
Research article

On the sum of the largest A_α -eigenvalues of graphs

Zhen Lin^{1,2,*}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, The State Key Laboratory of Tibetan Intelligent Information Processing and Application, Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810008, Qinghai, China

² Academy of Plateau Science and Sustainability, People's Government of Qinghai Province and Beijing Normal University, Xining 810016, Qinghai, China

* Correspondence: Email: lnlzhen@163.com.

Abstract: Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the adjacency matrix and the degree diagonal matrix of a graph G , respectively. For any real number $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, Nikiforov defined the A_α -matrix of a graph G as $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$. Let $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ be the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of $A_\alpha(G)$. In this paper, some bounds on $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ are obtained, which not only extends the results of the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix, but it also gives new bounds on graph energy.

Keywords: A_α -matrix; sum of A_α -eigenvalues; energy; graph operation

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50, 05C09, 05C90

1. Introduction

Let G be a simple undirected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $v_i \in V(G)$, $d_i = d_G(v_i)$ denotes the degree of vertex v_i , and $M_1 = M_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$ is called the first Zagreb index. The minimum and the maximum degree of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, or simply δ and Δ , respectively. Denote by K_n , C_n and $K_{s,n-s}$ the complete graph, cycle and complete bipartite graph with n vertices, respectively. The positive inertia index $p = p(M)$ and the negative inertia index of a matrix M are the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of M , respectively. For other undefined notations and terminology from graph theory, the readers are referred to [6].

For a graph G , $S_k(A(G))$ is the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of adjacency matrix $A(G)$. Mohar [23] showed that $S_k(A(G))$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{k} + 1)n$. This bound is shown to be the best possible, in the sense that for every k there exist graphs whose sum is $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{2})n - o(k^{-2/5})n$. Das et al. [11] proved an upper bound on $S_k(A(G))$ in terms of vertex number and negative inertia index. Let $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ be the Laplacian matrix of a graph G . Based on the famous Grone-Merris-Bai

theorem [3, 14], Brouwer et al. [5] proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. (*Brouwer's conjecture*) *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $e(G)$ edges. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$S_k(L(G)) \leq e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2}.$$

Inspired by Brouwer's conjecture, Ashraf et al. [2] proposed a similar conjecture as follows.

Conjecture 1.2. [2] *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $e(G)$ edges. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$S_k(Q(G)) \leq e(G) + \binom{k+1}{2},$$

where $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ is called the signless Laplacian matrix of G .

The above two conjectures have been proven to be correct for all graphs with at most ten vertices [2], all graphs with $k = 1, 2, n-2, n-1, n$ [2, 7], regular graphs [2], trees [17], unicyclic graphs [31, 32], bicyclic graphs [31, 32], tricyclic graphs [31, 32] and so on. In particular, Haemers et al. [17] proved that $S_k(L(T)) \leq e(T) + 2k - 1$ when T is a tree with n vertices.

Another motivation to study $S_k(A(G))$ and $S_k(Q(G))$ came from the energy $\varepsilon(A(G))$ and signless Laplacian energy $\varepsilon(Q(G))$ of a graph G , which is very popular in mathematical chemistry. Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and the positive inertia index p . Then we have

$$\varepsilon(G) = \varepsilon(A(G)) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k(A(G))| = 2S_p(A(G)),$$

and

$$\varepsilon(Q(G)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \lambda_k(Q(G)) - \frac{2m}{n} \right| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ 2S_k(Q(G)) - \frac{4km}{n} \right\},$$

where $\lambda_k(M)$ is the k -th largest eigenvalue of the matrix M . Thus, $S_k(A(G))$ and $S_k(Q(G))$ are close relation with the energy and signless Laplacian energy, respectively. For more details in this field, we refer the reader to [1, 11, 13, 22]. In addition, $S_k(A(G))$ is related to Ky Fan norms of graphs introduced by Nikiforov [25], which are a fundamental matrix parameter anyway.

