

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 8(6): 13492–13502.

DOI: 10.3934/math.2023684 Received: 25 December 2022 Revised: 18 February 2023 Accepted: 09 March 2023 Published: 07 April 2023

#### Research article

# **High-dimensional Lehmer problem on Beatty sequences**

# Xiaoqing Zhao and Yuan Yi\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

\* Correspondence: Email: yuanyi@xjtu.edu.cn.

**Abstract:** Let q be a positive integer. For each integer a with  $1 \le a < q$  and (a,q) = 1, it is clear that there exists one and only one  $\bar{a}$  with  $1 \le \bar{a} < q$  such that  $a\bar{a} \equiv 1(q)$ . Let k be any fixed integer with  $k \ge 2, 0 < \delta_i \le 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .  $r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k, \alpha, \beta, c; q)$  denotes the number of all k-tuples with positive integer coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  such that  $1 \le x_i \le \delta_i q$ ,  $(x_i, q) = 1, x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \equiv c(q)$ , and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} \in B_{\alpha,\beta}$ . In this paper, we consider the high-dimensional Lehmer problem related to Beatty sequences over incomplete intervals and give an asymptotic formula by the properties of Beatty sequences and the estimates for hyper Kloosterman sums.

Keywords: the Lehmer problem; Beatty sequence; exponential sum; asymptotic formula

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B83, 11L05, 11N69

#### 1. Introduction

Let q be a positive integer. For each integer a with  $1 \le a < q$ , (a,q) = 1, we know that there exists one and only one  $\bar{a}$  with  $1 \le \bar{a} < q$  such that  $a\bar{a} \equiv 1(q)$ . Let r(q) be the number of integers a with  $1 \le a < q$  for which a and  $\bar{a}$  are of opposite parity.

D. H. Lehmer (see [1]) posed the problem to investigate a nontrivial estimation for r(q) when q is an odd prime. Zhang [2,3] gave some asymptotic formulas for r(q), one of which reads as follows:

$$r(q) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(q) + O\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}d^{2}(q)\log^{2}q\right).$$

Zhang [4] generalized the problem over short intervals and proved that

$$\sum_{\substack{a \le N \\ a \in R(a)}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} N \phi(q) q^{-1} + O\left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} d^2(q) \log^2 q\right),$$

where

$$R(q) := \{a : 1 \le a \le q, (a, q) = 1, 2 \nmid a + \bar{a}\}.$$

Let  $n \ge 2$  be a fixed positive integer,  $q \ge 3$  and c be two integers with (n, q) = (c, q) = 1. Let  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \le 1$ . Lu and Yi [5] studied the Lehmer problem in the sense of short intervals as

$$r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, c; q) := \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant \delta_1 q \ a\bar{a} \equiv c \mod q \\ n \nmid a + \bar{a}}} \sum_{1, q \in S_1, q \in S_2} 1,$$

and obtained an interesting asymptotic formula,

$$r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, c; q) = (1 - n^{-1}) \delta_1 \delta_2 \phi(q) + O(q^{\frac{1}{2}} d^6(q) \log^2 q).$$

Liu and Zhang [6] r-th residues and roots, and obtained two interesting mean value formulas. Guo and Yi [7] found the Lehmer problem also has good distribution properties on Beatty sequences. For fixed real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the associated non-homogeneous Beatty sequence is the sequence of integers defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta} := (\lfloor \alpha n + \beta \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

where  $\lfloor t \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Such sequences are also called generalized arithmetic progressions. If  $\alpha$  is irrational, it follows from a classical exponential sum estimate of Vinogradov [8] that  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}$  contains infinitely many prime numbers; in fact, one has the asymptotic estimate

#{ prime 
$$p \le x : p \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$$
}  $\sim \alpha^{-1}\pi(x)$  as  $x \to \infty$ 

where  $\pi(x)$  is the prime counting function.

