



Research article

Extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with application

Naeem Saleem¹, Salman Furqan¹, Mujahid Abbas^{2,3} and Fahd Jarad^{4,5,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

³ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Cankaya University, 06790 Etimesgut, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

* **Correspondence:** Email: fahd@cankaya.edu.tr

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space which generalizes rectangular fuzzy b -metric space and rectangular fuzzy metric space. We show that an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space is not Hausdorff. A Banach fixed point theorem is proved as a special case of our main result where a Ćirić type contraction was employed. Our main result generalizes some comparable results in rectangular fuzzy b -metric space and rectangular fuzzy metric space. We provide some examples to support the concepts and results presented herein. As an application of our result, we obtain the existence of the solution of the integral equation.

Keywords: fuzzy metric space; rectangular fuzzy b -metric space; Ćirić type contractions; fixed points; integral equations

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction

Fréchet [1] introduced the concept of a distance between two abstract objects. A well known Banach contraction principle [2] in metric spaces has been extended in various directions [3–7]. Zadeh [8] introduced the concept of a fuzzy set, back in 1965, as an extension of a crisp set where each element of a set has some membership values between $[0, 1]$. Since then, several mathematical structures have been transformed to fuzzy sets, see [9–15]. Kramosil and Michálek [16] applied this theory to metric spaces and defined fuzzy metric space which could be viewed as a reformulation of statistical metric spaces [17]. Grabiec [18] first defined the concept of convergence of a sequence and a Cauchy sequence

and then proved the Banach and Edelstein contraction principles in fuzzy metric space. In 1994, George and Veeramani [19] modified the definition of a fuzzy metric space given in [16]. This generalized definition of a fuzzy metric space is now being used widely [20–26].

Bakhtin [27] introduced the concept of a b -metric space which generalizes the notion of metric space. In 1993, Czerwik [28] studied some contractive mappings in b -metric space. Branciari [29] introduced rectangular metric spaces and proved Banach-Caccippoli type fixed point result in this new setup. In 2016, Roshan et al. [30] extended the notion of a rectangular metric space by introducing b -Branciari or b -rectangular metric space. Nădăban [31] generalized the notion of a fuzzy metric space in the sense of Kramosil and Michálek by introducing fuzzy b -metric space. He also introduced the concept of fuzzy quasi b -metric space as the generalization of a fuzzy quasi metric space. In [32], authors replaced the constant b in the definition of b -metric space with some function θ and introduced the extended b -metric space, while in [33] the definition of a fuzzy b -metric space was generalized to extended fuzzy b -metric space. The generalization of a fuzzy rectangular metric space to fuzzy rectangular b -metric space is given in [34]. Asim et al. [35] proved fixed point results for contractive mapping in extended rectangular b -metric space. Sezen [36] introduced the concept of controlled fuzzy metric space by using a controlled function λ and proved some interesting fixed point results in this space. Mani [23] et al. introduced the concept of a fuzzy triple controlled bipolar metric spaces and proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of an integral equation.

In this paper, we follow the definition of George and Veeramani and define extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space as an extension of fuzzy rectangular b -metric space, fuzzy rectangular metric space, extended fuzzy b -metric space, fuzzy b -metric space and fuzzy metric space. We generalize the Banach contraction principle in the framework of extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [37] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a continuous t -norm if

- 1) $*$ is continuous, commutative and associative,
- 2) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- 3) $a * b \leq c * d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ provided that $a \leq c, b \leq d$.

Definition 2.2. [38] Let X be a non-empty set, $*$ be a continuous t -norm. A fuzzy set $\mathcal{M}_r : X \times X \times (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy rectangular metric on X , if for distinct $u, x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s, w > 0$, following conditions hold:

- (M_r 1) $\mathcal{M}_r(x, y, t) = 0$,
- (M_r 2) $\mathcal{M}_r(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (M_r 3) $\mathcal{M}_r(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}_r(y, x, t)$,
- (M_r 4) $\mathcal{M}_r(x, z, (t + s + w)) \geq \mathcal{M}_r(x, y, t) * \mathcal{M}_r(y, u, s) * \mathcal{M}_r(u, z, w)$,
- (M_r 5) $\mathcal{M}_r(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_r(x, y, t) = 1$.

The triplet $(X, \mathcal{M}_r, *)$ is called a fuzzy rectangular metric space.

Definition 2.3. [34] Let \mathcal{X} be a non-empty set, $*$ be a continuous t -norm and $b \geq 1$ be a real number. A fuzzy set $\mathcal{M}_{rb} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy rectangular b -metric on \mathcal{X} , if for distinct $u, x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t, s, w > 0$, following conditions hold:

$$(M_{rb1}) \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, t) = 0,$$

$$(M_{rb2}) \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(M_{rb3}) \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t),$$

$$(M_{rb4}) \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, z, b(t + s + w)) \geq \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, t) * \mathcal{M}_{rb}(y, u, s) * \mathcal{M}_{rb}(u, z, w),$$

$$(M_{rb5}) \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is left continuous and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_{rb}(x, y, t) = 1.$$

The triplet $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}_{rb}, *)$ is called a fuzzy rectangular b -metric space.