For any real $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, Nikiforov [24] defined the matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G),$$

where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of its vertex degrees, and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix. It is easy to see that $A_0(G) = A(G)$ and $2A_{1/2}(G) = Q(G)$. The new matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ not only can underpin a unified theory of $A(G)$ and $Q(G)$, but it also brings many new interesting problems, see [18–20, 24, 26]. This matrix has recently attracted the attention of many researchers, and there are several research papers published recently, see [4, 20, 21, 29] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above works, we study the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of $A_\alpha(G)$. Since $S_k(A_0(G)) = S_k(A(G))$ and $2S_k(A_{1/2}(G)) = S_k(Q(G))$, $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ can be regard as a common generalization of $S_k(A(G))$ and $S_k(Q(G))$. Moreover, if G is a graph with n vertices and m edges, then

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(G) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \lambda_k(A_\alpha(G)) - \frac{2\alpha m}{n} \right| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\{ 2S_k(A_\alpha(G)) - \frac{4\alpha km}{n} \right\},$$

where $\varepsilon_\alpha(G)$ is the α -energy of G defined by Guo and Zhou [15]. Thus, $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ is a close relation with the α -energy of G . It is not difficult to see that $\varepsilon_0(G) = \varepsilon(A(G))$ and $2\varepsilon_{1/2}(G) = \varepsilon(Q(G))$.

In this paper, we obtain some upper and lower bounds on the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of $A_\alpha(G)$, which extend the results of $S_k(A(G))$ and $S_k(Q(G))$. In particular, we give new bounds on the energy of graphs in terms of the positive inertia index and the first Zagreb index. In addition, some graph operations on $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ are presented, which provides new bounds for the energy of graph operations.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some useful notions and lemmas used further. In Section 3, some upper bounds on $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ are obtained in terms of A_α -spectral radius and the first Zagreb index. Similarly to Conjecture 1.2, a conjecture is proposed for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$. In Section 4, the line graph and the square of graphs on $S_k(A_\alpha(G))$ are presented.

2. Preliminaries

The line graph $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is the graph whose vertex set is the edges in G , where two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding edges in G have a common vertex. The square G^2 of a graph G is a graph with the same set of vertices as G such that two vertices are adjacent in G^2 if and only if their distance in G is at most 2. The second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a graph G , best-known as the algebraic connectivity of G , is denoted by $a(G)$.

Lemma 2.1. [12] *Let M and N be two real symmetric matrices of order n . Then we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(M + N) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(M) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(N)$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2. [24] *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then we have*

$$\sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}} \leq \lambda_1(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \Delta.$$

Lemma 2.3. [9] *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges. Then, $\lambda_i(Q(G)) = \lambda_i(A(\mathcal{L}(G))) + 2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, where $s = \min\{n, m\}$. Further, if $m > n$, we have $\lambda_i(A(\mathcal{L}(G))) = -2$ for $i \geq n + 1$, and if $n > m$, we have $\lambda_i(Q(G)) = 0$ for $i \geq m + 1$.*

Lemma 2.4. [8] *For any C_3 -free and C_4 -free graph G , $A(G^2) = A^2(G) - L(G)$.*

3. Bounds on the sum of the largest A_α -eigenvalues

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices.*

(i) *If $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(1 - \alpha)S_k(Q(G)) + (2\alpha - 1)S_k(D(G)) \leq S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \alpha S_k(Q(G)) + (1 - 2\alpha)S_k(A(G))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

(ii) If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$\alpha S_k(Q(G)) + (1 - 2\alpha)S_k(A(G)) \leq S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq (1 - \alpha)S_k(Q(G)) + (2\alpha - 1)S_k(D(G))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

If G is r -regular, then the equality in the above inequalities must hold.

Proof. (i) Since $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha Q(G) + (1 - 2\alpha)A(G)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \alpha S_k(Q(G)) + (1 - 2\alpha)S_k(A(G)).$$

