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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present a 4D hyperchaotic Rabinovich system which obtained by adding a linear controller to 3D Rabinovich system. Based on theoretical analysis and numerical simulations, the rich dynamical phenomena such as boundedness, dissipativity and invariance, equilibria and their stability, chaos and hyperchaos are studied. In addition, the Hopf bifurcation at the zero equilibrium point of the 4D Rabinovich system is investigated. The numerical simulations, including phase diagrams, Lyapunov exponent spectrum, bifurcations, power spectrum and Poincaré maps, are carried out in order to analyze and verify the complex phenomena of the 4D Rabinovich system.
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## 1. Introduction

The concept of the hyperchaos was first put forward by Rössler [1] in 1979. Any system with at least two positive Lyapunov exponents is defined as hyperchaotic. Compared to chaotic attractors, hyperchaotic attractors have more complicated dynamical phenomena and stronger randomness and unpredictability. Hyperchaotic systems have aroused wide interest from more and more researchers in the last decades. A number of papers have investigated various aspects of hyperchaos and many valuable results have been obtained. For instance, in applications, in order to improve the security of the cellular neural network system, the chaotic degree of the system can be enhanced by designing 5D memristive hyperchaotic system [2]. For higher computational security, a new 4D hyperchaotic cryptosystem was constructed by adding a new state to the Lorenz system and well used in the AMr-WB G.722.2 codec to fully and partially encrypt the speech codec [3]. In fact, hyperchaos has a wide range applications such as image encryption [4], Hopfield neural network [5] and secure communication [6] and other fields [7,8]. Meanwhile, there are many hyperchaotic systems have been presented so far. Aimin Chen and his cooperators constructed a 4D hyperchaotic system by adding a
state feedback controller to Lü system [9]. Based on Chen system, Z. Yan presented a new 4D hyperchaotic system by introducing a state feedback controller [10]. By adding a controlled variable, Gao et al. introduced a new 4D hyperchaotic Lorenz system [11]. Likewise, researchers also formulated 5D Shimizu-Morioka-type hyperchaotic system [12], 5D hyperjerk hypercaotic system [13] and 4D T hyperchaotic system [14] and so on.

In [15], a chaotic Rabinovich system was introduced

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=h y-a x+y z,  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{y}=h x-b y-x z, \\
\dot{z}=-c z+x y,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(x, y, z)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the state vector. When $(h, a, b, c)=(0.04,1.5,-0.3,1.67)$, (1.1) has chaotic attractor $[15,16]$. System (1.1) has similar properties to Lorenz system, the two systems can be considered as special cases of generalized Lorenz system in [17]. Liu and his cooperators formulated a new 4D hyperchaotic Rabinovich system by adding a linear controller to the 3D Rabinovich system [18]. The circuit implementation and the finite-time synchronization for the 4D hyperchaotic Rabinovich system was also studied in [19]. Reference [20] formulated a 4D hyperchaotic Rabinovich system and the dynamical behaviors were studied such as the hidden attractors, multiple limit cycles and boundedness. Based on the 3D chaotic Rabinovich system, Tong et al. presented a new 4D hyperchaotic system by introducing new state variable [21]. The hyperchaos can be generated by adding variables to a chaotic system, which has been verificated by scholars [3, 9-11, 14]. In [18-21], the hyperchaotic systems were presented by adding a variable to the second equation of system (1.1). In fact, hyperchaos can also be generated by adding a linear controller to the first equation and second equation of system (1.1). Based on it, the following hyperchaotic system is obtained

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=h y-a x+y z+k_{0} u,  \tag{1.2}\\
\dot{y}=h x-b y-x z+m u, \\
\dot{z}=-d z+x y, \\
\dot{u}=-k x-k y,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{0}, h, a, b, d, k, k_{0}, m$ are positive parameters. Like most hyperchaotic studies (see [14, 2224] and so on), the abundant dynamical properties of system (1.2) are investigated by divergence, phase diagrams, equilibrium points, Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation diagram and Poincaré maps. The results show that the new 4D Rabinovich system not only exhibit hyperchaotic and Hopf bifurcation behaviors, but also has the rich dynamical phenomena including periodic, chaotic and static bifurcation. In addition, the 4D projection figures are also given for providing more dynamical information.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In the next section, boundedness, dissipativity and invariance, equilibria and their stability of (1.2) are discussed. In the third section, the complex dynamical behaviors such as chaos and hyperchaos are numerically verified by Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation and Poincaré section. In the fourth section, the Hopf bifurcation at the zero equilibrium point of the 4D Rabinovich system is investigated. In addition, an example is given to test and verify the theoretical results. Finally, the conclusions are summarized in the last section.