3. Main results

In this section we will define the notion of an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space that generalizes many fuzzy metric spaces in the literature.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a non-empty set, $*$ be a continuous t -norm and $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. A fuzzy set $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric on \mathcal{X} , if for distinct $u, x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t, s, w > 0$, following conditions hold:

$$(M1) \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) > 0,$$

$$(M2) \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$(M3) \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, x, t),$$

$$(M4) \mathcal{M}(x, z, \alpha(x, z)(t + s + w)) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, u, s) * \mathcal{M}(u, z, w),$$

$$(M5) \mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is continuous.}$$

The triplet $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

Remark 3.1. (i) Taking $\alpha(x, z) = b \geq 1$ in (M4), then an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space reduces to a fuzzy rectangular b -metric space [34].

(ii) Taking $\alpha(x, z) = 1$ in ([M4]), then an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space reduces to a fuzzy rectangular metric space [38].

(iii) Taking $u = z$ and $s + w = t'$ in ([M4]), then an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space reduces to extended fuzzy b -metric space [33].

(iv) Taking $u = z$ and $s + w = t'$ and $\alpha(x, z) = b \geq 1$ in ([M4]), then an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space reduces to fuzzy b -metric space [31].

(iv) Taking $u = z$ and $s + w = t'$ and $\alpha(x, z) = 1$ in ([M4]), then an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space reduces to fuzzy metric space [19].

We now give an example of an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. If we define $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ for all x, y in \mathcal{X} and $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by $\alpha(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 1$. Then $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric on \mathcal{X} provided that $*$ is a minimum t -norm, that is, $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1, 2) &= \alpha(2, 1) = 6, \alpha(1, 3) = \alpha(3, 1) = 11, \alpha(1, 4) = \alpha(4, 1) = 18, \\ \alpha(2, 3) &= \alpha(3, 2) = 14, \alpha(2, 4) = \alpha(4, 2) = 21, \alpha(3, 4) = \alpha(4, 3) = 26, \\ \alpha(1, 1) &= 3, \alpha(2, 2) = 9, \alpha(3, 3) = 19, \text{ and } \alpha(4, 4) = 33, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(1, 2) &= d(2, 1) = d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) = d(3, 4) = d(4, 3) = 1, \\ d(1, 3) &= d(3, 1) = d(2, 4) = d(4, 2) = 4, \quad d(1, 4) = d(4, 1) = 9, \text{ and} \\ d(x, x) &= 0, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that first three axioms (M1–M3) clearly hold. To prove the axiom (M4), we discuss the following cases:

Case 3.1. Let $x = 1, z = 4$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) &= \frac{\alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)}{\alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w) + d(1, 4)} = \frac{18(t + s + w)}{18(t + s + w) + 9}, \\ &= \frac{2(t + s + w)}{2(t + s + w) + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2(t + s + w) + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(1, 2, t) &= \frac{t}{t + d(1, 2)} = \frac{t}{t + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}, \\ \mathcal{M}(2, 3, s) &= \frac{s}{s + d(2, 3)} = \frac{s}{s + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{s + 1}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{M}(3, 4, w) &= \frac{w}{w + d(3, 4)} = \frac{w}{w + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{w + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$1 - \frac{1}{2(t + s + w) + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2t + 2s + 2w + 1} > 1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(1, 2, t),$$

similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(2, 3, s),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(3, 4, w).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(1, 2, t) * \mathcal{M}(2, 3, s) * \mathcal{M}(3, 4, w).$$

Case 3.2. Let $x = 2$, and $z = 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(2, 4, \alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)) &= \frac{\alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)}{\alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w) + d(2, 4)} = \frac{21(t + s + w)}{21(t + s + w) + 4}, \\ &= 1 - \frac{4}{21(t + s + w) + 4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(2, 3, t) &= \frac{t}{t + d(2, 3)} = \frac{t}{t + 1} = 1 - \frac{4}{4t + 4}, \\ \mathcal{M}(3, 1, s) &= \frac{s}{s + d(3, 1)} = \frac{s}{s + 4} = 1 - \frac{4}{s + 4}, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 4, w) &= \frac{w}{w + d(1, 4)} = \frac{w}{w + 9} = 1 - \frac{9}{w + 9}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$1 - \frac{4}{21t + 21s + 21w + 4} > 1 - \frac{4}{21t + 4} > 1 - \frac{4}{4t + 4},$$

implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(2, 4, \alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(2, 3, t).$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{M}(2, 4, \alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(3, 1, s),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(2, 4, \alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(1, 4, w).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{M}(2, 4, \alpha(2, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(2, 3, t) * \mathcal{M}(3, 1, s) * \mathcal{M}(1, 4, w).$$

Case 3.3. If $x = 3$, and $z = 4$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(3, 4, \alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)) &= \frac{\alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)}{\alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w) + d(3, 4)} = \frac{26(t + s + w)}{26(t + s + w) + 1}, \\ &= \frac{104(t + s + w)}{104(t + s + w) + 4} = 1 - \frac{4}{104(t + s + w) + 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(3, 1, t) &= \frac{t}{t + d(3, 1)} = \frac{t}{t + 4} = 1 - \frac{4}{t + 4}, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 2, s) &= \frac{s}{s + d(1, 2)} = \frac{s}{s + 1} = 1 - \frac{1}{s + 1}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(2, 4, w) = \frac{w}{w + d(2, 4)} = \frac{w}{w + 4} = 1 - \frac{4}{w + 4}.$$

