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#### Abstract

In this paper, we classify cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, extending a remarkable classification of cubic nonnormal symmetric Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups.
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## 1. Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. For a graph $\Gamma$, we denote by $V \Gamma, E \Gamma$ and $A \Gamma$ the vertex set, the edge set and the arc set of $\Gamma$ respectively, and by Aut $\Gamma$ the full automorphism group of $\Gamma$. If some $G \leq \mathrm{Aut} \Gamma$ is transitive on $V \Gamma, E \Gamma$ or $A \Gamma$, then $\Gamma$ is called $G$-vertextransitive, $G$-edge-transitive or $G$-arc-transitive, respectively. An arc-transitive graph is also called symmetric. If $G$ is transitive on $E \Gamma$ but intransitive on $V \Gamma$, then $\Gamma$ is called $G$-semisymmetric, and $\Gamma$ is simply called semisymmetric while $\Gamma$ is Aut $\Gamma$-semisymmetric. It is easily known that connected $G$-semisymmetric graphs are bipartite.

Suppose $G$ is a group acting on a set $\Omega$. The stabilizer of $G$ on a point $\alpha \in \Omega$ is the subgroup $G_{\alpha}=\left\{g \in G \mid \alpha^{g}=\alpha\right\}$. Then $G$ is said to be semiregular on $\Omega$ if $G_{\alpha}=1$ for each point $\alpha$ in $\Omega$, and regular on $\Omega$ if $G$ is semiregular and transitive on $\Omega$. A graph $\Gamma$ is said to be a Cayley graph on a group $G$ if $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ is regular on $V \Gamma$, and a bi-Cayley graph on a group $G$ if $G \leq \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$ is semiregular and has exactly two orbits (with the same length) on $V \Gamma$. In particular, $\Gamma$ is called normal on $G$ if $G$ is normal in Aut $\Gamma$, and nonnormal on $G$ otherwise. Moreover, follow the notion in [5], a semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma$ on a group $G$ is called almost-normal if the normalizer $N_{\text {Aut } \Gamma}(G)$ is semisymmetric on $\Gamma$.

Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups have received much attention in the literature, see $[8,10$, 11,16-20,25-29] and references therein. Here a remarkable contribution is the complete classification
of connected cubic nonnormal symmetric Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, which was first studied by Li [15] in 1996 and completed by Xu et al. [25, 26] in 2007. It finally turns out that such graphs are only two $\left(\mathrm{A}_{48}, 5\right)$-arc-transitive Cayley graphs on $\mathrm{A}_{47}$. Bi-Cayley graphs can be viewed as natural generalizations of Cayley graphs, and involve many interesting examples that are not Cayley graphs such as the Petersen graph, the Gray graph [2] (which is the smallest cubic semisymmetric graph and a bi-Cayley graph on the extraspecial metacyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{9}: \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ of order 27) and Bouwer graph [3]. Thus the above remarkable classification naturally motivates us to classify connected cubic (normal and nonnormal) semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups.
Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on a nonabelian simple group $T$. Then either
(1) $\Gamma$ is normal on $T$, and Aut $\Gamma=T: \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $T: \mathrm{S}_{3}$; or
(2) $\Gamma$ is nonnormal on $T$, and Aut $\Gamma=(R \times S) . O$, where $S>T,(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \in\{12,24,48,96,192,384\},|R||O|$ divides $384 /|S: T|$ and $O \leq \operatorname{Out}(S)$.

Applying Theorem 1.1, the connected cubic nonnormal semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups may be determined by computation with Magma package [1], see Example 3.5 for a specific example with $T=\mathrm{M}_{23}$.

Normal semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs are definitely almost-normal, but the converse is not necessarily true. In fact, determining the normality of Cayley or bi-Cayley graphs is difficult in general for finding the full automorphism groups. However, Theorem 1.1 has the following interesting consequence which shows that, for connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, the normality and the almost-normality are equivalent.

Corollary 1.2. A connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on a nonabelian simple group $T$ is normal on $T$ if and only if it is almost-normal on $T$.

The importance of Corollary 1.2 is that it may lead to an explicit characterization of normal semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups. To express the result, we first introduce a general construction of bi-Cayley graphs. Let $G$ be a group, $R$ and $L$ be inverse-closed subsets (may empty) of $G \backslash\{1\}$, and let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $G$. Define a graph $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}(G, R, L, S)$ with vertex set

$$
\left\{g_{0} \mid g \in G\right\} \cup\left\{g_{1} \mid g \in G\right\},
$$

and edge set

$$
\left\{\left\{g_{0}, h_{0}\right\} \mid h g^{-1} \in R\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{g_{1}, h_{1}\right\} \mid h g^{-1} \in L\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{g_{0}, h_{1}\right\} \mid h g^{-1} \in S\right\} .
$$

It is easily known that $\Gamma$ is a bi-Cayley graph on a group isomorphic to $G$, and each bi-Cayley graph can be constructed in this way (refer to [29]). Also, one may assume $1 \in S$ up to isomorphism.
Theorem 1.3. A graph $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic normal semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on a nonabelian simple group $T$ if and only if that

$$
\Gamma \cong \operatorname{BiCay}\left(T, \emptyset, \emptyset,\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right)
$$

for some $s \in T$ and $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ satisfying

$$
o(g)=3, s s^{g} s^{g^{2}}=1,\left\langle s, s^{g}\right\rangle=T
$$

and there exists no element $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ satisfying $\left\{s, s s^{g}\right\}^{h}=\left\{s^{-1},\left(s s^{g}\right)^{-1}\right\}$.

Theorem 1.3 helps us finding many specific examples or proving the nonexistence of examples for certain nonabelian simple groups via searching in Magma [1]. For example, computation shows that there is no example for $T=\mathrm{A}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$, but exist examples for $T=\mathrm{A}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{8}$, see Examples 4.1 and 4.2.

We remark that a result of Tutte [24, P.59] states that an edge-transitive graph of odd valency is either symmetric or semisymmetric, thus this paper together [9] and [21] completes the characterization of cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups.

