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#### Abstract

A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane such that each of its edges is crossed at most once. A dynamic coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper vertex coloring such that for each vertex of degree at least 2 , its neighbors receive at least two different colors. The list dynamic chromatic number $c h_{d}(G)$ of $G$ is the least number $k$ such that for any assignment of $k$-element lists to the vertices of $G$, there is a dynamic coloring of $G$ where the color on each vertex is chosen from its list. In this paper, we show that if $G$ is a 1-planar graph, then $c h_{d}(G) \leq 10$. This improves a result by Zhang and Li [16], which says that every 1 -planar graph $G$ has $c h_{d}(G) \leq 11$.
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## 1. Introduction

Graphs in this paper are simple and finite. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$ is $N_{G}(v)=\{u \in V(G): u$ is adjacent to $v$ in $G\}$. Vertices in $N_{G}(v)$ are called neighbors of $v$, and $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$ is the degree of $v$ in $G$. A proper $k$-coloring is a mapping $\phi: V(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that any adjacent vertices receive different colors. A proper vertex coloring is called a dynamic coloring if for every vertex $v$ of degree at least 2 , the neighbors of $v$ receive at least two different colors. The smallest integer $k$ such that $G$ has a proper (resp. dynamic) $k$-coloring is the chromatic number (resp. dynamic chromatic number) of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$ (resp. $\chi_{d}(G)$ ). The concept of dynamic coloring was first introduced in [12], which is a generalization of the classical graph coloring.

A graph is said to be planar, if it can be drawn in the plane so that its edges intersect only at their ends. The well-known Four-Color Theorem states that $\chi(G) \leq 4$ for every planar graph $G$. Chen et al. [5] showed that $\chi_{d}(G) \leq 5$ if $G$ is a planar graph, and it is conjectured that $\chi_{d}(G) \leq 4$ if $G$ is a planar graph other than $C_{5}$. In 2013, Kim, Lee and Park [8] proved this conjecture. Furthermore, Kim, Lee
and Oum [9] proved the same conclusion for $K_{5}$-minor-free graphs. The dynamic coloring of graphs has been extensively investigated in past decades, we refer to [1-5,7,10-14].

For each integer $k \geq 3$, let $S K_{k}$ denote the graph obtained from complete graph $K_{k}$ by inserting a new vertex to each of the edges in $K_{k}$. Thus for a fixed $k \geq 3, S K_{k}$ is a bipartite graph with a bipartition $(X, Y)$ where $|X|=k$ and $|Y|=\left|E\left(K_{k}\right)\right|$, such that each vertex in $Y$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices in $X$, and distinct vertices in $X$ are adjacent to $k-1$ vertices in $Y$ as do in $K_{k}$. Thus, $\chi\left(S K_{k}\right)=2$ and $\chi_{d}\left(S K_{k}\right)=k$. So it is an example showing that the gap $\chi_{d}(G)-\chi(G)$ can be arbitrarily big. There is a vast literature dealing with the relationship between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{d}(G)$, see [2,10,12].

For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $L(v)$ denote a list of colors available at $v$. An $L$-coloring is a proper coloring $\varphi$ such that $\varphi(v) \in L(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. A graph $G$ is $k$-choosable if it has an $L$ coloring whenever all lists have size at least $k$. The list chromatic number $\operatorname{ch}(G)$ of $G$ is the least integer $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-choosable. A dynamic $L$-coloring is a dynamic coloring of $G$ such that each vertex is colored by a color from its list. A graph $G$ is called dynamically $k$-choosable if it has a dynamic $L$-coloring whenever all lists have size at least $k$. The dynamic list chromatic number $c h_{d}(G)$ of $G$ is the least integer $k$ such that $G$ is dynamically $k$-choosable.

Note that $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{d}(G) \leq c h_{d}(G)$ for every graph $G$. Esperet [6] showed that there is a planar bipartite graph $G$ with $\operatorname{ch}(G)=\chi_{d}(G)=3$ and $c h_{d}(G)=4$ and moreover, there exists for every $k \geq 5$ a bipartite graph $G_{k}$ with $c h\left(G_{k}\right)=\chi_{d}\left(G_{k}\right)=3$ and $c h_{d}\left(G_{k}\right) \geq k$. Hence the gap between $\chi_{d}(G)$ and $c h_{d}(G)$ can be any large. For further information on the dynamic list coloring of graphs, we refer the reader to [2] and [9].

A 1-planar graph is a graph that can be drawn in the plane so that each edge has at most one crossing. Recently, Zhang and Li [16] considered the dynamic list coloring of 1-planar graphs and proved $7 \leq \chi_{d}(G) \leq c h_{d}(G) \leq 11$ for every 1-planar graph $G$. Hence a natural problem is proposed.
Problem 1. (Zhang and Li [16]) Determine the minimum integers $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ so that every 1-planar graph is dynamically $l_{1}$-colorable and dynamically $l_{2}$-choosable, respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to close the gap between the lower and upper bound by proving the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Every 1-planar graph is dynamically 10-choosable.

