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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. For a positive integer $k$, we call $S \subseteq V(G)$ a $k$-component independent set of $G$ if each component of $G[S]$ has order at most $k$. Moreover, $S$ is maximal if there does not exist a $k$-component independent set $S^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $S \subseteq S^{\prime}$ and $|S|<\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. A maximal $k$-component independent set of a graph $G$ is denoted briefly by Mk-CIS. We use $t_{k}(G)$ to denote the number of Mk-CISs of a graph $G$. In this paper, we show that for a forest $G$ of order $n$,


$$
t_{2}(G) \leq \begin{cases}3^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 3, \\ 4 \cdot 3^{\frac{n-4}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 4, \\ 5, & \text { if } n=5, \\ 4^{2} \cdot 3^{\frac{n-8}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong F_{n}$, where

$$
F_{n} \cong \begin{cases}\frac{n}{3} P_{3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 3, \\ \frac{n-4}{3} P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 4, \\ K_{1,4}, & \text { if } n=5, \\ \frac{n-8}{3} P_{3} \cup 2 K_{1,3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 8 .\end{cases}
$$
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called an independent set of $G$ if no two vertices of $S$ are adjacent in $G$. A maximal independent set is an independent set that is not a proper subset of any other independent set. Let $k$ be a positive integer. We call $S$ a $k$-component independent set of $G$ if each component of $G[S]$ has order at most $k$. Clearly, the 1 -component independent sets are the usual independent sets. A $k$-component independent set is maximal (maximum) if the set cannot be
extended to a larger $k$-component independent set (if no $k$-component independent set of $G$ has larger cardinality). A maximal $k$-component independent set of a graph $G$ is denoted briefly by Mk-CIS. We use $t_{k}(G)$ to denote the number of Mk-CISs of $G$.

In 1986, Wilf [12] proved that the maximum number of maximal independent sets for a tree of order $n$ is $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ if $n$ is odd and $2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}+1$ if $n \geq 2$ is even. In 1988, Sagan [9] gave a simple graph-theoretical proof and characterized all extremal trees. In 1991, Zito [15] determined that the maximum number of maximum independent sets for a tree of order $n$ is $2^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$ if $n>1$ is odd and $2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}+1$ if $n$ is even, and also characterized all extremal trees. In 1993, Hujter and Tuza [4] proved that the maximal number of maximal independent sets in triangle-free graphs is at most $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ if $n \geq 4$ is even and $5 \cdot 2^{\frac{n-5}{2}}$ if $n \geq 5$ is odd, and characterized the extremal graphs. The number of the maximal independent sets on some classes of graphs were also studied in $[5,6,10,13]$.

In 2021, Tu, Zhang and Shi [11] showed that the maximum number of maximum 2-component independent sets in a tree of order $n$ is $3^{\frac{n}{3}-1}+\frac{n}{3}+1$ if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3), 3^{\frac{n-1}{3}-1}+1$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, and $3^{\frac{n-2}{3}-1}$ if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, and also characterized the extremal graphs.

In 1981, Yannakakis [14] proved that the problem of computing the number of maximum 2component independent sets for bipartite graphs is NP-complete. The complexity of the problem on some special families of graphs were studied in [1,2,7,8].

In this paper, we establish a sharp upper bound for $t_{2}(G)$ of a forest $G$ of order $n$ and characterize all forests achieving the upper bound.

## 2. The main result

Let $G$ be a graph and $v$ a vertex in $G$. The neighborhood $N_{G}(v)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ and the closed neighborhood $N_{G}[v]$ is $N_{G}(v) \cup\{v\}$. In the sequel, we use $t(G)$ to present $t_{2}(G)$ for simplicity and $S$ denotes an M2-CIS of a tree $T$ under consideration.

Theorem 2.1. For any forest $F$ of order $n \geq 3, t(F) \leq f(n)$, where

$$
f(n)= \begin{cases}3^{\frac{n}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 3 \\ 4 \cdot 3^{\frac{n-4}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 4, \\ 5, & \text { if } n=5, \\ 4^{2} \cdot 3^{\frac{n-8}{3}}, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

with equality if and only if

$$
F_{n} \cong \begin{cases}\frac{n}{3} P_{3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 3, \\ \frac{n-4}{3} P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 4, \\ K_{1,4}, & \text { if } n=5, \\ \frac{n-8}{3} P_{3} \cup 2 K_{1,3}, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \text { and } n \geq 8\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.2. (Cheng, Wu [3]) Let $n$ and $k$ be two integers with $n \geq k+1 \geq 2$. For any tree $T$ of order $n$, there exists a vertex $v$ such that $T-v$ has $d(v)-1$ components, each of which has order at most $k$, but the sum of their order is at least $k$. In particular, every nontrivial tree $T$ has a vertex $v$ such that all its neighbors but one are leaves.

Lemma 2.3. For any positive integer $n \geq 1$,

$$
t\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } 1 \leq n \leq 2 \\ n, & \text { if } n \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

We define five special trees, denoted by $T_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$ :
$T_{1}$ is a tree of order $n$ obtained from $K_{1,3}$ by subdividing an edge of $K_{1,3} n-4$ times, where $5 \leq n \leq 9$.
$T_{2}$ is obtained from $2 P_{4} \cup P_{3}$ by adding edges connecting a leaf of each copy of $P_{4}$ to a leaf $x$ of $P_{3}$.
$T_{3}$ is obtained from $\left(K_{1,3} \cup P_{4}\right) \cup P_{3}$ by adding edges connecting a leaf of $K_{1,3}$ and $P_{4}$ to a leaf $x$ of $P_{3}$.
$T_{4}$ is obtained from $a K_{1,3} \cup P_{3}$ by adding edges connecting a leaf of each copy of $K_{1,3}$ to a leaf $x$ of $P_{3}$ for an integer $a \geq 2$.
$T_{5}$ is obtained from $b K_{1,3}$ by adding edges connecting a leaf of each copy of $K_{1,3}$ to a fixed vertex $x$ for an integer $b \geq 2$, as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. $T_{i}, i \in\{2, \ldots, 5\}$.
Lemma 2.4. $t\left(T_{i}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$.
Proof. By a straightforward calculation,

$$
t\left(T_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}4<5=t\left(K_{1,4}\right)=t\left(F_{5}\right), & \text { if } n=5, \\ 6<3^{2}=t\left(2 P_{3}\right)=t\left(F_{6}\right), & \text { if } n=6, \\ 10<4 \cdot 3=t\left(P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}\right)=t\left(F_{7}\right), & \text { if } n=7, \\ 13<4^{2}=t\left(2 K_{1,3}\right)=t\left(F_{8}\right), & \text { if } n=8, \\ 17<3^{3}=t\left(3 P_{3}\right)=t\left(F_{9}\right), & \text { if } n=9 .\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $\left|V\left(T_{2}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(T_{3}\right)\right|=11, t\left(T_{2}\right)=28<4^{2} \cdot 3=t\left(2 K_{1,3} \cup P_{3}\right)=t\left(F_{11}\right)$, and $t\left(T_{3}\right)=31<$ $4^{2} \cdot 3=t\left(2 K_{1,3} \cup P_{3}\right)=t\left(F_{11}\right)$.

Note that $\left|V\left(T_{4}\right)\right|=4 a+3$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T_{4}$, either $x \notin S$ or $x \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(x) \leq 1$. Let us define $t_{x}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=0\right\}\right|=2^{a}$, $t_{x}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1\right\}\right|=(a+3) \cdot 3^{a-1}$, $t_{\bar{x}}=|\{S: x \notin S\}|=4^{a}$. Thus, $t\left(T_{4}\right)=t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}=4^{a}+(a+3) \cdot 3^{a-1}+2^{a}$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $a(\bmod 3)$.