After making some preparations in Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 in Section 3, and Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Background results

Bi-coset graph is an important tool for understanding semi-symmetric graphs. Let $G$ be a group and $L, R$ be subgroups of $G$ such that $L \cap R$ is core-free in $G$ (namely $L \cap R$ does not contain any nontrivial normal subgroup of $G$ ). Define a bi-coset graph with vertex set $[G: L] \cup[G: R]$, and $L x$ is adjacent to $R y$ with $x, y \in G$ if and only if $y x^{-1} \in R L$. This bi-coset graph is denoted by $\operatorname{Cos}(G, L, R)$.

The following lemma is known, refer to [13, Section 3].
Lemma 2.1. Using notation as above, then $\operatorname{Cos}(G, L, R)$ is $G$-semisymmetric. Conversely, each $G$ semisymmetric graph is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cos}\left(G, G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}\right)$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are adjacent vertices.

In particular, $\operatorname{Cos}\left(G, G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}\right)$ is connected cubic $G$-semisymmetric if and only if $G, G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- $\left\langle G_{\alpha}, G_{\beta}\right\rangle=G$,
- $\left|G_{\alpha}: G_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}\right|=\left|G_{\beta}: G_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}\right|=3$, and
- $G_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta}$ is core-free in $G$.

In 1980, a landscape work of Goldschmidt [14] determined all the triples ( $G_{\alpha}, \mathrm{G}_{\beta}, G_{\alpha \beta}$ ) satisfying the above conditions (refer to [22, Table 3]), which are called Goldschmidt amalgams after him.

Theorem 2.2 ( [14]). Let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic $G$-semisymmetric graph. Then there are exactly fifteen possible amalgams $\left(G_{\alpha}, \mathrm{G}_{\beta}, G_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ with $\alpha, \beta$ adjacent vertices. In particular, $\left|G_{\alpha}\right|=\left|G_{\beta}\right|=3 \cdot 2^{i}$ where $0 \leq i \leq 7$.

Investigating normal quotients of graphs has been very successful in studying various families of graphs. Let $\Gamma$ be a $G$-edge-transitive graph and let $N$ be an intransitive normal subgroup of $G$. The normal quotient $\Gamma_{N}$ of $\Gamma$ with respect to $N$ is defined to be the graph with the set of $N$-orbits as its vertex set and two $N$-orbits $B_{1}, B_{2}$ are adjacent if and only if some vertex in $B_{1}$ is adjacent in $\Gamma$ to some vertex in $B_{2}$. The original graph is said to be a normal cover of $\Gamma_{N}$ if $\left|\Gamma(\alpha) \cap B_{2}\right|=1$ for each edge $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ in $\Gamma_{N}$ and $\alpha \in B_{1}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic $G$-semisymmetric graph with bipartitions $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Let $N \triangleleft G$ and $\alpha \in V \Gamma$. Then either
(1) $N$ acts transitively on at least one of $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$; or
(2) $N$ is semiregular and intransitive on both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, and the following statements are true:
(i) $\Gamma$ is a normal cove of $\Gamma_{N}$.
(ii) $G^{V \Gamma_{N}}=G / N,(G / N)_{\alpha^{N}}=G_{\alpha} N / N$ and $\Gamma_{N}$ is a connected cubic $G / N$-semisymmetric graph.

Proof. We only need to prove that $(G / N)_{a^{N}}=G_{\alpha} N / N$ because the other statements are known, see [13, Lemma 5.1] or [19, Lemma 2.3]. For $N g \in G / N$, one has the following equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N g \in(G / N)_{\alpha^{N}} & \Longleftrightarrow\left(\alpha^{g}\right)^{N}=\left(a^{N}\right)^{N g}=\alpha^{N} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \alpha^{g}=\alpha^{n} \text { for some } n \in N \\
& \Longleftrightarrow g \in N G_{\alpha} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow N g \in N G_{\alpha} / N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $(G / N)_{\alpha^{N}}=G_{\alpha} N / N$. In particular, if $N_{\alpha}=1$, then $(G / N)_{\alpha^{N}} \cong G_{\alpha} /\left(N \cap G_{\alpha}\right)=G_{\alpha} / N_{\alpha}=G_{\alpha}$.
For a bi-Cayley graph $\operatorname{BiCay}(T, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$, with bipartitions $T_{0}:=\left\{t_{0} \mid t \in T\right\}$ and $T_{1}:=\left\{t_{1} \mid t \in T\right\}$. Define

$$
\sigma_{\tau, s}: t_{0} \rightarrow\left(t^{\tau}\right)_{0}, t_{1} \rightarrow\left(s t^{\tau}\right)_{1}, \text { for each } t \in T
$$

From [29, Theorem 1.1], we have the following assertion which is important for characterizing normal bi-Cayley graphs.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}(T, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ be a normal bi-Cayley graph on a group $T$. Then

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}=\left\langle\sigma_{\tau, s} \mid \tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(T), s \in S, S^{\tau}=s^{-1} S\right\rangle
$$

The next lemma is similar to [13, Lemma 6.2], we include a proof here for the convenience of the readers.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic $G$-semisymmetric graph with bipartitions $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, where $G$ is faithful on both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Suppose further that $K \triangleleft G$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, and $K_{\alpha} \neq 1$ for a vertex $\alpha$ of $\Gamma$. Then $K_{v}^{\Gamma(v)} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is transitive for each vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$.