## 2. Dynamical analysis of (1.2)

### 2.1. Boundedness

Theorem 2.1. If $k_{0}>m$, system (1.2) has an ellipsoidal ultimate bound and positively invariant set

$$
\Omega=\left\{(x, y, z, u) \left\lvert\, m x^{2}+k_{0} y^{2}+\left(k_{0}-m\right)\left[z-\frac{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)}{k_{0}-m}\right]^{2}+\frac{k_{0} m u^{2}}{k} \leq M\right.\right\},
$$

where

$$
M=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{h^{2} d^{2}\left(m+k_{0}\right)^{2}}{\left(k_{0}-m^{2}\right)(d d a)},\left(k_{0}>m, d>a\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{1}{4} \frac{h^{2} d^{2}\left(m+k_{0}\right)}{\left(k_{0}-m b\right) b(b+d)},\left(k_{0}>m, d>b\right), \\
\frac{\left(m+k_{0}\right)^{2} h^{2}}{k_{0}-m},\left(k_{0}>m\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. $V(x, y, z, u)=m x^{2}+k_{0} y^{2}+\left(k_{0}-m\right)\left[z-\frac{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)}{k_{0}-m}\right]^{2}+\frac{k_{0} m u^{2}}{k}$. Differentiating $V$ with respect to time along a trajectory of (1.2), we obtain

$$
\frac{\dot{V}(x, y, z, u)}{2}=-a m x^{2}-b y^{2} k_{0}+d h m z+d h z k_{0}+d m z^{2}-d z^{2} k_{0} .
$$

When $\frac{\dot{V}(x, y, z, u)}{2}=0$, we have the following ellipsoidal surface:

$$
\Sigma=\left\{(x, y, z, u) \left\lvert\, a m x^{2}+b k_{0} y^{2}+d\left(k_{0}-m\right)\left(z-\frac{h m+h k_{0}}{2 k_{0}-2 m}\right)^{2}=\frac{d h^{2}\left(k_{0}+m\right)^{2}}{4\left(k_{0}-m\right)}\right.\right\} .
$$

Outside $\Sigma$ that is,

$$
a m x^{2}+b k_{0} y^{2}+d\left(k_{0}-m\right)\left(z-\frac{h m+h k_{0}}{2 k_{0}-2 m}\right)^{2}<\frac{d h^{2}\left(k_{0}+m\right)^{2}}{4\left(k_{0}-m\right)}
$$

$\dot{V}<0$, while inside $\Sigma$, that is,

$$
a m x^{2}+b k_{0} y^{2}+d\left(k_{0}-m\right)\left(z-\frac{h m+h k_{0}}{2 k_{0}-2 m}\right)^{2}>\frac{d h^{2}\left(k_{0}+m\right)^{2}}{4\left(k_{0}-m\right)}
$$

$\dot{V}>0$. Thus, the ultimate bound for system (1.2) can only be reached on $\Sigma$. According to calculation, the maximum value of $V$ on $\Sigma$ is $V_{\max }=\frac{1}{4} \frac{h^{2} d^{2}\left(m+k_{0}\right)^{2}}{\left(k_{0}-m\right) a(d-a)},\left(k_{0}>m, d>a\right)$ and

$$
V_{\max }=\frac{1}{4} \frac{h^{2} d^{2}\left(m+k_{0}\right)^{2}}{\left(k_{0}-m\right) b(-b+d)},\left(k_{0}>m, d>b\right) ; \quad V_{\max }=\frac{\left(m+k_{0}\right)^{2} h^{2}}{k_{0}-m},\left(k_{0}>m\right) .
$$

In addition, $\Sigma \subset \Omega$, when a trajectory $X(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t), u(t))$ of (1.2) is outside $\Omega$, we get $\dot{V}(X(t))<0$. Then, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(X\left(t, t_{0}, X_{0}\right), \Omega\right)=0$. When $X(t) \in \Omega$, we also get $\dot{V}(X(t))<0$. Thus, any trajectory $X(t)\left(X(t) \neq X_{0}\right)$ will go into $\Omega$. Therefore, the conclusions of theorem is obtained.

### 2.2. Dissipativity and invariance

We can see that system (1.2) is invariant for the coordinate transformation

$$
(x, y, z, u) \rightarrow(-x,-y, z,-u)
$$

Then, the nonzero equilibria of (1.2) is symmetric with respect to $z$ axis. The divergence of (1.2) is

$$
\nabla W=\frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \dot{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \dot{z}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial u}=-(a+b+d),
$$

system (1.2) is dissipative if and only if $a+b+d>0$. It shows that each volume containing the system trajectories shrinks to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ at an exponential rate $-(a+b+d)$.