Now

$$1 - \frac{4}{104(t + s + w) + 4} > 1 - \frac{4}{104t + 4} > 1 - \frac{4}{t + 4},$$

implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(3, 4, \alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(3, 1, t).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{M}(3, 4, \alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(2, 4, w).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{26(t + s + w)}{26(t + s + w) + 1} &= 1 - \frac{1}{26(t + s + w) + 1}, \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{26s + 1} > 1 - \frac{1}{s + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

gives that

$$\mathcal{M}(3, 4, \alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(1, 2, s).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{M}(3, 4, \alpha(3, 4)(t + s + w)) > \mathcal{M}(3, 1, t) * \mathcal{M}(1, 2, s) * \mathcal{M}(2, 4, w).$$

Thus in each case, we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, \alpha(x, y)(t + s + w)) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, u, s) * \mathcal{M}(u, y, w)$$

for all distinct $u, x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t, s, w > 0$. Hence $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

We now give an example of extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with product t -norm but is not an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with minimum t -norm.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Define $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = x + y + 1.$$

Define a mapping $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = \frac{\min\{x, y\} + t}{\max\{x, y\} + t}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with product t -norm, that is, $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1, 2) &= \alpha(2, 1) = 4, \quad \alpha(1, 3) = \alpha(3, 1) = 5, \\ \alpha(1, 4) &= \alpha(4, 1) = 6, \quad \alpha(2, 3) = \alpha(3, 2) = 6, \\ \alpha(2, 4) &= \alpha(4, 2) = 7, \quad \alpha(3, 4) = \alpha(4, 3) = 8, \\ \alpha(1, 1) &= 3, \quad \alpha(2, 2) = 5, \quad \text{and } \alpha(3, 3) = 7, \alpha(4, 4) = 9. \end{aligned}$$

It is straight forward to verify axioms (M1) to (M3). We verify axiom (M4). For this, we consider the following cases:

Case 3.4. If $x = 1$, and $z = 4$, then either $y = 2$ and $u = 3$ or $y = 3$ and $u = 2$. We prove the case for $y = 2$ and $u = 3$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) &= \frac{\min\{1, 4\} + \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)}{\max\{1, 4\} + \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)}, \\ &= \frac{1 + 6(t + s + w)}{4 + 6(t + s + w)}, \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1+t}{2+t}\right)\left(\frac{2+s}{3+s}\right)\left(\frac{3+w}{4+w}\right), \\ &= \mathcal{M}(1, 2, t) * \mathcal{M}(2, 3, s) * \mathcal{M}(3, 4, w). \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 4, \alpha(1, 4)(t + s + w)) \geq \mathcal{M}(1, 2, t) * \mathcal{M}(2, 3, s) * \mathcal{M}(3, 4, w).$$

The proof of the case for $y = 3$ and $u = 2$ is similar. Similarly, one can verify the remaining cases. Hence $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with product t -norm. However, $\mathcal{M}(x, y, *)$ is not an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with respect to minimum t -norm. For instance, if we take

$$x = 1, y = 2, u = 4, z = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad t = 0.01, s = 0.02, w = 0.03,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(1, 3, \alpha(1, 3)(0.01 + 0.02 + 0.03)) &= \frac{1 + 5(0.06)}{3 + 5(0.06)} = 0.3939, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 2, 0.01) &= \frac{1 + 0.01}{2 + 0.01} = 0.5025, \\ \mathcal{M}(2, 4, 0.02) &= \frac{2 + 0.02}{4 + 0.02} = 0.5025, \\ \mathcal{M}(4, 3, 0.03) &= \frac{3 + 0.03}{4 + 0.03} = 0.7519. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{M}(1, 3, \alpha(1, 3)(0.01 + 0.02 + 0.03)) \not\geq \mathcal{M}(1, 2, 0.01) * \mathcal{M}(2, 4, 0.02) * \mathcal{M}(4, 3, 0.03).$$

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\mathcal{M}(x_1, x_2, t) = e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{t}}$$

for all $t > 0$ with $t_1 * t_2 = t_1 t_2$ and $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = 3(x_1 + x_2)$. Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space but not a rectangular fuzzy metric space. Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 be distinct elements in X and $t, s, w > 0$. As

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 - x_4)^2 &= (x_1 - x_2 + x_2 - x_3 + x_3 - x_4)^2 \\ &\leq 3\left((x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(x_1, x_4, \alpha(x_1, x_4)(t + s + w)) &= e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_4)^2}{\alpha(x_1, x_4)(t + s + w)}}, \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{3\left((x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2\right)}{3(x_1 + x_4)(t + s + w)}}, \\
 &= e^{-\frac{\left((x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2\right)}{t(x_1 + x_4) + s(x_1 + x_4) + w(x_1 + x_4)}}, \\
 &= e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{t(x_1 + x_4) + s(x_1 + x_4) + w(x_1 + x_4)}} \\
 &\quad e^{-\frac{(x_2 - x_3)^2}{t(x_1 + x_4) + s(x_1 + x_4) + w(x_1 + x_4)}} \\
 &\quad e^{-\frac{(x_3 - x_4)^2}{t(x_1 + x_4) + s(x_1 + x_4) + w(x_1 + x_4)}}, \\
 &\geq e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{t}} * e^{-\frac{(x_2 - x_3)^2}{s}} * e^{-\frac{(x_3 - x_4)^2}{w}}, \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(x_1, x_2, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_2, x_3, s) * \mathcal{M}(x_3, x_4, w).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a non-empty set and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an α -non-decreasing if for $t < s$, we have $f(t) \leq f(\alpha(x, y)s)$. If $\alpha(x, y) = b \geq 1$, then f is called b -non-decreasing. if we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$, then f is called non-decreasing.

