , and  $a_2(\alpha, \lambda_n)a_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) - a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n) > 0$ .
- 2.  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  *is unstable for* [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) *iff there exists an*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *such that*<br>(S2)  $a_2(\alpha, \lambda) \leq 0$  or  $a_2(\alpha, \lambda) a_3(\alpha, \lambda) \leq a_4(\alpha, \lambda)$  $(S2)$   $a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n) \leq 0$ , *or*  $a_2(\alpha, \lambda_n)a_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) \leq a_0(\alpha, \lambda_n)$ .

We now investigate the boundary between the stability and instability regimes

$$
a_0(\alpha,\lambda_n)=0, \text{ and } T(\alpha,\lambda_n):=a_2(\alpha,\lambda_n)a_1(\alpha,\lambda_n)-a_0(\alpha,\lambda_n)=0.
$$

Let

$$
S := \{(\alpha, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : a_0(\alpha, p) = 0\}
$$

be the steady state bifurcation curve, and

$$
H := \{(\alpha, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : T(\alpha, p) = 0\}
$$

be the *Hop f* bifurcation curve (see [\[14\]](#page-11-5)). We study the steady state bifurcation form the given constant equilibrium  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$ .

Since  $a_0(\alpha, p)$  is linear for  $\alpha$ , we solve  $\alpha$  from the equation  $a_0(\alpha, p) = 0$  and obtain that  $\alpha = \alpha_S(p)$ is given by

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
-u^*\Phi(v^*)\alpha_S(p) = \frac{1}{p(p+B)}[p^3 + (A+B+\beta u^* \Psi(w^*))p^2 + (AB+u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)
$$
  
+  $u^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*) + \beta Au^*\Psi(w^*))p + u^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)A + u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)B]$   
=  $p + A + \frac{u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p} + \frac{\beta(p+A)u^*\Psi(w^*)}{p+B}$   
+  $\frac{u^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p+B} + \frac{Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p(p+B)}.$  (2.6)

Correspondingly, we let  $\alpha_H(p)$  be the solution of  $T(\alpha, p) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_H(p)$  is the graph of function about *H*.

It is observed that the function  $\alpha_S(p)$  has the following properties:

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0). Let  $\alpha_S(p)$  be defined by [\(2.6\)](#page-4-0). If  $p^* > 0$  is a critical point of  $\alpha_S(p)$ , then  $p^*$ *is a local maximum point. Moreover,*  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \alpha_S(p) = -\infty$ .

*Proof.* Differentiating [\(2.6\)](#page-4-0), we obtain

$$
-u^*\Phi(v^*)\alpha_S'(p) = 1 - \frac{u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p^2} + \frac{\beta u^*\Psi(w^*)(B-A)}{(p+B)^2}
$$

$$
- \frac{u^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{(p+B)^2} - \frac{Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p(p+B)^2} - \frac{Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p^2(p+B)}
$$

Assume  $p > 0$  to be a critical point of  $\alpha_S$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_S'$  $S_{\rm S}(p) = 0$ . Then we have that

$$
\frac{\beta u^* \Psi(w^*)(A-B)}{(p+B)^2} + \frac{u^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*)}{(p+B)^2} = 1 - \frac{u^* \Phi'(v^*) \Phi(v^*)}{p^2} - \frac{Au^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*)}{p(p+B)^2} - \frac{Au^* \Psi'(w^*) \Psi(w^*)}{p^2(p+B)}
$$

and

$$
-u^*\Phi(v^*)\alpha_S''(p) = \frac{2u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p^3} + \frac{2\beta u^*\Psi(w^*)(A-B)}{(p+B)^3} + \frac{2u^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{(p+B)^3} + \frac{2Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p^2(p+B)^2} + \frac{2Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p(p+B)^3} + \frac{2Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p^3(p+B)} = \frac{2u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p^3} + \frac{2}{p+B}\left(1 - \frac{u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p^2}\right) + \frac{2Au^*\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*)}{p^3(p+B)} = \frac{1}{(p+B)}\left[\frac{u^*\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*)}{p^2} + 2 + \frac{2u^*(A\Psi'(w^*)\Psi(w^*) + B\Phi'(v^*)\Phi(v^*))}{p^3}\right].
$$