### 2.3. Equilibria

For $m \leq k_{0}$, system (1.2) only has one equilibrium point $O(0,0,0,0)$ and the Jacobian matrix at $O$ is

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-a & h & 0 & k_{0} \\
h & -b & 0 & m \\
0 & 0 & -d & 0 \\
-k & -k & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, the characteristic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d+\lambda)\left(\lambda^{3}+s_{2} \lambda^{2}+s_{1} \lambda+s_{0}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s_{2}=a+b, \quad s_{1}=a b-h^{2}+k m+k k_{0}, \quad s_{0}=a k m+b k k_{0}+h k m+h k k_{0} .
$$

According to Routh-Hurwitz criterion [25], the real parts of eigenvalues are negative if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d>0, a+b>0,(a+b)\left(a b-h^{2}\right)+k\left(a k_{0}+b m-h m-h k_{0}\right)>0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $m>k_{0}$, system (1.2) has other two nonzero equilibrium points $E_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, z_{1}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $E_{2}\left(-x_{1}^{*},-y_{1}^{*}, z_{1}^{*},-u_{1}^{*}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{d\left(a m+b k_{0}+h m+h k_{0}\right)}{m-k_{0}}}, y_{1}^{*}=-\sqrt{\frac{d\left(a m+b k_{0}+h m+h k_{0}\right)}{m-k_{0}}}, \\
z_{1}^{*}=-\frac{a m+b k_{0}+h m+h k_{0}}{m-k_{0}}, u_{1}^{*}=-\frac{a+b+2 h}{m-k_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{d\left(a m+b k_{0}+h m+h k_{0}\right)}{m-k_{0}}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The characteristic equation of Jacobi matrix at $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ is

$$
\lambda^{4}+\delta_{3} \lambda^{3}+\delta_{2} \lambda^{2}+\delta_{1} \lambda+\delta_{0}=0
$$

where

$$
\delta_{3}=a+b+d, \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{x_{1}^{* 4}}{d^{2}}+a b+a d+b d-h^{2}+k m+k k_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{d}\left[x_{1}^{* 2}\left(3 x_{1}^{* 2}+a d-b d+k k_{0}-k m\right)+k\left(m+k_{0}\right)(d+h)\right]+a b d+a k m+b k k_{0}-d h^{2}, \\
\delta_{0}=3 k k_{0} x_{1}^{* 2}-3 k m x_{1}^{* 2}+a k m d+b k k_{0} d+h k k_{0} d+h k m d .
\end{gathered}
$$

Based on Routh-Hurwitz criterion [25], the real parts of eigenvalues are negative if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0}>0, \delta_{3}>0, \delta_{3} \delta_{2}-\delta_{1}>0, \delta_{3} \delta_{2} \delta_{1}-\delta_{1}^{2}-\delta_{0} \delta_{3}^{2}>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have:
Theorem 2.2. (I) When $m \leq k_{0}$, system (1.2) only has one equilibrium point $O(0,0,0,0)$ and $O$ is asymptotically stable if and only if (2.3) is satisfied.
(II) when $m>k_{0}$, system (1.2) has two nonzero equilibria $E_{1}, E_{2},(2.4)$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for the asymptotically stable of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$.

## 3. Hyperchaos

When $\left(b, d, h, a, k_{0}, k, m\right)=(1,1,10,10,0.8,0.8,0.8)$, system (1.2) only has zero-equilibrium point $E_{0}(0,0,0,0,0)$, its corresponding characteristic roots are: $-1,0.230,5.232,-16.462, E_{0}$ is unstable. The Lyapunov exponents are: $L E_{1}=0.199, L E_{2}=0.083, L E_{3}=0.000, L E_{4}=-12.283$, system (1.2) is hyperchaotic. Figure 1 shows the hyperchaotic attractors on $z-x-y$ space and $y-z-u$ space. The Poincaré mapping on the $x-z$ plane and power spectrum of time series $x(t)$ are depicted in Figure 2.


Figure 1. Hyperchaotic attractors of (1.2), (I) $z-x-y$ space and (II) $y-z-u$ space.


Figure 2. (I) Poincaré mapping on the $x-z$ plane and (II) power spectrum of time series $x(t)$ for system (1.2).

In the following, we fix $b=1, d=1, h=10, a=10, k_{0}=0.8, m=0.8$, Figure 3 indicates the Lyapunov exponent spectrum of system (1.2) with respect to $k \in[0.005,1.8]$ and the corresponding bifurcation diagram is given in Figure 4. These simulation results illustrate the complex dynamical phenomena of system (1.2). When $k$ varies in [0.005, 1.8], there are two positive Lyapunov exponents, system (1.2) is hyperchaoic as $k$ varies.


Figure 3. Lyapunov exponents of (1.2) with $m \in[0.005,1.8]$.


Figure 4. Bifurcation diagram of system (1.2) corresponding to Figure 3.
Assume $b=1, d=1, h=10, k=0.8, k_{0}=0.8, m=0.8$, the different Lyapunov exponents and dynamical properties with different values of parameter $a$ are given in Table 1. It shows that system (1.2) has rich dynamical behaviors including periodic, chaos and hyperchaos with different parameters. The bifurcation diagram of system (1.2) with $a \in[0.5,5]$ is given in Figure 5. Therefore, we can see that periodic orbits, chaotic orbits and hyperchaotic orbits can occur with increasing of parameter $a$. When $a=1.08$, Figure 6 indicates the $(z, x, y, u) 4 \mathrm{D}$ surface of section and the location of the consequents is given in the $(z, x, y)$ subspace and are colored according to their $u$ value. The chaotic attractor and hyperchaotic attractor on $y-x-z$ space and hyperchaotic attractor on $y-z-u$ space are given in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. The Poincaré maps on $x-z$ plane with $a=2$ and $a=4$ are depicted in Figure 9.