Lemma 3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a continuous function, then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot)$ is α -non-decreasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let $0 < t < s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{M}(x, y, s\alpha(x, y)) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, \frac{s-t}{2}) * \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, \frac{s-t}{2}), \\
 &= 1 * \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) * 1, \\
 &= \mathcal{M}(x, y, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{M}(x, y, s\alpha(x, y)) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ which shows that $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot)$ is α -non-decreasing for all $x, y \in X$. \square

On the basis of Lemma 3.1, we have following observations.

- Remark 3.2.** (i) An extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric is not increasing.
(ii) If we choose $\alpha(x, y) = b \geq 1$, then every rectangular fuzzy b -metric is b -non-decreasing.
(iii) Every extended fuzzy b -metric is an α -non-decreasing, where $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty)$.
(iv) If we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$, then every rectangular fuzzy metric is non-decreasing.

Definition 3.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space, $x \in X$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. An open ball with center x and radius r is denoted by $B(x, r, t)$ and is defined as:

$$B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) > 1 - r\},$$

where, $t > 0$.

In the following example, we show that an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space is not Hausdorff.

Example 3.4. Consider an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space as given in Example 3.1. Consider the open ball with center $x_1 = 1$, radius $r_1 = 0.5$ and $t = 7$ as

$$B_1(1, 0.5, 7) = \{y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} : \mathcal{M}(1, y, 7) > 0.5\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(1, 1, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(1, 1)} = \frac{7}{7 + 0} = 1, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 2, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(1, 2)} = \frac{7}{7 + 1} = 0.8750, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 3, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(1, 3)} = \frac{7}{7 + 4} = 0.6364, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, 4, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(1, 4)} = \frac{7}{7 + 9} = 0.4375.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$B_1(1, 0.5, 7) = \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Now consider the open ball with center $x_2 = 2$, radius $r_2 = 0.45$ and $t = 7$ as

$$B_2(2, 0.45, 7) = \{y \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} : \mathcal{M}(2, y, 7) > 0.55\}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(2, 1, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(2, 1)} = \frac{7}{7 + 1} = 0.8750, \\ \mathcal{M}(2, 2, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(2, 2)} = \frac{7}{7 + 0} = 1, \\ \mathcal{M}(2, 3, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(2, 3)} = \frac{7}{7 + 1} = 0.8750, \\ \mathcal{M}(2, 4, 7) &= \frac{7}{7 + d(2, 4)} = \frac{7}{7 + 4} = 0.6364.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$B_2(2, 0.45, 7) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Note that $B_1(1, 0.5, 7) \cap B_2(2, 0.45, 7) = \{1, 2, 3\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \neq \emptyset$. Which shows $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is not Hausdorff.

Analogous to the concepts of Cauchy sequence and the convergence of sequences given in [19], we have the following definition in the settings of an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space:

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called:

1) convergent sequence if there exists $x \in X$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = 1, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

2) Cauchy sequence if and only if,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1, \text{ } p \geq 1, t > 0.$$

An extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X .

Lemma 3.2. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ such that $x_m \neq x_n$ whenever $m \neq n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to at most one point in X .

Proof. On the contrary suppose that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightarrow y$ for $x \neq y$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, y, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(x, x_n, \frac{t}{3}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(x_n, x_m, \frac{t}{3}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(x_m, y, \frac{t}{3}\right), \\ &\rightarrow 1 * 1 * 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. So $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1$, a contradiction as $x \neq y$. □

Following lemma is needed in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 3.3. [39] Let x, y be any two points in a fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. If for any $k \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t),$$

then $x = y$.

Definition 3.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq C(x, y, t), \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \quad (3.1)$$

is called Ćirić type fuzzy contraction, where

$$C(x, y, t) = \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)}, \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, Ty, 3t), \mathcal{M}(y, Tx, t), \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)\right\}.$$

Now, we prove our main result in the settings of an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space, $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [1, \frac{1}{k})$ be a continuous function with $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1,$$

and $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a Ćirić type fuzzy contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $a_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ be an arbitrary point. If $Ta_0 = a_0$, then a_0 is the required fixed point. If $Ta_0 \neq a_0$, then there exists $a_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $Ta_0 = a_1$. Continuing in this way, we define $\{a_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} such that

$$a_{n+1} = T^{n+1}a_0 = Ta_n$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Ta_{n-1}, Ta_n, kt) \geq C(a_{n-1}, a_n, t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(a_{n-1}, a_n, t) &= \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{M}(a_n, Ta_n, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, Ta_{n-1}, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)}, \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, Ta_{n-1}, t), \mathcal{M}(a_n, Ta_n, 3t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}(a_n, Ta_{n-1}, t), \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)}, \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t), \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t), \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_n, t), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t), \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t), 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t), \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t), 1 \right\} = \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t)$ or 1, then $\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t)$ or 1 and hence by Lemma 3.3, we have $a_n = a_{n+1}$. If $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ and $\min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, 3t), \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t), 1 \right\} = \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)$, then we have a contradiction because $\mathcal{M}(x, y, \cdot)$ is α -non-decreasing. Now if $\min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, t), \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t), 1 \right\} = \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)$, then we obtain that $\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t)$. Similarly, we have $\mathcal{M}(a_{n-1}, a_n, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k})$ and $\mathcal{M}(a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k}) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_{n-3}, a_{n-2}, \frac{t}{k^2})$ and so on. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_0, a_1, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}),$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+1}, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(a_0, a_1, \frac{t}{k^n}). \quad (3.2)$$