If $a=3 s, s \geq 1$, then $\left|V\left(T_{4}\right)\right|=12 s+3$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+3}\right)=3^{4 s+1}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s}$ and $(s+1) \cdot 3^{3 s}+2^{3 s} \leq 2 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ for any $s \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{4}\right) & =4^{3 s}+(s+1) \cdot 3^{3 s}+2^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s}+2 \cdot 3^{4 s} \\
& =3^{4 s+1}=t\left(F_{12 s+3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a=3 s+1, s \geq 1$, then $\left|V\left(T_{4}\right)\right|=12 s+7$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+7}\right)=4 \cdot 3^{4 s+1}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s+1} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+4) \cdot 3^{3 s}+2^{3 s+1} \leq 8 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ for any $s \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{4}\right) & =4^{3 s+1}+(3 s+4) \cdot 3^{3 s}+2^{3 s+1} \\
& \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}+8 \cdot 3^{4 s}=4 \cdot 3^{4 s+1}=t\left(F_{12 s+7}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a=3 s+2, s \geq 0$, then $\left|V\left(T_{4}\right)\right|=12 s+11$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+11}\right)=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s+1}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s+2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+5) \cdot 3^{3 s+1}+2^{3 s+2} \leq 32 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ for any $s \geq 0$, it follows that for any $s \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{4}\right) & =4^{3 s+2}+(3 s+5) \cdot 3^{3 s+1}+2^{3 s+2} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}+32 \cdot 3^{4 s}=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s+1}=t\left(F_{12 s+11}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left|V\left(T_{5}\right)\right|=4 b+1$ and $t\left(T_{5}\right)=4^{b}+b \cdot 3^{b-1}-b \cdot 2^{b-1}$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $b(\bmod 3)$.

If $b=3 s, s \geq 1$, then $\left|V\left(T_{5}\right)\right|=12 s+1$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+1}\right)=4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s}$ and $s \cdot 3^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s-1}$ for any $s \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{5}\right) & =4^{3 s}+s \cdot 3^{3 s}-3 s \cdot 2^{3 s-1} \leq 3^{4 s}+3^{4 s-1} \\
& =4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=t\left(F_{12 s+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $b=3 s+1, s \geq 1$, then $\left|V\left(T_{5}\right)\right|=12 s+5$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+5}\right)=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s+1} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+1) \cdot 3^{3 s} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$ for any $s \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{5}\right) & =4^{3 s+1}+(3 s+1) \cdot 3^{3 s}-(3 s+1) \cdot 2^{3 s} \\
& \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}+4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=t\left(F_{12 s+5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $b=3 s+2, s \geq 0$, then $\left|V\left(T_{5}\right)\right|=12 s+9$ and $t\left(F_{12 s+9}\right)=3^{4 s+3}$. Moreover, since $4^{3 s+2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+2) \cdot 3^{3 s+1} \leq 11 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ for any $s \geq 0$, it follows that for any $s \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(T_{5}\right) & =4^{3 s+2}+(3 s+2) \cdot 3^{3 s+1}-(3 s+2) \cdot 2^{3 s+1} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}+11 \cdot 3^{4 s}=3^{4 s+3}=t\left(F_{12 s+9}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. The proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Proof. Let $F$ be a forest of order $n$. It is straightforward to check that the result is true if $n \leq 5$. We proceed with the induction on the order $n$ of $F$. If $F \cong K_{1, n-1}$, then by Lemma 2.3, the result trivially holds. Next we assume that $F$ is not a star. By Lemma 2.2, for a tree $T$, there exists a vertex $x$ with $d(x)-1$ neighbors being leaves. Let $N(x)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d(x)-1}, y\right\}$, where $y$ is the neighbor of $x$ which is not a leaf of $T$, as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. $T$.

Claim 1. If $d(x) \geq 6$, then $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Let $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ be two components of $T-x y$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively. Then $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right|=d(x)$.
Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $x \notin S$ or $x \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(x)=1$. Let us define
$t_{\bar{x}}=|\{S: x \notin S\}|$,
$t_{x}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1,\{x, y\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1,\left\{x, x_{i}\right\} \subseteq S\right.$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, d(x)-1\}\} \mid$.
Thus, $t(T)=t_{\bar{x}}+t_{x}^{1}$. Since $t_{\bar{x}}=t\left(T_{y}\right)$ and $t_{x}^{1} \leq d(x) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T) \leq(d(x)+1) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{x}\right)$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $d(x)(\bmod 3)$.
Case 1. $d(x)=3 s, s \geq 2$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=s P_{3}$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=3^{s}$. By (3.1), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
t(T) \leq(3 s+1) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 3^{s} \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-d(x)}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $d(x)=3 s+1, s \geq 2$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=(s-1) P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=4 \cdot 3^{s-1}$. By (3.1), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
t(T) \leq(3 s+2) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1} \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-d(x)}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 3. $d(x)=3 s+2, s \geq 2$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=(s-2) P_{3} \cup 2 K_{1,3}$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}$. By (3.1), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
t(T) \leq(3 s+3) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2} \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-d(x)}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Claim 2. If $d(x)=4$ or 5 , then $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Proof. The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Claim 1. Let $F_{1}=T-(N[x] \backslash\{y\})$, $F_{2}=T-N(x)-N(y)$ and $F_{3}=T-N[x]$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{\bar{x}}=t\left(F_{1}\right), t_{x}^{1}=t\left(F_{2}\right)+(d(x)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{3}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{\bar{x}}+t_{x}^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{2}\right)+(d(x)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{3}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Then $n_{1}=n-d(x), n_{2}=n-d(x)-d(y)$ and $n_{3}=n-d(x)-1$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $n(\bmod 3)$.
Case 1. $n=3 s, s \geq 2$
Subcase 1.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$

If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{1}=3(s-2)+2, n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$ and $n_{3}=3(s-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+52 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+4 \cdot 3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+52\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{1}=3(s-2)+1, n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$ and $n_{3}=3(s-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-3}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4}{3^{l}}+16 \leq 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4+\frac{4}{3^{l}}+12\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{4}{3^{l}}+16\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+52 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}+4 \cdot 3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+52\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3}{3^{l}}+16 \leq 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4+\frac{3}{3^{l}}+12\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{3}{3^{l}}+16\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{9}{3^{l}}+52 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{9}{3^{l}}+4 \cdot 3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{9}{3^{l}}+52\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-3)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-5}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+144 \leq 3^{5}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4 \cdot 3^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+4 \cdot 3^{3}\right) \cdot 3^{s-5}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+144\right) \cdot 3^{s-5} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 2. $n=3 s+1, s \geq 2$
Subcase 2.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{1}=3(s-1), n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$ and $n_{3}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{9}{3^{l}}+25 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{2}+\frac{9}{3^{l}}+4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{9}{3^{l}}+25\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{1}=3(s-2)+2, n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$ and $n_{3}=3(s-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+64 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+4^{2} \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+64\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l+1}}+25 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l+1}}+4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l+1}}+25\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+64 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}+4^{2} \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+64\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4}{3^{l}}+25 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{2}+\frac{4}{3^{l}}+4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{4}{3^{l}}+25\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+64 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4^{2}+\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+4^{2} \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+64\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 3. $n=3 s+2, s \geq 2$
Subcase 3.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{1}=3(s-1)+1, n_{2}=3(s-l-1)+1$ and $n_{3}=3(s-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4}{3^{l}}+13 \leq 4^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4+\frac{4}{3^{l}}+3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{4}{3^{l}}+13\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{1}=3(s-1), n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$ and $n_{3}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}, t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+91 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{3}+\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+4^{3}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+91\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 3.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3}{3^{l}}+13 \leq 4^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4+\frac{3}{3^{l}}+3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{3}{3^{l}}+13\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+91 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{3}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+4^{3}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+91\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 3.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
If $d(x)=4$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{+2}}+13 \leq 4^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(4+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l+2}}+3^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l+2}}+13\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

If $d(x)=5$, then $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+91 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.2), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T)=\left(3^{3}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}+4^{3}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+91\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Claim 3. $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $n \geq 6, n \equiv 0$ or $1(\bmod 3), d(x)=3$;
(2) $n \geq 8, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3), d(x)=3, d(y) \geq 3$, where $y \in N(x)$.

Proof. The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Claims 1 and 2. By (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{2}\right)+2 t\left(F_{3}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $n(\bmod 3)$.

