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#### Abstract

The aim of this work is to introduce two families, $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ and $O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$, of holomorphic and bi-univalent functions involving the Bazilevič functions and the Ozaki-close-to-convex functions, by using generalized telephone numbers. We determinate upper bounds on the Fekete-Szegö type inequalities and the initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for functions in these families. We also highlight certain edge cases and implications for our findings.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Indicate by $\mathcal{A}$ the family of holomorphic functions in the open unit disk $\mathbf{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k \geq 2} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathcal{A}$ subfamily of functions that are univalent in $\mathbf{U}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$.
We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*}, \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ the families of functions that starlike, convex, and close-to-convex in $\mathbf{U}$, respectively, and given as follows:

A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be starlike if

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbf{U},
$$

a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be convex if

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}
$$

and a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be a close-to-convex functions if

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0 ; g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U} .
$$

Note that, $C \subset \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{K}$.
The families of functions that are starlike of order $\boldsymbol{\aleph}(0 \leqq \boldsymbol{N}<1)$ and convex of order $\boldsymbol{\aleph}(0 \leqq \boldsymbol{\aleph}<1)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\aleph})$ and $C(\boldsymbol{\aleph})$, respectively, and are given as follows:

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\aleph})=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\boldsymbol{\aleph}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}\right\}
$$

and

$$
C(\boldsymbol{\aleph})=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\boldsymbol{\aleph}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U}\right\} .
$$

A well known subclass of $S$, named as class of Bazilevič function [36], is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{B}(\wp)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z^{1-\wp} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\wp}}\right)>0 ; \quad z \in \mathbf{U} ; \wp \geqq 0\right\} .
$$

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$, Kaplan [25] defined a subfamily of A called close-to-convex functions, given as:

$$
\mathcal{K}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{R}\left(e^{i \alpha} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0 ; g \in \mathcal{S}^{*} z \in \mathbf{U}\right\} .
$$

In 1935, Ozaki [34] considered functions in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{U},
$$

whose members are known to be close-to-convex and therefore univalent.
Lately, Kargar and Ebadian [26] considered the generalization of Ozaki's condition as the following:
Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be locally univalent for $z \in \mathbf{U}$ and let $-\frac{1}{2} \leqq x \leqq 1$. A function $f$ is called an Ozaki-close-to-convex function in $\mathbf{U}$ if

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\frac{1}{2}-\varkappa, \quad z \in \mathbf{U} .
$$

We denote by $F(\varkappa)$ the family of all Ozaki-close-to-convex functions. It is clear that, for $-\frac{1}{2} \leqq \varkappa \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $F(\varkappa) \subset K \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

In $\mathbf{U}$, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is considered bi-univalent if $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are both univalent functions. Denote by $\Sigma$ all bi-univalent functions in $\mathbf{U}$. We revisit a few functions in the family $\Sigma$ from Srivastava et al.'s work [41]. We notice that the family $\Sigma$ consists of

$$
\frac{z}{1-z}, \quad-\log (1-z) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)
$$

and so is not empty, however the Koebe function is not a member of $\Sigma$. Also, the functions $z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}$ and $\frac{z}{1-z^{2}}$ are not bi-univalent. A very large number of works related to the class $\Sigma$ have been presented in the recent papers (one may see $[1,3,6,8,10-12,14,16,18,19,22-24,27-29,31-33,38-40,42-46,49-51]$ ).

The Littlewood-Paley conjecture (see [21]) that the coefficients of odd univalent functions are bounded by unity has been refuted by Fekete and Szegö, a fact widely recognized in the field of Geometric Function Theory (GFT). Consequently, given $f \in \mathcal{S}$, we get the Fekete-Szegö problem $\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right|$. Many authors studied and deduced the Fekete-Szegö inequality for different families of functions (see $[2-5,9,13,17,30,35,38,40,41,47,48,53]$ ) in GFT.

