Mathematics

## Research article

# A multiplicity result for double phase problem in the whole space 

Yanfeng $\mathbf{L i}^{1}$ and Haicheng Liu ${ }^{2, *}$

${ }^{1}$ College of Science, Heilongjiang Bayi Agricultural University, Daqing, 163319, China
${ }^{2}$ College of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, 150001, China

* Correspondence: Email: liuhaicheng @hrbeu.edu.cn; Tel: +8613199081133.

Abstract: In the present paper, we discuss the solutions of the following double phase problem

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u+\mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{p-2} u+\mu(x)|u|^{q-2} u=f(x, u), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $N \geq 2,1<p<q<N$ and $0 \leq \mu \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \alpha \in(0,1]$. Based on the theory of the double phase Sobolev spaces $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we prove the existence of at least two non-trivial weak solutions.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the differential equations and variational problems driven by the so-called double phase operator have been greatly studied. The existence of solutions for double phase problems on bounded domains have been greatly discussed, see for example [1-9]. For unbounded domains, Liu and Dai [10], Liu and Winkert [11], Robert [12], Ge and Pucci [13] and Shen, Wang, Chi and Ge [14] investigated the existence and multiplicity of solutions for double phase problem.

In this paper we study the following double phase problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u+\mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u\right)+|u|^{p-2} u+\mu(x)|u|^{q-2} u=f(x, u), x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p<q<N$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q}{p} \leq 1+\frac{\alpha}{N}, 0 \leq \mu \in C^{0, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \alpha \in(0,1] . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first work concerning the ground state solution for problem $(P)$, was that of Liu and Dai [10]. More specifically, they studied the existence of at least three nontrivial solutions of $(P)$ under the following assumption on $f$ :
$\left(h_{1}\right) f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exists $\gamma \in\left(q, p^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq k(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}, k(x) \geq 0, k \in L^{\theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{\gamma}{\tau}=1$, here $\theta>1$ and $\tau \in\left(\gamma, p^{*}\right]$.
$\left(h_{2}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{\| t^{p-1}}=0$ uniformly in $x$.
( $h_{3}$ ) $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{t}}=+\infty$ uniformly in $x$.
$\left(h_{4}\right) \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{q-1}}$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0,+\infty)$.
It must be point out that $\left(h_{1}\right)$ is subcritical growth condition, $\left(h_{3}\right)$ means that $f(x, u)$ is superlinear at infinity; $\left(h_{4}\right)$ is a well-known Nehari-type condition. In the present paper, we will further study the existence of two non-trivial weak solutions of $(P)$ under the following sublinear growth condition:
$\left(h_{1}\right)^{\prime} f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exists $\gamma \in(1, p)$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq k(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}, \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R},
$$

where $k(x) \geq 0, k \in L^{\theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{\gamma}{p^{*}}=1$.
$\left(h_{5}\right)$ There exists a $C>1$ large enough, $c_{0}>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 0<r<1$ such that $f(x, t)=0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 0<|t| \leq \delta$ and

$$
f(x, t) \geq c_{0}|t-\delta|^{\gamma-1}, \forall x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right), t \in(\delta, 1],
$$

where $0<\delta<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c_{0} p r^{q}}{\gamma 2^{\gamma+1}\left(C^{q}+r^{q}\right) m_{\mu}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\gamma}}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $m_{\mu}=\max \left\{1, \sup _{x \in B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \mu(x)\right\}$.
Remark 1.1. There are many functions $f(x, t)$ satisfying $\left(h_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(h_{5}\right)$. For example,

$$
f(x, t)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } 0 \leq|t|<\delta \\ k_{1}(x)(t-\delta)^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if } t \geq \delta \\ k_{1}(x)(-t-\delta)^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if } t \leq-\delta\end{cases}
$$

where $k_{1}(x) \geq 0, k \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{\gamma}{p^{*}}=1$ and $\inf _{x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} k(x) \geq c_{0}>0$. Indeed,