Figure 5. Phase diagram of (1.2) with $a \in[0.55]$.


Figure 6. Phase diagram of system (1.2) with $a=1.08$.


Figure 7. Phase diagram of system (1.2) with $a=2$.

Table 1. Lyapunov exponents of (1.2) with $\left(b, d, h, k, k_{0}, m\right)=(1,1,10,0.8,0.8,0.8)$.

| $a$ | $L E_{1}$ | $L E_{2}$ | $L E_{3}$ | $L E_{4}$ | Dynamics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.08 | 0.000 | -0.075 | -4.661 | -2.538 | Periodic |
| 2 | 0.090 | 0.000 | -0.395 | -3.696 | Chaos |
| 4 | 0.325 | 0.047 | -0.000 | -6.373 | Hyperchaos |




Figure 8. Phase diagrams of (1.2) with $a=4$ (I) $y-x-z$ space, (II) $y-z-u$ space.


Figure 9. Poincaré maps of (1.2) in $x-z$ plane with $a=2$ and $a=4$, respectively.

## 4. Hopf bifurcation

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $a b>h^{2}$ and $k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)<0$ are satisfied. Then, as $m$ varies and passes through the critical value $k=\frac{a h^{2}+b h^{2}-a^{2} b-a b^{2}}{a k_{0}+b m-h m-h k_{0}}$, system (1.2) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $O(0,0,0,0)$.

Proof. Assume that system (1.2) has a pure imaginary root $\lambda=\mathrm{i} \omega,\left(\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. From (2.2), we get

$$
s_{2} \omega^{2}-s_{0}=0, \quad \omega^{3}-s_{1} \omega=0
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\omega_{0}=\sqrt{a b-h^{2}+k\left(k_{0}+m\right)}, \\
k & =k_{*}=\frac{(a+b)\left(h^{2}-a b\right)}{k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $k=k_{*}$ into (2.2), we have

$$
\lambda_{1}=\mathrm{i} \omega, \quad \lambda_{2}=\mathrm{i} \omega, \quad \lambda_{3}=-d, \quad \lambda_{4}=-(a+b) .
$$

Therefore, when $a b>h^{2}, k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)<0$ and $k=k_{*}$, the first condition for Hopf bifurcation [26] is satisfied. From (2.2), we have

$$
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(k_{*}\right)\right)\right|_{\lambda=i \omega_{0}}=\frac{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m}{2\left(s_{2}^{2}+s_{1}\right)}<0,
$$

Thus, the second condition for a Hopf bifurcation [26] is also met. Hence, Hopf bifurcation exists.
Remark 4.1. When $a b-h^{2}+k\left(k_{0}+m\right) \leq 0$, system (1.2) has no Hopf bifurcation at the zero equilibrium point.
Theorem 4.2. When $a b>h^{2}$ and $k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)<0$, the periodic solutions of (1.2) from Hopf bifurcation at $O(0,0,0,0)$ exist for sufficiently small

$$
0<\left|k-k_{*}\right|=\left|k-\frac{(a+b)\left(h^{2}-a b\right)}{k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)}\right| .
$$

And the periodic solutions have the following properties:
(I) if $\delta_{g}^{1}>0$ (resp., $\delta_{g}^{1}<0$ ), the hopf bifurcation of system (1.2) at $(0,0,0,0)$ is non-degenerate and subcritical (resp. supercritical), and the bifurcating periodic solution exists for $m>m_{*}$ (resp., $m<m_{*}$ ) and is unstable (resp., stable), where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{g}^{1}=\frac{1}{4 d \delta}\left(-k^{2} \delta_{01} k_{0}^{2}+a h \delta_{01} s_{1}+k \delta_{01} k_{0} s_{1}-2 d \delta_{03} \delta_{05}+2 d \delta_{04} \delta_{06}\right), \\
& \delta=\omega_{0}\left[\left(-a b+h^{2}\right)\left(a k k_{0}+h k k_{0}-h s_{1}\right)+k\left(b m-h k_{0}\right)\left(h a+h^{2}+k k_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-k(a k-h m)\left(a^{2}+h a-k k_{0}+s_{1}\right)\right], \\
& \delta_{01}=k \omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b m+a^{2} h k-a^{2} h k_{0}+a b h m+a h^{2} k-a h^{2} m-a h^{2} k_{0}+a k^{2} k_{0}-b k m k_{0}-h^{3} m\right. \\
& \left.-h k m k_{0}+h k k_{0}^{2}+b m s_{1}-h k_{0} s_{1}\right), \\
& \delta_{03}=\omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b k m-2 a^{2} b k k_{0}+a^{2} h k^{2}-a^{2} h k k_{0}+a b h k m+2 a b h k k_{0}+a h^{2} k^{2}-a h^{2} k m+a h^{2} k k_{0}\right. \\
& -a k^{3} k_{0}+b k^{2} m k_{0}-h^{3} k m-2 h^{3} k k_{0}+h k^{2} m k_{0} \\
& \left.-h k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+2 a^{2} b s_{1}-2 a h^{2} s_{1}-b k m s_{1}+h k k_{0} s_{1}\right), \\
& \delta_{04}=\left(-a b+h^{2}\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(a^{3} b-a^{2} h^{2}+a b h^{2}-2 a b k m+2 a b k k_{0}-a h k^{2}+2 a h k k_{0}-b h k m-h^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+h^{2} k m-h^{2} k k_{0}\right) s_{1}-a^{2} k^{3} k_{0}+a b k^{2} m k_{0}-2 a b k^{2} k_{0}^{2}-a h k^{3} k_{0}+a h k^{2} m k_{0}-a h k^{2} k_{0}^{2} \\
& +b h k^{2} m k_{0}+h^{2} k^{2} m k_{0}+h^{2} k^{2} k_{0}^{2}, \\
& \delta_{05}=\frac{1}{2 d^{2}+8 s_{1}}\left[2 \omega_{0}\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)+d\left(-h \omega_{0}^{2} a-k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+k k_{0} s_{1}\right],\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{06}=\frac{1}{2 d^{2}+8 s_{1}}\left[d\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)-2 \omega_{0}\left(k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+a h s_{1}-k k_{0} s_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