Consider the following two cases:

Case 3.5. If p is odd (say) $p = 2m + 1$ for $m \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+2m+1}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+3}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+3}, a_{n+4}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right), \\
&\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+3}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+3}, a_{n+4}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+4}, a_{n+5}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+5}, a_{n+6}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+6}, a_{n+7}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^4}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+6}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+7}, a_{n+8}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^4}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+6}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2m}, a_{n+2m+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^m}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1}) \cdots \alpha(a_{n+2m-2}, a_{n+2m+1})}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), the right hand side of the above inequality becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+2m+1}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})k^n}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+1}}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+2}}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+3}}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+4}}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+5}}\right) \\
&* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^4}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+6}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+6}}\right) \\
&\quad \vdots
\end{aligned}$$

$$*\mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^m}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m+1})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m+1}) \cdots \alpha(a_{n+2m-2}, a_{n+2m+1})k^{n+2m}}\right).$$

Case 3.6. If $p = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, p is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+2m}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right) * \mathcal{M}_\alpha\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right), \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right), \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+3}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+3}, a_{n+4}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})}\right), \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})}\right), \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2}, a_{n+3}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+3}, a_{n+4}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+4}, a_{n+5}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+5}, a_{n+6}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+6}, a_{n+7}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^4}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+6}, a_{n+2m})}\right) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+2m-2}, a_{n+2m}, \frac{\frac{t}{3^{m-1}}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m}) \cdots \alpha(a_{n+2m-4}, a_{n+2m})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2), the right hand side of the above inequality becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+2m}, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})k^n}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})k^{n+1}}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+2}}\right) \\ &* \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^2}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+3}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+4}}\right) \\
& * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+5}}\right) \\
& * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+4}, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+6}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+6}}\right) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& * \mathcal{M}\left(a_0, a_1, \frac{\frac{t}{3^{m-1}}}{\alpha(a_n, a_{n+2m})\alpha(a_{n+2}, a_{n+2m}) \dots \alpha(a_{n+2m-4}, a_{n+2m})k^{n+2m-2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(a_n, a_{n+p}, t) = 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1.$$

Which show that $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} . As \mathcal{X} is complete, there exists some $a \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(a_n, a, t) = 1, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

We now prove that a is the fixed point of T . For this, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(a, Ta, t) & \geq \mathcal{M}\left(a, a_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_{n+1}, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}\left(a, a_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)k}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(Ta_n, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}\left(a, a_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) * \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{\alpha(a, Ta)k}\right) * C\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
C\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) & = \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)[1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, Ta_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)}, \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, Ta_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta_n, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)\right\}, \\
& = \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)[1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)}, \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \right. \\
& \quad \left. \mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(a, a_{n+1}, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left(a_n, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}\left(a, Ta, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)[1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}\left(a, a, \frac{\frac{t}{3}}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}\right)}, \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(a, a, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}), \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}), \\ & \mathcal{M}(a, a, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}), \mathcal{M}(a, a, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}) \} \\ & = \min\{\mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}), 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(a_n, a, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}) = 1$, then $\mathcal{M}(a, Ta, t) \geq 1 * 1 * 1$ and hence $a = Ta$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(a_n, a, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}) = \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)})$, then we have $\mathcal{M}(a, Ta, t) \geq 1 * 1 * \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}) = \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)})$. Now $\alpha(a, Ta) < \frac{1}{k}$ implies that $1 < \frac{1}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}$, that is, $t < \frac{t}{k\alpha(a, Ta)}$ and hence by Lemma 3.3, we have $a = Ta$. To prove the uniqueness of a fixed point, let a' be another fixed point of T . Note that

$$\mathcal{M}(a, a', t) = \mathcal{M}(Ta, Ta', t) \geq C(a, a', \frac{t}{k}),$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} C(a, a', \frac{t}{k}) &= \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}(a', Ta', \frac{3t}{k})[1 + \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k})]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})}, \mathcal{M}(a, Ta, \frac{t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a', Ta', \frac{3t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a', Ta, \frac{t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})\right\}, \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{M}(a', a', \frac{3t}{k})[1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a, \frac{t}{k})]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})}, \mathcal{M}(a, a, \frac{t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a', a', \frac{3t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a', a, \frac{t}{k}), \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})\right\}, \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{2}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})}, 1, \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $C(a, a', \frac{t}{k}) = 1$, then $a = a'$. If $C(a, a', \frac{t}{k}) = \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})$, then $\mathcal{M}(a, a', t) \geq \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})$ and hence by Lemma 3.3, we have $a = a'$. If $C(a, a', \frac{t}{k}) = \frac{2}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})}$, then we obtain that $\mathcal{M}(a, a', t) \geq \frac{2}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})}$. As $\mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k}) \leq 1$, $\frac{2}{1 + \mathcal{M}(a, a', \frac{t}{k})} \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}(a, a', t) \geq 1$. Now $1 \geq \mathcal{M}(a, a', t) \geq 1$ implies that $\mathcal{M}(a, a', t) = 1$ which further implies that $a = a'$. \square