We find by [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) that all trems in the above bracket are positive, and  $u^*\Phi(v^*) > 0$ . This implies that  $\alpha_2''(n) < 0$  and thus the critical point  $n > 0$  is a local maximum point  $\alpha_S''(p) < 0$  and thus the critical point  $p > 0$  is a local maximum point.<br>Clearly we see from (2.5) that  $\lim_{x \to 0} \alpha_s(n) = -\infty$  since  $y^* > 0$  and 6

Clearly, we see from (2.5) that  $\lim_{p \to \infty} \alpha_S(p) = -\infty$ , since *u*<sup>∗</sup> > 0 and  $\Phi(v^*)$  > 0. □

#### *2.2. Steady State Bifurcation*

In this section, we inverstigate the global steady state bifurcation from  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  near  $\alpha = \alpha_S$ .<br>cording to Lemma 2.1, we have the following result. According to Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-1) we have the following result.

Proposition 2.4. *Let* {λ*<sup>n</sup>*} *be the sequence of eigenvalues of* <sup>−</sup><sup>∆</sup> *with Neumann boundary conditions, such that*  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$ , *let*  $y_n(x)$  *be the eigenfunction corresponding to*  $\lambda_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $\alpha_s(p)$ *be defined by* [\(2.6\)](#page-4-0)*. For*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *we define* 

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\alpha_n^S = \alpha_S(\lambda_n). \tag{2.7}
$$

*Then the eigenvalue problem* [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) *has an eigenvalue*  $\mu = 0$  *if and only if*  $\alpha = \alpha_n^S$  *for some*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *, and*<br>*the corresponding eigenfunction is*  $V$ *y where*  $V$  *satisfies*  $\Delta V = 0$  with  $\Delta$  defined as i *the corresponding eigenfunction is*  $V_n y_n$ *, where*  $V_n$  *satisfies*  $A_n V_n = 0$  *with*  $A_n$  *defined as in* [\(2.2\)](#page-2-2)*.* 

We recall the following global bifurcation theorem (see [\[8,](#page-10-4) [11\]](#page-10-8)):

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Lemma 2.5.** Let V be an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times X$  and  $(\lambda_0, u_0) \in V$ , and let F be a continuously *di*ff*erentiable mapping from V into Y. Suppose that*

*(1)*  $F(\lambda, u_0) = 0$  *for*  $(\lambda, u_0) \in V$ ,

*(2) the partial derivative*  $D_{\lambda\mu}F(\lambda, u)$  *exists and is continuous in*  $(\lambda, u)$  *near*  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$ *,* 

(3)  $D_u F(\lambda_0, u_0)$  *is a Fredholm operator with index* 0*, and* dim  $\mathcal{N}(D_u F(\lambda_0, u_0)) = 1$ ,

*(4)*  $D_{\lambda}(D_{\mu}F(\lambda_0, u_0))[w_0] \notin \mathcal{R}(D_{\mu}F(\lambda_0, u_0))$ *, where*  $w_0 \in X$  *spans*  $\mathcal{N}(D_{\mu}F(\lambda_0, u_0))$ *.* 

*Let Z be any complement of span*{ $w_0$ } *in X. Then there exists an open interval*  $I_1 = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  *and continuous functions*  $\lambda : I_1 \to R$ ,  $\psi : I_1 \to Z$ , such that  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ ,  $\psi(0) = 0$ , and, if  $u(s) =$  $u_0 + sw_0 + s\psi(s)$  *for*  $s \in I_1$ *, then*  $F(\lambda(s), u(s)) = 0$ *. Moreover,*  $F^{-1}(\{0\})$  *near* ( $\lambda_0, u_0$ ) *consists precisely*<br>of the curse  $u = u_0$  and  $\Gamma = \{(\lambda(s), u(s)) : s \in I_1\}$  *If in addition*  $D$   $F(\lambda, u)$  is a Fredholm operator *of the cures u* =  $u_0$  *and*  $\Gamma$  = { $(\lambda(s), u(s))$  :  $s \in I_1$ }*. If in addition,*  $D_u F(\lambda, u)$  *is a Fredholm operator for all*  $(\lambda, u) \in V$ , then the curve  $\Gamma$  *is contained in C*, which *is a connected component of*  $\overline{S}$  where  $S = \{(\lambda, u) \in V : F(\lambda, u) = 0, u \neq u_0\}$ ; and either C is not compact in V, or C contains a point  $(\lambda_*, u_0)$ *with*  $\lambda_* \neq \lambda_0$ .