If $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 - \alpha \leq 1$. Note that $A_{1-\alpha}(G) = \alpha Q(G) + (1 - 2\alpha)D(G)$. Since $A_\alpha(G) + A_{1-\alpha}(G) = Q(G)$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(A_\alpha(G)) &\geq S_k(Q(G)) - S_k(A_{1-\alpha}(G)) \\ &\geq S_k(Q(G)) - \alpha S_k(Q(G)) - (1 - 2\alpha)S_k(D(G)) \\ &\geq (1 - \alpha)S_k(Q(G)) + (2\alpha - 1)S_k(D(G)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $A_\alpha(G) = (1 - \alpha)Q(G) + (2\alpha - 1)D(G)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq (1 - \alpha)S_k(Q(G)) + (2\alpha - 1)S_k(D(G)).$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then $0 \leq 1 - \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $A_{1-\alpha}(G) = (1 - \alpha)Q(G) + (2\alpha - 1)A(G)$. Since $A_\alpha(G) + A_{1-\alpha}(G) = Q(G)$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(A_\alpha(G)) &\geq S_k(Q(G)) - S_k(A_{1-\alpha}(G)) \\ &\geq S_k(Q(G)) - (1 - \alpha)S_k(Q(G)) - (2\alpha - 1)S_k(A(G)) \\ &\geq \alpha S_k(Q(G)) + (1 - 2\alpha)S_k(A(G)). \end{aligned}$$

If G is r -regular, from [24], we have $S_k(A_\alpha(G)) = \alpha kr + (1 - \alpha)S_k(A(G))$ and $S_k(Q(G)) = kr + S_k(A(G))$. Thus, the two above equations hold. This completes the proof. \square

It is well known that the spectrum of any symmetric matrix majorizes its main diagonal, that is, $S_k(Q(G)) \geq S_k(D(G))$, and by Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \alpha S_k(Q(G))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

From Corollary 3.1 and Conjecture 1.1, we give a new conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $e(G)$ edges. If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$, then*

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \alpha e(G) + \alpha \binom{k+1}{2}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. If $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \frac{(n-k)\lambda_1(A_\alpha(G)) + 2\alpha(k-1)m + \sqrt{(k-1)(n-k)\Upsilon}}{n-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Upsilon = (n-1)(\alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1^2(A_\alpha(G))) - (2\alpha m - \lambda_1(A_\alpha(G)))^2$. The equality holds for $k = 1$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_2(A_\alpha(G)) = \dots = \lambda_k(A_\alpha(G))$ and $\lambda_{k+1}(A_\alpha(G)) = \dots = \lambda_n(A_\alpha(G))$ for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_i(A_\alpha(G)) = \lambda_i$ and $S_k(A_\alpha(G)) = S_k$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 2\alpha m$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = \alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2$, and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &\leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{(k-1)(\lambda_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_k^2)} \\ &= \lambda_1 + \sqrt{(k-1) \left(\alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1^2 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right)} \\ &\leq \lambda_1 + \sqrt{(k-1) \left(\alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1^2 - \frac{1}{n-k} (2\alpha m - S_k)^2 \right)} \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k$ and $\lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_n$ for $k \geq 2$. Thus,

$$(n-k)(S_k - \lambda_1)^2 + (k-1)(S_k - 2\alpha m)^2 \leq (k-1)(n-k)(\alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1^2),$$

that is,

$$S_k \leq \frac{(n-k)\lambda_1 + 2\alpha(k-1)m + \sqrt{(k-1)(n-k)\Upsilon}}{n-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon &= (n-1)(\alpha^2 M_1 + 2m(1-\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1^2) - (2\alpha m - \lambda_1)^2 \\ &= (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i \right)^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. If the equality in (3.1) holds, then this implies that G has at most three distinct A_α -eigenvalues. If G is a connected graph with two distinct A_α -eigenvalues, then $G \cong K_n$. Clearly, the equality in (3.1) holds for K_n . If G is a graph with three distinct A_α -eigenvalues, then we refer to [30].

Corollary 3.2. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. If p is the positive inertia index of $A(G)$, then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{2(n-p)\lambda_1(A(G)) + 2\sqrt{(p-1)(n-p)[2(n-1)m - n\lambda_1^2(A(G))]} }{n-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

The equality holds for K_n and $K_{s,t}$ ($s+t=n$).

Remark 3.2. There are many graphs such that the equality in (3.2) holds, we may refer to [10, 28].

Let α_0 be the smallest α such that $A_\alpha(G)$ is positive semidefinite for $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Recently, Nikiforov et al. [27] and Brondani et al. [4] found α_0 for some special classes of graphs.