## 2. Generalized telephone numbers(GTN)

The recurrence relation quantifies traditional telephone numbers:

$$
X(\ell)=X(\ell-1)+(\ell-1) X(\ell-2), \quad \ell \geqq 2
$$

Initial conditions:

$$
\mathcal{X}(0)=X(1)=1
$$

For integers $\ell \geqq 0$ and $\varsigma \geqq 1$, Wloch and Wolowiec-Musial [52] defined generalized telephone numbers $\mathcal{X}(\varsigma, \ell)$ by the recurrence relation:

$$
X(\varsigma, \ell)=\varsigma X(\varsigma, \ell-1)+(\ell-1) X(\varsigma, \ell-2)
$$

with initial conditions

$$
X(\varsigma, 0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad X(\varsigma, 1)=\varsigma
$$

Bednarz and Wolowiec-Musial [7] recently examined the accessible generalization of phone numbers using the formula

$$
X_{\varsigma}(\ell)=\mathcal{X}_{\varsigma}(\ell-1)+\varsigma(\ell-1) X_{\varsigma}(\ell-2)
$$

where $\ell \geqq 2$ and $\varsigma \geqq 1$ with initial conditions

$$
X_{\zeta}(0)=\mathcal{X}_{\varsigma}(1)=1
$$

According to Deniz [17], who conducted this investigation very recently, $\mathcal{X}_{S}(\ell)$ has an exponential generating function

$$
e^{\left(r+\varsigma^{\frac{r^{2}}{2}}\right)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_{\zeta}(\ell) \frac{r^{\ell}}{\ell!}
$$

As $\varsigma=1$, classical phone numbers $\mathcal{X}_{\varsigma}(\ell) \equiv \mathcal{X}(\ell)$ are evident.
Now, we study the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(z)=e^{\left(z+\varsigma \frac{z^{2}}{2}\right)}=1+z+(1+\varsigma) \frac{z^{2}}{2}+(1+3 \varsigma) \frac{z^{3}}{6}+\cdots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with its domain of definition as the open unit disk $\mathbf{U}$. Note that the holomorphic function $\vartheta(z)$ in $\mathbf{U}$, with a positive real part such that $\vartheta(0)=1, \quad \vartheta^{\prime}(0)>0$, and $\vartheta$ maps $\mathbf{U}$ onto an area that is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to 1 . Using the generalized telephone numbers, we now give the following subfamilies of holomorphic bi-Ozaki-close-to-convex and bi-Bazilevič functions.
Definition 2.1. If a function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies the following subordinations, it belongs to the family $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ :

$$
\frac{z^{1-\wp} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\wp}}<e^{\left(z+\zeta \frac{z^{2}}{2}\right)}=: \vartheta(z)
$$

and

$$
\frac{w^{1-\vartheta} g^{\prime}(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\wp}}<e^{\left(w+\varsigma \frac{w^{2}}{2}\right)}=: \vartheta(w),
$$

where $\wp$ is non-negative integer, $\varsigma \geqq 1$, and $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$.
Remark 2.1. If we take $\wp=0$ in Definition 2.1, the family $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ reduces to the family $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\vartheta)$, which was studied recently by Cotîlă and Wanas (see [15]).
Definition 2.2. The family $O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ contains all the functions $f \in \Sigma$ if the next subordinations satisfy:

$$
\frac{2 \varkappa-1}{2 \varkappa+1}+\frac{2}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<e^{\left(z+\frac{\delta^{\frac{z^{2}}{2}}}{}\right)}=: \vartheta(z)
$$

and

$$
\frac{2 x-1}{2 x+1}+\frac{2}{2 x+1}\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)<e^{\left(w+\frac{w^{2}}{2}\right)}=: \vartheta(w),
$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leqq x \leqq 1, \varsigma \leqq 1$, and $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$.
Remark 2.2. If we take $\varkappa=\frac{1}{2}$ in Definition 2.2, the family $O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ reduces to the family $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}(\vartheta)$, which was introduced recently by Cotîllă and Wanas (see [15]).

In the following sections we determine the upper bounds on the Fekete-Szegö type inequalities and the initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for functions in these families in Definitions 2.1 and 2.2.