Case 1. $n=3 s, s \geq 2$.
$n_{1}=3(s-1), n_{3}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$.
Subcase 1.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$

Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 4^{2}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 2. $n=3 s+1, s \geq 2$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-1)+1, n_{3}=3(s-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$.
Subcase 2.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4}{3^{l}}+10 \leq 4 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4+\frac{4}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{4}{3^{l}}+10\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3}{3^{l}}+10 \leq 4 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4+\frac{3}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{3}{3^{l}}+10\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+90 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4 \cdot 3^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+2 \cdot 3^{3}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+90\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 3. $n=3 s+2, s \geq 2$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-1)+2, n_{3}=3(s-1)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-3}$ and $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}$.
Subcase 3.1. $d(y)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+8 \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

Subcase 3.2. $d(y)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}+8 \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 3.3. $d(y)=3 l+2, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{2}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.3), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+8 \cdot 3\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

In view of Claim 3, we consider the case that $d(y)=2$ and $n=3 s+2$ where $s \geq 2$.
Claim 4. Assume that $d(y)=2$ for the remaining neighbor $y$ of $x$ and $d(z) \geq 1$ for the neighbor of $y$ other than $x$, as shown in Figure 3. If $T-z$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge, then $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.


Figure 3. $T$.

Proof. Let $T_{x}$ and $T_{y}$ be two components of $T-x y$ containing $x$ and $y$ respectively.
Case 1. $T-z$ has exactly an isolated vertex.
By Lemma 2.4, we distinguish two subcases in terms of $d(z) \geq 3$.
Subcse 1.1. $d(z)=3$
Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{y}$, either $y \notin S^{\prime}$ or $y \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y) \leq 1$. Let us define $\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y)=0\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{y}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y)=1\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{\bar{y}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: y \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{y}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{y}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $y \notin S$ or $y \in S$ with $d_{T[S]]}(y) \leq 1$. Let
$t_{y}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=0\right\}\right|=\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}$,
$t_{y}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1,\{x, y\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1,\{y, z\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|$
$=\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{y}^{1}$.
$t_{\bar{y}}=|\{S: y \notin S\}|=|\{S: y \notin S, x \in S\}|+|\{S: y \notin S, x \notin S\}|$
$\leq\left(\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}+2 \tilde{t}_{y}^{1}+2 \tilde{t}_{\bar{y}}\right)+\tilde{t}_{\bar{y}}=\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}+2 \tilde{t}_{y}^{1}+3 \tilde{\tilde{y}}_{\bar{y}}$.
Clearly, $t(T)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}} \leq 3 \tilde{f}_{y}^{0}+3 \tilde{t}_{y}^{1}+3 \tilde{t}_{\bar{y}}$. Since $t\left(T_{y}\right)=\tilde{t}_{y}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{y}^{1}+\tilde{\tilde{y}}_{\bar{y}}, t(T) \leq 3 t\left(T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-3}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-3}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Subcase 1.2. $d(z) \geq 4$
Let $T-y z=T_{y} \cup T_{z}$ where $z \in T_{z}$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{z}$, either $z \notin S^{\prime}$ or $z \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1$. Let us define $t_{\bar{z}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: z \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|$ and $t_{z}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{z}\right)=t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}$.

The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Note that $t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1} \leq t_{z}^{1}+2 t_{\bar{z}}$ and $t_{\bar{y}}=2 t\left(T_{z}\right)+t_{z}^{1}$. Thus, $t(T) \leq 2 t\left(T_{z}\right)+2\left(t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}\right)$. Since $t\left(T_{z}\right)=t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}, t(T) \leq 4 t\left(T_{z}\right)=t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-4}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Case 2. $T-z$ has two isolated vertices.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcases 1.1 and 1.2. Note that $t\left(T_{z}\right)=t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}, t_{y}^{0}=t_{\bar{z}}, t_{y}^{1} \leq t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}, t_{\bar{y}}=2 t\left(T_{z}\right)+t_{z}^{1}$. Thus, $t(T) \leq 2 t\left(T_{z}\right)+2\left(t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}\right)=4 t\left(T_{z}\right)=t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-4}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 3. $T-z$ has an isolated edge.
Note that $t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}} \leq t\left(T_{z}\right)$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Case 2, we show that $t(T) \leq$ $t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

In view of Claim 4, we consider the case that $d(z)=2$.
Claim 5. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w$ in $T$ with $d(x)=3, d(y)=d(z)=2$, as shown in Figure 4. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $T-w$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge;
(2) $T-w$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(w) \neq 2$.


Figure 4. $T$.
Proof. Let $N(w)=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d(w)-1}, z\right\}$. We consider two cases in the following.
Case 1. $T-w$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge.
Subcase 1.1. $T-w$ has an isolated vertex.
Let $T-y z=T_{y} \cup T_{z}$ where $z \in T_{z}$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{z}$, either $z \notin S^{\prime}$ or $z \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z) \leq 1$. Let us define $\tilde{t}_{z}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=0\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: z \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{z}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{z}\right)=\tilde{f}_{z}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{z}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $z \notin S$ or $z \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(z) \leq 1$. Let
$t_{z}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=0\right\}\right|=2 \tilde{t}_{z}^{0}$,
$t_{z}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1,\{y, z\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1,\{z, w\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|$
$=\tilde{t}_{z}^{0}+3 \tilde{z}_{z}^{1}$,
$t_{\bar{z}}=|\{S: z \notin S\}|$
$=\left|\left\{S: z \notin S, y \in S, d_{T[S]}(y)=0\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: z \notin S, y \in S, d_{T[S]}(y)=1\right\}\right|$
$+|\{S: z \notin S, y \notin S\}|$
$=\tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}+\left(\left|\left\{S: z \notin S, y \in S, d_{T[S]}(y)=1, w \notin S\right\}\right|\right.$
$\left.+\left|\left\{S: z \notin S, y \in S, d_{T[S]}(y)=1, w \in S\right\}\right|\right)+2 \tilde{\tau}_{\bar{z}}$
$\leq 3 \tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}+\left(\tilde{t}_{z}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}\right)=\tilde{t}_{z}^{0}+4 \tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}$.
Obviously, $t(T)=t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}} \leq 4 \tilde{t}_{z}^{0}+3 \tilde{t}_{z}^{1}+4 \tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}$. Since $t\left(T_{z}\right)=\tilde{t}_{z}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{z}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{z}}, t(T) \leq 4 t\left(T_{z}\right)=t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-4}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Subcase 1.2. $T-w$ has an isolated edge.
By Lemma 2.4, $d(w) \geq 3$. Let $T-z w=T_{z} \cup T_{w}$ where $w \in T_{w}$ and $T_{z}^{\prime}=K_{1,4}$ where $V\left(T_{z}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{z}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{z}^{\prime}\right)=5$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{w}$, either $w \notin S^{\prime}$ or $w \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w) \leq 1$. Let us define $t_{w}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w)=0\right\}\right|, t_{\bar{w}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: w \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|, t_{w}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{w}\right)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}}$.

The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Note that $t_{z}^{0}=2 t_{\bar{w}}$, $t_{z}^{1} \leq 2 t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+2 t_{\bar{w}}$ and $t_{\bar{z}}=t\left(T_{w}\right)+t_{w}^{0}+3 t_{w}^{1}$.