## 2. Notations and terminology

A plane graph is a particular drawing in the Euclidean plane of a certain planar graph. Let $G$ be a plane graph. We use $F(G)$ to denote the set of faces in $G$. For a face $f \in F(G)$, we use $\partial(f)$ to denote the boundary walk of $f$ and write $f=\left[u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{n}\right]$ if $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}$ are the vertices of $\partial(f)$ in clockwise order. The degree of a face is the number of edge-steps in its boundary walk. For $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, let $d_{G}(x)$ denote the degree of $x$ in $G$. A vertex of degree $k$ (at most $k$, at least $k$, respectively) is called a $k$-vertex ( $k^{-}$-vertex, $k^{+}$-vertex, respectively). Similarly, we can define $k$-face, $k^{-}$-face, and $k^{+}$-face. If $X$ is the set of vertices and edges deleted, the resulting subgraph is denoted by $G-X$.

Let $G$ be a plane drawing of a 1-planar graph such that each edge has at most one crossing and the number of such crossings are as few as possible. Let $C(G)$ denote the set of crossings in $G$. The associated plane graph, denoted $G^{\times}$, of $G$ is a plane graph with

$$
V\left(G^{\times}\right)=V(G) \cup C(G), E\left(G^{\times}\right)=E_{0}(G) \cup E_{1}(G),
$$

where $E_{0}(G)$ is the set of non-crossed edges in $G$ and

$$
E_{1}(G)=\left\{x z, z y \mid x y \in E(G) \backslash E_{0}(G) \text { and } z \text { is a crossing point on } x y\right\} .
$$

Vertices in $V(G)$ are said to be true vertices of $G^{\times}$, and vertices in $C(G)$ are false vertices of $G^{\times}$. It is easy to observe that $d_{G^{\times}}(v)=d_{G}(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$, and $d_{G^{\times}}(v)=4$ for each $v \in C(G)$. A 3-face is false if it is incident to a false vertex in $G^{\times}$, and is true otherwise.

A 4-face $f=[u x v y]$ in $G^{\times}$is called a special 4-face if $d_{G^{\times}}(u) \geq 10, d_{G^{\times}}(v)=2, x$ and $y$ are false vertices, in this case, the vertex $v$ is called a special 2-vertex. And non-special 2-vertex otherwise. A 5-face $f=[u x v y w]$ in $G^{\times}$is called a special 5-face if $d_{G^{\times}}(v)=2, d_{G^{\times}}(u), d_{G^{\times}}(w) \geq 10, x$ and $y$ are false vertices. A 10 -vertex is bad if, which is incident with three special 4 -faces and seven 3 -faces in $G^{\times}$, and non-bad otherwise.

In the figure of this paper, black (white) bullets represent vertices whose degrees are exactly (at least) the one shown in the figure.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We shall argue by contradiction to prove Theorem 1. Throughout the rest of this section, we assume that $G$ is a counterexample to Theorem 1 such that $|V(G)|+|E(G)|$ is minimized, which is called a dynamically minimal graph. Specifically, there exists a 10 -list assignment $L$ to the vertices of $G$ such that $G$ is not dynamically $L$-choosable. By the minimality of $G$, for any 1-planar graph $H$ with $|V(H)|+|E(H)|<|V(G)|+|E(G)|$ is dynamically $L$-choosable.

In the following two subsections, we first exhibit the structure of this minimum counterexample $G$. Secondly, relying on these properties, we use the Discharging Method to obtain a contradiction.

### 3.1. Structure and properties of a counterexample to Theorem 1

Zhang and Li [16] investigated the propositions of the dynamically minimal graphs. They gave the following lemma.
Lemma 1. (Zhang and Li [16]) Let $G$ be a dynamically minimal graph. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) $\delta(G) \geq 2$.
(2) Each edge of $G$ is incident with at least one $10^{+}$-vertex.
(3) If $u$ is a vertex incident with a triangle in $G$, then $d_{G}(u) \geq 10$.
(4) If $u$ is a true vertex incident with a false 3 -face of $G^{\times}$, then $d_{G}(u) \geq 8$.
(5) Let $f=\left[w u v x_{1} \cdots x_{s}\right]$ be a $4^{+}$-face of $G^{\times}$with $d_{G}(u) \leq 7$, then both $w$ and $v$ are false.
(6) Each 6-face in $G^{\times}$is incident with at most two special 2-vertices.