We define type  $\tau = \tau(\alpha)$  for any irrational number  $\alpha$  by the following definition:

$$\tau := \sup \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{n \to \infty} n^t ||\alpha n|| = 0 \right\}.$$

Based on the results obtained, we consider the high-dimensional Lehmer problem related to Beatty sequences over incomplete intervals in this paper. That is,

$$r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k, c, \alpha, \beta; q) := \sum_{\substack{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q \\ x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \bmod q \\ x_1, \cdots, x_{k-1} \in B_{\alpha, \beta} \\ n \nmid x_1 + \cdots + x_k}} {}^{\prime} 1, (0 < \delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k \le 1),$$

and where k = 2, we get the result of [7].

By using the properties of Beatty sequences and the estimates for hyper Kloosterman sums, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be a fixed positive integer,  $q \ge n^3$  and c be two integers with (n, q) = (c, q) = 1, and  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k$  be real numbers satisfying  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k \le 1$ . Let  $\alpha > 1$  be an irrational number of finite type. Then, we have the following asymptotic formula:

$$r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k, c, \alpha, \beta; q) = (1 - n^{-1})\alpha^{-(k-1)}\delta_1\delta_2 \cdots \delta_k\phi^{k-1}(q) + O(q^{k-1-\frac{1}{\tau+1}+\varepsilon}),$$

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  is the Euler function,  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive number, and the implied constant only depends on n.

**Notation.** In this paper, we denote by  $\lfloor t \rfloor$  and  $\{t\}$  the integral part and the fractional part of t, respectively. As is customary, we put

$$\mathbf{e}(t) := e^{2\pi i t}$$
 and  $\{t\} := t - \lfloor t \rfloor$ .

The notation ||t|| is used to denote the distance from the real number t to the nearest integer; that is,

$$||t|| := \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |t - n|.$$

Let  $\chi^0$  be the principal character modulo q. The letter p always denotes a prime. Throughout the paper,  $\varepsilon$  always denotes an arbitrarily small positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurrences; the implied constants in symbols  $O, \ll$  and  $\gg$  may depend (where obvious) on the parameters  $\alpha, n, \varepsilon$  but are absolute otherwise. For given functions F and G, the notations  $F \ll G$ ,  $G \gg F$  and F = O(G) are all equivalent to the statement that the inequality  $|F| \leqslant C|G|$  holds with some constant C > 0.

### 2. Preliminary lemmas

To complete the proof of the theorem, we need the following several definitions and lemmas.

**Definition 2.1.** For an arbitrary set S, we use  $1_S$  to denote its indicator function:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{S}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathcal{S}. \end{cases}$$

We use  $\mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}$  to denote the characteristic function of numbers in a Beatty sequence:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\alpha\beta}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let a, q be integers,  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  be a real number,  $\theta$  be a rational number. Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number of finite type  $\tau$  and  $H = q^{\varepsilon} > 0$ . We have

$$\sum_{\substack{a\leqslant \delta q\\a\in\mathcal{B}_{\alpha\beta}}}'1=\alpha^{-1}\delta\phi(q)+O\left((\phi(q))^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}+\varepsilon}\right),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{a \leqslant \delta q \\ a \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,B}}} \mathbf{e}(\theta a) = \alpha^{-1} \sum_{a \leqslant \delta_1 q} \mathbf{e}(\theta a) + O\left(||\theta||^{-1} q^{-\varepsilon} + q^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

**Taking** 

$$H = ||\theta||^{-\frac{1}{\tau+1}+\varepsilon},$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a \leqslant \delta q \\ a \in B_{\alpha, \beta}}} \mathbf{e}(\theta a) = \alpha^{-1} \sum_{a \leqslant \delta_1 q} \mathbf{e}(\theta a) + O\left(\|\theta\|^{-\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau+1} + \varepsilon\right)}\right).$$

*Proof.* This is Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 of [7].

