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#### Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to find the explicit forms for entire solutions of two certain types of Fermat-type q-difference differential equations. Some previous results are generalized and examples are constructed to show that the results are accurate.
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## 1. Introduction and main results

The classical Fermat's last theorem that equation $x^{n}+y^{n}=1$ has no non-trivial rational solutions, when $n \geq 3$, had been proved by Wiles in [1]. Considering $x, y$ in $x^{n}+y^{n}=1$ as elements in function fields, we arrive at looking equations that may be called Fermat type functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{n}+g(z)^{n}=1 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1966, Gross [2] proved the Fermat type functional equation (1.1) has no transcendental meromorphic solutions when $n \geq 4$. If $n=2$, then Eq (1.1) has the entire solutions $f(z)=\sin (h(z))$ and $g(z)=$ $\cos (h(z)$ ), where $h(z)$ is any entire function, and no other solutions exist [3]. Baker [4] and Yang [5] also obtained some related results on Fermat type functional equation.

In recent years, the analogue of Fermat type equations inspired numerous investigations. Particularly, some authors have gotten a number of interesting results by considering that $g(z)$ has a special relationship with $f(z)[6,7]$. For example, Liu et al. [6] considered the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{2}+f(z+c)^{2}=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained the following result:
Theorem 1.1. (see [6], Theorem 1.1) The transcendental entire solutions with finite order of Eq (1.2) must satisfy $f(z)=\sin (A z+B)$, where $B$ is a constant and $A=\frac{(4 k+1) \pi}{2 c}, k$ is an integer.

Later on, considering a generalization of $\mathrm{Eq}(1.2)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{2}+P(z)^{2} f(z+c)^{2}=Q(z) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z), Q(z)$ are non-zero polynomials, Liu and Yang obtained a result (see [8], Theorem 2.1), which is an improvement of Theorem A. Closely related to difference expressions are q-difference expressions, where the usual shift $f(z+c)$ of a meromorphic function will be replaced by the q -shift $f(q z)$. Liu and Cao [9] considered the entire solutions of Fermat type q-difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{2}+P(z)^{2} f(q z)^{2}=Q(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z), Q(z)$ are non-zero polynomials and $|q|=1$. They showed the following theorem:
Theorem 1.2. (see [9], Theorem 2.6) If Eq (1.4) admits a transcendental entire solution of finite order, then $P(z)$ must be a constant $P$. This solution can be written as

$$
f(z)=\frac{Q_{1}(z) e^{p(z)}+Q_{2}(z) e^{-p(z)}}{2}
$$

satisfying one of the following conditions:
(1) $q$ satisfies $p(q z)=p(z)$ and $Q_{1}(z)-i P Q_{1}(q z) \equiv 0, Q_{2}(z)+i P Q_{2}(q z) \equiv 0, P^{4} Q\left(q^{2} z\right)=Q(z)$;
(2) $q$ satisfies $p(q z)+p(z)=2 a_{0}$, and $i P Q_{1}(q z) e^{2 a_{0}} \equiv-Q_{2}(z), i P Q_{2}(q z) \equiv Q_{1}(z) e^{2 a_{0}}, P^{4} Q\left(q^{2} z\right)=Q(z)$, $e^{8 a_{0}}=1$, where $Q(z)=Q_{1}(z) Q_{2}(z)$ and $p(z)$ is a non-constant polynomial.

Liu and Yang [7] in 2016 studied the existence and the forms of solutions of some quadratic trinomial functional equations and obtained some precise properties on the meromorphic solutions of the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{2}+2 \alpha f(z) f^{\prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)^{2}=1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{2}+2 \alpha f(z) f(z+c)+f(z+c)^{2}=1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha \neq \pm 1,0$, then Eq (1.5) has no transcendental meromorphic solutions (see [7], Theorem 1.3) and the finite order transcendental entire functions of Eq (1.6) must be of order equal to one (see [7], Theorem 1.4).

Recently, Luo et al. [10] investigated the transcendental entire solutions with finite order of the quadratic trinomial difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z+c)^{2}+2 \alpha f(z) f(z+c)+f(z)^{2}=e^{g(z)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and differential difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z+c)^{2}+2 \alpha f(z+c) f^{\prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)^{2}=e^{g(z)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha^{2}(\neq 0,1), c$ are constants and $g(z)$ is a polynomial.