Lemma 2. $G$ does not contain $k$-vertices, where $3 \leq k \leq 7$.
Proof. Suppose not, let $v$ be a $k$-vertex with $3 \leq k \leq 7$. Let $N_{G}(v)=\left\{u, w, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right\}$, where $d_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)=2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $d_{G}\left(y_{j}\right) \geq 3$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $x_{i}^{\prime}=N_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \backslash\{v\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, $u^{\prime} \in N_{G}(u) \backslash\{v\}, w^{\prime} \in N_{G}(w) \backslash\{v\}$ and $y_{j}^{\prime} \in N_{G}\left(y_{j}\right) \backslash\{v\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Note that $t$ or $s$ may be 0 , in which case $N_{G}(v)=\left\{u, w, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\}$ or $N_{G}(v)=\left\{u, w, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$, respectively. Let $H=G-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}-$ $\left\{v y_{1}, \ldots, v y_{s}\right\}$, which is a 1 -plane graph. By the minimality of $G, H$ has a dynamic $L$-coloring $\phi$ such that $\phi(u) \neq \phi(w)$. Firstly, we recolor $v$ with a color form $L(v) \backslash\left\{\phi(u), \phi(w), \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right), \phi\left(w^{\prime}\right), \phi\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(y_{s}\right)\right\}$. Next, for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, we color $x_{i}$ by a color from $L\left(x_{i}\right) \backslash\left\{\phi(v), \phi\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right), \phi\left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, where $x_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in N_{G}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{i}\right\}$. So we get a dynamic $L$-coloring of $G$, a contradiction.
Lemma 3. $G$ dose not contain two 2 -vertices $u$ and $v$ such that $N_{G}(u)=N_{G}(v)$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $G$ has 2-vertices $u$ and $v$ with $N_{G}(u)=N_{G}(v)=\{x, y\}$. By Lemma 1(2), $x$ and $y$ are $10^{+}$-vertices. Let $H=G-\{u\}$, which is still 1-planar. By the minimality of $G, H$ has a dynamic $L$-coloring $\phi$. It follows that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$, as $d_{G}(v)=2$. We obtain a dynamic $L$-coloring of $G$ by coloring $u$ with a color from $L(u) \backslash\{\phi(x), \phi(y)\}$, a contradiction.

### 3.2. Discharging

We will complete the proof of Theorem 1 in this subsection. Let $G^{\times}$be the associated plane graph of $G$ corresponding to a plane embedding of $G$ with the following properties:
(P1) Every edge is crossed by at most one other edge.
$(\mathbf{P} 2)$ The number of crossing points is as small as possible.
For a $k$-vertex $v \in V\left(G^{\times}\right)$, we denote the neighbors of $v$ in $G^{\times}$by $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ in clockwise order, and the faces of $G^{\times}$incident to $v$ by $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k-1}$ with $v v_{i}, v v_{i+1} \in \partial\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, where the indices are taken as modulo $k$. For a fixed face $f \in F\left(G^{\times}\right)$and an edge $e \in E(f)$, we use $f_{e}$ to denote the other face adjacent to $f$ and incident to $e$. In particular, $f=f_{e}$ if $e$ is a cut edge.

We first define an initial weight function $\omega(x)=d_{G^{\times}}(x)-4$ for each $x \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \cup F\left(G^{\times}\right)$. Since $G^{\times}$ is a connected plane graph, by Euler's formula $\left|V\left(G^{\times}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(G^{\times}\right)\right|+\left|F\left(G^{\times}\right)\right|=2$ and the relation

$$
\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{\times}\right)} d_{G^{\times}}(v)=\sum_{f \in F\left(G^{\times}\right)} d_{G^{\times}}(f)=2\left|E\left(G^{\times}\right)\right|,
$$

we obtain the following identity:

$$
\sum_{v \in V\left(G^{\times}\right)}\left(d_{G^{\times}}(v)-4\right)+\sum_{f \in F\left(G^{\times}\right)}\left(d_{G^{\times}}(f)-4\right)=-8 .
$$

Next, we design some discharging rules and redistribute weights accordingly. Once the discharging is finished, a new weight function $\omega^{\prime}$ is produced. However, the total sum of weights is kept fixed when the discharging is in process. Nevertheless, we will show that $\omega^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \cup F\left(G^{\times}\right)$. This leads to the following contradiction

$$
0 \leq \sum_{x \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \cup F\left(G^{\times}\right)} \omega^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{x \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \cup F\left(G^{\times}\right)} \omega(x)=-8,
$$

which completes the proof.
For $x, y$ and $z \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \cup F\left(G^{\times}\right)$, let $\tau(x \rightarrow y)$ and $\tau(x \xrightarrow{z} y)$ denote the amount of weight that $x$ transfers to $y$ directly and across $z$, respectively. Our discharging rules are defined in $G^{\times}$as follows.
(R1) Every true 3 -face in $G^{\times}$receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of its incident $10^{+}$-vertices. Every false 3 -face in $G^{\times}$receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from each of its incident $8^{+}$-vertices.
(R2) Every $10^{+}$-vertices incident with a special 4-face $f$ sends 1 to special 2-vertex through $f$.
(R3) Every $5^{+}$-face in $G^{\times}$sends 1 to each of its incident special 2-vertices if there are some ones.
(R4) Suppose that $f=\left[v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m}\right]$ is a $5^{+}$-face in $G^{\times}$and $v_{i}$ is a non-special 2-vertex.
(R4.1) If both of $v_{i-2}$ and $v_{i+2}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices, then $\tau\left(f \rightarrow v_{i}\right)=2$;
(R4.2) If exactly one of $v_{i-2}$ and $v_{i+2}$ is a $10^{+}$-vertex, then $\tau\left(f \rightarrow v_{i}\right)=1$.
(R5) Every $10^{+}$-vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to its each incident special 5 -face.
(R6) Suppose $v$ is a bad 10 -vertex and $f=[v x u y]$ is a special 4 -face with $u$ is a special 2-vertex, $x$ and $y$ are false vertices. Let $v v_{1}$ (resp. $v v_{2}$ ) cross $v_{0} u$ (resp. $v_{3} u$ ) in $G$ at the crossing $x$ (resp. $y$ ). Say the
other face incident with $u$ is $f^{*}$. Assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq d\left(v_{2}\right)$ by symmetry, we carry out the following subrules (see Figure 1):
(R6.1) If $d\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ and $d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 10$, then $\tau\left(f^{*} \xrightarrow{u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$ provided that $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right)=7$ and $\tau\left(v_{2} \xrightarrow{f^{*} \text { and } u}\right.$ $v)=\frac{1}{9}$ provided that $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right)=6$;
(R6.2) If $8 \leq d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq 9$, then $\tau\left(v_{1} \xrightarrow{x} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$;
(R6.3) If $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 10$ and $5 \leq d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \leq 7$, then $\tau\left(f^{*} \xrightarrow{u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$;
(R6.4) If $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 8$, then $\tau\left(f^{*} \xrightarrow{u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$.