### Lemma 2.3. Let

$$\mathbf{Kl}(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_k; q) = \sum_{x_1 \leq q-1} \cdots \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq q-1} \mathbf{e} \left( \frac{r_1 x_1 + \cdots + r_{k-1} x_{k-1} + r_k \overline{x_1 \cdots x_{k-1}}}{p} \right).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{Kl}(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_k; q) \ll q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\omega(q)} (r_1, r_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots (r_{k-1}, r_k, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where (a, b, c) is the greatest common divisor of a, b and c.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that U is a positive real number, K is a positive integer and that a and b are two real numbers. If

$$a = \frac{s}{r} + \frac{\theta}{r^2}, \quad (r, s) = 1, r \ge 1, |\theta| \le 1,$$

then

$$\sum_{k \le K} \min(U, \frac{1}{\|ak + b\|}) \ll (\frac{K}{r} + 1)(U + r\log r).$$

*Proof.* The proof is given in [10].

#### 3. Proof of theorem

We begin by the definition

$$r_n(\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k, c, \alpha, \beta; q) = S_1 - S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{\substack{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q \\ x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \bmod q \\ x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta}}} 1,$$

and

$$S_2 := \sum_{\substack{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q \\ x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv c \bmod q \\ x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\beta} \\ n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k}} 1.$$

By the Definition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and congruence properties, we have

$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{x_{1} \leqslant \delta_{1}q \\ x_{1} \cdots x_{k} \equiv c \bmod q}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{x_{k} \leqslant \delta_{k}q \\ x_{1} \cdots x_{k} \equiv c \bmod q}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{1}) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{x_{1} \leqslant \delta_{1}q \\ x_{1} \leqslant \delta_{1}q}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{x_{k} \leqslant \delta_{k}q \times \bmod q}} \sum_{\substack{mod q}} \chi(x_{1}) \cdots \chi(x_{k}) \chi(\overline{c}) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{1}) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1})$$

$$= S_{1,1} + S_{1,2},$$

where

$$S_{11} := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \cdots \sum_{x_k \leq \delta_k q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}),$$

and

$$S_{12} := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \Biggl( \sum_{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q} \cdots \sum_{x_k \leqslant \delta_k q} \chi(x_1) \cdots \chi(x_k) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \Biggr).$$

For  $S_2$ , it follows that

$$S_{2} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{x_{1} \leqslant \delta_{1}q \\ n|x_{1} + \dots + x_{k}}} \dots \sum_{\substack{x_{k} \leqslant \delta_{k}q \ \chi \bmod q}} \sum_{\substack{q \ \chi(x_{1}) \dots \chi(x_{k}) \chi(\overline{c})}} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{1}) \dots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1})$$

$$= S_{21} + S_{22},$$

where

$$S_{21} := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q \\ p|x_1 + \dots + x_k}}' \cdots \sum_{\substack{x_k \leqslant \delta_k q \\ p|x_1 + \dots + x_k}}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}),$$

and

$$S_{22} := \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \sum_{\substack{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q \\ n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_k}} \chi(x_1) \cdots \chi(x_{k-1}) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}).$$

# 3.1. Estimation of $S_{11}$

From the classical bound

$$\sum_{q \le \delta q}' 1 = \delta \phi(q) + O(d(q))$$

and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$S_{11} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq \delta_{k-1} q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \sum_{x_k \leq \delta_k q}' 1 \right)$$

$$= \left( \delta_k + O\left(\frac{d(q)}{\phi(q)}\right) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \alpha^{-1} \delta_i \phi(q) + O\left((\phi(q))^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1} + \varepsilon}\right) \right)$$

$$= \alpha^{-(k-1)} \phi^{k-1}(q) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_i + O\left(q^{k-1 - \frac{1}{\tau+1} + \varepsilon}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