Theorem 1.3. (see [10], Theorem 2.1) Let $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1, c(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g$ be a polynomial. If the difference equation (1.7) admits a transcendental entire solution $f(z)$ of finite order, then $g(z)$ must be of the form $g(z)=a z+b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the above results, Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions [11, 12] and its difference counterparts [13, 14] play a critical role. For related results, we refer the reader to [15-23] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above equations and results, we investigate the existence and forms of entire solutions of the following two quadratic trinomial q-difference differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q z)^{2}+2 \alpha f(z) f(q z)+f(z)^{2}=e^{g(z)} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1$ and $q \neq 0, \pm 1$ are complex numbers, and $g(z)$ is a polynomial.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q z)^{2}+2 \alpha f^{\prime}(z) f(q z)+f^{\prime}(z)^{2}=e^{g(z)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1$ and $q \neq 0,1$ are complex numbers, and $g(z)$ is a polynomial.
Below, for convenience, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1+\alpha}}+\frac{1}{2 i \sqrt{1-\alpha}} \text { and } A_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1+\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2 i \sqrt{1-\alpha}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.4. If $E q$ (1.9) admits a transcendental entire solution $f(z)$ with finite order, then $g(z)$ must satisfy $\operatorname{deg}(g(z))>2$ and $q^{\operatorname{deg}(g(z))}=1$. Furthermore,

$$
f(z)= \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2(\sqrt{1+\alpha})} e^{\frac{g(z)}{2}}
$$

We give an example to show that the result of Theorem 1.4 is precise as follows:
Example 1.1. $f(z)= \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} e^{\frac{3^{3}}{2}}$ is a transcendental entire solution of

$$
f\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i\right) z\right)^{2}+4 f(z) f\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i\right) z\right)+f(z)^{2}=e^{z^{3}}
$$

Here, $g(z)=z^{3}, q=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i, \alpha=2, A_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}-3}{6}$ and $A_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}+3}{6}$.
Corollary 1.1. If $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \leq 2$, then $E q(1.9)$ has no transcendental entire solution of $f(z)$ with finite order.

Corollary 1.2. If $|q| \neq 1$, then $E q$ (1.9) has no transcendental entire solution of $f(z)$ with finite order.
Theorem 1.5. If $E q$ (1.10) admits a transcendental entire solution $f(z)$ with finite order, then $g(z) \equiv \beta$, $q=-1$ and

$$
f(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 t}\left(A_{1} e^{t z+y_{1}}-A_{2} e^{-t z+y_{2}}\right)
$$

where $t, y_{1}, y_{2}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\beta=y_{1}+y_{2}$ and $t= \pm i$.

We give an example to show that the result of Theorem 1.5 is precise as follows:
Example 1.2. $f(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 i}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}-3}{6} e^{i z+\ln i}-\frac{\sqrt{3}+3}{6} e^{-i z}\right)$ is a transcendental entire solution of

$$
f(-z)^{2}+4 f^{\prime}(z) f(-z)+f^{\prime}(z)^{2}=e^{\ln i} .
$$

Here, $g(z) \equiv \ln i, q=-1, \alpha=2, A_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}-3}{6}$ and $A_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}+3}{6}$.
Corollary 1.3. If $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$, then $E q$ (1.10) has no transcendental entire solution of $f(z)$ with finite order.

Corollary 1.4. If $q \neq 0, \pm 1$, then $E q$ (1.10) has no transcendental entire solution of $f(z)$ with finite order.

## 2. Some lemmas

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $f_{j}(z), j=1,2,3$ be meromorphic functions and $f_{1}(z)$ is not a constant. If

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} f_{j}(z) \equiv 1,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{j}}\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{N}\left(r, f_{j}\right)<(\lambda+o(1)) T(r), r \in I
$$

where $\lambda<1, T(r)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq 3}\left\{T\left(r, f_{j}\right)\right\}$ and I represents a set of $r \in(0, \infty)$ with infinite linear measure. Then, $f_{2} \equiv 1$ or $f_{3} \equiv 1$.

Lemma 2.2. [12] If $f_{j}(z), g_{j}(z)(1 \leq j \leq n, n \geq 2)$ are entire functions satisfying
(1) $\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}(z) e^{g_{j}(z)} \equiv 0$;
(2) The orders of $f_{j}$ are less than that of $e^{g_{h}(z)-g_{k}(z)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq h<k \leq n$.