Proof. Assume $\alpha \in \Delta_{i}$ with $i \in\{1,2\}$. Since $G$ is faithful on $\Delta_{3-i}$, there exists $\beta \in \Delta_{3-i}$ such that $K_{\alpha}$ does not fix $\beta$. By the connectivity of $\Gamma$, there exists a path $\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{t}\right)$ with $\alpha_{0}=\alpha$ such that $K_{\alpha}$ fixes $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{t-1}$ but moves $\alpha_{t}$. It follows that

$$
1 \neq K_{\alpha_{t-1}}^{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)} \triangleleft G_{\alpha_{t-1}}^{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)} .
$$

Since $\Gamma$ is a $G$-semisymmetric cubic graph, $G_{\alpha_{t-1}}^{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)}$ is primitive, hence $K_{\alpha_{t-1}}^{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)}$ is transitive.
Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_{t-1} \in \Delta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{t} \in \Delta_{2}$. Let $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}$ be an edge of $\Gamma$ with $\beta_{1} \in \Delta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2} \in \Delta_{2}$. Since $K$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$, there is $x \in K$ such that $\beta_{1}^{x}=\alpha_{t-1}$, hence $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}^{x}=\left\{\alpha_{t-1}, \beta_{2}^{x}\right\}$ is an edge of $\Gamma$. Now both $\alpha_{t}$ and $\beta_{2}^{x}$ are in $\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)$, the transitivity of $K_{\alpha_{t-1}}^{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{t-1}\right)}$ implies that there is $y \in K_{\alpha_{t-1}}$ such that $\left(\beta_{2}^{x}\right)^{y}=\alpha_{t}$. It follows that $y^{-1} x^{-1} \in K$ such

$$
\left\{\alpha_{t-1}, \alpha_{t} y^{y^{-1} x^{-1}}=\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}\right.
$$

namely $\Gamma$ is $K$-edge-transitive. Thereby $K_{v}^{\Gamma(v)} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is transitive for each vertex $v \in V \Gamma$.

Let $G=N . H$ be a group extension. If $N$ is contained in $Z(G)$, the center of $G$, then the extension is called a central extension, and if further $G$ is perfect, that is, the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$ equals to $G$, we call $G$ a covering group of $H$. Schur [23] proved that every nonabelian simple group $T$ admits a unique maximal covering group $M$ such that each covering group of $T$ is a homomorphic image of $M$; the center $Z(M)$ is called the Schur multiplier of $T$, denoted by $\operatorname{Mult}(T)$. The next result states that each central extension N.T with $T$ nonabelian simple can derive a covering group of $T$, refer to [20, Lemma 2.11].

Lemma 2.6. Let $G=N . T$ be a central extension with $T$ a nonabelian simple group. Then $G=N G^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}=Z\left(G^{\prime}\right) . T$ is a covering group of $T$, where $Z\left(G^{\prime}\right)=N \cap G^{\prime}$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Mult}(T)$.

The next lemma can be read out from [11, Lemma 3.3].
Lemma 2.7. Let $T<S$ be nonabelian simple groups. If $|S: T| \mid 384$, then either
(1) $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \geq 6$ dividing 384; or
(2) $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(11)\right),\left(\mathrm{M}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{11}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$.

With similar arguments as in [8, P. 143], the following lemma is easy to prove by checking the nonabelian simple groups contained in $\mathrm{GL}(e, 2)$ for $3 \leq e \leq 7$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $L=R: T$ be a split extension, where $|R| \mid 384$ and $T$ is a nonabelian simple group. Then either
(a) $L=R \times T$; or
(b) $L \neq R \times T, T \leq \mathrm{GL}(e, 2)$ with $2^{e}| | R \mid$, and $e$ and $T$ satisfy one of the following:
(b.1) $e=3$ and $T=\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(2)$.
(b.2) $e=4$ and $T \in\left\{\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(2), \mathrm{PSL}_{4}(2), \mathrm{A}_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}\right\}$.
(b.3) $e=5$ and $T \in\left\{\operatorname{PSL}_{3}(2), \mathrm{PSL}_{4}(2), \mathrm{PSL}_{5}(2), \mathrm{A}_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}\right\}$.
(b.4) $e=6$ and $T \in\left\{\operatorname{PSL}_{k}(2)(3 \leq k \leq 6), \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(8), \mathrm{A}_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}, \mathrm{PSU}_{3}(3), \mathrm{PSU}_{4}(2), \mathrm{PSp}_{6}(2)\right\}$.
(b.5) $e=7$ and $T \in\left\{\operatorname{PSL}_{k}(2)(3 \leq k \leq 7), \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(8), \mathrm{A}_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}, \mathrm{PSU}_{3}(3), \mathrm{PSU}_{4}(2), \mathrm{PSp}_{6}(2)\right\}$.

### 2.2. Preparatory lemmas

The solvable radical of a group is its largest solvable normal subgroup.
Lemma 2.9. Let $T$ be a nonabelian simple group, and let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $T$. Suppose $R$ is the solvable radical of $A u t \Gamma$ and $3||R|$. Then $R T=R \times T$.

Proof. Write $L=R T, \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma$ and let $\alpha \in V \Gamma$. As $R \cap T$ is solvable and normal in $T$, we have $R \cap T=1$ and $L=R: T$ is a split extension. Since $\Gamma$ is a semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $T$, we obtain $\left|L: L_{\alpha}\right|=|V \Gamma| / 2=|T|$, so $\left|L_{\alpha}\right|=|R|$ is divisible by 3. By Theorem 2.2, $|R|=\left|L_{\alpha}\right|$ divides $3 \cdot 2^{7}=384$, and the kernel of $\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}$ acting on $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is a 2-group, we deduce $3\left|\left|L_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right|\right.$, and $\Gamma$ is $L$-semisymmetric. If $2^{3} \nmid|R|$, Lemma 2.8 leads to $L=R \times T$, as required. Thus assume in the following that $2^{3}| | R \mid$. Then $24||R|$ as 3$||R|$.

Let $R_{2}$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $R$ and let $S=\left\{R_{2}^{r} \mid r \in R\right\}$, the set of the conjugate subgroups of $R_{2}$ in $R$. Since $|R|$ divides $384,|S|=\left|R: N_{R}\left(R_{2}\right)\right|$ divides 3 , then the nonabelian simplicity of $T$ implies that $T$ acts trivially on $S$ by conjugation, that is, $T$ normalizes $R_{2}$. Thereby $P:=R_{2} T=R_{2}: T \leq L$,
$\left|P: P_{\alpha}\right|=|T|$ and $|L: P|=3$. Let $K$ be the kernel of $L$ acting on $[L: P]$ by right multiplication. Then $K$ is the largest normal subgroup of $L$ contained in $P\left(\left[7\right.\right.$, Example 1.3.4]) and $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \leq L / K \leq \mathrm{S}_{3}$, implying $K \geq T$. Since $|P|=\left|R_{2} \| T\right|=|T|\left|P_{\alpha}\right|, K_{\alpha} \leq P_{\alpha}$ is a 2-groups.