Theorem 3.3. Let $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0$ and G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then we have

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \leq 2\alpha m + \frac{1}{2}(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1) \sqrt{\frac{n(n-k)}{M_1}}$$

with equality if and only if $|\lambda_{k+1}(A_\alpha(G))| = \dots = |\lambda_n(A_\alpha(G))| = \frac{2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(n-k)M_1}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\lambda_1(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}}$. We assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}^2(A_\alpha(G)) > \frac{n(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1)^2}{4M_1},$$

in which case

$$\begin{aligned} 2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2(A_\alpha(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}^2(A_\alpha(G)) \\ &\geq \lambda_1^2(A_\alpha(G)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}^2(A_\alpha(G)) \\ &> \frac{M_1}{n} + \frac{n(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1)^2}{4M_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1}{n}} - \frac{1}{2}(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1) \sqrt{\frac{n}{M_1}} \right)^2 < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}^2(A_\alpha(G)) \leq \frac{n(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1)^2}{4M_1}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(A_\alpha(G)) &= 2\alpha m - \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}(A_\alpha(G)) \\ &\leq 2\alpha m + \sqrt{(n-k) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \lambda_{n-i+1}^2(A_\alpha(G))} \\ &\leq 2\alpha m + \frac{1}{2}(2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1) \sqrt{\frac{n(n-k)}{M_1}} \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $|\lambda_{k+1}(A_\alpha(G))| = \dots = |\lambda_n(A_\alpha(G))| = \frac{2m(1-\alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 M_1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(n-k)M_1}}$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. If p is the positive inertia index of $A(G)$, then

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2m \sqrt{\frac{n(n-p)}{M_1}}$$

with equality if and only if $|\lambda_{p+1}(A(G))| = \dots = |\lambda_n(A(G))| = m \sqrt{\frac{n}{(n-p)M_1}}$.

Let M be a real symmetric partitioned matrix of order n described in the following block form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \cdots & M_{1t} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{t1} & \cdots & M_{tt} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the diagonal blocks M_{ii} are $n_i \times n_i$ matrices for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $n = n_1 + \dots + n_t$. For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, let b_{ij} denote the average row sum of M_{ij} , i.e., b_{ij} is the sum of all entries in M_{ij} divided by the number of rows. Then, $\mathcal{B}(M) = (b_{ij})$ (or denoted simply by \mathcal{B}) is called the quotient matrix of M .

Lemma 3.1. [16] Let M be a symmetric partitioned matrix of order n with eigenvalues $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2 \geq \dots \geq \xi_n$, and let \mathcal{B} be its quotient matrix with eigenvalues $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2 \geq \dots \geq \eta_r$ and $n > r$. Then, $\xi_i \geq \eta_i \geq \xi_{n-r+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Let \mathcal{B} be the quotient matrix of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to the partition for the color classes of G . Then, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.4. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges, chromatic number χ and independence number θ . If $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$S_\chi(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \frac{2\alpha m}{\theta}.$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. For any given vertices subset $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right) \sum_{u \in U} d_u + \frac{2m - (1-\alpha)|\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)|}{n-k+1},$$

where $\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)$ is the set of edges which connect vertices in U with vertices in $V(G) \setminus U$.

Proof. If $2 \leq k \leq n$, then the quotient matrix of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to the partition $V(G) = (\bigcup_{x \in U} \{x\}) \cup (V(G) \setminus U)$ of G is

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_\alpha(U) & \begin{matrix} b_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ b_{k-1,k} \end{matrix} \\ \hline b_{k,1} & \cdots & b_{k,k-1} & b_{k,k} \end{array} \right],$$

where $A_\alpha(U)$ is the principal submatrix of $A_\alpha(G)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_k(A_\alpha(G)) &\geq S_k(\mathcal{B}(G)) \\
&= \text{tr}(A_\alpha(U)) + b_{k,k} \\
&= \alpha \sum_{u \in U} d_u + \frac{2m - \sum_{u \in U} d_u - (1-\alpha)|\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)|}{n-k+1} \\
&= \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right) \sum_{u \in U} d_u + \frac{2m - (1-\alpha)|\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)|}{n-k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $k = 1$, then U is an empty set. Thus, $\sum_{u \in U} d_u = 0$ and $|\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)| = 0$. Taking $X = (1, \dots, 1)^T$, by Rayleigh's principle, we have

$$S_1(A_\alpha(G)) = \lambda_1(A_\alpha(G)) \geq \frac{2m}{n}.$$

Therefore, the above inequality still holds for $k = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and the positive inertia index p . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \frac{4m - 2|\partial(U, V(G) \setminus U)|}{n-p+1} - \frac{2 \sum_{u \in U} d_u}{n-p+1}.$$