Then $f_{j}(z) \equiv 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
Lemma 2.3. [12] Let $p(z)$ be a nonzero polynomial with degree $n$. If $p(q z)-p(z)$ is a constant, then $q^{n}=1$ and $p(q z) \equiv p(z)$. If $p(q z)+p(z)$ is a constant, then $q^{n}=-1$ and $p(q z)+p(z)=2 a_{0}$, where $a_{0}$ is the constant term of $p(z)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire solution with finite order of Eq (1.9). Denote

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu+v) \text { and } f(q z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu-v),
$$

where $\mu, v$ are entire functions. It can be deduced from Eq (1.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\alpha) \mu^{2}+(1-\alpha) v^{2}=e^{g(z)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq (3.1), we have

$$
\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} v}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}\right)^{2}=1
$$

The above equation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}+i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} \nu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}-i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} v}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}\right)=1 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that both $\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}+i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} \nu}{e^{\frac{g(\Omega)}{2}}}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}-i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha \nu}}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}$ have no zeros. Combining Eq (3.2) with the Hadamard factorization theorem, there exists a polynomial $p(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}+i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} \nu}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}=e^{p(z)} \text { and } \frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha} \mu}{e^{\frac{g(2)}{2}}}-i \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha} \nu}{e^{\frac{g(3)}{2}}}=e^{-p(z)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}(z)=p(z)+\frac{g(z)}{2} \text { and } \gamma_{2}(z)=-p(z)+\frac{g(z)}{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Eq (3.3) that

$$
\mu=\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 \sqrt{1+\alpha}} \text { and } v=\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}-e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 i \sqrt{1-\alpha}} \text {. }
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu+v) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 \sqrt{1+\alpha}}+\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}-e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 i \sqrt{1-\alpha}}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{1} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+A_{2} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
f(q z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mu-v) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 \sqrt{1+\alpha}}-\frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}-e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}}{2 i \sqrt{1-\alpha}}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{2} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+A_{1} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are defined as Eq (1.11).
It follows from Eq (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{1} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)}+A_{2} e^{\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha^{2} \neq 0$, 1 , we have that both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are nonzero constants. Combining with Eqs (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}=1 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. $\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a non-constant polynomial. Using Lemma 2.1 in Eq (3.8), we have

$$
\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1 \text { or }-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1 .
$$

If $\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \equiv 1$, then $\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a constant. By Lemma 2.3, $\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \equiv 0$. Thus, we have $\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}=1$, which contradicts with $\alpha \neq 0,1$.

If $-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1$, then it follows from Eq (3.8) that $e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(z)}=-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}$. In view of Eq (3.4), we get that

$$
-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{2 p(g z)} \equiv 1 \text { and } e^{2 p(z)}=-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} .
$$

It is easy to get that $p(z)$ is a constant and $\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}=\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}$. This leads to $A_{1}^{2}=A_{2}^{2}$, which contradicts with $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1$.

Case 2. $\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a constant. Let $\kappa=\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z), \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, $\gamma_{2}(q z)=\gamma_{1}(z)-\kappa$. In view of $\mathrm{Eq}(3.4), 2 p(z)=\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(z)$. Equation (3.8) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}\left(e^{\kappa}-1\right)+e^{\kappa} e^{-2 p(z)}=e^{2 p(q z)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2.1. $\kappa=\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \equiv 0$. From Eq (3.9) we have $e^{2(p(z)+p(q z))}=1$, which gives that $p(z)+p(q z) \equiv 0$. It follows from Eq (3.4) that

$$
0 \equiv p(z)+p(q z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(z)+\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\gamma_{2}(z)+\gamma_{1}(q z)\right)
$$

Further, we have $\gamma_{1}(z) \equiv \gamma_{1}\left(q^{2} z\right)$ and $\gamma_{2}(z) \equiv \gamma_{2}\left(q^{2} z\right)$. Recall that $f(z)$ is transcendental, then from Eq (3.5) we have that $\gamma_{1}(z)$ and $\gamma_{2}(z)$ cannot be constant at the same time. By the assumption that $q \neq 0, \pm 1$, we get a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $\kappa=\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \not \equiv 0$. Using the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem for $e^{2 p(q z)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, e^{2 p(q z)}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, e^{2 p(q z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{2 p(q z)}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{2 p(q z)}-\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}\left(e^{\kappa}-1\right)}\right)+S\left(r, e^{2 p(q z)}\right) \\
& \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{2 p(z)}}\right)+S\left(r, e^{2 p(q z)}\right)=S\left(r, e^{2 p(q z)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $p(q z)$ is a constant.
We claim that $g(z)$ is a polynomial. If $g(z)$ is a constant, then by combining with $p(q z)$ as a constant and Eq (3.4), we have both $\gamma_{1}(z)$ and $\gamma_{2}(z)$ are constants. From Eq (3.5), we have $f(z)$ is a constant, which contradicts with $f(z)$ is transcendental.