We obtain the result for the global bifurcation of steady state solutions in predator prey taxis system [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) as follows.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem 2.6.** Assume [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) *as well as*  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $\alpha_n^S$  be defined as [\(2.7\)](#page-5-0). Moreover, we assume that the following conditions (4.1) (4.2) hold true: *following conditions (A1)-(A2) hold true:*

(*A*1) *For some j* ∈ N,  $\lambda_j$  *is a simple eigenvalue of* −∆ *in* Ω *with Neumann boundary conditions, and* corresponding eigenfunction is  $y(x)$ *the corresponding eigenfunction is*  $y_j(x)$ *.* 

 $(A2)$  *For any n*  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $H(\alpha_j^S)$ <br>*Span there hold following as*  $\int_{i}^{S}$ ,  $\lambda_{n}$ )  $\neq$  0*, and if n*  $\neq$  *j, then*  $\alpha_{j}^{S}$   $\neq \alpha_{n}^{S}$ . *Then there hold following assertions:*

*1. The system* [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) *has a unique one-parameter family*  $\Gamma_j = \{(\hat{U}_j(s), \hat{\alpha}_j(s)) : -\varepsilon < s < \varepsilon\}$  *of* pontrivial solutions near  $(u, v, w, \alpha) = (u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha^s)$ . More precisely there exists  $s > 0$  and  $C^{\infty}$ *nontrivial solutions near*  $(u, v, w, \alpha) = (u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$ *. More precisely, there exists*  $\varepsilon > 0$  *and*  $C^{\infty}$ <br>*function*  $\alpha$   $(\hat{U}(\alpha), \hat{\alpha}(\alpha))$  *function*  $\alpha$   $(\alpha, \alpha)$  *j*  $\alpha$   $(\alpha, \alpha)$  *j*  $\alpha$  *j*  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  *j*  $(\alpha,$  $function \ s \mapsto (\hat{U}_j(s), \hat{\alpha}_j(s)) \ from \ s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ to \ X^3 \times \mathbb{R} \ satisfying$ 

$$
(\hat{U}_j(0), \hat{\alpha}_j(0)) = ((u^*, v^*, w^*), \alpha_j^S),
$$

*and*

$$
\hat{U}_j(s) = (u^*, v^*, w^*) + sy_j(x) \left( \lambda_j + u^* \Phi'(v^*) - f'(v^*), \Phi(v^*), \frac{\Psi(w^*)(\lambda_j + u^* \Phi'(v^*) - f'(v^*))}{\lambda_j + u^* \Psi'(w^*) - g'(w^*)} \right) \n+ s(h_{1,j}(s), h_{2,j}(s), h_{3,j}(s)),
$$

*such that*  $h_{1,j}(0) = h_{2,j}(0) = h_{3,j}(0) = 0$ ;

2. The set  $\Gamma_j$  is a subset of a connected component  $C_j$  of  $\bar{S}$ , where

 $S = \{(u, v, w, \alpha) \in X^3 \times \mathbb{R} : (u, v, w, \alpha)$  *is a nontrivial positive equilibrium of* [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1)},

and either  $C_j$  contains another point  $(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_k^S)$  with  $\alpha_k^S$  $\alpha_j^S \neq \alpha_j^S$  $\int_j^S$  or  $C_j$  is unbounded.