(II) The period and characteristic exponent of the bifurcating periodic solution are:

$$
T=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\left(1+\tau_{2 *} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)\right), \quad \beta=\beta_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)
$$

where $\epsilon=\frac{k-k_{z}}{\mu_{2}}+O\left[\left(k-k_{*}\right)^{2}\right]$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Re} C_{1}(0)}{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}=-\frac{\left(s_{2}^{2}+s_{1}\right) \delta_{g}^{1}}{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m}, \\
\tau_{2 *}=\frac{\delta_{g}^{2}}{\omega_{0}}-\frac{\delta_{g}^{1}\left(a m s_{2}+b k_{0} s_{2}+h m s_{2}+h k_{0} s_{2}+m s_{1}+k_{0} s_{1}\right)}{s_{1}\left(h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m\right)}, \\
\beta_{2}=\delta_{g}^{1}, \\
\delta_{g}^{2}=\frac{1}{4 d \delta}\left(-k^{2} \delta_{02} k_{0}^{2}+a h \delta_{02} s_{1}+k \delta_{02} k_{0} s_{1}-2 \delta_{03} \delta_{06} d-2 \delta_{04} \delta_{05} d\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

(III) The expression of the bifurcating periodic solution is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
u
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-k k_{0} \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-h \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right) \\
\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-a \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right) \\
\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{-k k_{0}\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right)+h s_{1} a}{2 d}+\delta_{05}-\delta_{06} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi t}{T}\right)\right] \\
-k(a+h) \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+k \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $k=k_{*}$, by straightforward computations, we can obtain

$$
t_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{i} h \omega_{0}-k k_{0} \\
k k_{0}-s_{1}+\mathrm{i} a \omega_{0} \\
0 \\
-\left(\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+a+h\right) k
\end{array}\right], t_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right], t_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a k_{0}-h m \\
b m-h k_{0} \\
0 \\
h^{2}-a b
\end{array}\right],
$$

which satisfy

$$
J t_{1}=\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} t_{1}, J t_{3}=-d t_{3}, J t_{4}=-(a+b) t_{4}
$$

Now, we use transformation $X=Q X_{1}$, where $X=(x, y, z, u)^{T}, X_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)^{T}$, and

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-k k_{0} & -h \omega_{0} & 0 & a k-h m \\
k k_{0}-s_{1} & -a \omega_{0} & 0 & b m-h k_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-k(a+h) & k \omega_{0} & 0 & h^{2}-a b
\end{array}\right],
$$