Thus, $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$. Set $p(z) \equiv \eta$, where $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, it follows from Eqs (3.4) and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{2 \eta}+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}\right) e^{\frac{g(2)-g(q)]}{2}}=1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{2 \eta} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g(z)-g(q z)$ is a non-constant polynomial, then by using Lemma 2.2 in $\operatorname{Eq}$ (3.10), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{2 \eta}+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}=0 \\
1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{2 \eta}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It gives $A_{1}^{2}=A_{2}^{2}$, which contradicts with $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1$. Thus, $g(z)-g(q z)$ is a constant.
Further, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $g(z)-g(q z) \equiv 0$ and $q^{\operatorname{deg} g(z z))}=1$. Since $q \neq \pm 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \neq 1,2$. Combining with $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(g(z))>2$. Moreover, Eq (3.10) reduces to

$$
e^{2 \eta}+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}=1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{2 \eta}
$$

Thus, we have $\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}-1=\left(\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}-1\right) e^{2 \eta}$. Since $A_{1} \neq A_{2}$, then $\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}-1 \neq 0$. Hence, we have $e^{2 \eta}=1$. It gives $e^{\eta}= \pm 1$, i.e., $e^{p(z)} \equiv \pm 1$.

From Eqs (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$
f(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2}\left(A_{1} e^{p(z)}+A_{2} e^{-p(z)}\right)}{2} e^{\frac{g(z)}{2}}=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{2}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)}{2} e^{\frac{g(z)}{2}}
$$

And together with Eq (1.11), we obtain

$$
f(z)= \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2(\sqrt{1+\alpha})} e^{\frac{g(z)}{2}}
$$

We completed the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire solution with finite order of Eq (1.10). Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{1} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+A_{2} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{2} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+A_{1} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Eqs (4.1) and (4.2), it follows that

$$
f^{\prime}(q z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{1} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)}+A_{2} e^{\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} q}\left(A_{2} \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{1}(z)}+A_{1} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)}\right) .
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}=1 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. $\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a constant. From Eq (3.4), we have $\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)=2 p(q z)$. Thus, $p(z)$ is a constant. Let $\iota \equiv e^{p(z)}$, where $\iota \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$. Otherwise, from Eq (3.4), we have that both $\gamma_{1}(z)$ and $\gamma_{2}(z)$ are constants. It follows from $\mathrm{Eq}(4.1)$ that $f^{\prime}(z)$ is a constant, which conflicts with $f(z)$ being transcendental.

Combining with Eqs (3.4) and (4.3), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\iota^{2}}{q}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}}\right) \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{2} e^{\frac{g(z)-g(q)}{2}}=1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \iota^{2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g(z)-g(q z)$ is a non-constant polynomial, then by using Lemma 2.2 in Eq (4.4), we get that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\iota^{2}}{q}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}}\right) \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{2}=0,  \tag{4.5}\\
1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \iota^{2}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The second equation of (4.5) gives that $\iota^{2}=-\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}$. Substituting this into the first equation of (4.5), we have

$$
\left(\frac{-A_{2}}{q A_{1}}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}}\right) \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{2}=0
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$ and $q \neq 0,1$, then we have $\frac{-A_{2}}{A_{1}}+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}}=0$. It gives that $A_{1}^{2}=A_{2}^{2}$, which contradicts with $\alpha^{2} \neq 0,1$.

If $g(z)-g(q z)$ is a constant, by Lemma 2.3, we have $g(z)-g(q z) \equiv 0$ and $q^{\operatorname{deg}(g(z))}=1$. Since $q \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \neq 1$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 2$.