Following example is to support our main result.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, define a complete extended rectangular fuzzy b-metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with $\alpha(x, y) = 3(x^2 + y^2 + 1)$ and

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}, \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

under product t-norm $t_1 * t_2 = t_1 t_2$. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping defined as $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$, for all $x \in X$. To satisfy the contractive condition 3.1 used in Theorem 3.1, we have the following cases:

Case 3.7. If $x = 0, y = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(0, T0, t) &= 1, \\ \mathcal{M}(1, T1, 3t) &= e^{-\frac{1}{12t}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(1, T0, t) = e^{-\frac{1}{t}} = \mathcal{M}(0, 1, t),$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}(1, T1, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(0, T0, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(0, 1, t)} = 2 \frac{e^{-\frac{12t}{t}}}{e^{-\frac{1}{t}}}.$$

Clearly, $C(0, 1, t) = e^{-\frac{1}{t}}$. Also,

$$\mathcal{M}(T0, T1, kt) = e^{-\frac{1}{4kt}} > e^{-\frac{1}{t}} = C(0, 1, t), \text{ for all } k \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{M}(T0, T1, kt) > C(0, 1, t)$.

Case 3.8. If $x = 0, y = 2$, then

$$\mathcal{M}(0, T0, t) = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{M}(2, T2, 3t) = e^{-\frac{1}{3t}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(2, T0, t) = e^{-\frac{4}{t}} = \mathcal{M}(0, 2, t)$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}(2, T2, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(0, T0, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(0, 2, t)} = 2 \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{3t}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{4}{t}}}.$$

Clearly, $C(0, 2, t) = e^{-\frac{4}{t}}$. Also,

$$\mathcal{M}(T0, T2, kt) = e^{-\frac{1}{kt}} > e^{-\frac{4}{t}} = C(0, 2, t), \text{ for all } k \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{M}(T0, T2, kt) > C(0, 2, t)$. Similarly, for the other cases, we can calculate $C(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ as:

$$C(0, 3, t) = C(3, 0, t) = e^{-\frac{9}{t}}, C(0, 0, t) = 1, C(1, 0, t) = C(1, 2, t) = C(2, 1, t) = C(2, 2, t) = e^{-\frac{1}{t}},$$

$$C(1, 1, t) = C(2, 0, t) = C(2, 3, t) = C(3, 1, t) = C(3, 3, t) = e^{-\frac{4}{t}}, C(1, 3, t) = e^{-\frac{25}{4t}}, C(3, 2, t) = e^{-\frac{9}{4t}}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) = \mathcal{M}(Ty, Tx, kt)$ and $\mathcal{M}(Tx, Tx, kt) = 1$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, after simple calculation, we have the following observations:

$$\mathcal{M}(T0, T3, kt) = e^{-\frac{9}{4kt}}, \quad \mathcal{M}(T1, T0, kt) = \mathcal{M}(T1, T2, kt) = \mathcal{M}(T2, T3, kt) = e^{-\frac{1}{4kt}},$$

$\mathcal{M}(T1, T3, kt) = e^{-\frac{1}{t}}$, $\mathcal{M}(T2, T0, kt) = e^{-\frac{1}{kt}}$. Since

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq C(x, y, t)$$

where $C(x, y, t) = \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{M}(y, Ty, 3t)[1 + \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, t)]}{1 + \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)}, \mathcal{M}(x, Tx, t), \mathcal{M}(y, Ty, 3t), \mathcal{M}(y, Tx, t), \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) \right\}$ holds for $k \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ and for all $x, y \in X$. All conditions of the Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, hence 0 is the unique fixed point of T in X .

If we take $C(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ in the Ćirić type contraction (3.1), then we have the following Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1$$

and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \frac{1}{k})$, where $k \in (0, 1)$. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t). \quad (3.3)$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By taking $C(x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ in Theorem 3.1, the proof follows on the same lines. \square

We now present an example to support the above result.

Example 3.6. Let $I = [0, 1]$ and $T : I \rightarrow I$ a mapping given by

$$Tx = \frac{1 - e^{-x}}{2}.$$

Define $\mathcal{M} : I \times I \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow I$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}, \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$ is a complete extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) &= \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1 - e^{-x}}{2}, \frac{1 - e^{-y}}{2}, kt\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{\left(\frac{1 - e^{-x}}{2} - \frac{1 - e^{-y}}{2}\right)^2}{kt}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{(e^{-x} - e^{-y})^2}{4kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4kt}} \text{ for all } x, y \in I \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} \text{ for all } k \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1) \\ & = \mathcal{M}(x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Moreover, $x = 0$ is a unique fixed point of T .

Theorem 3.2 generalizes Theorem 2.1 in [34] in the following way.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete fuzzy rectangular b -metric space such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1.$$

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for $k \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$ and for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Choose $\alpha(x, y) = b \geq 1$ and $k \in (0, \frac{1}{b})$ in Theorem 3.2, rest of the proof follows on the same lines. \square

Remark 3.3. By taking $\alpha(x, y) = b \geq 1$ in Theorem 3.2, our main result reduces to the main results in [34].