then, system (1.2) is transformed into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}=-\omega_{0} y_{1}+F_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
\dot{y}_{1}=\omega_{0} x_{1}+F_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right) \\
\dot{z}_{1}=-d z_{1}+F_{3}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right) \\
\dot{u}_{1}=-(a+b) u_{1}+F_{4}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=\omega_{0}\left[\left(-a b+h^{2}\right)\left(a k k_{0}+h k k_{0}-h s_{1}\right)+k\left(b m-h k_{0}\right)\left(h a+h^{2}+k k_{0}\right)-k(a k-h m)\left(a^{2}+h a-k k_{0}+s_{1}\right)\right], \\
& F_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\delta} z_{1}\left(f_{11} x_{1}+f_{12} y_{1}+f_{13} u_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{11}=-k k_{0} \omega_{0}\left(a b h-a k^{2}-h^{3}+h k m\right)+\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) \omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b-a h^{2}-b k m+h k k_{0}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{12}=-k k_{0} \omega_{0}\left(a b h-a k^{2}-h^{3}+h k m\right)+\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) \omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b-a h^{2}-b k m+h k k_{0}\right), \\
& f_{13}=-k k_{0} \omega_{0}\left(a b h-a k^{2}-h^{3}+h k m\right)+\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) \omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b-a h^{2}-b k m+h k k_{0}\right) \text {, } \\
& F_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)=-\frac{1}{\delta} z_{1}\left(f_{21} x_{1}+f_{22} y_{1}+f_{23} u_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{21}=\left(-a b+h^{2}\right)\left(2 k^{2} k_{0}^{2}-2 k k_{0} s_{1}+s_{1}^{2}\right)-k(a+h)\left(a k^{2} k_{0}-b k m k_{0}-h k m k_{0}+h k k_{0}^{2}+b m s_{1}-h k_{0} s_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& \left.f_{22}=-a \omega_{0}\left[a b k\left(m-k_{0}\right)-h k\left(a k_{0}-b m\right)\right]+a b s_{1}-h^{2} s_{1}\right]-h \omega_{0}\left[a k\left(a k+b k_{0}+h k-h m\right)-h^{2} k\left(m+k_{0}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& f_{23}=\left(h^{2}-a b\right)\left[h k k_{0}\left(m-k_{0}\right)-k k_{0}(a k-b m)-s_{1}\left(b m-h k_{0}\right)\right]+k(a+h)[a k(a k-2 h m) \\
& \left.+b m\left(b m-2 h k_{0}\right)+h^{2}\left(m^{2}+k_{0}^{2}\right)\right], \\
& F_{3}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\left[-k k_{0} x_{1}-h \omega_{0} y_{1}+(a k-h m) u_{1}\right]\left[\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) x_{1}-a \omega_{0} y_{1}+\left(b m-h k_{0}\right) u_{1}\right] \text {, } \\
& F_{4}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\delta} z_{1}\left(f_{41} x_{1}+f_{42} y_{1}+f_{43} u_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{41}=k k_{0}\left(h \omega_{0} k a+h^{2} \omega_{0} k+k^{2} k_{0} \omega_{0}\right)-\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) k \omega_{0}\left(a^{2}+a h-k k_{0}+s_{1}\right) \text {, } \\
& f_{42}=a^{3} \omega_{0}^{2} k+a^{2} \omega_{0}^{2} k h+h^{2} \omega_{0}^{2} k a-a k^{2} k_{0} \omega_{0}^{2}+h^{3} \omega_{0}^{2} k+k^{2} k_{0} h \omega_{0}^{2}+a k \omega_{0}^{2} s_{1}, \\
& f_{43}=-a^{2} b k m \omega_{0}-a^{2} h k^{2} \omega_{0}+a^{2} h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-a b h k m \omega_{0}-a h^{2} k^{2} \omega_{0}+a h^{2} k m \omega_{0}+a h^{2} k k_{0} \omega_{0}-a k^{3} k_{0} \omega_{0} \\
& +b k^{2} m k_{0} \omega_{0}+h^{3} k m \omega_{0}+h k^{2} m k_{0} \omega_{0}-h k^{2} k_{0}^{2} \omega_{0}-b k m \omega_{0} s_{1}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0} s_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{11}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}\right)\right]=0, \\
g_{02}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 \partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 \partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}}\right)\right]=0, \\
g_{20}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 \partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 \partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}}\right)\right]=0, \\
G_{21}=\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{\partial^{3} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\partial^{3} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\partial^{3} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{3} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial y_{1}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{3} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\partial^{3} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{3}}+\frac{\partial^{3} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{3} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial y_{1}}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By solving the following equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-d & 0 \\
0 & -(a+b)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{11}^{1} \\
\omega_{11}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{11}^{1} \\
h_{11}^{2}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-d-2 i \omega_{0} & 0 \\
0 & -(a+b)-2 i \omega_{0}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{20}^{1} \\
\omega_{20}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{20}^{1} \\
h_{20}^{2}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(k k_{0}+a h\right) s_{1}-k^{2} k_{0}^{2}\right], \\
h_{11}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{4}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{4}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}\right)=0, \\
h_{20}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[-k^{2} k_{0}^{2}-h s_{1} a+k k_{0} s_{1}+\left(h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right) i\right], \\
h_{20}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{4}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{4}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}-2 i \frac{\partial^{2} F_{4}}{\partial x_{1} \partial y_{1}}\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