We obtain that $t(T)=t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}} \leq t\left(T_{w}\right)+3 t_{w}^{0}+4 t_{w}^{1}+4 t_{\bar{w}}$. Since $t\left(T_{w}\right)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}}, t(T) \leq 5 t\left(T_{w}\right)=$ $t\left(T_{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{w}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{w}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-5}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-5}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-w$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(w) \neq 2$.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Let $F_{1}=T-(N[x] \cup\{z\})$, $F_{2}=T-N[x]-V(P), F_{3}=T-N[x]-V(P)-N(w)$ and $F_{4}=T-N[x]-V(P)-N(w)-N\left(w_{i}\right)$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{z}^{0}=2 t\left(F_{2}\right), t_{z}^{1}=t\left(F_{2}\right)+3 t\left(F_{3}\right)$, $t_{\bar{z}}=t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right)+3(d(w)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=t\left(F_{1}\right)+3 t\left(F_{2}\right)+4 t\left(F_{3}\right)+3(d(w)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $n_{1}=3(s-1), n_{2}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $d(w)(\bmod 3)$.
Subcase 2.1. $d(w)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1), n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{48 l+20}{3^{l}}+25 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.4), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(3^{2}+4^{2}+\frac{4 \cdot 3^{2}}{3^{l}}+\frac{4^{2}(3 l-1)}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \\
& =\left(\frac{488+20}{3^{l}}+25\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(w)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+2, n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{108 l+64}{3^{l}}+75 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.4), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(3^{3}+4^{2} \cdot 3+\frac{4^{3}}{3^{l}}+\frac{41 \cdot 3^{3}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& =\left(\frac{108++64}{3^{l}}+75\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(w)=3 l+2, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+1, n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{27 l+25}{3^{l}}+25 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.4), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(3^{2}+4^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+\frac{3^{2}(3 l+1)}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \\
& =\left(\frac{27 l+25}{3^{l}}+25\right) \cdot 3^{s-3^{l}} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Claim 5, we proceed to consider the case that $d(w)=2$.

Claim 6. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w u$ in $T$ with $d(x)=3, d(y)=d(z)=d(w)=2$, as shown in Figure 5. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $T-u$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge;
(2) $T-u$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(u) \neq 2$.


Figure 5. $T$.

Proof. Let $T-w u=T_{w} \cup T_{u}$ where $u \in T_{u}$ and $N(u)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d(u)-1}, w\right\}$.
Case 1. $T-u$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge.
Subcase 1.1. $T-u$ has an isolated vertex.
By Lemma 2.4, $d(u) \geq 3$. Let $T_{w}^{\prime}=2 P_{3}$ where $V\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{w}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=9$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{u}$, either $u \notin S^{\prime}$ or $u \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=1$. Let us define $t_{u}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=1\right\}\right|$, $t_{\bar{u}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: u \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $w \notin S$ or $w \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(w) \leq 1$. Let

```
\(t_{w}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=0\right\}\right| \leq 4 t_{\bar{u}}\),
\(t_{w}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1\right\}\right|\)
        \(=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1,\{w, u\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1,\{w, z\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|\)
        \(\leq 4 t_{u}^{1}+\left(t_{u}^{1}+4 t_{\bar{u}}\right)=5 t_{u}^{1}+4 t_{\bar{u}}\).
    \(t_{\bar{w}}=|\{S: w \notin S\}|\)
        \(=\left|\left\{S: w \notin S, z \in S, d_{T[S]}(z)=0\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: w \notin S, z \in S, d_{T[S]}(z)=1\right\}\right|\)
            \(+|\{S: w \notin S, z \notin S\}|\)
        \(=2 t_{u}^{1}+t\left(T_{u}\right)+t_{u}^{1}=3 t_{u}^{1}+t\left(T_{u}\right)\).
```

Clearly, $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}} \leq t\left(T_{u}\right)+8\left(t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}\right)$. Since $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}, t(T) \leq 9 t\left(T_{u}\right)=t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{u}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{u}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-6}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-6}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Subcase 1.2. $T-u$ has an isolated edge.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1, with exception that adding the definition of $t_{u}^{0}$. More precisely, let $t_{u}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=0\right\}\right|$. Then $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$.

Note that $t_{w}^{0}=2 t_{\bar{u}}, t_{w}^{1} \leq 4 t_{u}^{1}+3 t_{\bar{u}}$ and $t_{\bar{w}} \leq 2 t_{u}^{0}+3 t_{u}^{1}+t\left(T_{u}\right)$. Thus, $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}} \leq$ $t\left(T_{u}\right)+2 t_{u}^{0}+7 t_{u}^{1}+5 t_{\bar{u}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}, t(T) \leq 8 t\left(T_{u}\right)<9 t\left(T_{u}\right)=t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{u}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{u}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-6}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-6}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-u$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(u) \neq 2$.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Let $F_{1}=T-N(x)-V(P)$, $F_{2}=T-N(x)-(V(P) \backslash\{u\}), F_{3}=T-N(x)-V(P)-N(u)$ and $F_{4}=T-N(x)-V(P)-N(u)-N\left(u_{i}\right)$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{w}^{0}=2 t\left(F_{1}\right), t_{w}^{1}=3 t\left(F_{1}\right)+4 t\left(F_{3}\right)$, $t_{\bar{w}}=t\left(F_{2}\right)+2 t\left(F_{3}\right)+3(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=5 t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{2}\right)+6 t\left(F_{3}\right)+3(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $n_{1}=3(s-2)+1$ and $n_{2}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-3}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$. Now we consider three subcases in terms of $d(u)(\bmod 3)$.

Subcase 2.1. $d(u)=3 l, l \geq 1$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+2$ and $n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{108 l+60}{3^{l}}+76 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.5), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & =\left(5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3+4^{2}+\frac{6 \cdot 4^{2}}{3^{l}}+\frac{4 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot(3 l-1)}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& =\left(\frac{108 l+60}{3^{l}}+76\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(u)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+1$ and $n_{4}=3(s-l-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{81 l+72}{3^{l}}+76 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.5), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & =\left(5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3+4^{2}+\frac{6 \cdot 12}{3^{l}}+\frac{3^{4} l}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& =\left(\frac{81 l+72}{3^{l}}+76\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(u)=3 l+2, l \geq 1$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{3}=3(s-l-2)$ and $n_{4}=3(s-l-3)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-5}$. Moreover, since $\frac{48 l+70}{3^{l}}+76 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.5), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & =\left(5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3+4^{2}+\frac{6 \cdot 3^{2}}{3^{l}}+\frac{4^{2}(3 l+1)}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{48 l+70}{3^{l}}+76\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Claim 6, we proceed to consider the case that $d(u)=2$.
Claim 7. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w u q$ in $T$ with $d(x)=3, d(y)=d(z)=d(w)=d(u)=$ 2, as shown in Figure 6. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.


Figure 6. $T$.

Proof. Let $T-u q=T_{u} \cup T_{q}$ where $q \in T_{q}$ and $N(q)=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{d(q)-1}, u\right\}$.