*Proof.* We define a mapping  $F: X^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to Y_0 \times Y^2$  by

$$
F(u, v, w, \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u - \nabla(\alpha u \nabla v) - \nabla(\beta u \nabla w) + u(-c + \Phi(v^*) + \Psi(w^*)) \\ \Delta v + f(v) - u \Phi(v^*) \\ \Delta w + g(w) - u \Psi(w^*) \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We have that  $F(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S) = 0$ , and *F* is continuously differentiable. We will prove our result by applying Lemma 2.5 to *F* applying Lemma [2.5](#page-5-1) to *<sup>F</sup>*.

To this end, we must check that *F* satisfies all requirements of Lemma [2.5.](#page-5-1) It will be completed in several steps.

(1) For each  $U = (u, v, w)$  the derivative  $F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  is a *Fredholm* operator with index zero  $F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  is one dimensional (see [\[15\]](#page-11-6)), and the kernel space  $N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S))$  is one-dimensional.<br>It left to show  $N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) \neq 0$ . We note that

It left to show  $N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) \neq \{0\}$ . We note that

$$
F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)[\phi, \psi, \varphi] = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \phi - \nabla(\alpha_j^S u^* \nabla \psi) - \nabla(\beta u^* \nabla \varphi) + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \psi + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \varphi \\ \Delta \psi - A \psi - \Phi(v^*) \phi \\ \Delta \varphi - B \varphi - \Psi(w^*) \phi \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Here *A*, *B* are given by [\(2.4\)](#page-3-1). Let  $(\phi, \psi, \varphi)(\neq 0) \in F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$ , then from Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that 0 is an eigenvalue of *A*, and the corresponding eigenvactor is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A_j$ , and the corresponding eigenvector is

$$
(a_j^*, b_j^*, c_j^*)y_j = \left(\lambda_j + A, \alpha u^* \lambda_j + u^* \Phi(v^*), \frac{(\alpha u^* \lambda_j + u^* \Phi(v^*))(\lambda_j + A)}{\lambda_j + B}\right) y_j,
$$

According to condition (*A*1), the eigenvector is unique up to a constant multiple. Hence we have

$$
N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) = span\{(a_j^*, b_j^*, c_j^*)y_j\}
$$

that is dim( $N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S))) = 1.$ <br>(2)  $F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$   $[(\alpha^*, b^*, \alpha^*)$ 

 $(2) F_{\alpha U}(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S) [ (a_j^* + b_j^S)$ *j* , *b* ∗ *j* , *c* ∗  $\forall j$   $\} \notin R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^s)).$ Define

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) = \{(h_1, h_2, h_3, r) \in Y_0 \times Y^2 \times \mathbb{R} : \int_{\Omega} (\bar{a}_j h_1 + \bar{b}_j h_2 + \bar{c}_j h_3) y_j dx = 0\},\tag{2.8}
$$

where  $(\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j)$  is a non-zero eigenvector fo the eigenvalue  $\mu = 0$  of  $A_n^T$ ,  $A_n^T$  the transpose of  $A_n$ <br>and  $(\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) := (a_j^* \bar{b}_j^* \bar{c}_j^*)$ . Furthermore, if  $(h_j, h_j, r) \in R(F_{ij}(u_j^*, v_j^*, u_j^*, \bar{c$ and  $(\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) := (a_j^*)$ *j* , *b* ∗ *j* , *c* ∗ <sup>\*</sup><sub>*j*</sub> $y_j$ . Furthermore, if  $(h_1, h_2, h_3, r) \in R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S))$ , then there exists  $(\phi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_1) \in X^3$ , such that

$$
F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)[\phi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_1] = (h_1, h_2, h_3, r).
$$