one obtains

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{11}^{1}=\frac{1}{2 d}\left[h s_{1} a-k k_{0}\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right)\right], \quad \omega_{11}^{2}=0, \omega_{20}^{2}=0, \\
\omega_{20}^{1}=\frac{1}{2 d^{2}+8 s_{1}}\left\{2 \omega_{0}\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)+d\left(-h \omega_{0}^{2} a-k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+k k_{0} s_{1}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left[d\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)-2 \omega_{0}\left(k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+a h s_{1}-k k_{0} s_{1}\right)\right] i\right\}, \\
G_{110}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial z_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right)+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial z_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2 \delta}\left(\delta_{01}+\delta_{02} i\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{01}= & k \omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b m+a^{2} h k-a^{2} h k_{0}+a b h m+a h^{2} k-a h^{2} m-a h^{2} k_{0}+a k^{2} k_{0}-b k m k_{0}-h^{3} m\right. \\
& \left.-h k m k_{0}+h k k_{0}^{2}+b m s_{1}-h k_{0} s_{1}\right), \\
\delta_{02}= & \left(a b-h^{2}\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(a^{3} b-a^{2} h^{2}+a b h^{2}-2 a b k k_{0}-a h k^{2}+b h k m-h^{4}+h^{2} k m+h^{2} k k_{0}\right) s_{1} \\
& +k^{2} k_{0}\left(a^{2} k-b m a+2 a b k_{0}+a h k-a h m+a h k_{0}-b h m-h^{2} m-h^{2} k_{0}\right), \\
G_{110}^{2}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)\right]=0, \\
G_{101}^{1}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial z_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right)+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial z_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial z_{1}}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{2 \delta}\left[\delta_{03}+\delta_{04} i\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{03}=\omega_{0}\left(a^{2} b k m-2 a^{2} b k k_{0}+a^{2} h k^{2}-a^{2} h k k_{0}+a b h k m+2 a b h k k_{0}+a h^{2} k^{2}-a h^{2} k m+a h^{2} k k_{0}\right. \\
& \quad-a k^{3} k_{0}+b k^{2} m k_{0}-h^{3} k m-2 h^{3} k k_{0}+h k^{2} m k_{0} \\
&\left.-h k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+2 a^{2} b s_{1}-2 a h^{2} s_{1}-b k m s_{1}+h k k_{0} s_{1}\right), \\
& \delta_{04}=(- a b \\
&\left.+h^{2}\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(a^{3} b-a^{2} h^{2}+a b h^{2}-2 a b k m+2 a b k k_{0}-a h k^{2}+2 a h k k_{0}-b h k m-h^{4}\right. \\
&\left.+h^{2} k m-h^{2} k k_{0}\right) s_{1}-a^{2} k^{3} k_{0}+a b k^{2} m k_{0}-2 a b k^{2} k_{0}^{2}-a h k^{3} k_{0}+a h k^{2} m k_{0}-a h k^{2} k_{0}^{2} \\
&+b h k^{2} m k_{0}+h^{2} k^{2} m k_{0}+h^{2} k^{2} k_{0}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{101}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial y_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F_{2}}{\partial x_{1} \partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial u_{1}}\right)\right]=0, \\
g_{21}=G_{21}+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(2 G_{110}^{j} \omega_{11}^{j}+G_{101}^{j} \omega_{20}^{j}\right)=\delta_{g}^{1}+\delta_{g}^{2} i,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{g}^{1}=\frac{1}{4 d \delta}\left(-k^{2} \delta_{01} k_{0}^{2}+a h \delta_{01} s_{1}+k \delta_{01} k_{0} s_{1}-2 d \delta_{03} \delta_{05}+2 d \delta_{04} \delta_{06}\right), \\
\delta_{g}^{2}=\frac{1}{4 d \delta}\left(-k^{2} \delta_{02} k_{0}^{2}+a h \delta_{02} s_{1}+k \delta_{02} k_{0} s_{1}-2 \delta_{03} \delta_{06} d-2 \delta_{04} \delta_{05} d\right), \\
\delta_{05}=\frac{1}{2 d^{2}+8 s_{1}}\left[2 \omega_{0}\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)+d\left(-h \omega_{0}^{2} a-k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+k k_{0} s_{1}\right)\right], \\
\delta_{06}=\frac{1}{2 d^{2}+8 s_{1}}\left[d\left(-k k_{0} a \omega_{0}+h k k_{0} \omega_{0}-h \omega_{0} s_{1}\right)-2 \omega_{0}\left(k^{2} k_{0}^{2}+a h s_{1}-k k_{0} s_{1}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Based on above calculation and analysis, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}(0)=\frac{i}{2 \omega_{0}}\left(g_{20} g_{11}-2\left|g_{11}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\left|g_{02}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} g_{21}=\frac{1}{2} g_{21}, \\
\mu_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Re} C_{1}(0)}{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}=-\frac{\left(s_{2}^{2}+s_{1}\right) \delta_{g}^{1}}{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m}, \\
\tau_{2 *}=\frac{\delta_{g}^{2}}{\omega_{0}}-\frac{\delta_{g}^{1}\left(a m s_{2}+b k_{0} s_{2}+h m s_{2}+h k_{0} s_{2}+m s_{1}+k_{0} s_{1}\right)}{s_{1}\left(h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\omega_{0}\left(a m s_{2}+b k_{0} s_{2}+h m s_{2}+h k_{0} s_{2}+m s_{1}+k_{0} s_{1}\right)}{s_{1} s_{2}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}}, \\
\alpha^{\prime}(0)=\frac{h\left(k_{0}+m\right)-a k_{0}-b m}{2\left(s_{2}^{2}+s_{1}\right)}, \beta_{2}=2 \operatorname{Re} C_{1}(0)=\delta_{g}^{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note $\alpha^{\prime}(0)<0$. From $a b>h^{2}$ and $k_{0}(a-h)+m(b-h)<0$, we obtain that if $\delta_{g}^{1}>0$ (resp., $\delta_{g}^{1}<0$ ), then $\mu_{2}>0$ (resp., $\mu_{2}<0$ ) and $\beta_{2}>0$ (resp., $\beta_{2}<0$ ), the hopf bifurcation of system (1.2) at ( $0,0,0,0$ ) is non-degenerate and subcritical (resp. supercritical), and the bifurcating periodic solution exists for $k>k_{*}$ (resp., $k<k_{*}$ ) and is unstable (resp., stable).