4. On the sum of the largest A_α -eigenvalues of graph operations

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges. Then we have*

$$S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(G))) \leq 2k(\alpha\Delta - 1) + (1-\alpha)S_k(Q(G))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq s$, where $s = \min\{n, m\}$. If $m > n$, then

$$S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(G))) \leq 2\alpha k(\Delta - 1) + 2(1-\alpha)(m-k)$$

for $n+1 \leq k \leq m$.

Proof. If a vertex w is in one-to-one correspondence with the edge uv of the graph G , then $d_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(w) = d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(G))) &\leq \alpha S_k(D(\mathcal{L}(G))) + (1-\alpha)S_k(A(\mathcal{L}(G))) \\
&\leq \alpha k(2\Delta - 2) + (1-\alpha)(S_k(Q(G)) - 2k) \\
&= 2k(\alpha\Delta - 1) + (1-\alpha)S_k(Q(G))
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq s$, where $s = \min\{n, m\}$. If $m > n$, then we have

$$S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(G))) \leq \alpha k(2\Delta - 2) + (1-\alpha)(2m - 2n - 2(k-n)) = 2\alpha k(\Delta - 1) + 2(1-\alpha)(m-k)$$

for $n+1 \leq k \leq m$. This completes the proof. \square

By the special cases of Conjecture 1.2 and Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *If T is a tree with n vertices, then $S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(T))) \leq 2k\alpha(\Delta-1) + (1-\alpha)(n-2)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. If U is a unicyclic graph with n vertices, then $S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(U))) \leq 2k(\alpha\Delta-1) + (1-\alpha)(n + \frac{k^2+k}{2})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If B is a bicyclic graph with n vertices, then $S_k(A_\alpha(\mathcal{L}(B))) \leq 2k(\alpha\Delta-1) + (1-\alpha)(n+1 + \frac{k^2+k}{2})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.*

Corollary 4.2. *If T is a tree with n vertices, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(T)) \leq 2(n-2)$. If U is a unicyclic graph with n vertices, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(U)) \leq 2n + p^2 - 3p$. If B is a bicyclic graph with n vertices, then $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(B)) \leq 2n + p^2 - 3p + 2$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a C_3 -free and C_4 -free graph with n vertices, m edges and the algebraic connectivity $a(G)$. If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then*

$$S_k(A_\alpha(G^2)) \leq \alpha(M_1(G) - (n-k)\delta^2(G)) + (1-\alpha)(k\Delta^2(G) - (k-1)a(G)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(A^2(G)) &= \lambda_1(A^2(G)) + \lambda_2(A^2(G)) + \cdots + \lambda_k(A^2(G)) \\ &\leq k\lambda_1^2(A(G)) \\ &\leq k\Delta^2(G). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{u \in V(G^2)} d_u = M_1(G)$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_k(A_\alpha(G^2)) &\leq \alpha S_k(D(G^2)) + (1-\alpha)S_k(A(G^2)) \\ &\leq \alpha S_k(D(G^2)) + (1-\alpha)(S_k(A^2(G)) + S_k(-L(G))) \\ &\leq \alpha(M_1(G) - (n-k)\delta^2(G)) + (1-\alpha)(k\Delta^2(G) - (k-1)a(G)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a C_3 -free and C_4 -free graph with n vertices, m edges and the algebraic connectivity $a(G)$. If p is the positive inertia index of $A(G^2)$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G^2) \leq 2p\Delta^2(G) - 2(p-1)a(G).$$