Case 1. $T-q$ has an isolated vertex.
By Lemma 2.4, $d(q) \geq 3$. Let $T_{u}^{\prime}=P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}$ where $V\left(T_{u}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{u}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{u}^{\prime}\right)=12$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{q}$, either $q \notin S^{\prime}$ or $q \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(q)=1$. Let us define $t_{q}^{1}=\mid\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(q)=\right.$ $1\}\left|, t_{\bar{q}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: q \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|\right.$. Thus, $t\left(T_{q}\right)=t_{q}^{1}+t_{\bar{q}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $u \notin S$ or $u \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(u) \leq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{u}^{0}= & \left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=0\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=0, z \in S, d_{T[S]}(z)=1\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=0, z \in S,\right. \\
& \left.d_{T[S]}(z)=0\right\} \mid \\
\leq & 2 t_{\bar{q}}+\left(t_{q}^{1}+t_{\bar{q}}\right)=t_{q}^{1}+3 t_{\bar{q}} . \\
t_{u}^{1}= & \left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1,\{u, q\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1,\{w, u\} \subseteq S\right\}\right| \\
\leq & 4 t_{q}^{1}+\left(6 t_{\bar{q}}+t_{q}^{1}\right)=5 t_{q}^{1}+6 t_{\bar{q}}, \\
t_{\bar{u}}= & |\{S: u \notin S\}| \\
= & \left|\left\{S: u \notin S, w \in S, d_{T[S]}(w)=0\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: u \notin S, w \in S, d_{T[S]}(w)=1\right\}\right| \\
& +|\{S: u \notin S, w \notin S\}| \\
= & 2 t_{q}^{1}+3 t\left(T_{q}\right)+t_{q}^{1}=3 t_{q}^{1}+3 t\left(T_{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $t(T)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}} \leq 3 t\left(T_{q}\right)+9\left(t_{q}^{1}+t_{\bar{q}}\right)$. Since $t\left(T_{q}\right)=t_{q}^{1}+t_{\bar{q}}, t(T) \leq 12 t\left(T_{q}\right)=t\left(T_{u}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{q}\right)$.
By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{q}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-7}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{u}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-7}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-q$ has no isolated vertex.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Case 1. Let $F_{1}=T-N(x)-V(P)-N(q)$, $F_{2}=T-N(x)-V(P)-N(q)-N\left(q_{i}\right), F_{3}=T-N(x)-V(P)$ and $F_{4}=T-N(x)-(V(P) \backslash\{q\})$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{u}^{0}=3 t\left(F_{3}\right), t_{u}^{1}=4 t\left(F_{1}\right)+4 t\left(F_{3}\right)$, $t_{\bar{u}}=2 t\left(F_{1}\right)+3(d(q)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{2}\right)+3 t\left(F_{4}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=6 t\left(F_{1}\right)+3(d(q)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{2}\right)+7 t\left(F_{3}\right)+3 t\left(F_{4}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $n_{3}=3(s-2)$ and $n_{4}=3(s-2)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-2}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-3}$. We consider three subcases in terms of $d(q)(\bmod 3)$.
Subcase 2.1. $d(q)=3 l, l \geq 1$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-l-2)+1$ and $n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-2)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{9 l+5}{3^{l}}+11 \leq 4^{2}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.6), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(\frac{8}{3 l}+\frac{3(3 l-1)}{3^{l}}+11\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq\left(\frac{9 l+5}{3^{l}}+11\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(q)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-l-2)$ and $n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-3)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-5}$. Moreover, since $\frac{16 l+18}{3^{l}}+33 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.6), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(\frac{18}{3^{l}}+\frac{4^{2} l}{3^{l}}+33\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq\left(\frac{16 l+18}{3^{l}}+33\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \\
& \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(q)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-l-3)+2$ and $n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-3)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-5}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{36 l+44}{3^{l}}+99 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.6), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t(T) & \leq\left(\frac{2 \cdot 42^{2}}{3^{l}}+\frac{12(3 l+1)}{3^{l}}+99\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq\left(\frac{36 l+44}{3^{l}}+99\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \\
& \leq 4^{2^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we consider the case that there exists a vertex with one neighbor being leaf.
Claim 8. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1, d(y)=2$, as shown in Figure 7. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $T-z$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge other than the component $x y$;
(2) $T-z$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(z) \geq 3$.


Figure 7. $T$.

Proof. Let $T-y z=T_{y} \cup T_{z}$ where $z \in T_{z}$ and $N(z)=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d(z)-1}, y\right\}$.
Case 1. $T-z$ has an isolated vertex.
Let $T_{y}^{\prime}=P_{3}$ where $V\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x, y, z_{1}\right\}$. Then $t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right)=3$.
Subcase 1.1. $T-z$ has exactly an isolated vertex, say $z_{1}$.
Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{z}-z_{1}$, either $z \notin S^{\prime}$ or $z \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z) \leq 1$. Let us define $t_{z}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=0\right\}\right|, t_{\bar{z}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: z \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|, t_{z}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $y \notin S$ or $y \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(y)=1$. Let
$t_{\bar{y}}=|\{S: y \notin S\}| \leq t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)+t_{z}^{1}$,
$t_{y}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1,\{x, y\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(y)=1,\{y, z\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|$
$\leq t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)+t_{z}^{0}+t_{\bar{z}}$,
Clearly, $t(T)=t_{\bar{y}}+t_{y}^{1} \leq 2 t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)+t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}$. Since $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}+t_{\bar{z}}, t(T) \leq 3 t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=$ $t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-3}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-3}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Subcase 1.2. $T-z$ has two isolated vertices.
Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{z}-z_{1}$, either $z \notin S^{\prime}$ or $z \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1$. Let $t_{\bar{z}}=\mid\left\{S^{\prime}\right.$ : $\left.z \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\left|, t_{z}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(z)=1\right\}\right|\right.$. Then $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}$.

The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Note that $t_{\bar{y}} \leq 2 t_{z}^{1}$ and $t_{y}^{1} \leq 2 t_{\bar{z}}+t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)$. We have $t(T)=t_{\bar{y}}+t_{y}^{1} \leq t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)+2\left(t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}\right)$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{1}$, $t(T) \leq 3 t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)=t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-3}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-3}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Case 2. $T-z$ has an isolated edge, say $z_{1} z_{1}^{1}$.
Let $T_{y}^{\prime}=K_{1,3}$ where $V\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x, y, z_{1}, z_{1}^{1}\right\}$. Then $t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right)=4$. The meanings of nations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. It is sufficient to note that $t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1} \leq t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right), t_{\bar{y}} \leq t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right)+t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}$ and $t_{y}^{1} \leq 2 t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right)$. Thus, $t(T)=t_{\bar{y}}+t_{y}^{1} \leq 4 t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right)=t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{z}-z_{1} z_{1}^{1}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{y}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-4}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

In view of Claim 8, we consider the case that $d(z)=2$.
Claim 9. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1, d(y)=d(z)=2$, as shown in Figure 8 . We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $n \geq 6, n \equiv 0$ or $1(\bmod 3)$;
(2) $n \geq 8, n \equiv 2(\bmod 3), d(w) \geq 3$.


Figure 8. $T$.
Proof. Let $F_{1}=T-N[y], F_{2}=T-V(P)$, and $F_{3}=T-V(P)-N(w)$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $z \notin S$ or $z \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(z) \leq 1$. Let us define

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\bar{\imath}} & =|\{S: z \notin S\}|=t\left(F_{1}\right), t_{z}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=0\right\}\right|=t\left(F_{2}\right), \\
t_{z}^{1} & =\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1\right\}\right| \\
& =\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1,\{y, z\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(z)=1,\{z, w\} \subseteq S\right\}\right| \\
& =t\left(F_{2}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t(T)=t_{\bar{z}}+t_{z}^{0}+t_{z}^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=t\left(F_{1}\right)+2 t\left(F_{2}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. We consider three cases in terms of the modularity of $n(\bmod 3)$.
Case 1. $n=3 s, s \geq 2$.
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-1), n_{2}=3(s-2)+2$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$. We distinguish three subcases according to $d(w)(\bmod 3)$.
Subcase 1.1. $d(w)=3 l, l \geq 1$,
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+2 \cdot 4^{2}+\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{3^{3}}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.2. $d(w)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+2 \cdot 4^{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 1.3. $d(w)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+59 \leq 3^{4}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(3^{3}+2 \cdot 4^{2}+\frac{12}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+59\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 2. $n=3 s+1, s \geq 2$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-1)+1, n_{2}=3(s-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$.

Subcase 2.1. $d(w)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4}{3^{l}}+10 \leq 4 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4+2 \cdot 3+\frac{4}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{4}{3^{l}}+10\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 2.2. $d(w)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3}{3^{l}}+10 \leq 4 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4+2 \cdot 3+\frac{3}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-2}=\left(\frac{3}{3^{l}}+10\right) \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 2.3. $d(w)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+90 \leq 4 \cdot 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 0$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4 \cdot 3^{2}+2 \cdot 3^{3}+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-4}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+90\right) \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-1}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Case 3. $n=3 s+2, s \geq 2$
By the definition of $n_{i}, n_{1}=3(s-1)+2, n_{2}=3(s-1)+1$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-3}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}$.
Subcase 3.1. $d(w)=3 l, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+8 \cdot 3+\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{4^{2}}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Subcase 3.2. $d(w)=3 l+1, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{12}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+8 \cdot 3+\frac{12}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{12}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)
$$

Subcase 3.3. $d(w)=3 l+2, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+40 \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, by (3.7), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
t(T) \leq\left(4^{2}+8 \cdot 3+\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}\right) \cdot 3^{s-3}=\left(\frac{3^{2}}{3^{l}}+40\right) \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

In view of Claim 9, we proceed to consider the case that $d(w)=2$ and $n=3 s+2$ where $s \geq 2$.
Claim 10. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w u$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1, d(y)=d(z)=d(w)=2$, as shown in Figure 9. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $T-u$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge;
(2) $T-u$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(u) \geq 4$.