(R6.1)

(R6.2)

(R6.3)

(R6.4)

Figure 1. The discharging rule (R6).

Let $f=\left[v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m}\right]$ be a $5^{+}$-face in $G^{\times}$. For $0 \leq i \leq m$, we define some notations as follows.
$V_{2}^{s}(f)=\left\{v_{i} \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \mid v_{i}\right.$ is a special 2-vertex incident with $\left.f\right\}$ and $n_{2}^{s}(f)=\left|V_{2}^{s}(f)\right| ;$
$V_{2}^{\prime}(f)=\left\{v_{i} \in V\left(G^{\times}\right) \mid v_{i}\right.$ is a non-special 2-vertex incident with $f$ and both of $v_{i-2}$ and $v_{i+2}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices $\}$and $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=\left|V_{2}^{\prime}(f)\right|$;
$V_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=\left\{v_{i} \in V^{\prime}\left(G^{\times}\right) \mid v_{i}\right.$ is a non-special 2-vertex incident with $f$ and exactly one of $v_{i-2}$ and $v_{i+2}$ is a $10^{+}$-vertex $\}$and $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=\left|V_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right|$.
Claim 1. $2\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right)+4 n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq d(f)$.
Proof. By Lemma 1(5), every 2-vertex is adjacent to false vertices in $G^{\times}$. If $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$, then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+$ $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq \frac{d(f)}{2}$. It follows that $2\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right)+4 n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq d(f)$. So assume that $n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \geq 1$. Let $v_{i_{0}}, v_{i_{1}}, \ldots, v_{i_{t-1}} \in V_{2}^{\prime}(f)$ incident to $f$ in clockwise order, where $t=n_{2}^{\prime}(f)$. For $0 \leq j \leq t-1$, let $v_{i_{j}-2}, v_{i_{j-1}}, v_{i_{j}}, v_{i_{j}+1}, v_{i_{j}+2}$ be five corresponding vertices incident to $f$, where $v_{i_{j}} \in V_{2}^{\prime}(f)$, and $v_{i_{j-1}}, v_{i_{j+1}}$ false, and $v_{i_{j}-2}, v_{i_{j}+2}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices. It follows that the following vertices

$$
v_{i_{0}-1}, v_{i_{0}}, v_{i_{0}+1}, v_{i_{1}-1}, v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{1}+1}, \ldots, v_{i_{t-1}-1}, v_{i_{t}}, v_{i_{t-1}+1}
$$

are mutually distinct, and $n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq n_{10^{+}}(f)$, where $n_{10^{+}}(f)$ denote the number of $10^{+}$-vertices incident with $f$. Thus, $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq \frac{d(f)-3 n_{2}^{\prime}(f)-n_{10^{+}}(f)}{2}$. Consequently, $2\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right)+4 n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq d(f)$.
Claim 2. If $f=[u x v y w]$ is a special 5 -face in $G^{\times}$such that $v$ is a 2 -vertex, $x, y$ are false vertices and $d_{G^{\times}}(u), d_{G^{\times}}(w) \geq 10$, then $f_{x u}, f_{u w}$ and $f_{w y}$ are not special 4-faces.
Proof. Assume $v v_{1}$ crosses $u u_{1}$ in $G$ at the point $x$. Since $d_{G^{\times}}(v)=2, d_{G^{\times}}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 10$ by Lemma 1(2). It follows that $f_{x u}$ is not special 4-face according to the definition of special 4-face. Similarly, $f_{u w}$ and $f_{w y}$ are not special 4-faces.
Lemma 4. Every face in $G^{\times}$has a nonnegative final charge.
Proof. Let $f=\left[v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{k-1}\right]$ be a $k$-face in $G^{\times}$, where $k \geq 3$.
Case 1. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=3$.
Then $\omega(f)=-1$. If $f$ is a true 3-face, then every vertex incident with $f$ is a $10^{+}$-vertex by Lemma 1(3), and thus $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq-1+\frac{1}{3} \times 3=0$ by (R1). If $f$ is a false 3-face, then $f$ is incident to two $8^{+}$-vertices by Lemma 1(4). It follows that $\omega^{\prime}(f)=-1+\frac{1}{2} \times 2=0$ by (R1).
Case 2. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=4$.
No rule is valid for $f$ and thus $\omega^{\prime}(f)=\omega(f)=0$.
Case 3. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=5$.
Then $f$ is incident to at most one 2-vertex. If not, then there exists an edge is crossed two times by Lemma 1(5). Assume that $f$ is incident to a 2 -vertex, say $v_{0}$, then $v_{1}$ and $v_{4}$ are false by Lemma 1(5). Moreover, at least one of $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ is a $10^{+}$-vertex by Lemma 1 (2). If exactly one of $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ is a $10^{+}$-vertex, then $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 5-4-1=0$ by (R3) and (R4.2). So assume that $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices, then $f$ is a special 5 -face. If $v_{0}$ is a non-special 2-vertex, then $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 5-4-2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R4.1) and (R5). Otherwise, $v_{0}$ is a special 2-vertex. By (R3), (R5) and (R6.3), $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 5-4-1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{9}=\frac{8}{9}$. Case 4. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=6$.