Corollary 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete fuzzy rectangular metric space such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = 1$. If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t),$$

where $k \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ in Theorem 3.2 remaining proof will follow on the same lines. \square

Remark 3.4. If we take $\alpha(x, y) = 1$, then Theorem 3.2 generalizes the Banach contraction theorem for fuzzy rectangular metric space [38].

4. Application

In this section, we consider the following integral equation

$$x(s) = f(s) + \int_0^s F(s, r, x(r)) dr, \quad (4.1)$$

where $s \in I = [0, 1]$. Define a complete extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space with $\alpha(x, y) = 3(x + y + 1)$ and for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ as:

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} |x(s) - y(s)|^2}{t}},$$

with product t -norm, where $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ is the space of all continuous functions defined on I .

Theorem 4.1. Consider an integral operator defined on $C(I, \mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$Tx(s) = f(s) + \int_0^s F(s, r, x(r))dr, \quad f \in C(I, \mathbb{R}),$$

where F satisfies the conditions:

there exists $f : I \times I \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $f \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ and for all $x, y \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ and $r, s \in I$, we have

$$|F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r))|^2 \leq f^2(s, r)|x(r) - y(r)|^2,$$

where

$$\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s f^2(s, r)dr \leq k < 1.$$

Then the integral Eq (4.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. Let $x, y \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$, $k \in (0, 1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Tx(s), Ty(s), kt) &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} |Tx(s) - Ty(s)|^2}{kt}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} |\int_0^s (F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r)))dr|^2}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s |F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r))|^2 dr}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{|x(r) - y(r)|^2 \sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s f^2(s, r)dr}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{k|x(r) - y(r)|^2}{kt}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{|x(r) - y(r)|^2}{t}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{r \in I} |x(r) - y(r)|^2}{t}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{M}(Tx(s), Ty(s), kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$, for all $x, y \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$. Since all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied so the integral Eq (4.1) has a unique solution. \square

Example 4.1. Consider the differential equation

$$y''(s) - y(s) = \cos(s), \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0$$

which gives the following integral equation

$$y(s) = 1 - \cos(s) - \int_0^s (s - r)y(r)dr.$$

Here, $F(s, r, y(r)) = (s - r)y(r)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r)) \right|^2 &= \left| (s - r)x(r) - (s - r)y(r) \right|^2 \\ &= (s - r)^2 \left| x(r) - y(r) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Take, $f(s, r) = (s - r)$. Clearly $\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s f^2(s, r) dr \leq 1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Tx(s), Ty(s), kt) &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} |Tx(s) - Ty(s)|^2}{kt}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} \left| \int_0^s (F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r))) dr \right|^2}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s |F(s, r, x(r)) - F(s, r, y(r))|^2 dr}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s f^2(s, r) |x(r) - y(r)|^2 dr}{kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{|x(r) - y(r)|^2 \sup_{s \in I} \int_0^s (s - r)^2 dr}{kt}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{|x(r) - y(r)|^2}{3kt}} \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{|x(r) - y(r)|^2}{t}}, \text{ for all } k \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1 \right) \\ &\geq e^{-\frac{\sup_{r \in I} |x(r) - y(r)|^2}{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{M}(Tx(s), Ty(s), kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, t)$, for all $x, y \in C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$. Since all conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied so the integral Eq (4.1) has a unique solution.

5. Conclusions

In this article, we introduced the concept of an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space which generalizes rectangular fuzzy b -metric space, fuzzy rectangular metric space, extended fuzzy b -metric space, fuzzy b -metric, fuzzy metric space and proved Ćirić type contraction results in such spaces. Our result extended the Banach fixed point theorem to fuzzy rectangular metric space [38] and to fuzzy rectangular b -metric space [34]. We studied the condition under which Cauchy sequence in an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space can have at most one limit point. We also provided an example to show that an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space is not Hausdorff. A result is presented to show that an extended rectangular fuzzy b -metric space is α -non-decreasing. An application to integral equation is presented here to support our main results.

The concepts presented in this paper could be employed to extend and unify the results in [40–45].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare to have no conflict of interest.