Equation (4.4) reduces to

$$
\left(\frac{\iota^{2}}{q}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}}\right) \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{2}=1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \iota^{2}
$$

This implies that $\frac{\iota^{2}}{q}+\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}}=0$ and $1+\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} \iota^{2}=0$. Similar to the above, we also have $A_{1}^{2}=A_{2}^{2}$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a non-constant polynomial. Since $\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)=2 p(q z)$, then we have $p(z)$ is a non-constant polynomial.

Next, we show that $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z) \not \equiv 0$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) \not \equiv 0$. From Eq (4.3), it is easy to get that $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$ cannot hold at the same time.

If $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) \not \equiv 0$, then $\mathrm{Eq}(4.3)$ reduces to

$$
\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}-\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}=1
$$

Using the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem for $e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right) & \leq \bar{N}\left(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}}\right) \\
& +\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}+\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}}}\right)+S\left(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}}\right)+S\left(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right) \\
& =S\left(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(q z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.

Similarly, if $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z) \not \equiv 0$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$, we also get a contradiction.
Then, by using Lemma 2.1 in Eq (4.3), we have

$$
\frac{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1 \text { or } \frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1 .
$$

Case 2.1. If $\frac{A_{1}}{q A_{2}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z) e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1$, it implies that $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z)$ is a nonzero constant, and $\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ is a constant.

By Lemma 2.3, we have $\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \equiv 0$ and $q^{\operatorname{deg}\left(\gamma_{2}(z)\right)}=1$. Since $q \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\gamma_{2}(z)\right) \neq 1$, which contradicts with $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z)$ being a nonzero constant.

Case 2.2. If $\frac{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1$, then from Eq (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{q} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{1}(q z)}=1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above two equations give that $\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)$ and $\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{1}(q z)$ are constants. Moreover, we also have that $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}(z)(i=1,2)$ are nonzero constants, i.e., $\operatorname{deg}\left(\gamma_{i}(z)\right)=1(i=1,2)$.

Set

$$
\eta_{1}=\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z) \text { and } \eta_{2}=\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{1}(q z),
$$

where $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$.
In view of Eq (3.4), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 p(z)+2 p(q z)=\left[\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)\right]-\left[\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{1}(q z)\right]=\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
g(z)-g(q z)=\left[\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)\right]+\left[\gamma_{2}(z)-\gamma_{1}(q z)\right]=\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get that $q^{\operatorname{deg}(p(z))}=-1$ and $q^{\operatorname{deg}(g(z))}=1$. Since $q \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \neq 1$.
We now show that $\operatorname{deg}(g(z))=0$. If $\operatorname{deg}(g(z)) \geq 2$, by combining with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\gamma_{i}(z)\right)=1$ and Eq (3.4), then we have $\operatorname{deg}(p(z))=\operatorname{deg}(g(z))$. Therefore, $q^{\operatorname{deg}(p(z))}=q^{\operatorname{deg}(g(z))}=1$, which contradicts with $q^{\operatorname{deg}(p(z))}=-1$. Hence, we have $g(z) \equiv \beta$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that $\operatorname{deg} \gamma_{i}(z)=1(i=1,2)$. It follows from Eq (3.4) that $\gamma_{1}(z)+\gamma_{2}(z)=g(z) \equiv \beta$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}(z)=t z+y_{1} \text { and } \gamma_{2}(z)=-t z+y_{2}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\beta=y_{1}+y_{2}$.
It follows from Eqs (3.4) and (4.8) that $p(z)=t z+\frac{y_{1}-y_{2}}{2}$. And together with $q^{\operatorname{deg}(p(z))}=-1$, then we have $q=-1$.

By substituting $q=-1$ and $\operatorname{Eq}(4.8)$ into $\frac{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{q} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)-\gamma_{2}(q z)} \equiv 1$ and $\operatorname{Eq}$ (4.6), we obtain

$$
-t e^{y_{1}-y_{2}}=1 \text { and } t e^{y_{2}-y_{1}}=1,
$$

respectively. It gives that $t= \pm i$.
Furthermore, substituting Eq (4.8) into Eq (4.1), we have

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{1} e^{t z+y_{1}}+A_{2} e^{-t z+y_{2}}\right) .
$$

Integration of the above equation gives that

$$
f(z)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2 t}\left(A_{1} e^{t z+y_{1}}-A_{2} e^{-t z+y_{2}}\right)
$$

We completed the proof of Theorem 1.5.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we showed that the explicit forms for entire solutions of two certain types of Fermattype q-difference differential equations. In addition, we have given specific examples to illustrate our results.
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