## 3. Initial Taylor coefficient estimates

We recall the following lemma where we obtain the upper bounds on the Fekete-Szegö type inequalities and the initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for functions in $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$, where $\wp$ is non-negative integer.
Lemma 3.1. ( [20], p.41) Let $h \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by the following series:

$$
h(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad, \quad \text { where } \quad z \in \mathbf{U}
$$

then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leqq 2 \quad, \text { for all } \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Theorem 3.1. If $f$ given by (1.1) is in the family $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$, where $\wp$ is non-negative integer, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{1}{\wp+1}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{\left|(\wp+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\wp+1)^{2}\right|}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{\wp+\varsigma+2}{(\wp+2)(\wp+1)}, \frac{1}{(\wp+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\wp+2}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ and that $f^{-1}=g$. We consider the holomorphic functions $\Theta, \Upsilon$ : $\mathbf{U} \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}$, where $\Theta(0)=\Upsilon(0)=0$, satisfying the following criteria:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{1-\wp} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\wp}}=\vartheta(\Theta(z)), \quad z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w^{1-\wp} g^{\prime}(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\wp}}=\vartheta(\Upsilon(w)), \quad w \in \mathbf{U} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the functions $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ by

$$
\mathbf{m}(z)=\frac{1+\Theta(z)}{1-\Theta(z)}=1+m_{1} z+m_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{n}(z)=\frac{1+\Upsilon(z)}{1-\Upsilon(z)}=1+n_{1} z+n_{2} z^{2}+\cdots
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$ are analytic functions in $\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{m}(0)=1=\mathbf{n}(0)$. Then, we get $\Theta, \Upsilon: \mathbf{U} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are functions with a positive real part in $\mathbf{U}$.
But, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(z)=-\frac{1-\mathbf{m}(z)}{\mathbf{m}(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[m_{1} z+\left(m_{2}-\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}\right]+\cdots \quad, z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon(z)=-\frac{1-\mathbf{n}(z)}{\mathbf{n}(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[n_{1} z+\left(n_{2}-\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}\right]+\cdots \quad, z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.1) and (3.2) and applying (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{1-\wp} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\vartheta}}=\vartheta(\Theta(z))=e^{\left(\frac{m(z)-1}{m(z)+1}+\varsigma \frac{\left(\frac{m(z)-1}{m}(\bar{m})\right)^{2}}{2}\right)}=1+\frac{1}{2} m_{1} z+\left[\frac{m_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) m_{1}^{2}}{8}\right] z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{w^{1-\wp} g^{\prime}(w)}{(g(w))^{1-\wp}}=\vartheta(\Upsilon(w))=e^{\left(\frac{\frac{n}{1}(w)-1}{1+n(w)}+\varsigma \frac{\left.\frac{(n(w)-1}{n(w+1}\right)^{2}}{2}\right.}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} n_{1} w+\left[\frac{n_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) n_{1}^{2}}{8}\right] w^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the coefficients in (3.5) and (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\wp+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\wp+2) a_{3}+\frac{1}{2}(\wp+2)(\wp-1) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{m_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) m_{1}^{2}}{8}  \tag{3.8}\\
-(\wp+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} n_{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\wp+2)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2}(\wp+2)(\wp-1) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{n_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) n_{1}^{2}}{8} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=-n_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\wp+1)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add (3.8) to (3.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\wp+2)(\wp+1) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}(\varsigma-1)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (3.12) the value of $m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}$ in the relation (3.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{m_{2}+n_{2}}{2\left[(\wp+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\wp+1)^{2}\right]} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 for the coefficients $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$ in (3.12) and (3.14), we get

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{\wp+1}, \quad\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\left|(\wp+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\wp+1)^{2}\right|}} .
$$

Applying (3.11) and subtracting (3.10) from (3.8) yields $m_{1}^{2}=n_{1}^{2}$, which is the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\wp+2)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $a_{2}^{2}$ from (3.12) into (3.15) yields the following result:

$$
a_{3}=\frac{m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}}{8(\wp+1)^{2}}+\frac{m_{2}-n_{2}}{4(\wp+2)} .
$$

So, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{(\wp+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\wp+2} .
$$