# 3.2. Estimation of $S_{21}$

From Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$S_{21} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq \delta_{k-1} q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \sum_{x_k \leq \delta_k q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,k}(x_{k-1}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq \delta_{k-1} q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \sum_{x_k = -(x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}) \bmod n} \sum_{d \mid (x_k, q)} \mu(d) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq \delta_{k-1} q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \sum_{x_k \leq \delta_k q} \sum_{d \mid x_k = -(x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}) \bmod n} 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{x_1 \leq \delta_1 q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{x_{k-1} \leq \delta_{k-1} q}' \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \left( \frac{\delta_k q}{nd} + O(1) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \frac{\delta_k \phi(q)}{n} + O(d(q)) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \alpha^{-1} \delta_i \phi(q) + O\left((\phi(q))^{\frac{7}{r+1} + \varepsilon}\right) \right)$$

$$= \alpha^{-(k-1)} n^{-1} \phi^{k-1}(q) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \delta_i + O(q^{k-1 - \frac{1}{r+1} + \varepsilon}).$$

$$(3.2)$$

# 3.3. Estimation of $S_{22}$ and $S_{12}$

By the properties of exponential sums,

$$S_{22} = \frac{1}{n\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \left( \sum_{x_1 \leqslant \delta_1 q} \cdots \sum_{x_k \leqslant \delta_{k-1} q} \chi(x_1) \cdots \chi(x_k) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1) \cdots \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{k-1}) \right)$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbf{e}(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_k}{n} l) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \sum_{l=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{x_i \leqslant \delta_i q} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_i) \chi(x_i) \mathbf{e}(\frac{x_i}{n} l) \right) \left( \sum_{x_k \leqslant \delta_k q} \chi(x_k) \mathbf{e}(\frac{x_k}{n} l) \right). \tag{3.3}$$

Let

$$G(r,\chi) := \sum_{h=1}^{q} \chi(h) \mathbf{e}(\frac{rh}{q})$$

be the Gauss sum, and we know that for  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ ,

$$\chi(x_i) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q G(r, \chi) \mathbf{e}(-\frac{x_i r}{q}) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} G(r, \chi) \mathbf{e}(-\frac{x_i r}{q}),$$

and

$$\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r}{q} \neq 0$$

for  $1 \le l \le n, 1 \le r \le q - 1$  and (n, q) = 1.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{x_k \le \delta_k q} \chi(x_k) \mathbf{e}(\frac{x_k}{n} l) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_k = 1}^{q - 1} G(r_k, \chi) \frac{f(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_k}{q} - \frac{l}{h}) - 1},$$
(3.4)

where

$$f(\delta, l, r; n, p) := 1 - \mathbf{e} \left( (\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r}{q}) \lfloor \delta q \rfloor \right)$$

and

$$|f(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q)| \leq 2.$$

For  $x_i (1 \le i \le k - 1)$ , using Lemma 2.2, we also have

$$\sum_{x_{i} \leqslant \delta_{i}q} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{i}) \chi(x_{i}) \mathbf{e}(\frac{x_{i}}{n}l)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{x_{i} \leqslant \delta_{i}q} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{i}) \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q-1} G(r_{i},\chi) \mathbf{e}\left((\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_{i}}{q})x_{i}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q-1} G(r_{i},\chi) \sum_{x_{i} \leqslant \delta_{i}q} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{i}) \mathbf{e}\left((\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_{i}}{q})x_{i}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q-1} G(r_{i},\chi) \left(\alpha^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \leqslant \delta_{i}q} \mathbf{e}\left((\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_{i}}{q})x_{i}\right) + O\left(\frac{q^{-\varepsilon}}{\|\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_{i}}{q}\|} + q^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q\alpha} \sum_{r_{i}=1}^{q-1} G(r_{i},\chi) \left(\frac{f(\delta_{i},l,r_{i};n,q)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_{i}}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1} + O\left(\frac{q^{-\varepsilon}}{\|\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_{i}}{q}\|} + q^{\varepsilon}\right)\right).$$
(3.5)

Let

$$S_{23} = \frac{1}{n\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{q\alpha} \sum_{r_i=1}^{q-1} G(r_i, \chi) \frac{f(\delta_i, l, r_i; n, q)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_i}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_k=1}^{q-1} G(r_k, \chi) \frac{f(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_k}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n\phi(q)q^k \alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{r_1=1}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{r_k=1}^{q-1} \frac{f(\delta_1, l, r_1; n, q) \cdots f(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q)}{\left( \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_1}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1 \right) \cdots \left( \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_k}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1 \right)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) G(r_1, \chi) \cdots G(r_k, \chi) . \tag{3.6}$$