Figure 9. $T$.
Proof. Let $N(u)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d(u)-1}, w\right\}$.
Case 1. $T-u$ has an isolated vertex or an isolated edge.
Subcase 1.1. $T-u$ has an isolated vertex.
Let $T-z w=T_{z} \cup T_{w}$ where $w \in T_{w}$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{w}$, either $w \notin S^{\prime}$ or $w \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w) \leq 1$. Let us define $\tilde{f}_{w}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w)=0\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{w}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(w)=1\right\}\right|$, $\tilde{t}_{\bar{w}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: w \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|$. Then $t\left(T_{w}\right)=\tilde{t}_{w}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{w}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{w}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $w \notin S$ or $w \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(w) \leq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{w}^{0} & =\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=0\right\}\right|=\tilde{t}_{w}^{0}, \\
t_{w}^{1} & =\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1\right\}\right| \\
& =\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1,\{z, w\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(w)=1,\{w, u\} \subseteq S\right\}\right| \\
& =\tilde{t}_{w}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{w}^{1} \\
t_{\bar{w}} & =|\{S: w \notin S\}| \\
& =\left|\left\{S: w \notin S, u \in S, d_{T[S]}(u)=1\right\}\right|+|\{S: w \notin S, u \notin S\}| \\
& \leq 3 \tilde{t}_{\bar{w}}+\tilde{t}_{w}^{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}} \leq 3 \tilde{t}_{w}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{w}^{1}+3 \tilde{t}_{\bar{w}}$. Since $t\left(T_{w}\right)=\tilde{t}_{w}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{w}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{w}}, t(T) \leq 3 t\left(T_{w}\right)=$ $t\left(T_{z}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{w}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{w}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-3}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{z}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-3}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Subcase 1.2. $T-u$ has an isolated edge.
Let $T-w u=T_{w} \cup T_{u}$ where $u \in T_{u}$ and $T_{w}^{\prime}=K_{1,3}$ where $V\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{w}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=4$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{u}$, either $u \notin S^{\prime}$ or $u \in S^{\prime} d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u) \leq 1$. Let us define $t_{u}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=0\right\}\right|$, $t_{\bar{u}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: u \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|, t_{u}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=1\right\}\right|$. Then $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$.

The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Note that $t_{w}^{0}=t_{\bar{u}}$, $t_{w}^{1} \leq t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$ and $t_{\bar{w}}=t\left(T_{u}\right)+t_{u}^{0}+2 t_{u}^{1}$.

Thus, $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}} \leq t\left(T_{u}\right)+t_{u}^{0}+3 t_{u}^{1}+2 t_{\bar{u}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{u}\right)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}, t(T) \leq 4 t\left(T_{u}\right)=t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{u}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{u}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-4}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-u$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, where $d(u) \geq 4$.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Subcase 1.1. Let $F_{1}=T-(V(P) \backslash\{u\})$, $F_{2}=T-V(P), F_{3}=T-V(P)-N(u)$ and $F_{4}=T-V(P)-N(u)-N\left(u_{i}\right)$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{w}^{0}=t\left(F_{2}\right), t_{w}^{1}=t\left(F_{2}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right)$ and $t_{\bar{w}}=t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right)+2(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{w}^{0}+t_{w}^{1}+t_{\bar{w}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=t\left(F_{1}\right)+2 t\left(F_{2}\right)+2 t\left(F_{3}\right)+2(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $n_{1}=3(s-1)+1, n_{2}=3(s-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-2}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$. We want to prove that $t(T) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)$ for any $s \geq 2$. By (3.8), we complete the proof by showing that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t\left(F_{3}\right)+(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 3^{s-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 2.1. $d(u)=3 l, l \geq 2$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1)+1, n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-1)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$. Moreover, since $\frac{9 l+1}{3^{l}} \leq 3$ for any $l \geq 2$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 2$,

$$
4 \cdot 3^{s-l-2}+(3 l-1) \cdot 3^{s-l-1}=\frac{9 l+1}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-2} \leq 3^{s-1} .
$$

i.e., (3.9) holds.

Subcase 2.2. $d(u)=3 l+1, l \geq 0$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-1), n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{16 l+9}{3^{l}} \leq 3^{2}$ for any $l \geq 0$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
3^{s-l-1}+4^{2} l \cdot 3^{s-l-3}=\frac{16 l+9}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 3^{s-1}
$$

i.e., (3.9) holds.

Subcase 2.3. $d(u)=3 l+2, l \geq 1$
Since $n_{3}=3(s-l-2)+2, n_{4} \leq 3(s-l-2)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{36 l+28}{3^{l}} \leq 3^{3}$ for any $l \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}+4(3 l+1) \cdot 3^{s-l-3}=\frac{36 l+28}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 3^{s-1}
$$

i.e., (3.9) holds.

In view of Claim 10, we proceed to consider the case that $d(u)=2$ and $d(u)=3$ respectively.
Claim 11. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w u q$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1, d(y)=d(z)=d(w)=$ $d(u)=2$, as shown in Figure 10. We have $t(T) \leq t(F)$.


Figure 10. $T$.

Proof. Let $N(q)=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{d(q)-1}, u\right\}$ and $T-w u=T_{w} \cup T_{u}$ where $u \in T_{u}$.
Case 1. $T-q$ has an isolated vertex.
Let $T_{w}^{\prime}=K_{1,3}$ where $V\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{w}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right)=4$. Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{u}$, either $u \notin S^{\prime}$ or $u \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u) \leq 1$. Let us define $\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=0\right\}\right|, \tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: u \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|$, $\tilde{t}_{u}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(u)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{u}\right)=\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{u}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $u \notin S$ or $u \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(u) \leq 1$. Let
$t_{u}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=0\right\}\right|=2 \tilde{t}_{u}^{0}$,
$t_{u}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1,\{w, u\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(u)=1,\{u, q\} \subseteq S\right\}\right|$
$=\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+3 \tilde{f}_{u}^{1}$.
$t_{\bar{u}}=|\{S: u \notin S\}|$
$=|\{S: u \notin S, w \notin S\}|+\left|\left\{S: u \notin S, w \in S, d_{T[S]}(w)=1\right\}\right|+\mid\{S: u \notin S$,
$\left.w \in S, d_{T[S]}(w)=0\right\} \mid$
$=\tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}+\left(\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}\right)+\tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}=\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+3 \tilde{\tilde{u}}_{\bar{u}}$.
Then $t(T)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}=4 \tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+3 \tilde{t}_{u}^{1}+3 \tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{u}\right)=\tilde{t}_{u}^{0}+\tilde{t}_{u}^{1}+\tilde{t}_{\bar{u}}, t(T) \leq 4 t\left(T_{u}\right)=t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{u}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{u}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{w}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{u}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-4}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-q$ has no isolated vertex.
The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Case 1. Let $F_{1}=T-V(P)-N(q), F_{2}=$ $T-V(P)-N(q)-N\left(q_{i}\right), F_{3}=T-V(P)$ and $F_{4}=T-(V(P) \backslash\{q\})$. Combining these observations with the definition of $F_{i}$, we get that $t_{u}^{0}=2 t\left(F_{3}\right), t_{u}^{1}=3 t\left(F_{1}\right)+t\left(F_{3}\right)$ and $t_{\bar{u}}=t\left(F_{1}\right)+2(d(q)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{2}\right)+t\left(F_{4}\right)$. Since $t(T)=t_{u}^{0}+t_{u}^{1}+t_{\bar{u}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(T)=4 t\left(F_{1}\right)+2(d(u)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{2}\right)+3 t\left(F_{3}\right)+t\left(F_{4}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{i}$ be the order of $F_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $n_{3}=3(s-2)+2, n_{4}=3(s-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{3}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-4}$ and $t\left(F_{4}\right) \leq 3^{s-1}$. We want to prove that $t(T) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-2}=t\left(F_{n}\right)$ for any $s \geq 2$. By (3.10), we complete the proof by showing that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 t\left(F_{1}\right)+2(d(q)-1) \cdot t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 23 \cdot 3^{s-3} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 2.1. $d(q)=3 l, l \geq 1$
$n_{1}=3(s-l-1), n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-2)+2$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-1}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$. Moreover, since $\frac{96 l+76}{3^{l}} \leq 23 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 1$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$
4 \cdot 3^{s-l-1}+2 \cdot 4^{2}(3 l-1) \cdot 3^{s-l-4}=\frac{96 l+76}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 23 \cdot 3^{s-3} .
$$

i.e., (3.11) holds.