Define

$$
L[\phi, \psi, \varphi] = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \phi - \alpha_j^S u^* \Delta \psi - \beta u^* \Delta \varphi + u^* \Phi'(v^*) \psi + u^* \Psi'(w^*) \varphi \\ \Delta \psi - A \psi - \Phi(v^*) \phi \\ \Delta \varphi - B \varphi - \Psi(w^*) \phi \end{pmatrix}
$$

and its adjoint operator

$$
L^*[\phi, \psi, \varphi] = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \phi - \Phi(v^*)\psi - \Psi(w^*)\varphi \\ \Delta \psi - \alpha_j^s u^* \Delta \phi + u^* \Phi'(v^*)\phi - A\psi \\ \Delta \varphi - \beta u^* \Delta \phi + u^* \Psi'(w^*)\phi - B\varphi \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then

$$
\langle (h_1, h_2, h_3), (\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) y_j \rangle = \langle L[(\phi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_1)], (\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) y_j \rangle = \langle (\phi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_1), L^*[(\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) y_j] \rangle = \langle (\phi_1, \psi_1, \varphi_1), A_n^*[(\bar{a}_j, \bar{b}_j, \bar{c}_j) y_j] \rangle,
$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $[L^2(\Omega)]^3$ . If

$$
(h_1, h_2, h_3, r) \in R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)),
$$

then

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega} (\bar{a}_j h_1 + \bar{b}_j h_2 + \bar{c}_j h_3) y_j dx = 0.
$$
\n(2.9)

Since [\(2.9\)](#page-7-0) defines a codimension-1 set in  $Y_0 \times Y^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , we get that

$$
\text{codim}R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) = \text{dim}N(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)) = 1.
$$

Therefore,  $R(F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S))$  is given by [\(2.8\)](#page-6-0).<br>Note that

Note that

$$
F_{\alpha U}(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)[(a_j^*, b_j^*, c_j^*)y_j] = (-u^*b_j^* \Delta y_j, 0, 0, 0) = (u^* \Phi(v^*) \lambda_j y_j, 0, 0, 0).
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{\Omega} (\bar{a}_j h_1 + \bar{b}_j h_2 + \bar{c}_j h_3) y_j dx = \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_j + A) u^* \Phi(v^*) \lambda_j y_j dx > 0.
$$

Here we have used the condition *A* > 0 from [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0). Thus  $F_{\alpha}(u^*, u^*, u^*, \alpha^S)[(a^*, b^*, c^*))$  $F_{\alpha U}(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)[(a_j^*)]$  $j^*$ ,  $b^*$ <sub>∂</sub>  $j^*$ ,  $c^*$ <sub>*j*</sub>  $\sharp$  *y*<sub>*j*</sub>)  $\notin$  *R*(*F<sub>U</sub>*(*u*<sup>\*</sup>, *v*<sup>\*</sup>, *w*<sup>\*</sup>,  $\alpha_j^S$ )).

Finally, we can apply the argument in Lemma 2.3 of [\[15\]](#page-11-6) to obtain that the operator  $F_U(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  is a *Fredholm* operator with index zero for any  $(u, v, w, \lambda) \in X^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, all conditions in Lemma 2.5 are satisfied, and we get that solutions hifurcating from  $(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  are on conditions in Lemma [2.5](#page-5-1) are satisfied, and we get that solutions bifurcating from  $(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  are on<br>a connected component C, of the set of pontrivial solutions of (1.2). We see that all solutions on C. a connected component  $C_j$  of the set of nontrivial solutions of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1). We see that all solutions on  $C_j$ are positive. This is apparently true for solutions near the bifurcation point  $(u^*, v^*, w^*, \alpha_j^S)$  by  $u^* > 0$ ,  $w^* > 0$ ,  $w^* > 0$ . From the equation of *y* and *w* in (1.2), we know that if *u* is popperative then  $u^* > 0$ ,  $v^* > 0$ ,  $w^* > 0$ . From the equation of *v* and *w* in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), we know that if *u* is nonnegative then<br>there exists  $(u, v, w, \lambda) \in C$ , such that  $v(x) > 0$ ,  $w(x) > 0$  in  $\overline{O}$  and when  $x \in \overline{O}$  we have  $u(x) = 0$ . there exists  $(u, v, w, \lambda) \in C_j$ , such that  $v(x) > 0$ ,  $w(x) > 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , and when  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  we have  $u(x) = 0$ . But from the equation of  $u$  in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1), which is linear about  $u$ , we see that this is a contradiction by the strong maximum principle. Thus all solution are positive on  $C_j$ . .