By Lemma 1(6) and Claim 1, $n_{2}^{s}(f) \leq 2$ and $n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq 1$, respectively. If $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=1$, assume $v_{0} \in V_{2}^{\prime}(f)$, then $v_{0}$ is a non-special 2-vertex, $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ are false vertices, $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices. It follows that $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=0$. Hence, $\omega^{\prime}(f)=6-4-2=0$ by (R4.1). Now, assume that $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$.

Suppose $n_{2}^{s}(f)=2$. Without loss of generality, assume that $v_{0}$ is a special 2 -vertex. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ are false vertices. If $v_{3}$ is also a special 2 -vertex, then $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are false vertices. There exists an edge is crossed two times, this is impossible. So assume $v_{2}$ is another special 2 -vertex. Then $f$ sends 1 to $v_{0}$ and $v_{2}$ by (R3), respectively, and (R6.3) is not applied. Thus, $\omega^{\prime}(f)=6-4-2=0$.

Suppose $n_{2}^{s}(f)=1$. Similarly, we may assume that $v_{0}$ is a special 2 -vertex. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{5}$ are false vertices. If $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices, then $v_{3}$ is a $8^{+}$-vertex by Lemma $1(5)$. This implies that $f$ sends 1 to $v_{0}$ by (R3), and $\frac{1}{9}$ through $v_{0}$ by (R6.3), respectively. Therefore, $\omega^{\prime}(f)=6-4-1-\frac{1}{9}=\frac{8}{9}$. Otherwise, (R6.3) is not applied, and $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 6-4-1-1=0$ by (R3) and (R4.2).

Suppose $n_{2}^{s}(f)=0$. Then $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 3$ by Claim 1. If $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 2$, then $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 6-4-1-1=0$ by (R4.2). Otherwise, $n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=3$, this is impossible.
Case 5. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=7$.
Then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 3$ and $n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq 1$ by Claim 1. If $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=1$, then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 1$ by Claim 1. Assume $v_{0} \in V_{2}^{\prime}(f)$, then $v_{0}$ is a non-special 2-vertex, $v_{1}$ and $v_{6}$ are false vertices, $v_{2}$ and $v_{5}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices. This implies that $n_{2}^{s}(f)=0$. Hence, $\omega^{\prime}(f)=7-4-2-1=0$ by (R3) and (R4). Next, assume that $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$. If $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 2$, then $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 7-4-2 \times 1-2 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{7}{9}$ by (R3), (R4.2), (R6.4) and (R6.3). Now, we have $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=3$. This implies that $f$ is incident with three 2 -vertices, and
four false vertices by Lemma 1(5). Hence, there exists an edge is crossed two times, which contradicts the property ( $\mathbf{( P 1 )}$.
Case 6. $d_{G^{\times}}(f)=8$.
Then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 4$ and $n_{2}^{\prime}(f) \leq 2$ by Claim 1. If $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=2$, then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)=0$ by Claim 1, and hence $\omega^{\prime}(f)=8-4-2 \times 2=0$ by (R4.1). If $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=1$, then $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 1$ by the definition of $V_{2}^{\prime}(f)$, and hence $\omega^{\prime}(f)=8-4-2-\frac{10}{9}=\frac{8}{9}$ by (R3) and (R4). Now assume that $n_{2}^{\prime}(f)=0$. By the definition of $V_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)$ and Lemma 3, we may derive that $n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f) \leq 3$. Hence, $\omega^{\prime}(f) \geq 8-4-3 \times \frac{10}{9}=\frac{2}{3}$ by (R3) and (R4.2).
Case 7. $d_{G^{\times}}(f) \geq 9$.
By Claim 1, (R3), (R4) and (R6.4), we have the following inequality.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(f) & \geq d(f)-4-2 n_{2}^{\prime}(f)-\left(1+\frac{1}{9}\right)\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right) \\
& \left.\geq d(f)-4-2\left(\frac{1}{4} d(f)-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right)\right)-\frac{10}{9}\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{d(f)}{2}-4-\frac{1}{9}\left(n_{2}^{s}(f)+n_{2}^{\prime \prime}(f)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{d(f)}{2}-4-\frac{1}{9} \times \frac{d(f)}{2} \\
& =\frac{4 d(f)-36}{9} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. Every 2-vertex in $G^{\times}$has a nonnegative final charge.
Proof. By Lemma 1(3) and (4), we derive that $v$ is not incident with a triangle in $G^{\times}$. By Lemma 1(5), the neighbors of $v$ in $G^{\times}$, say $x$ and $y$, are both false vertices.