References

1. M. Fréchet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, *Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo*, **22** (1906), 1–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03018603>
2. S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.*, **3** (1922), 133–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/FM-3-1-133-181>
3. T. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.-Theor.*, **65** (2006), 1379–1393. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.10.017>
4. L. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, *P. Am. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1974), 267–273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1974-0356011-2>
5. H. Huang, Z. Mitrovic, K. Zoto, S. Radenovic, On convex F -contraction in b -metric spaces, *Axioms*, **10** (2021), 71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms10020071>
6. S. Itoh, Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **67** (1979), 261–273. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(79\)90023-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(79)90023-4)
7. M. Nashed, J. Wong, Some variants of a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and applications to nonlinear integral equations, *J. Math. Mec.*, **18** (1969), 767–777.
8. L. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, **8** (1965), 338–353. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
9. M. Abbas, N. Saleem, K. Sohail, Optimal coincidence best approximation solution in b -fuzzy metric spaces, *Commun. Nonlinear Anal.*, **6** (2019), 1–12.
10. J. Buckley, T. Feuring, Introduction to fuzzy partial differential equations, *Fuzzy set. syst.*, **105** (1999), 241–248. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00323-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00323-6)
11. M. De la Sen, M. Abbas, N. Saleem, On optimal fuzzy best proximity coincidence points of fuzzy order preserving proximal $\Psi(\sigma, \alpha)$ -lower-bounding asymptotically contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Springer Plus*, **5** (2016), 1478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3116-2>
12. O. Kaleva, Fuzzy differential equations, *Fuzzy set. syst.*, **24** (1987), 301–317. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(87\)90029-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90029-7)
13. M. Puri, D. Ralescu, Differentials of fuzzy functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **91** (1983), 552–558. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(83\)90169-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(83)90169-5)
14. N. Saleem, M. Abbas, M. De la Sen, Optimal approximate solution of coincidence point equations in fuzzy metric spaces, *Mathematics*, **7** (2019), 327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/MATH7040327>
15. N. Saleem, M. Abbas, Z. Raza, Optimal coincidence best approximation solution in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.*, **13** (2016), 113–124.

16. I. Kramosil, J. Michálek, Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11** (1975), 336–344.
17. K. Menger, Statistical metrics, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **28** (1942), 535–537. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.28.12.535>
18. M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy set. syst.*, **27** (1988), 385–389. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(88\)90064-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(88)90064-4)
19. A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy set. syst.*, **64** (1994), 395–399. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(94\)90162-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90162-7)
20. M. Abbas, F. Lael, N. Saleem, Fuzzy b -metric spaces: fixed point results for ψ -contraction correspondences and its application, *Axioms*, **9** (2020), 36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms9020036>
21. S. Furqan, H. Isik, N. Saleem, Fuzzy triple controlled metric spaces and related fixed point results, *J. Funct. Space.*, **9** (2021), 9936992. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/9936992>
22. V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy set. syst.*, **125** (2002), 245–252. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(00\)00088-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(00)00088-9)
23. G. Mani, A. Gnanaprakasam, Z. Mitrovic, M. Bota, Solving an integral equation via fuzzy triple controlled bipolar metric spaces, *Mathematics*, **9** (2021), 3181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math9243181>
24. R. Mecheraoui, Z. Mitrovic, V. Parvaneh, H. Aydi, N. Saleem, On some fixed point results in E -fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Math.*, **2021** (2021), 9196642. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/9196642>
25. D. Miheţ, Fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.*, **159** (2008), 739–744. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2007.07.006>
26. N. Saleem, H. Isik, S. Furqan, C. Park, Fuzzy double controlled metric spaces and related results, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, **40** (2021), 9977–9985. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-202594>
27. I. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal.*, **30** (1989), 26–37.
28. S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, **1** (1993), 5–11.
29. A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, *Publ. Math.*, **57** (2000), 31–37.
30. J. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, New fixed point results in b -rectangular metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.-Model.*, **21** (2016), 614–634. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15388/NA.2016.5.4>
31. S. Nădăban, Fuzzy b -metric spaces, *Int. J. Comput. Commun.*, **11** (2016), 273–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15837/IJCCC.2016.2.2443>
32. T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. Ul Ain, A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics*, **5** (2017), 19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.10390/MATH5020019>
33. F. Mehmood, R. Ali, C. Ionescu, T. Kamran, Extended fuzzy b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.*, **8** (2017), 124–131.

34. F. Mehmood, R. Ali, N. Hussain, Contractions in fuzzy rectangular b -metric spaces with application, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, **37** (2019), 1275–1285. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-182719>
35. M. Asim, M. Imdad, S. Radenovic, Fixed point results in extended rectangular b -metric spaces with an application, *UPB Sci. Bull. Ser. A*, **81** (2019), 43–50.
36. M. Sezen, Controlled fuzzy metric spaces and some related fixed point results, *Numer. Meth. Part. Diff. Eq.*, **37** (2020), 583–593. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/num.22541>
37. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 313–334. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/PJM.1960.10.313>
38. R. Chugh, S. Kumar, Weakly compatible maps in generalized fuzzy metric spaces, *The Journal of Analysis*, **10** (2002), 65–74.
39. S. Mishra, N. Sharma, S. Singh, Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **17** (1994), 915450. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S0161171294000372>
40. M. Abbas, M. Khan, S. Radenovic, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w -compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **217** (2010), 195–202. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.042>
41. M. Abbas, N. Saleem, M. De la Sen, Optimal coincidence point results in partially ordered non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2016** (2016), 44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/S13663-016-0534-3>
42. I. Beg, M. Abbas, Coincidence point and invariant approximation for mappings satisfying generalized weak contractive condition, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2006** (2006), 74503. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/FPTA/2006/74503>
43. A. Latif, N. Saleem, M. Abbas, α -optimal best proximity point result involving proximal contraction mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10** (2017), 92–103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/JNSA.010.01.09>
44. N. Saleem, B. Ali, M. Abbas, Z. Raza, Fixed points of Suzuki type generalized multivalued mappings in fuzzy metric spaces with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015** (2015), 36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/S13663-015-0284-7>
45. N. Saleem, M. Abbas, B. Bin-Mohsin, S. Radenovic, Pata type best proximity point results in metric spaces, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, **21** (2020), 367–386. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18514/mmn.2020.2764>



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)