$$
|f(x, t)| \begin{cases}\leq k_{1}(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if } 0 \leq|t| \leq \delta, \\ =k_{1}(x)(t-\delta)^{\gamma-1}<k_{1}(x)<\frac{k_{1}(x)}{\delta^{\gamma-1}|t|^{\gamma-1},}, & \text { if } \delta<t<1+\delta, \\ =k_{1}(x)(-t-\delta)^{\gamma-1}<k_{1}(x)<\frac{k_{1}(x)}{\delta^{\gamma-1}}|t|^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if }-1-\delta<t<-\delta, \\ =k_{1}(x)<k_{1}(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if }|t|=1+\delta, \\ <k_{1}(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}, & \text { if }|t|>1+\delta .\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we have $|f(x, t)| \leq k(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}$ with $k(x)=k_{1}(x)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta^{\gamma-1}}\right)$ and $f(x, t)=k_{1}(x)(t-\delta)^{\gamma-1} \geq(t-$ $\delta)^{\gamma-1} \inf _{x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} k_{1}(x)=c_{0}(t-\delta)^{\gamma-1}$ for all $x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\delta<t \leq 1$.

The main result of this paper establishes the following Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that hypotheses (1.1), $\left(h_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(h_{5}\right)$ hold. Then the problem ( $P$ ) has at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions $u_{0}, \widetilde{u}_{0}$ in $W^{1, h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\widetilde{u}_{0}(x) \leq u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Sketch of the proof. We introduce the following functions

$$
H(x, t)=t^{p}+\mu(x) t^{q}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times[0,+\infty)$. Now, let us consider the Musielak-Orlicz space

$$
L^{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H(x,|u|) d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
|u|_{H}=\inf \left\{\tau>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} H\left(x, \frac{|u|}{\tau}\right) d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

and the usual Musielak-Orlicz Sobolev space

$$
W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

equipped with the Luxemburg norm given by

$$
\|u\|=\inf \left\{\tau>0: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(H\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u|}{\tau}\right)+H\left(x, \frac{|u|}{\tau}\right)\right) d x \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Under Assumption 1.1, we have the following facts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { is separable reflexive Banach space } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [10, Theorem 2.7 (ii)]) and the following continuous embedding hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\vartheta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { for all } \vartheta \in\left[p, p^{*}\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [10, Theorem 2.7 (iii)]); and from [10, Proposition 2.6] we directly obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\|u\|^{p},\|u\|^{q}\right\} \leq \rho(u) \leq \max \left\{\|u\|^{p},\|u\|^{q}\right\}, \forall u \in W^{1, h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}[H(x,|\nabla u|)+H(x,|u|)] d x$.
We introduce the following two functionals in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|\nabla u|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|u|^{q}\right) d x, \\
& K(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$. Consider the $C^{1}$-functional $\varphi: W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\varphi(u)=J(u)-K(u) .
$$

We split the proof into several steps.
Step 1. The functional $\varphi$ is weakly lower semi-continuous in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

First, by Proposition 3.1 (ii) in [10], we known that $K$ is weakly continuous in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, it is enough to show that functional $J$ is weakly lower semi-continuous in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Since $J$ is convex, we deduced that the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq J\left(u_{n}\right)-J(u) .
$$

Then we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left[J\left(u_{n}\right)-J(u)\right] \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J\left(u_{n}\right)-J(u),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
J(u) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J\left(u_{n}\right) .
$$

Step 2. The functional $\varphi$ is coercive.
Set $M=\max \left\{1,\left(\frac{2 p \mid k_{\infty}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\gamma}}\right\}$. Then for any $u \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|\nabla u|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|u|^{q}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, u) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|\nabla u|^{q}+\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|u|^{q}\right) d x  \tag{1.5}\\
& +\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}-F(x, u)\right) d x+\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}-F(x, u)\right) d x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)| \geq M\right\}$ and $\Omega_{2}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{1}$.
On the one hand, it is easy to compute directly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}-F(x, u)\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega_{1}}|u|^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{|k|_{\infty}}{\gamma}|u|^{\gamma-p}\right) d x \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using Young's inequality, for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ we estimate

$$
\frac{k(x)|u(x)|^{\gamma}}{\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{\theta \gamma}\left(\frac{k(x)}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\theta}+\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\varepsilon|u(x)|^{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma}} .
$$