Assume that $v$ is a special 2-vertex. Let $f=[v x u y]$ be a special 4 -face, and $f^{*}$ be the other face incident to $v$. Then $v$ receives 1 from $f$ by (R2). Since $G$ is a simple graph, $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 5$, then $v$ receives 1 from $f^{*}$ by (R3). Hence, $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-4+2 \times 1=0$. We may assume that $v$ is a non-special 2 -vertex.

If $v$ is incident with a 4-face, say $f=[u x v y]$, then $d(u) \leq 9$. Let $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) be the vertices in $G$ such that $u u_{1}$ (resp. $u u_{2}$ ) passes through the crossing $x$ (resp. $y$ ). Since $G$ is a simple graph, $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, and $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices by Lemma 1(2). Hence, $v$ is incident with a $5^{+}$-face, which sends 2 to $v$ by (R4.1). It follows that $\omega^{\prime}(v)=2-4+2=0$.

If $v$ is incident with two $5^{+}$-faces $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, then let $u_{1} u_{2}$ (resp. $w_{1} w_{2}$ ) be edge of $G$ that pass through the crossing $x$ ( resp. $y$ ), such that $u_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ and $w_{2}$ ) are vertices on $f_{1}$ (resp. $f_{2}$ ). By Lemma 1(2), there are at least two $10^{+}$-vertices among $u_{1}, u_{2}, w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. Therefore, either $v \in V_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}\right)$ or $v \in V_{2}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}\right)$, or both $v \in V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $v \in V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{2}\right)$. In each case $v$ receives at least 2 from $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by (R4.1) and (R4.2), and thus $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 2-4+2=0$.
Remark 1. Let $v$ be a $10^{+}$-vertex, which is incident with a 6 -face $f^{*}=\left[\nu v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}\right]$. If $v$ sends out weight through $f^{*}$ by (R6.1), then $v_{1}, v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$ are false vertices, $v_{2}$ and $v_{4}$ are 2 -vertices. We call such face a special 6 -face, and denote $f_{6}^{s}(v)$ by the number of special 6 -faces incident with $v$.
Claim 3. Let $f=[v x u y]$ be a special 4-face with $d(v) \geq 10$. Then the faces $f_{v x}$ and $f_{v y}$ are neither special 4-faces nor special 6-faces.
Proof. Let $v v_{1}$ (resp. $v v_{2}$ ) cross $u u_{1}$ (resp. $u u_{2}$ ) in $G$ at the crossing $x$ (resp. $y$ ). The definition of the special 4 -face implies that $u$ is a 2 -vertex. Therefore, $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are $10^{+}$-vertices by Lemma 1(2), and
the Claim holds.
Claim 4. Let $v$ be a $10^{+}$-vertex, and let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ be three consecutive faces that are incident with $v$ in $G^{\times}$.
(1) If $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are not special 4-faces, then $v$ totally sends to $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ or to bad 10 -vertex through these faces at most $\frac{3}{2}$;
(2) If at least one of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ is a special 4-faces, then $v$ totally sends to $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ or to bad 10 -vertex through these faces at most 2 .
Proof. By (R1), (R2) and (R5), $v$ sends 1 to its incident special 4-face and at most $\frac{1}{2}$ to its incident 3 -face or special 5 -face. In addition, $v$ sends $2 \times \frac{1}{9}$ to bad 10 -vertex through special 6 -face by (R6.4).

1) Suppose $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are not special 4 -faces. It follows that $v$ sends at most $\frac{1}{2} \times 3=\frac{3}{2}$ to $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ or to bad 10 -vertex through these faces.
2) Suppose that at least one of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ is a special 4-faces. Furthermore, there are at most two special 4-faces among $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ by Claim 3. This implies that either exactly one of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ is a special 4 -faces, or $f_{1}$ and $f_{3}$ are special 4-faces. In the latter case, $f_{2}$ is not 3 -face, nor special 5 -face by Claim 2, nor special 6-face by Claim 3. Hence, in each case, $v$ sends to $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ or to bad 10 -vertex through these faces at most 2 .
Remark 2. Let $v$ be a $10^{+}$-vertex and $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d-1}$ be the faces in clockwise order around $v$, where $d=d(v)$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, let $a_{i}$ be the weight that $v$ sends to $f_{i}$ or to bad 10 -vertex through $f_{i}$, and $\mu_{i}=a_{i-1}+a_{i}+a_{i+1}$, where the subscripts are taken modular $d$. By Claim 4, we conclude that $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_{i}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \mu_{i} \leq \frac{2}{3} d$.