Subcase 2.2. $d(q)=3 l+1, l \geq 0$
$n_{1}=3(s-l-2)+2, n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-2)+1$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$. Moreover, since $\frac{72 l+64}{3^{l}} \leq 23 \cdot 3$ for any $l \geq 0$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
4^{3} \cdot 3^{s-l-4}+8 l \cdot 3^{s-l-2}=\frac{72 l+64}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-4} \leq 23 \cdot 3^{s-3}
$$

i.e., (3.11) holds.

Subcase 2.3. $d(q)=3 l+2, l \geq 0$
$n_{1}=3(s-l-2)+1, n_{2} \leq 3(s-l-2)$, by the induction hypothesis, $t\left(F_{1}\right) \leq 4 \cdot 3^{s-l-3}$ and $t\left(F_{2}\right) \leq 3^{s-l-2}$. Moreover, since $\frac{18 l+22}{3^{l}} \leq 23$ for any $l \geq 0$, it follows that for any $s \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$
4^{2} \cdot 3^{s-l-3}+2(3 l+1) \cdot 3^{s-l-2}=\frac{18 l+22}{3^{l}} \cdot 3^{s-3} \leq 23 \cdot 3^{s-3}
$$

i.e., (3.11) holds.

Claim 12. Assume that there exists a vertex $x$ with $d(x) \geq 3$ such all components of $T-x$ are isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$, but one, denoted by $T_{y}$ where $y$ is the neighbor of $x$ lying in $T_{y}$, is not isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$, as shown in Figure 11. We have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.


Figure 11. $T$.
Proof. For an integer $a \geq 2$, assume that $N(x)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{a}, y\right\}$. Let $T-x y=T_{x} \cup T_{y}$ where $y \in T_{y}$. Then $\left|V\left(T_{x}\right)\right|=4 a+1$.

Case 1. $T-y$ has no isolated vertex.
Observe that for an M2-CIS $S^{\prime}$ of $T_{y}$, either $y \notin S^{\prime}$ or $y \in S^{\prime}$ with $d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y) \leq 1$. Let us define $t_{y}^{0}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y)=0\right\}\right|, t_{\bar{y}}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: y \notin S^{\prime}\right\}\right|, t_{y}^{1}=\left|\left\{S^{\prime}: d_{T\left[S^{\prime}\right]}(y)=1\right\}\right|$. Thus, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}$.

Observe that for an M2-CIS $S$ of $T$, either $x \notin S$ or $x \in S$ with $d_{T[S]}(x) \leq 1$. Let
$t_{x}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=0\right\}\right| \leq 2^{a} \cdot\left(t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}\right)$,
$t_{x}^{1}=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1\right\}\right|$
$=\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1, y \in S\right\}\right|+\left|\left\{S: d_{T[S]}(x)=1, y \notin S\right\}\right|$
$\leq 3^{a} \cdot\left(t_{y}^{0}+t_{\bar{y}}\right)+a \cdot 3^{a-1} \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$,
$t_{\overline{x y}}=\mid\left\{S: x \notin S, d_{T[S]}\left(x_{i}\right)=1\right.$ or $\left.d_{T[S]}\left(x_{i}\right)=d_{T[S]}\left(x_{j}\right)=0, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, a\}\right\} \mid$
$=\left(4^{a}-2^{a}-a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$,
$t_{\overline{x y}}^{1}=\mid\left\{S: x \notin S, d_{T[S]}(y)=1, d_{T[S]}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 1\right.$, ヨ exactly one $x_{i}, d_{T[S]}\left(x_{i}\right)=$
0 or $\left.x_{i} \notin S, i \in\{1, \ldots, a\}\right\} \mid$
$=\left(2^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{1}$,
$t_{\bar{x} y}^{0}=\left|\left\{S: x \notin S, d_{T[S]}(y)=0, d_{T[S]}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 1, x_{i} \notin S, i \in\{1, \ldots, a\}\right\}\right|$
$=a \cdot 2^{a-1} \cdot t_{y}^{0}$,
$t_{\bar{x}}=|\{S: x \notin S\}|=t_{\bar{x} y}+t_{\bar{x} y}^{1}+t_{\bar{x} y}^{0}$
$=\left(4^{a}-2^{a}-a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)+\left(2^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{1}+a \cdot 2^{a-1} \cdot t_{y}^{0}$.
Since $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}},\left(2^{a+1}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{1}+\left(3^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{0}+\left(3^{a}+2^{a}\right) \cdot t_{\bar{y}} \leq\left(3^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. Moreover, $t(T)=t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}$. We get that

$$
t(T) \leq\left[4^{a}+(a+3) 3^{a-1}-2^{a}\right] \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right) .
$$

Let $V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{x}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime} \cup T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-(4 a+1)}\right)$. We want to prove $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-(4 a+1)}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$ for any $a \geq 2$. Therefore, we need to show that for any $a \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{a}+(a+3) \cdot 3^{a-1}-2^{a} \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish into three cases based on the modularity of $a(\bmod 3)$.
Subcase 1.1. $a=3 s, s \geq 1$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=(4 s-1) P_{3} \cup K_{1,3}$ where $\left|V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)\right|=12 s+1$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$. Since $4^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s}$ and $(s+1) 3^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s-1}$ for any $s \geq 2$, by (3.12), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
4^{3 s}+(s+1) 3^{3 s}-2^{3 s} \leq 3^{4 s}+3^{4 s-1}=4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $s=1$, then $a=3$. Note that $t_{x}^{0} \leq 8\left(t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}\right), t_{x}^{1} \leq 23 t_{y}^{0}+25 t_{\bar{y}}+29 t\left(T_{y}\right)$ and $t_{\bar{x}}=44 t\left(T_{y}\right)+20 t_{y}^{1}+12 t_{y}^{0}$. Meanwhile, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}, t(T) \leq 108 t\left(T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq$ $t\left(F_{n-(4 a+1)}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-(4 a+1)}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Subcase 1.2. $a=3 s+1, s \geq 1$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=(4 s-1) P_{3} \cup 2 K_{1,3}$ where $\left|V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)\right|=3(4 s+1)+2$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$. Since $4^{3 s+1} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+4) 3^{3 s} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}$ for any $s \geq 2$, by (3.12), it follows that for any $s \geq 2$,

$$
4^{3 s+1}+(3 s+4) 3^{3 s}-2^{3 s+1} \leq 4 \cdot 3^{4 s}+4 \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s-1}=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The result is true for $s=1$.
Subcase 1.3. $a=3 s+2, s \geq 0$
Let $T_{x}^{\prime}=(4 s+3) P_{3}$ where $\left|V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)\right|=3(4 s+3)$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=3^{4 s+3}$. Since $4^{3 s+2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}$ and $(3 s+5) \cdot 3^{3 s+1} \leq 11 \cdot 3^{4 s}$ for any $s \geq 1$, by (3.12), it follows that for any $s \geq 1$,

$$
4^{3 s+2}+(3 s+5) \cdot 3^{3 s+1}-2^{3 s+2} \leq 4^{2} \cdot 3^{4 s}+11 \cdot 3^{4 s}=3^{4 s+3}=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The result is true for $s=0$.
Case 2. $T-y$ has an isolated vertex.
By Lemma 2.4, $d(y) \geq 3$. The meanings of notations here are same as those adopted in Case 1 . Thus $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}, t_{x}^{0} \leq 2^{a+1} \cdot t_{\bar{y}}, t_{x}^{1} \leq a \cdot 3^{a-1} \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right), t_{\bar{x}} \leq\left(4^{a}-2^{a}-a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)+\left(2^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{1}$.