Then we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}-F(x, u)\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{2 p}-\frac{k(x)|u|^{\gamma}}{\gamma}\right) d x \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p}}{2 p}-\frac{1}{\theta \gamma}\left(\frac{k(x)}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\theta}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\varepsilon|u(x)|^{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma}}\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p^{*}}|u|^{p-p^{*}}}{2 p}-\frac{k(x)^{\theta}}{\theta \gamma \varepsilon^{\theta}}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \varepsilon^{\left.p^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma}}|u(x)|^{p^{*}}\right) d x}\right. \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{|u|^{p^{*}} M^{p-p^{*}}}{2 p}-\frac{k(x)^{\theta}}{\theta \gamma \varepsilon^{\theta}}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \varepsilon^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma}}|u(x)|^{p^{*}}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{p^{*} M^{p-p^{*}}}{2 p}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p^{*}}}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 p}|u|^{p}-F(x, u)\right) d x \geq-\int_{\Omega_{2}} \frac{k(x)^{\theta}}{\theta \gamma \varepsilon^{\theta}} d x \geq-C_{0} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, using (1.6) and (1.7) in (1.5) finally yields we obtain that

$$
\varphi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2 q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+\mu(x)|\nabla u|^{q}+|u|^{p}+\mu(x)|u|^{q}\right) d x-C_{0},
$$

so that by (1.4) it follows that $\varphi(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$.
Therefore, using Steps 1 and 2, and applying the Weierstrass Theorem, we deduce that there exists a global minimizer $u_{0} \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $\varphi$. The following Step 3 to show that $u_{0} \neq 0$.

Step 3. We have $\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{u \in W^{1, h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \varphi(u)<0$.
Let $\xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $\xi(x) \equiv 1, x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) ; 0 \leq \xi(x) \leq 1,|\nabla \xi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{r}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Denote $t=2 \delta$, then by assumption ( $h_{5}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(x, t \xi) d x & =\int_{B_{2 r} r\left(x_{0}\right)} F(x, t \xi) d x=\int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \int_{0}^{1 \xi} f(x, s) d s d x \\
& \geq c_{0} \int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \int_{\delta}^{2 \delta}(s-\delta)^{\gamma-1} d s d x \\
& =c_{0} \int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\gamma} d x=\frac{c_{0}}{\gamma 2^{\gamma}} t^{\gamma}\left|B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(t \xi) & =\int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla t \xi|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|\nabla t \xi|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}|t \xi|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|t \xi|^{q}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)} F(x, t \xi) d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p}}{p} m_{\mu} \int_{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(|\nabla \xi|^{p}+|\nabla \xi|^{q}+|\xi|^{p}+|\xi|^{q}\right) d x-\frac{c_{0}}{\gamma 2^{\gamma}} t^{\gamma}\left|B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2 t^{p}}{p}\left(1+\frac{C^{q}}{r^{q}}\right) m_{\mu}\left|B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|-\frac{c_{0}}{\gamma 2^{\gamma}} t^{\gamma}\left|B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Step 3 that $u_{0} \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a non-trivial weak solution of problem ( $P$ ). It remains to show that there exists another non-trivial weak solution of problem $(P)$.

Step 4. There exists a critical point $\widetilde{u}_{0} \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $\varphi$.
Let

$$
\widetilde{f}(x, t)= \begin{cases}f(x, t), & \text { if }|t| \leq\left|u_{0}(x)\right|, \\ f\left(x, u_{0}(x)\right), & \text { if }|t|>\left|u_{0}(x)\right|,\end{cases}
$$

and $\widetilde{F}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{f}(x, s) d s$. Then it follows from $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ that $\widetilde{f}(x, t): \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and

$$
|\widetilde{f}(x, t)| \leq k(x)|t|^{\gamma-1}
$$

Similarly to Proposition 3.1 (i) in [10], we get that the functional

$$
\widetilde{K}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}(x, u) d x
$$

is of class $C^{1}\left(W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and

$$
\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{f}(x, u) v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Next, we define the functional $\widetilde{\varphi}: W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(u)=J(u)-\widetilde{K}(u) .
$$

The same arguments as those used for functional $\varphi$ imply that $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C^{1}\left(W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is coercive. And by the definition of $\widetilde{\varphi}$, we get

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(u_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)<0 .
$$

In the following, we determine a critical point $\widetilde{u}_{0} \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of $\widetilde{\varphi}$, such that $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)>0$ via the Mountain Pass Theorem.