If A acts unfaithfully on the bipartitions of $\Gamma$, by [13, Lemma 5.2$], \Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{3,3}$ is arc-transitive, a contradiction. Hence A acts faithfully on the bipartitions of $\Gamma$. If $K_{\alpha} \neq 1$, then Lemma 2.5 implies that $K_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, contradicting that $K_{\alpha}$ is a 2-group. Thus $K_{\alpha}=1$. Consequently, $T=K \triangleleft L$, and so $L=R \times T$.

Denote by $\operatorname{soc}(G)$ the socle of a group $G$, that is, the product of all minimal normal subgroups of $G$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic $G$-semisymmetric graph, and let $G$ have a nonabelian simple subgroup $T$ which has two orbits of the same length on $V \Gamma$. Suppose further $G$ has a trivial solvable radical. Then $G$ is almost simple, and either
(a) $\operatorname{soc}(G)=T$; or
(b) $\operatorname{soc}(G)>T, \operatorname{soc}(G)_{\alpha} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $\alpha \in V \Gamma$, and $\Gamma$ is $\operatorname{soc}(G)$-semisymmetric. Further, one of the following is true:
(b.l) $(\operatorname{soc}(G), T)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n=6$ or $n \geq 12$ dividing 384 .
(b.2) $(\operatorname{soc}(G), T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, $\left|G_{\alpha}\right| \mid$ 384. Since $\left|G: G_{\alpha}\right|=|V \Gamma| / 2=\left|T: T_{\alpha}\right|$, it follows that $|G|$ divides $384|T|$.

Let $N$ be a minimal normal subgroup of $G$. Since $G$ has a trivial solvable radical, $N=S_{1} \times S_{2} \cdots \times$ $S_{d}=S^{d}$, where each $S_{i} \cong S$ is nonabelian simple and $d \geq 1$. Since $T$ is nonabelian simple, either $T \cap N=1$ or $N \geq T$. For the former, $|N||T|$ divides $384|T|$, so $|N|$ divides 384 , hence $N$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group and thus solvable, a contradiction. Therefore $N \geq T$. As distinct minimal normal subgroups of $G$ intersect trivially, by the arbitrariness of $T$, we obtain that $N$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G$, namely $\operatorname{soc}(G)=N$. If $T=N$, then $\operatorname{soc}(G)=T$, as in Lemma 2.10(a).

Now suppose $T<N$. Then $\left|N: N_{\alpha}\right|=\left|T: T_{\alpha}\right|$, hence $|N|=|T|\left|N_{\alpha}: T_{\alpha}\right|$ divides $384|T|$. Assume $d \geq 2$. Since $S_{1} \triangleleft N$ and $T<N$, we have $S_{1} \cap T \triangleleft T$, so $S_{1} \cap T=1$ or $T$. For the former, then $\left|S_{1} T\right|=\left|S_{1}\right||T|$ divides $|N|$, and so divides $384|T|$. It follows that $S_{1}$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group and solvable, a contradiction. If the latter occurs, then $T \leq S_{1}$ and $|S|^{d}=|N|$ divides $384|S|$, implying $|S|^{d-1} \mid 384$, also a contradiction.

The above contradiction shows that $d=1$, namely $\operatorname{soc}(G)=S>T$. Since $|S: T|=\left|S_{\alpha}: T_{\alpha}\right|$ divides 384, the pair $(S, T)$ satisfies Lemma 2.7. In particular $\operatorname{Out}(S) \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$. Notice that $G_{\alpha} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and

$$
G_{\alpha} / S_{\alpha}=G_{\alpha} /\left(S \cap G_{\alpha}\right) \cong S G_{\alpha} / S=G / S \leq \operatorname{Out}(S) \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}
$$

we conclude that $S_{\alpha} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(\alpha)$, thus $\Gamma$ is $S$-semisymmetric. Now to complete the proof, we only need to prove $(S, T) \neq\left(\mathrm{A}_{8}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}\right),\left(\mathrm{M}_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(11)\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{M}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{11}\right)$.

If $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{11}, \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(11)\right)$, by Frattini argument, $S=T S_{\alpha}$. Notice that $\left|\mathrm{S}_{\alpha}\right| \mid 384$, by [12, Theorem 1.1], no such factorization exists, a contradiction.

Let $\alpha, \beta$ be adjacent vertices of $\Gamma$. Then $\left|S_{\alpha}\right|=\left|S_{\beta}\right|,\left|T_{\alpha}\right|=\left|T_{\beta}\right|,\left|S_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} \cap S_{\beta}\right|=3$, and $\left\langle S_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}\right\rangle=S$. Recall $\left|S_{\alpha}\right|=|S: T|\left|T_{\alpha}\right|$ divides 384.

Set $k=\left|T_{\alpha}\right|$, and define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_{k}=\{H|H \leq S,|H|=k| S: T \mid\}, \quad \mathcal{T}=\{H \mid H \leq S, H \cong T\}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{k}=\left\{\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)\left|H_{1}, H_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{k},\left|H_{1}: H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right|=3,\left\langle H_{1}, H_{2}\right\rangle=S\right\},\right. \\
Q_{k}=\left\{\left(\left|H_{1} \cap T\right|,\left|H_{2} \cap T\right|\right) \mid T \in \mathcal{T},\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\left(S_{\alpha}, S_{\beta}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$ and $\left(\left|T_{\alpha}\right|,\left|T_{\beta}\right|\right) \in Q_{k}$.
Assume $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{8}, \mathrm{~A}_{7}\right)$. By [6], $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ has no subgroup with order 384 , so $\left|S_{\alpha}\right| \neq 384$. Then since $3\left|\left|S_{\alpha}\right|\right.$ and $| S_{\alpha}|=|S: T|| T_{\alpha} \mid=8 k$ divides 384 , we conclude $k \in\{3,6,12,24\}$. But searching in Magma [1] shows $\left|\mathcal{P}_{k}\right|=0$ for each $k \in\{3,6,12,24\}$, a contradiction.