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we study the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of the A_α -matrix of a graph, which not only extends the results of the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix, but it also gives new bounds on graph energy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071411).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. N. Abreu, D. M. Cardoso, I. Gutman, E. A. Martins, M. Robbiano, Bounds for the signless Laplacian energy, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **435** (2011), 2365–2374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2010.10.021>
2. F. Ashraf, G. R. Omidi, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, On the sum of signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438** (2013), 4539–4546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.01.023>
3. H. Bai, The Grone-Merris conjecture, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **363** (2011), 4463–4474. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05393-6>
4. A. E. Brondani, F. A. M. França, C. S. Oliveira, Positive semidefiniteness of $A_\alpha(G)$ on some families of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2020.12.007>
5. A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, New York: Springer, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-1939-6>
6. J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, London: Springer, 2008.
7. X. D. Chen, G. L. Hao, D. Q. Jin, J. J. Li, Note on a conjecture for the sum of signless Laplacian eigenvalues, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **68** (2018), 601–610. <https://doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2018.0548-16>
8. Y. Y. Chen, D. Li, Z. W. Wang, J. X. Meng, A_α -spectral radius of the second power of a graph, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **359** (2019), 418–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.04.077>
9. D. Cvetković, S. K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, I, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.)*, **85** (2009), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM0999019C>
10. E. R. van Dam, Nonregular graphs with three eigenvalues, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **73** (1998), 101–118. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1998.1815>
11. K. C. Das, S. A. Mojallal, S. W. Sun, On the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of graphs and maximal energy of bipartite graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **569** (2019), 175–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.01.016>
12. K. Fan, On a theorem of Weyl concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations I, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **35** (1949), 652–655. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.35.11.652>
13. H. A. Ganie, B. A. Chat, S. Pirzada, Signless Laplacian energy of a graph and energy of a line graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **544** (2018), 306–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.01.021>
14. R. Grone, R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, **7** (1994), 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0895480191222653>
15. H. Y. Guo, B. Zhou, On the α -spectral radius of graphs, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **14** (2020), 431–458. <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM180210022G>
16. W. H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **226–228** (1995), 593–616. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(95\)00199-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(95)00199-2)

17. W. H. Haemers, A. Mohammadian, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, On the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **432** (2010), 2214–2221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2009.03.038>

18. S. T. Liu, K. C. Das, S. W. Sun, J. L. Shu, On the least eigenvalue of A_α -matrix of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **586** (2020), 347–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.10.025>

19. H. Q. Lin, X. G. Liu, J. Xue, Graphs determined by their A_α -spectra, *Discrete Math.*, **342** (2019), 441–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.10.006>

20. Z. Lin, L. Y. Miao, S. G. Guo, Bounds on the A_α -spread of a graph, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, **36** (2020), 214–227. <https://doi.org/10.13001/ela.2020.5137>

21. Z. Lin, L. Y. Miao, S. G. Guo, The A_α -spread of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **606** (2020), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2020.07.022>

22. X. L. Li, Y. T. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph energy*, New York: Springer, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4220-2>

23. B. Mohar, On the sum of k largest eigenvalues of graphs and symmetric matrices, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **99** (2009), 306–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2008.07.001>

24. V. Nikiforov, Merging the A - and Q -spectral theories, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **11** (2017), 81–107. <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM1701081N>

25. V. Nikiforov, On the sum of k largest singular values of graphs and matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **435** (2011), 2394–2401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2010.08.014>

26. V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, On the α -index of graphs with pendent paths, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **550** (2018), 87–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.03.036>

27. V. Nikiforov, O. Rojo, A note on the positive semidefiniteness of $A_\alpha(G)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **519** (2017), 156–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2016.12.042>

28. P. Rowlinson, A problem concerning graphs with just three distinct eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **592** (2020), 260–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2020.01.024>

29. G. X. Tian, Y. X. Chen, S. Y. Cui, The extremal α -index of graphs with no 4-cycle and 5-cycle, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **619** (2021), 160–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2021.02.022>

30. M. A. Tahir, X. D. Zhang, Graphs with three distinct α -eigenvalues, *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, **43** (2018), 649–659. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40306-018-0275-y>

31. S. Z. Wang, Y. F. Huang, B. L. Liu, On a conjecture for the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **56** (2012), 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2011.12.047>

32. J. S. Yang, L. H. You, On a conjecture for the signless Laplacian eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **446** (2014), 115–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.12.032>



AIMS Press

© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)