First, we will show that there exists $0<r_{0}<\min \left\{1,\left\|u_{0}\right\|\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{v \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) ;\|\nu\|=r_{0}} \widetilde{\varphi}(v)>0=\widetilde{\varphi}(0) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\left(h_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(h_{5}\right)$, for any $u \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $0<\|u\|<\min \left\{1,\left\|u_{0}\right\|\right\}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\varphi}(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|\nabla u|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^{p}+\frac{\mu(x)}{q}|u|^{q}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \widetilde{F}(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{q}\|u\|^{q}-\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}} \widetilde{F}(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{q}\|u\|^{q}-\int_{\Omega_{3}} \widetilde{F}(x, u(x)) d x-\int_{\Omega_{4}} \widetilde{F}(x, u(x)) d x  \tag{1.9}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{q}\|u\|^{q}-\int_{\Omega_{3}} \frac{k(x)}{\gamma}|u(x)|^{\gamma} d x-\int_{\Omega_{4}} \frac{k(x)}{\gamma}\left|u_{0}(x)\right|^{\gamma} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{q}\|u\|^{q}-\frac{2 \delta^{\gamma-q}}{\gamma} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}} k(x)|u(x)|^{q} d x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)| \leq\left|u_{0}(x)\right|\right\} \cap\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}, \Omega_{4}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\left|u_{0}(x)\right|\right\} \cap\{x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}$. Since $q<p^{*}$, then there exists $q<\tau<p^{*}$ such that $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Thus, there exists a positive constant $C_{\tau}$ such that

$$
|u|_{T} \leq C_{\tau}\|u\|, \forall u \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the above estimate, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}} k(x)|u(x)|^{q} d x \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|k(x)|^{\tau^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\tau^{T}}}\left(\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|u(x)|^{\tau} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{\tau}}  \tag{1.10}\\
\leq & \left(\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|k(x)|^{\tau^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\tau^{\tau}}} C_{\tau}^{q}\|u\|^{q},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}}+\frac{q}{\tau}=1$.
By inequalities (1.9) and (1.10), we infer that it is enough to show that

$$
\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|k(x)|^{\tau^{\prime}} d x \rightarrow 0 \text {, as }\|u\| \rightarrow 0
$$

in order to prove (1.8). Indeed, taking into account the fact that $k \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{q} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}(k(x))^{\tau^{\prime}} d x & \leq \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}(k(x))^{\tau^{\prime}}|u(x)|^{q} d x \\
& \leq|k|_{\infty}^{\tau^{\prime}} \int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|u(x)|^{q} d x \\
& \leq|k|_{\infty}^{\tau^{\prime}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u(x)|^{q} d x \leq|k|_{\infty}^{\tau^{\prime}} C_{q}^{q}\|u\|^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|u(x)|>\delta\right\}}|k(x)|^{\tau^{\prime}} d x \rightarrow 0, \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow 0
$$

In view of Mountain Pass Theorem (see Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz [15] with the variant given by Theorem 1.15 in Willem [16]), there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c>0 \text { and } \widetilde{\varphi}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $c=\inf _{\lambda \in \Gamma} \max _{t[0,1]} \widetilde{\varphi}(\lambda(t))$, and

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\lambda \in C\left([0,1], W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right): \lambda(0)=0, \lambda(1)=u_{0}\right\} .
$$

Since the functional $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is coercive, we obtain that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, we may assume that there exists a $\widetilde{u}_{0} \in W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup \widetilde{u}_{0}$ weakly in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By (1.3), we deduce that

$$
W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\nu^{p^{*}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Thus, there is a positive constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\max \left\{\left|u_{n}\right|_{\gamma},\left|u_{n}\right|_{p^{*}},\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{\gamma},\left.\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{p^{*}}\right\} \leq M .
$$

We first will prove that the $u_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{0}$ in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is enough to show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Denote $\Omega_{j}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x| \leq j\right\}$ and $\Omega_{j}^{c}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the fact that $k \in L^{\theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we deduce that

$$
|k|_{L^{\theta}\left(\Omega_{j}^{c}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } j \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

and so for given $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, there exists $j_{0}>0$ big enough such that

$$
|k|_{L^{\theta}\left(\Omega_{\left.j_{0}\right)}^{c}\right.}<\frac{\varepsilon}{8 M^{\gamma}}
$$

We also known that $u_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{0}$ in $L^{\nu}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)$ because the embedding $W^{1, H}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\nu}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)$ is compact. It follows that there exists $n_{0}>0$, such that

$$
\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4|k|_{\infty} M^{\gamma-1}}, \forall n>n_{0}
$$