Now assume $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{12}, \mathrm{M}_{11}\right)$. Then $\left|S_{\alpha}\right| \neq 384$ as $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ has no subgroup with order 384 by [6]. Since $\left|S_{\alpha}\right|=\left|S: T \| T_{\alpha}\right|=12 k$ divides 384, we deduce $k \in\{1,2,4,8,16\}$. However, computation with Magma [1] shows $\left|\mathcal{P}_{4}\right|=\left|\mathcal{P}_{8}\right|=0$, so $k \neq 4$ and 8. For $k=1,2,16$, computation shows $Q_{1}=\{(1,3),(3,1)\}, Q_{2}=\{(2,6),(2,3),(3,2),(6,2)\}$ and $Q_{16}=\{(24,16),(48,16),(16,24),(16,48)\}$, contradicting $\left|T_{\alpha}\right|=\left|T_{\beta}\right|$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For convenience, we make the following hypothesis in this section.
Hypothesis 3.1. Let $T$ be a nonabelian simple group, and let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $T$. Denote by $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ the bipartitions of $\Gamma$. Set A $=$ Aut $\Gamma$ and $\alpha \in V \Gamma$.

Lemma 3.2. Under Hypothesis 3.1, then $T \neq \mathrm{A}_{5}$.
Proof. If $T=\mathrm{A}_{5}$, then $\Gamma$ is of order 120. Checking the census of connected semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices ( [4]), there is no such graph of order 120 admitting a semiregular automorphism group $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.3. Under Hypothesis 3.1, and suppose that A has a trivial solvable radical, and $T$ is not normal in A. Then part (2) of Theorem 1.1 is true with $R=1$.

Proof. Set $S=\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A})$. By Lemma 3.2, $T \neq \mathrm{A}_{5}$. Then we see from Lemma 2.10 that $S>T$ is a simple group, $S_{\alpha} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and either $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$ or $\left(A_{n}, A_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \geq 12$ a divisor of 384 . If the latter case occurs, by Frattini argument, $S=T S_{\alpha}$, then the semiregularity of $T$ derives that $n=|S: T|=\left|S_{\alpha}\right|$ is divisible by 3 . This together with that $n \geq 12$ divides 384 implies $n=12,24,48,96,192$ or 384 , as required.

Lemma 3.4. Under Hypothesis 3.1, and suppose that A has a nontrivial solvable radical $R$, and $T$ is not normal in A. Then part (2) of Theorem 1.1 is true with $R \neq 1$ and $n \neq 384$.

Proof. If A acts unfaithfully on $\Delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{2}$, by [13, Lemma 5.2], $\Gamma=\mathrm{K}_{3,3}$ is arc-transitive, a contradiction. Thus A acts faithfully on $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. Write $L=R T$. As $R \cap T$ is solvable and normal in $T$, we obtain that $R \cap T=1$ and $\left|L_{\alpha}\right|=|R| \neq 1$. By Theorem 2.2, $|\mathrm{A}|=|T|\left|\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}\right|$ divides $384|T|$, so $|L|$ divides $384|T|$. Hence $|R| \mid$ 384. If $R$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{2}$, then $|T|$ divides $|R|$, thus $T$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group and solvable, a contradiction. Therefore $R$ is not transitive on both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$. It then follows from Lemma 2.3 that
$R$ is semiregular on both $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}, A / R \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{R}\right)$, and the quotient $\Gamma_{R}$ is a connected cubic A/Rsemisymmetric graph. Moreover, notice that $\mathrm{A} / R$ has a trivial solvable radical, and $L / R \cong T$ has two orbits with equal length on $V \Gamma_{R}$, hence the triple $\left(\Gamma_{R}, G / R, L / R\right)$ (as $(\Gamma, G, T)$ there) satisfies Lemma 3.3. Consequently (note $T \neq \mathrm{A}_{5}$ by Lemma 3.2), $\mathrm{A} / R$ is almost simple, and either
(a) $\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R)=L / R$; or
(b) $\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R)>L / R \cong T, \operatorname{soc}(A / R)_{\alpha} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $\Gamma_{R}$ is $\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R)$-semisymmetric. Further, one of the following is true:
(b.1) $(\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R), L / R)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ where $n \geq 12$ divides 384 .
(b.2) $(\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R), L / R)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$.

Assume (a) occurs. Then $L \triangleleft \mathrm{~A}$. Since A acts faithfully on $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, and $\left|L_{\alpha}\right|=|R| \neq 1$, by Lemma $2.5, L_{\alpha}^{\Gamma(\alpha)} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, so $3||R|$. It then follows from Lemma 2.9 that $L=R \times T$, hence $T \triangleleft \mathrm{~A}$, a contradiction.

Assume (b) occurs. If $L \neq R \times T$, as $|R| \mid 384, T$ satisfies part (b) of Lemma 2.8, which violates that $T \cong L / R$ satisfies (b.1) or (b.2) above, a contradiction. Thus $L=R \times T$. Suppose $S=M / R=\operatorname{soc}(\mathrm{A} / R)$ and $C=C_{M}(R)$. Then $T \leq C \triangleleft M$ and $C \cap R=Z(R) \leq Z(C)$. Observe $1 \neq C /(C \cap R) \cong C R / R \triangleleft M / R=S$, we derive that $M=C R, C \cap R=Z(C)$ and $C=(C \cap R) . S$ is a central extension. Now Lemma 2.6 implies that $C^{\prime}=\left(C^{\prime} \cap Z(C)\right) \cdot S$ is a covering group of $S$, and $C^{\prime} \cap Z(C)=Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Mult}(S)$. Notice that $\operatorname{Mult}\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{Mult}\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $n \geq 12$.