For a true vertex $v$, denote by $f^{3}(v)$ and $n^{c}(v)$ the number of 3-faces incident with $v$ and and the number of crossing vertices that are adjacent to $v$ in $G^{\times}$, respectively.
Lemma 6. [15] Let $G$ be a 1 -plane graph. If $d_{G}(v) \geq 5$, then $f^{3}(v)+n^{c}(v) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{3 d_{G}(v)}{2}\right\rfloor$.
Claim 5. Let $v \in V\left(G^{\times}\right)$with $8 \leq d(v) \leq 9$. If $v$ is adjacent to bad 10 -vertices in $G$, then $f^{3}(v) \leq d(v)-1$. Proof. Suppose that $v$ is adjacent to a bad 10 -vertex $u$. By the definition of bad 10 -vertex and Lemma 1(2), $u v$ passes through a crossing, say $x$. Let $z w$ be the other edge in $G$ passes through $x$, and let $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ be the face that is incident with the path $v x w, w x u, z x u$ and $z x v$ in $G^{\times}$. Then one of $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ is a 3-face and the other is a special 4-face. Without loss of generality, assume that $f_{2}$ is a triangle and $f_{3}$ is a special 4 -face. It follows that $z$ is a 2 -vertex, and so $f_{4}$ is not a 3 -face. Hence, $f^{3}(v) \leq d(v)-1$.
Lemma 7. Every vertex in $G^{\times}$with $8 \leq d_{G^{\times}}(v) \leq 9$ or $d_{G^{\times}}(v) \geq 11$ has a nonnegative final charge. Proof. Assume that $8 \leq d(v) \leq 9$. If $v$ is not incident with any bad 10 -vertex, then $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq d(v)-4-$ $\frac{1}{2} d(v) \geq 0$ by (R1). Otherwise, $v$ is incident with bad 10 -vertices. By (R1) and (R6.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}(v) & \geq d(v)-4-\frac{1}{2} f^{3}(v)-\frac{1}{9} n^{c}(v) \\
& \geq d(v)-\frac{1}{2} f^{3}(v)-\frac{1}{9}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{3 d(v)}{2}\right\rfloor-f^{3}(v)\right)-4 \\
& \geq \frac{5}{6} d(v)-\frac{7}{18} f^{3}(v)-4 \\
& \geq \frac{5}{6} d(v)-\frac{7}{18}(d(v)-1)-4 \\
& =\frac{8 d(v)-65}{18} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if $d(v)=9$ or $d(v)=8$ and $f^{3}(v) \leq 6$, then $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$. We may assume that $d(v)=8$ and $f^{3}(v)=7$ by Claim 5. Since false vertices are not adjacent in $G^{\times}, n^{c}(v) \leq 4$. Thus, $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq$ $8-4-7 \times \frac{1}{2}-4 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{1}{18}$.

Assume that $d(v) \geq 12$. According to Remark 2, we have $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq d(v)-4-\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} \geq \frac{1}{3} d-4 \geq 0$.
Now suppose that $d(v)=11$. If $v$ is incident with at most three special 4 -faces, then $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq$ $11-4-3 \times 1-8 \times \frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R1) and (R2). Otherwise, $v$ is incident with at least four special 4 -faces. This implies that there are two faces $f_{i}$ and $f_{i+2}$ are special 4-faces, where the subscripts are taken modular 11. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $i=1$. In this case, by Claim $2, f_{2}$ is not 3-face, nor special 5-face, nor special 6-face, and therefore $a_{2}=0$. Furthermore, $f_{4}$ and $f_{0}$ are not special 4 -faces by Claim 3. Thus, $\mu_{1}=\frac{1}{2}+1+0=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\mu_{3}=0+1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{3}{2}$. Therefore, by Remark 2, we have:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{10} a_{i}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{10} \mu_{i}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}+\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 10 \\ i \neq 1,3}} \mu_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \times\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2}+2 \times 9\right)=7 .
$$

It follows that $\omega^{\prime}(v)=d(v)-4-\sum_{i=0}^{10} a_{i} \geq 11-4-7=0$.
Lemma 8. Every 10 -vertex in $G^{\times}$has a nonnegative final charge.
Proof. Assume that $v$ is a bad 10 -vertex. Let $f=[v x u y]$ be a special 4 -face with $u$ is a special 2 vertex, $x$ and $y$ are false vertices. Let $v v_{1}$ cross $v_{0} u$ in $G$ at the crossing $x$. We denote $f^{*}$ by the other face incident with $u$. Since $G$ is a simple graph, $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 5$. Lemma 2 implies that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are both 2 -vertices or $8^{+}$-vertices. Assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq d\left(v_{2}\right)$ by symmetry. Note that if $8 \leq d\left(v_{1}\right) \leq 9$, then $\tau\left(v_{1} \xrightarrow{x} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$ by (R6.2). Thus it suffices to suppose that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{2}\right)=2$, or $d\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ and $d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 10$, or $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 10$. Assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=d\left(v_{2}\right)=2$. It is easy to see that $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 8$ by Lemma 1(5). By (R6.4), $\tau(u \xrightarrow{f} v)=\frac{1}{9}$. Assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right)=2$ and $d\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 10$, then $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 6$ by Lemma 1(5). If $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 8$, then $\tau(u \xrightarrow{f} v)=\frac{1}{9}$ as above. By (R6.1), if $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right)=7$, then $\tau\left(f^{*} \xrightarrow{u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$; if $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right)=6$, then $\tau\left(v_{2} \xrightarrow{f^{*} \text { and } u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$. Assume that $d\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 10$. If $d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \geq 8$, then $\tau(u \xrightarrow{f} v)=\frac{1}{9}$ as above. If $5 \leq d_{G^{\times}}\left(f^{*}\right) \leq 7$, then $\tau\left(f^{*} \xrightarrow{u} v\right)=\frac{1}{9}$ by (R6.3). In summary, $v$ receives at least $\frac{1}{9}$ from element according to special 4 -face. Since $v$ is incident with three special 4 -faces, $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 10-4-3 \times 1-6 \times \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}+3 \times \frac{1}{9}=0$ by (R1) and (R2).