Furthmore, $2^{a+1} \cdot t_{\bar{y}}+\left(2^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t_{y}^{1} \leq\left(3^{a}+a \cdot 2^{a-1}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right), t(T) \leq\left[4^{a}+(a+3) 3^{a-1}-2^{a}\right] \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Case 1 , we show that $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

In view of Claim 11, it remains to consider the case that $d(u)=3$.
Claim 13. Assume that there exists a path $P:=x y z w u$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1, d(y)=d(z)=d(w)=$ $2, d(u)=3$. If $T-u$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, then $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Proof. By Claims 1-12, it is not difficult to observe that there exists a vertex $x$ such that $T-x$ has two components, one is isomorphic to $P_{4}$, the other one is isomorphic to $P_{4}$ or $K_{1,3}$, as shown in Figure 12. The meanings of notations adopted here are same in Case 1 of Claim 12. Let $T-x y=T_{x} \cup T_{y}$ where $y \in T_{y}$ and $T_{x}^{\prime}=3 P_{3}$ where $V\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(T_{x}\right)$. Then $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right)=3^{3}$.


Figure 12. $T-x$ has two components, one is isomorphic to $P_{4}$, the other one is isomorphic to $P_{4}$ or $K_{1,3}$.

Case 1. $T-x$ has two components which are isomorphic to $P_{4}$.
Subcase 1.1. $T-y$ has no isolated vertex.
Note that $t_{x}^{0} \leq 4\left(t_{y}^{0}+t_{\bar{y}}\right), t_{x}^{1} \leq 6 t\left(T_{y}\right)+9\left(t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}\right), t_{\bar{x}} \leq 6 t\left(T_{y}\right)+3 t_{y}^{1}+2 t_{y}^{0}$. Meanwhile, $t(T)=t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}$. This implies that $t(T) \leq 12 t\left(T_{y}\right)+15 t_{y}^{0}+12 t_{y}^{1}+4 t_{\bar{y}}$. Since $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}, t(T) \leq 3^{3} t\left(T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-9}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-9}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Subcase 1.2. $T-y$ has an isolated vertex.
By Lemma $2.4, d(y) \geq 3$. Note that $t_{x}^{0} \leq 4 t\left(T_{y}\right), t_{x}^{1} \leq 9 t_{y}^{1}+6 t_{\bar{y}}$ and $t_{\bar{x}} \leq 6 t\left(T_{y}\right)+3 t_{y}^{1}$. Since $t(T)=$ $t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}, t(T) \leq 10 t\left(T_{y}\right)+12 t_{y}^{1}+6 t_{\bar{y}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}, t(T) \leq 22 t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 3^{3} t\left(T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-9}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-9}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Case 2. $T-x$ has two components which are isomorphic to $P_{4}$ and $K_{1,3}$, respectively.
Subcase 2.1. $T-y$ has no isolated vertex.
Note that $t_{x}^{1} \leq 6 t\left(T_{y}\right)+9\left(t_{y}^{0}+t_{\bar{y}}\right), t_{x}^{0} \leq 4\left(t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}\right), t_{\bar{x}} \leq 7 t\left(T_{y}\right)+5 t_{y}^{1}+3 t_{y}^{0}$. Since $t(T)=t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}$, $t(T) \leq 13 t\left(T_{y}\right)+12 t_{y}^{0}+9 t_{y}^{1}+13 t_{\bar{y}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{0}+t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}$ and $t(T) \leq 26 t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 3^{3} t\left(T_{y}\right)=t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-9}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-9}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.
Subcase 2.2. $T-y$ has an isolated vertex.
By Lemma 2.4, $d(y) \geq 3$. Note that $t_{x}^{1} \leq 9\left(t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}\right), t_{x}^{0} \leq 4 t\left(T_{y}\right)$ and $t_{\bar{x}} \leq 7 t\left(T_{y}\right)+5 t_{y}^{1}$. Since $t(T)=t_{x}^{0}+t_{x}^{1}+t_{\bar{x}}, t(T) \leq 11 t\left(T_{y}\right)+14 t_{y}^{1}+9 t_{\bar{y}}$. Moreover, $t\left(T_{y}\right)=t_{y}^{1}+t_{\bar{y}}$ and $t(T) \leq 25 t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq 3^{3} t\left(T_{y}\right)=$ $t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(T_{y}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, $t\left(T_{y}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n-9}\right)$. Hence, $t(T) \leq t\left(T_{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot t\left(F_{n-9}\right) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

From the above discussion, we proceed to consider the following.
Claim 14. Assume there exists a path $P:=x y z$ in $T$ with $d(x)=1$ or $d(x)=3$ such that two neighbors of $x$ distinct from $y$ being leaves, $d(y)=2$ and $d(z) \geq 3$. If $T-z$ has no isolated vertex or isolated edge, then $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

Proof. By Claims $1-11$ and 13 , it remains to consider the case that there exists a vertex $w$ such that $T-w$ has at least two components which are isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Claim 12, we have $t(T) \leq t\left(F_{n}\right)$.

This completes the proof of theorem.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we determine the maximum number of maximal 2-component independent sets of a forest of order $n$. It is an interesting problem to determine the maximum number of maximal 2component independent sets of graphs of order $n$ over some other families, such as trees, bipartite graphs, triangle-free graphs, all connected graphs.

## Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the national natural science foundation of China (No. 12061073) and XJEDU2019I001.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

## References

1. V. E. Alekseev, R. Boliac, D. V. Korobitsyn, V. V. Lozin, NP-hard graph problems and boundary classes of graphs, Theor. Comput. Sci., 389 (2007), 219-236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2007.09.013
2. R. Boliac, K. Cameron, V. V. Lozin, On computing the dissociation number and the induced matching number of bipartite graphs, Ars Combin., 72 (2004), 241-253.
3. S. Cheng, B. Wu, On the $k$-component independence number of a tree, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc., 2021, 5540604.
4. M. Hujter, Z. Tuza, The number of maximal independent sets in triangle-free graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 6 (1993), 284-288. https://doi.org/10.1137/0406022
5. M. J. Jou, G. J. Chang, Maximal independent sets in graphs with at most one cycle, Discrete Appl. Math., 79 (1997), 67-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(97)00033-4
6. K. M. Koh, C. Y. Goh, F. M. Dong, The maximum number of maximal independent sets in unicyclic connected graphs, Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 3761-3769. doilinkhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.079
7. Y. Orlovich, A. Dolguib, G. Finkec, V. Gordond, F. Wernere, The complexity of dissociation set problems in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math., 159 (2011) 1352-1366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2011.04.023
8. C. H. Papadimitriou, M. Yannakakis, The complexity of restricted spanning tree problems, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 29 (1982), 285-309. https://doi.org/10.1145/322307.322309
9. B. E. Sagan, A note on independent sets in trees, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 1 (1988), 105-108. https://doi.org/10.1137/0401012
10. B. E. Sagan, V. R. Vatter, Maximal and maximum independent sets in graphs with at most $r$ cycles, J. Graph Theory, 53 (2006), 283-314. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt. 20186
11. J. Tu, Z. Zhang, Y. Shi, The maximum number of maximum dissociation sets in trees, J. Graph Theory, 96 (2021), 472-489. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt. 22627
12. H. S. Wilf, The number of maximal independent sets in a tree, SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Methods, 7 (1986), 125-130. https://doi.org/10.1137/0607015
13. I. Wloch, Trees with extremal numbers of maximal independent sets including the set of leaves, Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 4768-4772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.08.087
14. M. Yannakakis, Node-deletion problems on bipartite graphs, SIAM J. Comput., 10 (1981), 310327. https://doi.org/10.1137/0210022
15. J. Zito, The structure and maximum number of maximum independent sets in trees, J. Graph Theory, 15 (1991), 207-221. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt. 3190150208
© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)