By a straightforward computation we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle\right| \\
= & \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\widetilde{f}\left(x, u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{f}\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{0}\right)\left(u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right) d x \mid\right. \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega_{j_{0}}} k(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right| d x \\
+ & \int_{\Omega_{j_{0}}^{c}} k(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right| d x \\
= & : I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and condition $\left(h_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \leq|k|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega_{j_{0}}}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right| d x \\
& \leq|k|_{\infty}\left[\left.\left.| | u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)}}+\left|\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right|_{L^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)}\right]\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)} \\
& \leq|k|_{\infty}\left[\left|u_{n}\right|_{\left.L^{\gamma} \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\gamma-1}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\gamma-1}\right]\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)} \\
& \leq 2|k|_{\infty} M^{\gamma-1}\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}\right)}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2} \leq \int_{\Omega_{j_{0}}^{c}} k(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}+\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right)\left|u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right| d x \\
& \leq|k|_{L^{\theta}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}^{c}\right.}\left[\left.\left.| | u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}}{\gamma-1}}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}+\left|\left|u_{n}\right|^{\gamma-1}\right|_{L^{\frac{p^{*}}{}}}\right]\left|u_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|_{L^{\nu^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leq|k|_{L^{\theta}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}^{c}\right)}\left[\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\gamma-1}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right]\left(\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{\nu^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\left|\widetilde{u_{0}}\right|_{\left.L^{p^{*}}{ }_{\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq 4|k|_{L^{\theta}\left(\Omega_{j_{0}}^{c}\right)} M^{\gamma} \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$
\left|\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon,
$$

when $n \geq n_{0}$. By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{K}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle=0
$$

Noting that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle=0
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right), u_{n}-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Due to Proposition 1.2 (ii) in [10], we have that $u_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{u}_{0}$ in $W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Since $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C^{1}\left(W^{1, H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right.$, $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we observe that $\widetilde{u}_{0}$ is a non-trivial critical point of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ because $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=c>0$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=0$.

Finally, we will show that $\widetilde{u}_{0}(x) \leq u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Indeed, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right),\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left[\left|\nabla \widetilde{v}_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right] \nabla\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}\right. \\
& +\mu\left[\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{q-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{0}\right] \nabla\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+} \\
& \left.+\left[\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u\right] \widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+} \\
& \left.\left.+\mu\left[\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{q-2} \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|u_{0}\right|^{q-2} u_{0}\right] \widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\widetilde{f}\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{0}\right)-f\left(x, u_{0}\right)\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+} d x \\
& =\int_{\left[\widetilde{u}_{0} \geq u_{0}\right]}\left(\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{0}\right) \nabla\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}\right. \\
& +\mu\left(\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{q-2} \nabla \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{q-2} \nabla u_{0}\right) \nabla\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+} \\
& +\left(\left(\left.\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u\right]\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+} \\
& \left.+\mu\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{q-2} \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|u_{0}\right|^{q-2} u_{0}\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}\right) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right)^{+}=\max \left\{0, \widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right\}$ and $\left[\widetilde{u}_{0} \geq u_{0}\right]=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \widetilde{u}_{0}(x) \geq u_{0}(x)\right\}$. Obviously, the each term on the right hand side of above equality is non-negative, then we conclude that

$$
\int_{\left[\widetilde{u}_{0} \geq u_{0}\right]}\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{0}-\left|u_{0}\right|^{p-2} u\right)\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-u_{0}\right) d x=0,
$$

which implies that $\widetilde{u}_{0}(x)=u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \widetilde{u}_{0}(x) \geq u_{0}(x)\right\}$. Consequently, $\widetilde{u}_{0}(x) \leq u_{0}(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. This immediately yields

$$
\widetilde{f}\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=f\left(x, \widetilde{u}_{0}\right) \text { and } \widetilde{K}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=K\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right) .
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\varphi\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right) \text { and } \varphi^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right),
$$

which yields that $\widetilde{u}_{0}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$, and so a weak solution of problem $(P)$. Recall that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=$ $c>0>\varphi\left(u_{0}\right)$. Thus we see that $\widetilde{u}_{0}$ is non-trivial. Therefore, $\widetilde{u}_{0} \neq u_{0}$ and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Conclusions

In this paper, we have discussed a class of sublinear double phase problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Some new criteria to guarantee that the existence of two non-trivial weak solutions for the considered problem $(P)$ is established by using the Weierstrass Theorem and Mountain Pass Theorem. Our results are obtained to improve and supplement some corresponding results.
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