Suppose $Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)>1$. Then $Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Mult}(S)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}, C^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} . \mathrm{A}_{n}$ and $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \geq 12$ dividing 384. Since $Z\left(C^{\prime}\right) \triangleleft M, M / R=S$ and $R T=R \times T$, we deduce that $Z\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leq R$ and $C^{\prime}$ has a subgroup $T Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)=T \times Z\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. However, by [8, Proposition 2.6], the covering group $C^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} . \mathrm{A}_{n}$ with $n \geq 8$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathrm{A}_{n-1}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)=1$, and $C^{\prime} \cong S$ is normal in $M$, so $C^{\prime} \cap R=1$ and $M=R \times C^{\prime}$ as $M / R=S$. It follows $\mathrm{A}=\left(R \times C^{\prime}\right) . O$ with $O \leq \operatorname{Out}(S)$. Since $\left|\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{A}_{\alpha}\right|=\left|M: M_{\alpha}\right|=|T|$, we deduce $|R||O|=$ $\left|\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}\right| /|S: T|$ divides $384 /|S: T|$. Finally, for the case $(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \geq 12$ dividing 384, as $|R|>1, n \neq 384$. Since $C^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathrm{A}$, by Lemma $2.5, C_{\alpha}^{\prime} \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, then the semiregularity of $T$ implies that $n=|S: T|=\left|C^{\prime}: T\right|=\left|C_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|$ is divisible by 3 . Now since $n \geq 12$ divides 384, we derive that $n \in\{12,24,28,96,192\}$, as in part (2) of Theorem 1.1.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $T$ be a nonabelian simple group, and let $\Gamma$ be a connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $T$. Set A $=$ Aut $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma$ is nonnormal on $T$, by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, part (2) of Theorem 1.1 holds.

Assume $\Gamma$ is normal on $T$. Since $\Gamma$ is semisymmetric, $\Gamma$ is bipartite, and so we may suppose that $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}(T, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$, with bipartitions $T_{0}:=\left\{t_{0} \mid t \in T\right\}$ and $T_{1}:=\left\{t_{1} \mid t \in T\right\}$, and $S=\{1, a, b\}$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}=\left\langle\sigma_{\tau, s} \mid \tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(T), s \in S, S^{\tau}=s^{-1} S\right\rangle
$$

which is transitive on $\Gamma\left(1_{0}\right)=\left\{1_{1}, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}$ by the semisymmetry of $\Gamma$. If $\sigma_{\tau, s}$ fixes each vertex in $\Gamma\left(1_{0}\right)$, then

$$
1_{1}=\left(1_{1}\right)^{\sigma_{\tau, s}}=\left(s 1^{\tau}\right)_{1}=s_{1}, a_{1}=\left(a_{1}\right)^{\sigma_{\tau, s}}=\left(s a^{\tau}\right)_{1}, b_{1}=\left(b_{1}\right)^{\sigma_{\tau, s}}=\left(s b^{\tau}\right)_{1}
$$

It follows $s=1, a^{\tau}=a$ and $b^{\tau}=b$. Since $\Gamma$ is connected, $\langle a, b\rangle=\langle S\rangle=T$, hence $\tau=1$ and $\sigma_{\tau, s}=\sigma_{1,1}$ is the identity automorphism of $\Gamma$, namely $\mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}$ acts faithfully on $\Gamma\left(1_{0}\right)$. Consequently $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \leq \mathrm{A}_{1_{0}} \leq \mathrm{S}_{3}$. Now by Frattini argument, we deduce $\mathrm{A}=T: \mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}=T: \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $T: \mathrm{S}_{3}$, part (1) of Theorem 1.1 holds. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We only need to prove the sufficiency.
Suppose on the contrary that $T$ is a nonabelian simple group, and there is a connected cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph $\Gamma$ on $T$ such that $\Gamma$ is almost-normal but not normal on $T$. Set $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma$ and $G=N_{\mathrm{A}}(T)$. Then $\Gamma$ is $G$-semisymmetric, and with the same reason (view $G$ as A there) as in the previous paragraph, we derive that $G=T: \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ or $T: \mathrm{S}_{3}$. Since, by assumption, $T$ is not normal in A, we see from Theorem 1.1(2) that

$$
\mathrm{A}=(R \times S) \cdot O
$$

where $S>T,(S, T)=\left(\mathrm{M}_{24}, \mathrm{M}_{23}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}, \mathrm{~A}_{n-1}\right)$ with $n \in\{12,24,48,96,192,384\},|R||O|$ divides $384 /|S: T|$ and $O \leq \operatorname{Aut}(S) \leq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If $R=1$, as $T$ is maximal in $S$, we obtain $G \leq T . \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which is impossible as $\Gamma$ is $G$-semisymmetric. Thus $R \neq 1$. Since $R$ centralizes $T, R \leq N_{\mathrm{A}}(T)=G$ and so $R \times T \triangleleft G$. This together with $T: \mathbb{Z}_{3} \leq G \leq T: \mathrm{S}_{3}$ implies that $R \geq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Notice that $3||S: T|$, we derive that $\left|\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}\right|=|\mathrm{A}: T|$ is divisible by $3^{2}$, which is a contradiction by Theorem 2.2.