From the definition of Gauss sum and Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q} \chi(\overline{c}) G(r_1, \chi) \cdots G(r_k, \chi)$$

$$= \sum_{h_1=1}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q-1} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \chi(\overline{c}) \chi(h_1) \cdots \chi(h_k) \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_k h_k}{q})$$

$$= \phi(q) \sum_{h_1=1}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q-1} \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_k h_k}{q})$$

$$= \phi(q) \sum_{h_1=1}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q-1} \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_k h_k}{q})$$

$$= \phi(q) \sum_{h_1=1}^{q-1} \cdots \sum_{h_k=1}^{q-1} \mathbf{e}(\frac{r_1 h_1 + \cdots + r_k h_k}{q})$$

$$= \phi(q) \mathbf{K} \mathbf{I}(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_k c; q)$$

$$\ll \phi(q) q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\omega(q)}(r_1, r_k c, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots (r_{k-1}, r_k c, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\ll \phi(q) q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\omega(q)}(r_1, q) \cdots (r_k, q). \tag{3.7}$$

By Mobius inversion, we get

$$G(r,\chi_0) = \sum_{h=1}^{q'} \mathbf{e}(\frac{rh}{q}) = \mu \left(\frac{q}{(r,q)}\right) \frac{\varphi(q)}{\varphi(q/(r,q))} \ll (r,q),$$

and

$$\chi_0(\overline{c})G(r_1,\chi_0)\cdots G(r_k,\chi_0)\ll (r_1,q)\cdots (r_k,q)$$
.

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) G(r_1, \chi) \cdots G(r_k, \chi)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \bmod q}} \chi(\overline{c}) G(r_1, \chi) \cdots G(r_k, \chi) - \chi_0(\overline{c}) G(r_1, \chi_0) \cdots G(r_k, \chi_0)$$

$$\ll \phi(q) q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} k^{\omega(q)}(r_1, q) \cdots (r_k, q). \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.8) we may deduce the following result:

$$S_{23} \ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \frac{(r,q)}{\left| \mathbf{e}(\frac{r}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1 \right|} \right)^{k}$$

$$\ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \frac{(r,q)}{\left| \sin \pi(\frac{r}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) \right|} \right)^{k}$$

$$\ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \frac{(r,q)}{\left| \frac{r}{q} - \frac{l}{n} \right|} \right)^{k}$$

$$= \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d < q}} \sum_{\substack{r \le q-1 \\ (r,q) = d}} \frac{d}{\|\frac{r}{q} - \frac{l}{n}\|} \right)^{k}$$

$$= \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d < q}} d \sum_{\substack{m \le \frac{q-1}{d} \\ (m,q) = 1}} \frac{1}{\|\frac{md}{q} - \frac{l}{n}\|} \right)^{k}$$

$$= \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d < q}} d \sum_{k \mid q} \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{m \le \frac{q-1}{kd}}} \frac{1}{\|\frac{mkd}{q} - \frac{l}{n}\|} \right)^{k}.$$

It is easy to see

$$\left\| \frac{mkd}{q} - \frac{l}{n} \right\| = \left\| \frac{mkn - l(q/d)}{(q/d)n} \right\| \ge \frac{1}{(q/d)n},$$

and we obtain

$$S_{23} \ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{n\phi(q)q^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|q\\d < q}} d \sum_{k|q} \sum_{m \leq \frac{q-1}{kd}} \min(\frac{qn}{d}, \frac{1}{\|\frac{mkd}{q} - \frac{l}{n}\|}) \right)^{k}.$$