Assume that $v$ is a non-bad 10 -vertex. We denote the number of special 4 -faces incident with $v$ by $f_{4}^{s}(v)$. Thus, $f_{4}^{s}(v) \leq 5$ by Claim 3. We need to consider two cases:
Case 1. $f_{6}^{s}(v)=0$
If $f_{4}^{s}(v) \leq 2$, then $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 10-4-2 \times 1-8 \times \frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R1), (R2) and (R5).
If $f_{4}^{s}(v)=3$, then $v$ is incident with at most six 3 -faces in $G^{\times}$. Otherwise, $v$ is a bad 10 -vetrex. Furthermore, if $v$ is incident with six 3 -faces, then the remaining face is not a special 5 -face. Thus, $v$ sends at most $6 \times \frac{1}{2}=3$ to 3 -faces and special 5 -faces by (R1) and (R5). This implies that $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq$ $10-4-3 \times 1-3=0$.

If $f_{4}^{s}(v)=4$, then there are three faces $f_{i-2}, f_{i}$ and $f_{i+2}$ are special 4-faces, where the subscripts are taken modular 10. Assume, without loss of generality, $i=1$. If so, $f_{0}$ and $f_{2}$ are neither 3-faces nor special 5 -faces, which implies that $v$ sends out at most $4 \times \frac{1}{2}=2$ by (R1) and (R5). Consequently, $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 10-4-4 \times 1-2=0$.

If $f_{4}^{s}(v)=5$, without loss of generality, assume that $f_{i}$ are special 4-faces by Claim 3, where $i=1,3,5,7,9$. Then $f_{j}$ are neither 3 -faces nor special 5 -faces by Claim 2 , where $j=0,2,4,6,8$. Therefore, $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 10-4-5 \times 1=1$.
Case 2. $f_{6}^{s}(v) \geq 1$
Without loss of generality, assume that $f_{0}$ is a special 6 -face. Let $f_{0}=[v w x y z u]$ with $w, y$ and $u$ are false vertices, $x$ and $z$ are 2-vertices. Let $z z_{1}$ be another edge of $G$ that passes through the crossings $u$, where $z_{1} \in \partial\left(f_{1}\right)$. It follows from Lemma $1(2)$ that $z_{1}$ is a $10^{+}$-vertices. This implies that $f_{1}$ is neither special 4-face nor special 5 -face. By symmetry, $f_{9}$ is neither special 4-face nor special 5 -face. Thus, $\mu_{0} \leq 2 \times \frac{1}{2}+2 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{11}{9}$ by (R1) and (R6.1). If $f_{2}$ is a special 4-face, then $f_{1}$ is not 3-face, nor special $k$-face, where $k \in\{4,5,6\}$. Otherwise, $a_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. In each case, $\mu_{1} \leq 1+2 \times \frac{1}{9}=\frac{11}{9}$. Similarly, $\mu_{9} \leq \frac{11}{9}$. Therefore, by Claim 4 and Remark 2, we have:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{9} a_{i}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{9} \mu_{i}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}+\mu_{9}+\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 9 \\ i \neq 0,1,9}} \mu_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \times\left(3 \times \frac{11}{9}+2 \times 7\right)=\frac{53}{9}
$$

This yields $\omega^{\prime}(v) \geq 10-4-\frac{53}{9}=\frac{1}{9}$.

## 4. Conclusions and future works

In this paper, we closed the gap between the lower and upper bound of Problem 1 by proving that 1 -planar graphs are dynamically 10 -choosable. It is interesting to determine the smallest integer $c$, where $7 \leq c \leq 10$, such that every 1 -planar graph is dynamically $c$-choosable.

A graph is IC-planar (independent-crossing-planar) if it has a 1-planar drawing so that each vertex is incident with at most one crossing edge. A graph is NIC-planar (near-independent-crossing-planar) if it admits a drawing in the plane with at most one crossing per edge and such that two pairs of crossing edges share at most one common end vertex. Both of them specialize 1-planarity, but generalize planarity. Thus, the following is a natural problem:
Problem 2. What is the smallest integers $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ such that every IC-planar (or NIC-planar graph) graph is dynamically $l_{1}$-colorable and dynamically $l_{2}$-choosable, respectively.

Recently, Hu and Kong proved that IC-planar is dynamically 7-choosable (in preparation). One can see Figure 2, which is an IC-planar graph with dynamic chromatic number is 6 . Hence, we have $6 \leq l_{1} \leq l_{2} \leq 7$ for IC-planar graph.


Figure 2. An IC-planar graph.
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