We end this section with a specific example of cubic nonnormal semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $M_{23}$.
Example 3.5. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
G=\langle & (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,24),(2,16,9,6,8) \\
& (3,12,13,18,4)(7,17,10,11,22)(14,19,21,20,15),(1,22)(2,11)(3,15)(4,17)(5,9) \\
& (6,19)(7,13)(8,20)(10,16)(12,21)(14,18)(23,24)\rangle, \\
L= & \langle(1,6,17,11)(2,15,8,4)(3,23,22,5)(7,16,9,21)(10,13,18,24)(12,19,14,20), \\
& (1,2)(3,19)(4,6)(5,14)(7,24)(8,17)(9,13)(10,21)(11,15)(12,23)(16,18)(20,22), \\
& (1,17)(2,8)(3,22)(4,15)(5,23)(6,11)(7,9)(10,18)(12,14)(13,24)(16,21)(19,20), \\
& (1,10,5,17,18,23)(2,21,14,8,16,12)(3,13,6,22,24,11)(4,20,7,15,19,9)\rangle, \\
R= & \langle(1,2)(2,3)(4,6)(21,10)(16,18)(8,17)(7,24)(12,23)(13,9)(20,22)(5,23)(11,15), \\
& (1,6,24)(19,11,14)(4,2,7)(21,13,20)(16,12,17)(8,23,18)(22,9,10)(5,15,3), \\
& (1,17)(19,20)(4,15)(21,16)(6,11)(8,2)(18,10)(7,9)(12,14)(13,24)(22,3)(5,23), \\
& (1,19)(4,18)(21,6)(16,11)(8,3)(7,5)(12,24)(17,20)(13,14)(22,2)(9,23)(10,15)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cos}(G, L, R)$. Then $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic $\mathrm{M}_{24}$-semisymmetric bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{M}_{23}$.
Proof. A direct computation with Magma [1] shows that $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}: \mathrm{D}_{8}, R \cong \mathrm{~S}_{4},\langle L, R\rangle=G \cong \mathrm{M}_{24}$ and $|L: L \cap R|=3$. By Lemma 2.1, $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic $\mathrm{M}_{24}$-semisymmetric graph. Notice that both $L$ and $R$ are transitive on the set $\{1,2, \ldots, 24\}$ and $|L|=|R|=24$, they are regular on $\{1,2, \ldots, 24\}$. Let $T=G_{1}$, the stabilizer of $G$ on the point 1 . Then $T \cong \mathrm{M}_{23}, G=T L=T R$ and $|G|=|T||L|=|T||R|$. It follows that $T$ is regular on the bipartitions of $\Gamma$, namely $\Gamma$ is a bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{M}_{23}$. Further, by [9, Lemma 7.1], $\Gamma$ is not arc-transitive, hence $\Gamma$ is semisymmetric. Finally as $|G|=24\left|\mathrm{M}_{23}\right|$, by Theorem 1.1, $\Gamma$ is nonnormal on $\mathrm{M}_{23}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For a nonabelian simple group $T$, we may view $T$ as a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ up to isomorphism, and then each element in $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ may acts on $T$ by conjugate action.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (Necessity) By assumption, we may assume $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}(T, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ with bipartitions $T_{0}:=\left\{t_{0} \mid t \in T\right\}$ and $T_{1}:=\left\{t_{1} \mid t \in T\right\}$, and $1 \in S$. Then $S_{1}=\Gamma\left(1_{0}\right)$ and $|S|=3$. By [29, Theorem 1.1],

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}=\left\langle\sigma_{\theta, s} \mid \theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(T), s \in S, S^{\theta}=s^{-1} S\right\rangle
$$

acts faithfully on $\Gamma\left(1_{0}\right)=S_{1}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{1_{0}}$ contains an element $\sigma_{g, s}$ with order 3 which cyclically permutes all the elements of $S_{1}$, where $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ and $s \in S$. It follows $S_{1}=1_{1}^{\left\langle\sigma_{g, s}\right\rangle}=\left\{1_{1}, s_{1},\left(s s^{g}\right)_{1}\right\}$ and $S=\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}$. The connectivity of $\Gamma$ forces $\left\langle s, s^{g}\right\rangle=\langle S\rangle=T$. Since $o\left(\sigma_{g, s}\right)=3$, for each $t \in T$, $t_{0}=\left(t_{0}\right)^{\sigma_{g, s}^{3}}=\left(t^{g^{3}}\right)_{0}$ and $t_{1}=\left(t_{1}\right)^{\sigma_{g, s}^{3}}=\left(s s^{g} s^{g^{2}} t^{g^{3}}\right)_{1}$, or equivalently $g^{3}=1$ and $s s^{g} s^{s^{2}}=1$. If $g=1$, then $\langle S\rangle=\left\langle 1, s, s^{2}\right\rangle=\langle s\rangle \neq T$, contradicting the connectivity of $\Gamma$. Hence $o(g)=3$. Moreover, since $\Gamma$ is not vertex-transitive, by [5, Proposition 3.3(a)], there is no $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ such that $S^{h}=S^{-1}$, namely $\left\{s, s s^{g}\right\}^{h}=\left\{s^{-1},\left(s s^{g}\right)^{-1}\right\}$.
(Sufficiency) Suppose $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}\left(T, \emptyset, \emptyset,\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right)$, where $s \in T$ and $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ satisfy the condition in Theorem 1.3. Clearly $\Gamma$ is a cubic bi-Cayley graph on $T$. Set $P=\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}$ and $G=\left\langle T, \sigma_{g, s}\right\rangle$. By [29, Theorem 1.1], $G=T:\left\langle\sigma_{g, s}\right\rangle \leq N_{\text {Aut }}(T)$. Since $\langle P\rangle=T, \Gamma$ is connected. Since $P=\left(1_{1}\right)^{\left\langle\sigma_{g, s}\right\rangle}, \Gamma$ is $G$-semisymmetric.

We claim that $\Gamma$ is semisymmetric (note that a $G$-semisymmetric graph is not necessarily semisymmetric in general). If not, as $\Gamma$ is of valency $3, \Gamma$ is symmetric and $G<\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$. If $T \triangleleft \operatorname{Aut} \Gamma$, by [5, Proposition 3.3(a)], there is an element $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ such that $\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}^{h}=S^{h}=S^{-1}=$ $\left\{1, s^{-1},\left(s s^{g}\right)^{-1}\right\}$, which is not possible by the assumption. If $T$ is not normal in Aut $\Gamma$, by [21, Theorem $1.1(2)]$, we would have that $T=\mathrm{A}_{n-1}$ and $\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma=\left(R \times \mathrm{A}_{n}\right) . O$, where $n \in\{24,48,96\}$ and $|R \| O| \left\lvert\, \frac{96}{n}\right.$. Notice that $\mathrm{A}_{n-1}$ is maximal in $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and $\left.\frac{96}{n} \right\rvert\, 4$, we deduce that $\left|N_{\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma}(T): T\right|$ divides 4 , which is also not possible as $T: \mathbb{Z}_{3} \leq G \leq N_{\text {Aut } \Gamma}(T)$.

Therefore, $\Gamma$ is semisymmetric. Hence $\Gamma$ is almost-normal as $G \leq N_{\text {Aut } \Gamma}(T)$. Now Corollary 1.2 implies that $\Gamma$ is normal on $T$.

We finally address two examples of normal cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{8}$, respectively.