Let  $kd/q = h_0/q_0$ , where  $q_0 \ge 1$ ,  $(h_0, q_0) = 1$ , and we will easily obtain  $q/(kd) \le q_0 \le q/d$ . By using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$S_{23} \ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|q\\d < q}} d \sum_{k|q} \left( \frac{(q-1)/(kd)}{q_0} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{qn}{d} + q_0 \log q_0 \right) \right)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}}{nq^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\alpha^{k-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|q\\d < q}} d \sum_{k|q} \left( \frac{(q-1)/(kd)}{q/(kd)} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{qn}{d} + \frac{q}{d} \log \frac{q}{d} \right) \right)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{k^{\omega(q)}q^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\alpha^{k-1}} \left( \sum_{\substack{d|q\\d < q}} \sum_{k|q} n + \log q \right)^k$$

$$\ll q^{\frac{k-1}{2}} d^{2k}(q) (\log q + n)^k.$$

Let

$$S_{24} := \frac{q^{(k-1)(-\varepsilon)}}{n\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \sum_{l=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{q\alpha} \sum_{r_i=1}^{q-1} G(r_i, \chi) \frac{1}{\|\frac{l}{n} - \frac{r_i}{q}\|} \right) \left( \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_k=1}^{q-1} G(r_k, \chi) \frac{f(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_k}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1} \right)$$

and

$$S_{25} := \frac{q^{(k-1)(\varepsilon)}}{n\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod q \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \chi(\overline{c}) \sum_{l=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1}{q\alpha} \sum_{r_i=1}^{q-1} G(r_i, \chi) \right) \left( \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r_k=1}^{q-1} G\left(r_k, \chi\right) \frac{f\left(\delta_k, l, r_k; n, q\right)}{\mathbf{e}(\frac{r_k}{q} - \frac{l}{n}) - 1} \right).$$

By the same argument of  $S_{23}$ , it follows that

$$S_{24} \ll q^{\frac{k-1}{2} - \varepsilon} d^{2k}(q) (\log q + n)^k,$$

$$S_{25} \ll q^{\frac{k-3}{2} + \varepsilon} (\log q + n).$$

Since  $n \ll q^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , we have

$$S_{25} \ll S_{24} \ll S_{23} \ll q^{\frac{k-1}{2} + \varepsilon} n^k \ll q^{k-2+\varepsilon}.$$
 (3.9)

Taking n = 1, we get

$$S_{12} \ll q^{\frac{k-1}{2} + \varepsilon}.\tag{3.10}$$

With (3.1), (3.2), (3.9) and (3.10), the proof is complete.

### 4. Conclusions

This paper considers the high-dimensional Lehmer problem related to Beatty sequences over incomplete intervals. And we give an asymptotic formula by the properties of Beatty sequences and the estimates for hyper Kloosterman sums.

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation No. 12271422 of China. The authors would like to express their gratitude to the referee for very helpful and detailed comments.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- 1. R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, 3 Eds., New York: Springer-Verlag, 2004. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-26677-0
- 2. W. Zhang, A problem of D. H. Lehmer and its generalization. II, *Compositio Math.*, **91** (1994), 47–56.
- 3. W. Zhang, On a problem of D. H. Lehmer and its generalization, *Compositio Math.*, **86** (1993), 307–316.
- 4. W. Zhang, On D. H. Lehmer problem, Chin. Sci. Bull., 37 (1992), 1351–1354.
- 5. Y. Lu, Y. Yi, On the generalization of the D. H. Lehmer problem, *Acta Math. Sin.-Engl. Ser.*, **25** (2009), 1269–1274. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-009-7652-3

- 6. H. Liu, W. Zhang, Two generalizations of a problem of Lehme, *Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser.)*, **49** (2006), 95–104.
- 7. Z. Guo, Y. Yi, The Lehmer problem and Beatty sequences, submitted for publication, 2022.
- 8. I. Vinogradov, A new estimate of a certain sum containing primes, Rec. Math., 2 (1937), 783–792.
- 9. L. Weinstein, The hyper-Kloosterman sum, Enseign. Math., 27 (1981), 29–40.
- 10. C. Pan, Goldbach conjecture, Beijing: Science Press, 1981.



© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)