Also, substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (3.13) into (3.15), we get

$$
a_{3}=\frac{m_{2}-n_{2}}{4(\wp+2)}+\frac{m_{2}+n_{2}}{2(\wp+2)(\wp+1)}+\frac{(\varsigma-1)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{8(\wp+2)(\wp+1)}
$$

and we have

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{\wp+\varsigma+2}{(\wp+2)(\wp+1)} .
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)\left(\frac{1}{2} \leqq x \leqq 1\right)$ and $f$ be given by (1.1). Then,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}}{16}, \frac{2 \varkappa+1}{2 \sqrt{|2(\varkappa-\varsigma)+3|}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \min \left\{\frac{(2 x+1)(3 \varsigma+5)}{24}, \frac{2 x+1}{12}+\frac{(2 x+1)^{2}}{16}\right\}
$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ and $g=f^{-1}$. Specifically, there exist holomorphic functions $\Theta, \Upsilon$ : $\mathbf{U} \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \varkappa-1}{2 \varkappa+1}+\frac{2}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\vartheta(\Theta(z)), \quad \text { where } \quad z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \varkappa-1}{2 \varkappa+1}+\frac{2}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)=\vartheta(\Upsilon(w)), \quad \text { where } \quad w \in \mathbf{U} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta(z)$ and $\Upsilon(z)$ have the forms (3.3) and (3.4). From (3.16), (3.17), and (2.1), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 \varkappa-1}{2 \varkappa+1}+\frac{2}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\vartheta(\Theta(z)) & =e^{\left(\frac{\frac{m}{m}(z)-1}{\mathrm{~m}(\gamma)+1}+\varsigma \frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}(z)-1}{}\right)^{2}}{2}\right)} \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} m_{1} z+\left[\frac{m_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) m_{1}^{2}}{8}\right] z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2 x-1}{2 \varkappa+1}+\frac{2}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)=\vartheta(\Upsilon(w)) & \left.=e^{\left(\frac{n(w)-1}{n(w)+1}+\varsigma \frac{\left(\frac{n(w)-1}{n}(w)+1\right.}{2}\right.}\right) \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} n_{1} w+\left[\frac{n_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) n_{1}^{2}}{8}\right] w^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients in (3.18) and (3.19), yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{4}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1}  \tag{3.20}\\
\frac{12}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{3}-\frac{8}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{m_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) m_{1}^{2}}{8}  \tag{3.21}\\
-\frac{4}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} n_{1} \tag{3.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{12}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)-\frac{8}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{n_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\varsigma-1) n_{1}^{2}}{8} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.20) and (3.22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=-n_{1} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{32}{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add (3.21) to (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8}{2 \varkappa+1} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}(\varsigma-1)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (3.25) the value of $m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}$ in the relation (3.26), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)}{16(2(\varkappa-\varsigma)+3)} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 for the coefficients $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$ in (3.25) and (3.27), we get

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}}{16}, \quad\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \frac{2 \varkappa+1}{2 \sqrt{|2(\varkappa-\varsigma)+3|}}
$$

Subtracting (3.23) from relation (3.21) and applying (3.24), we get $\left|a_{3}\right|$.
This yields $m_{1}^{2}=n_{1}^{2}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{24}{2 \varkappa+1}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right), \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by substituting from (3.25) the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ into (3.28), we get

$$
a_{3}=\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{48}+\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{128} .
$$

So, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{2 x+1}{12}+\frac{(2 x+1)^{2}}{16} .
$$

Also, substituting the value of $a_{2}^{2}$ from (3.26) into (3.28), we get

$$
a_{3}=\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{48}+\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)}{16}+\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)(\varsigma-1)\left(m_{1}^{2}+n_{1}^{2}\right)}{64}
$$

and we have

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{(2 \varkappa+1)(3 \varsigma+5)}{24}
$$

Utilizing $a_{2}^{2}$ and $a_{3}$ values, and spurred by Zaprawa's recent work [53], we prove the Fekete-Szegö problem for $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ and $f \in O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.3. For a non-negative integer $\wp$ and $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ be of the form (1.1). Then,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{1}{\beta+2} ; & |\hbar-1| \leqq \frac{\left|(\varphi+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\varphi+1)^{2}\right|}{2(\wp+2)}, \\
\frac{2|\hbar-1|}{\left|(\varphi+2)(\varphi+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\varphi+1)^{2}\right|} ; & |\hbar-1| \geqq \frac{\left|(\varphi+2)(\varphi+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\varphi+1)^{2}\right|}{2(\wp+2)} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It follows from (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{m_{2}-n_{2}}{4(\wp+2)}+(1-\hbar) a_{2}^{2} \\
& =\frac{m_{2}-n_{2}}{4(\wp+2)}+\frac{\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)(1-\hbar)}{2\left[(\wp+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\wp+1)^{2}\right]} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)+\frac{1}{2(\wp+2)}\right) m_{2}+\left(\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)-\frac{1}{2(\wp+2)}\right) n_{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)=\frac{1-\hbar}{(\wp+2)(\wp+1)+(1-\varsigma)(\wp+1)^{2}} .
$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we find that