#### *2.3. Numerical Simulations*

Some numerical simulations of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) are shown in this section, here the functional response  $\Phi(v)$  =  $\overline{m_1}v$  $a_1 + v$  $, Ψ(w) = \frac{m_2v}{\sigma_1}$  $a_2 + v$ are taken as Holling type II, and  $f(v) = v(k_1 - v)$  and  $g(w) = w(k_2 - w)$  are taken as Logistic growth.

For simplicity, we take  $a_1 = a_2 = a$ ,  $k_1 = k_2 = k$  and  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ . Then the Eqn. [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2) for a solution  $(u, v, w)$  with  $u > 0$  becomes

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n(v-k)(v+a)/m = u > 0, & w = v \in (0,k), \\
v/(v+a) = c/(2m).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.10)

Thus, we assume that

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
0 < \tilde{\nu} := \frac{ac}{2m - c} < k. \tag{2.11}
$$

Then, under condition [\(2.11\)](#page-8-0), we obtain solution of [\(2.10\)](#page-8-1) and thus constant steady state  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  of  $(1, 2)$  as follows: [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) as follows:

$$
v^* = w^* = \tilde{v}, \quad u^* = (\tilde{v} - k)(\tilde{v} + a) > 0.
$$

In the following, we fix

 $c = 0.5$ ,  $a = 0.56$ ,  $k = 2$ .

Then *m* satisfies condition [\(2.11\)](#page-8-0) iff

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
m > 0.32. \tag{2.12}
$$

Moreover, we take  $\Omega = (0, 30\pi)$  (one-dimensional space).

1. We take  $m_1 = 0.35, m_2 = 0.35$  so that  $m := 0.35$  satisfies condition [\(2.12\)](#page-8-2). Then the constant steady state  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  is locally asymptotically stable for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , see Figure [1,](#page-8-3) where the initial value is  $(u_0, v_0, w_0) = (3, 3+0.01 \sin(10x)) \cdot 1.4+0.02 \sin(x) \cdot 1.4+0.02 \sin(x)$ . From Theorem initial value is  $(u_0, v_0, w_0) = (3.3 + 0.01 \sin(10x); 1.4 + 0.02 \sin(x); 1.4 + 0.02 \sin(x))$ . From Theorem [2.6,](#page-5-2) the constant steady state  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  becomes unstable where a steady state bifurcates from it for  $\alpha = 100$ ,  $\beta = 1$ , see Figure 2. for  $\alpha = 100, \beta = 1$ , see Figure [2.](#page-9-0)

<span id="page-8-3"></span>

Figure 1. some non-negative solutions of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) converge to the positive constant steady states  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$ .

2. We further find that the taxis coefficient  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  play a stability role for [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0). In fact, we take  $m_1 = 0.43, m_2 = 0.43$  so that  $m := 0.43$  satisfies condition [\(2.12\)](#page-8-2). Then there is a periodic solution bifurcating from the positive constant steady state  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , see Figure [3,](#page-9-1) where

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 2. a non-negative solutions of  $(1.1)$  converge to some non-constant steady state.

the initial value is  $(u_0, v_0, w_0) = (3.9, 0.75, 0.75)$ . However, the positive large taxis coefficients  $\alpha = 1 \times 10^5$  and  $\beta = 1 \times 10^4$  hold back the oscillation and make  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  more stable, see Figure *A* where the initial value is  $(u_0, v_0, w_0) = (2, 1, 1)$ [4,](#page-9-2) where the initial value is  $(u_0, v_0, w_0) = (2, 1, 1)$ .

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Figure 3.** the periodic solutions bifurcating from  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$  of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0).

<span id="page-9-2"></span>

**Figure 4.** large taxis coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  result in the convergence to  $(u^*, v^*, w^*)$ .