Example 4.1. Let $s=(1,6,2,5,4,3,7), g=(1,5,4)$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}\left(\mathrm{A}_{7}, \emptyset, \emptyset,\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is $a$ connected cubic semisymmetric normal bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathrm{A}_{7}\right): \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. Obviously $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma)=\left|\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right|=3$. With Magma [1], one may check that $\left\langle s, s^{g}\right\rangle=\mathrm{A}_{7}$, $s s^{g} s^{g^{2}}=1$, Aut $\Gamma=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathrm{A}_{7}\right): \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and there is no element $h \in \mathrm{~S}_{7}$ such that $\left\{s, s s^{g}\right\}^{h}=\left\{s^{-1},\left(s s^{g}\right)^{-1}\right\}$. By Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic semisymmetric normal bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{A}_{7}$.

Example 4.2. Let $s=(1,6,8)(2,7,3,5,4), g=(1,7,8)(4,6,5)$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{BiCay}\left(\mathrm{A}_{8}, \emptyset, \emptyset,\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic semisymmetric normal bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{Aut} \Gamma=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathrm{A}_{8}\right): \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. Clearly $\operatorname{val}(\Gamma)=\left|\left\{1, s, s s^{g}\right\}\right|=3$. A computation with Magma [1] shows that $\left\langle s, s^{g}\right\rangle=\mathrm{A}_{8}$, $s s^{g} s^{g^{2}}=1$, Aut $\Gamma=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathrm{A}_{8}\right): \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and there is no element $h \in \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ such that $\left\{s, s s^{g}\right\}^{h}=\left\{s^{-1},\left(s s^{g}\right)^{-1}\right\}$. By Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma$ is a connected cubic semisymmetric normal bi-Cayley graph on $\mathrm{A}_{8}$.

## 5. Conclusions

This paper classifies cubic semisymmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, which extends a remarkable classification of cubic nonnormal symmetric Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, and together with former known results, completes the characterization of cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the referees for the helpful comments. This paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11961076).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, J. Symbolic Comput., 24 (1997), 235-265. https://doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1996.0125
2. I. Z. Bouwer, An edge but not vertex transitive cubic graph, Bull. Can. Math. Soc., 11 (1968), 533-535. https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1968-063-0
3. I. Z. Bouwer, Vertex and edge transitive but not 1-transitive graph, Bull. Can. Math. Soc., 13 (1970), 231-237. https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1970-047-8
4. M. Conder, A. Malnič, D. Marušič, P. Potočnik, A census of semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices, J. Algebraic Combin., 23 (2006), 255-294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-006-73973
5. M. Conder, J.-X. Zhou, Y.-Q. Feng, M.-M. Zhang, Edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 145 (2020), 264-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2020.05.006
6. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Lonon/New York: Oxford Univ. Press, 1985.
7. J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, New York: Springer, 1996. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0731-3
8. J. L. Du, Y.-Q. Feng, J.-X. Zhou, Pentavalent symmetric graphs admitting a vertextransitive non-abelian simple groups, Europ. J. Combin., 73 (2017), 134-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2017.03.007
9. J. L. Du, M. Conder, Y,-Q. Feng, Cubic core-free symmetric $m$-Cayley graphs, J. Algebraic Combin., 50 (2019), 143-163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-018-0847-x
10. S. F. Du, M. Y. Xu, A classifiction of semisymmetric graphs of order 2pq, Comm. Algebra, 28 (2000), 2685-2715.
11. X. G. Fang, X. S. Ma, J. Wang, On locally primitive Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 118 (2011), 1039-1051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2010.10.008
12. M. Giudici, Factorisations of sporadic simple groups, J. Algebra, 304 (2006), 311-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.04.019
13. M. Giudici, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, Analysing finite locally $s$-arc-transitive graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 350 (2003), 291-317.
14. D. M. Goldschmidt, Automorphisms of trivalent graphs, Ann. Math., 111 (1980), 377-406. https://doi.org/10.2307/1971203
15. C. H. Li, Isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs (Ph.D. thesis), The University of Weastern Australia, 1996.
16. B. Ling, A two-transitive pentavalent nonnormal Cayley graph on the alternating group $\mathrm{A}_{119}$ (in Chinese), Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Sunyatseni, 57 (2018), 85-88.
17. B. Ling, A note on tetravalent s-arc-regular Cayley graphs of finite simple groups, Ars Combin., 144 (2019), 49-54. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.BMSAS.0000554724.29762.5b
18. B. Ling, B. G. Lou, On arc-transitive pentavalent Cayley graphs on finite nonabelian simple groups, Graph Combin., 33 (2017), 1297-1306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-017-1845-9
19. G. X. Liu, Z. P. Lu, On edge-transitive cubic graphs of square-free order, Europ. J. Combin., 45 (2015), 41-46.
20. J. M. Pan, Y. Liu, Z. H. Huang, C. L. Liu, Tetravalent edge-transitive graphs of order $p^{2} q$, Sci. China Math. Ser. A, 57 (2014), 293-302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-013-4708-8
21. J. M. Pan, Y. N. Zhang, An explicit characterization of cubic symmetric bi-Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups, Discrete Math., in press.
22. C. W. Parker, Semisymmetric cubic graphs of twice odd order, Europ. J. Combin., 28 (2007), 572-591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2005.06.007
23. I. Schur, Untersuchen über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochenen linearen Substitutionen, J. Reine Angew. Math., 132 (1907), 85-137. https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1907.132.85
24. W. T. Tutte, Connectivity in graphs, Toronto: Toronto Univ. Press, 1966. https://doi.org/10.3138/9781487584863
25. S. J. Xu, X. G. Fang, J. Wang, M. Y. Xu, On cubic $s$-arc-transitive Cayley graphs on finite simple groups, Europ. J. Combin., 26 (2005), 133-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2003.10.015
26. S. J. Xu, X. G. Fang, J. Wang, M. Y. Xu, 5-arc-transitive cubic graphs on finite simple groups, Europ. J. Combin., 28 (2007), 1023-1036. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2005.07.020
27. F. G. Yin, Y. Q. Feng, Symmetric graphs of valency 4 having a quasi-semiregular automorphism, Applied Math. Comput., 399 (2021), 126014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc. 2021.126014
28. F. G. Yin, Y. Q. Feng, J. X. Zhou, S. S. Chen, Arc-transitive Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups with prime valency, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 177 (2021), 105303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2020.105303
29. J. X. Zhou, Y. Q. Feng, The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 116 (2016), 504-532.
© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)