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{1}{\beta+2}, & 0 \leqq|\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)| \leqq \frac{1}{2(\beta+2)}, \\
2|\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)|, & |\psi(\hbar, \varsigma)| \leqq \frac{1}{2(\beta+2)} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

After some computations, we obtain

Putting $\hbar=1$ in Theorem 3.3, we get the next result:
Corollary 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ is of the form (1.1), then we have that

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{\wp+2} .
$$

Theorem 3.4. For $\frac{1}{2} \leqq \varkappa \leqq 1$ and $\hbar \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ be of the form (1.1). Then,

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6} ; & |\hbar-1| \leqq \frac{|2-\zeta|}{3}, \\ \frac{|\hbar-1|}{2|2-\zeta|} ; & |\hbar-1| \geqq \frac{|2-\zeta|}{3} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. It follows from (3.27) and (3.28) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{48}+(1-\hbar) a_{2}^{2} \\
& =\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)\left(m_{2}-n_{2}\right)}{48}+\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}\left(m_{2}+n_{2}\right)(1-\hbar)}{16(2(\varkappa-\varsigma)+3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{16}\left[\left(\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)+\frac{2 \varkappa+1}{3}\right) m_{2}+\left(\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)-\frac{2 \varkappa+1}{3}\right) n_{2}\right],
$$

where

$$
\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)=\frac{(2 \varkappa+1)^{2}(1-\hbar)}{2(\varkappa-\varsigma)+3}
$$

According to Lemma 3.1, we find that

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{2 \chi+1}{12}, & 0 \leqq|\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)| \leqq \frac{2 \kappa+1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{4}|\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)|, & |\phi(\hbar, \varsigma)| \geqq \frac{2 \varkappa+1}{3} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

After some computations, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \alpha+1}{12} ; & |\hbar-1| \leqq \frac{(2 x+1)|(2 x-\varsigma)+3|}{3(2 x+1)^{2}}, \\ \frac{2 \alpha+1)^{2}|\hbar-1|}{4|2(x-\varsigma)+3|} ; & |\hbar-1| \geqq \frac{(2 x+1)|2(x-\varsigma)+3|}{3(2 x+1)^{2}}\end{cases}
$$

Fixing $\hbar=1$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following result:
Corollary 3.2. If $f \in O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ is of the form (1.1), then

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{2 \varkappa+1}{12} .
$$

Remark 3.1. $\wp=0$ and $\varkappa=\frac{1}{2}$ give the results of Cotîlǎ and Wanas (see [15]).

## 4. Conclusions

Motivated by many recent advances on the Fekete-Szegö functional and Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient estimations, we defined new families of holormorphic bi-univalent functions $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\wp ; \vartheta)$ and $O_{\Sigma}(\varkappa ; \vartheta)$ associated with generalized telephone numbers are presented and thoroughly examined in this article. For functions in these families, we determined Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient inequalities and examined the well-known Fekete-Szegö issue. Furthermore, the generic coefficients $\left|a_{n}\right|, n \geqq 4$, for the functions of these new classes remain unbounded. We also opted to utilize a significant finding from a recently released evaluate-cum-explanatory paper by Srivastava ( [37], p. 340) to extend our study based on the $q$-difference operator. This observation pointed out that using some seemingly parametric and argumentative versions of the extra parameter $p$ is redundant; the effects for the new or previously mentioned $q$-analogs could be easily (and possibly trivially) translated into corresponding effects for the so-called ( $p ; q$ )-analogues (with $0<|q| \leq 1$ ). Further, one can obtain the second Hankel determinant inequalities for function classes studied in this article (see [42-47] and references cited therein).
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