We might expect such an ecosystem  $(1.1)$  to exhibit a rich dynamical interplay among the three species. In this paper, we show that this is indeed the case, see Figure [1–](#page-8-3)Figure [4.](#page-9-2)

## 3. Conclusion

We investigate the steady state bifurcation analysis in a general Ronsenzwing-MacArthur predator prey model with two prey-taxis under Neumann boundary conditions. Comparing with the dynamic analysis of reaction diffusion predator prey systems without taxis, the bifurcation analysis become more complicated and the bifurcation results in this paper cover most of reaction diffusion taxis predator prey systems. The results show that the rich dynamics in predator prey systems with two prey taxis and we will study other properties introduced by two taxis term in the future.

## Acknowledgements

We deeply thank three anonymous reviewers for their kind helps and valuable comments. We thank also Prof. Sen-Zhong Huang for valuable discussions. The work partially is supported by grants from NSFC(No. 11601105 and No. 11471091), NSFH(No. A2017007), GBD1317047, and HUDF2019101.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. B. Ainseba, M. Bendahmane and A. Noussair, A reaction-diffusion system modeling predator-prey with prey-taxis, *Nonl. Anal.: Real World Applications*, 9 (2008) 5, 2086–2105.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>2. H. Amann, Dynamic theory of quasilinear parabolic equations II, Reaction-diffusion systems, *Di*ff*. Int. Eqns.*, 3 (1990), 13–75.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>3. M. Bendahmane, Analysis of a reaction-diffusion system modeling predator-prey with prey-taxis, *Network and Heterogeneous Media*, 3 (2008), 863–879.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>4. L. L. Chen and G. H. Zhang, Global existence of classical solutions to a three-species predatorprey model with two prey-taxis, *J. Appl. Math.*, 10(2012), 1155–1167.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>5. A. K. Drangeid, The principle of linearized stability for quasilinear parabolic evolution equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 13 (1989), 1091–1113.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>6. H. Y. Jin and Z. A. Wang. Global stability of prey-taxis systems, *J. Di*ff*. Eqns.*, 3(2017), 1257– 1290.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>7. E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel. Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 26(3) (1970) 399–415.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>8. P. Liu, J. P. Shi and Z. A. Wang, Pattern formation of attraction-repulsion Keller-Segel system, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* Ser. B, 18 (2013), 2597–2625.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>9. D. M. Liu and Y. S. Tao, Global boundedness in a fully parabolic attraction-repulsion chemotaxis model, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 38 (2015), 2537–2546.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>10. G. Simonett, Center manifolds for quasilinear reaction-diffusion systems, *Di*ff*. Integr. Eqns.*, 8 (1995), 753–796.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>11. J. P. Shi and X. F. Wang, On global bifurcation for quasilinear elliptic systems on bounded domains, *J. Di*ff*. Eqns.*, 246 (2009), 2788–2812.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>12. Y. Tao, Global existence of classical solutions to a predator-prey model with nonlinear prey-taxis, *Nonl. Anal.: Real World Applications*, 11 (2010), 2056–2064.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>13. Y. S. Tao. Global dynamics in a higher-dimensional repulsion chemotaxis model with nonlinear sensitivity, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* Ser. B, 18 (2013), 2705–2722.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>14. J. F. Wang, J. P. Shi and J. J. Wei, Dynamics and pattern formation in a diffusion predator-prey system with strong Allee effect in prey, *J. Di*ff*. Eqns.*, 251 (2011), 1276–1304.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>15. X. F. Wang and Q. Xu, Spiky and transition layer steady states of chemotaxis systems via global bifurcation and Helly's compactness, *J. Math. Biol.*, 66 (2013), 1241–1266.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>16. X. L. Wang, W. D. Wang and G. H. Zhang, Global bifurcation of solutions for a predator-prey model with prey-taxis, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 3 (2014), 431–443.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>17. M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model, *J. Di*ff*. Eqns.*, 248 (2010) (12), 2889–2905.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>18. S. N. Wu, J. P. Shi, and B. Y. Wu. Global existence of solutions and uniform persistence of a diffusive predator-prey model with prey-taxis, *J. Di*ff*. Eqns.*, 260 (2016), 5847–5874.



 c 2019 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)/licenses/by/4.0)