Furthermore, the period and characteristic exponent are

$$
T=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\left(1+\tau_{2 *} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right)\right), \quad \beta=\beta_{2} \epsilon^{2}+O\left(\epsilon^{4}\right),
$$

where $\epsilon=\frac{k-k_{*}}{\mu_{2}}+O\left[\left(k-k_{*}\right)^{2}\right]$. And the expression of the bifurcating periodic solution is (except for an arbitrary phase angle)

$$
X=(x, y, z, u)^{T}=Q\left(\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{y}_{2}, \bar{y}_{3}, \bar{y}_{4}\right)^{T}=Q Y,
$$

where

$$
\bar{y}_{1}=\operatorname{Re} \mu, \quad \bar{y}_{1}=\operatorname{Im} \mu, \quad\left(\bar{y}_{3}, \bar{y}_{4}, \bar{y}_{5}\right)^{T}=\omega_{11}|\mu|^{2}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{20} \mu^{2}\right)+O\left(|\mu|^{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
\mu=\epsilon e^{\frac{2 i \pi \pi}{T}}+\frac{i \epsilon^{2}}{6 \omega_{0}}\left[g_{02} e^{-\frac{4 i \pi \pi}{T}}-3 g_{20} e^{\frac{4 i \pi \pi}{T}}+6 g_{11}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)=\epsilon e^{\frac{2 i t \pi}{T}}+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) .
$$

By computations, we can obtain

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
u
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-k k_{0} \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-h \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right) \\
\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right) \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)-a \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right) \\
\epsilon^{2}\left[\frac{-k k_{0}\left(k k_{0}-s_{1}\right)+h s_{1} a}{2 d}+\delta_{05}-\delta_{06} \sin \left(\frac{4 \pi t}{T}\right)\right] \\
-k(a+h) \epsilon \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)+k \omega_{0} \epsilon \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi t}{T}\right)
\end{array}\right]+O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)
$$

Based on the above discussion, the conclusions of Theorem 4.2 are proved.
In order to verify the above theoretical analysis, we assume

$$
d=2, h=1, k_{0}=1, m=2, b=1.5, a=0.5 .
$$

According to Theorem 4.1, we get $k_{*}=1$. Then from Theorem 4.2, $\mu_{2}=-4.375$ and $\beta_{2}=-0.074$, which imply that the Hopf bifurcation of system (1.2) at $(0,0,0,0)$ is nondegenerate and supercritical, a bifurcation periodic solution exists for $k<k_{*}=1$ and the bifurcating periodic solution is stable. Figure 10 shows the Hopf periodic solution occurs when $k=0.999<k_{*}=1$.



Figure 10. Phase portraits of (2.1) with $\left(d, h, k_{0}, m, b, a, k\right)=(2,1,1,2,1.5,0.5,0.999)$.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we present a new 4D hyperchaotic system by introducing a linear controller to the first equation and second equation of the 3D Rabinovich system, respectively. If $k_{0}=0, m=1$ and the fourth equation is changed to $-k y$, system (1.2) will be transformed to 4D hyperchaotic Rabinovich system in [18, 19]. Compared with the system in [18], the new 4D system (1.1) has two nonzero equilibrium points which are symmetric about $z$ axis when $m>k_{0}$ and the dynamical characteristics are more abundant. The complex dynamical behaviors, including boundedness, dissipativity and invariance, equilibria and their stability, chaos and hyperchaos of (1.2) are investigated and analyzed. Furthermore, the existence of Hopf bifurcation, the stability and expression of the Hopf bifurcation at zero-equilibrium point are studied by using the normal form theory and symbolic computations. In order to analyze and verify the complex phenomena of the system, some numerical simulations are
carried out including Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations and Poincaré maps, et al. The results show that the new 4D Rabinovich system can exhibit complex dynamical behaviors, such as periodic, chaotic and hyperchaotic. In the real practice, the hyperchaotic Rabinovich system can be applied to generate key stream for the entire encryption process in image encryption scheme [27]. In some cases, hyperchaos and chaos are usually harmful and need to be suppressed such as in biochemical oscillations [8] and flexible shaft rotating-lifting system [28]. Therefore, we will investigate hyperchaos control and chaos control in further research.
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