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#### Abstract

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $O_{K}$ its ring of integers. Let $\pi$ and $\beta$ be an irreducible element and a nonzero element, respectively, in $O_{K}$. In the authors' earlier work, it was proved for the cases, $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ that if $\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta)$, where $n \geq 1, \alpha_{n} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ belong to a complete residue system modulo $\beta$, and the digits $\alpha_{n-1}$ and $\alpha_{n}$ satisfy certain restrictions, then the polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$. In this paper, we extend these results by establishing further irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $O_{K}[x]$. In addition, we provide elements of $\beta$ that can be applied to the new criteria but not to the previous ones.
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## 1. Introduction

Determining the irreducibility of a polynomial has been one of the most intensively studied problems in mathematics. Among many irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, a classical result of A. Cohn [1] states that if we express a prime $p$ in the decimal representation as

$$
p=a_{n} 10^{n}+a_{n-1} 10^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} 10+a_{0},
$$

then the polynomial $f(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. This result was subsequently generalized to any base $b$ by Brillhart et al. [2]. In 2002, Murty [3] gave another proof of this fact that was conceptually simpler than the one in [2].

In the present work, we are interested in studying the result of A. Cohn in any imaginary quadratic field. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ with a unique squarefree integer $m \neq 1$, be a quadratic field. We have seen that the quadratic field $K$ is said to be real if $m>0$ and imaginary if $m<0$. The set of algebraic integers
that lie in $K$ is denoted by $O_{K}$. Indeed,

$$
O_{K}=\left\{a+b \sigma_{m} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\},
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{m}:= \begin{cases}\sqrt{m} & \text { if } m \neq 1(\bmod 4) \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} & \text { if } m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

[4]. Clearly, $O_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}=\mathbb{Z}[i]$, the ring of Gaussian integers, where $i=\sqrt{-1}$. It is well known that $O_{K}$ is an integral domain and $K$ is its quotient field. Then the set of units in $O_{K}[x]$ is $U\left(O_{K}\right)$, the group of units in $O_{K}$.

In general, we know that a prime element in $O_{K}$ is an irreducible element and the converse holds if $O_{K}$ is a unique factorization domain. A nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in O_{K}[x]$ is said to be irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$ if $p(x)$ is not a unit and if $p(x)=f(x) g(x)$ in $O_{K}[x]$, then either $f(x)$ or $g(x)$ is a unit in $O_{K}$. Polynomials that are not irreducible are called reducible. For $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K}$, we denote the norm of $\beta$ by

$$
N(\beta)= \begin{cases}a^{2}-m b^{2} & \text { if } m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \\ a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right) & \text { if } m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $N(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\beta \in O_{K}$. To determine whether $\alpha \in O_{K}$ is an irreducible element, we often use the fact that if $N(\alpha)= \pm p$, where $p$ is a rational prime, then $\alpha$ is an irreducible element [4].

For $\alpha, \beta \in O_{K}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, we say that $\alpha$ divides $\beta$, denoted by $\alpha \mid \beta$, if there exists $\delta \in O_{K}$ such that $\beta=\alpha \delta$. For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in O_{K}$ with $\gamma \neq 0$, we say that $\alpha$ is congruent to $\beta$ modulo $\gamma$ and we write $\alpha \equiv \beta(\bmod \gamma)$, if $\gamma \mid(\alpha-\beta)$. By a complete residue system modulo $\beta$ in $O_{K}$, abbreviated by CRS ( $\beta$ ) [5], we mean a set of $|N(\beta)|$ elements $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{|N(\beta)|}\right\}$ in $O_{K}$, which satisfies the following.
(i) For each $\alpha \in O_{K}$, there exists $\alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_{i}(\bmod \beta)$.
(ii) For all $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots,|N(\beta)|\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have $\alpha_{i} \not \equiv \alpha_{j}(\bmod \beta)$.

We have seen from [6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left\{x+y i \mid x=0,1, \ldots, \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{d}-1 \text { and } y=0,1, \ldots, d-1\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $C R S(\beta)$, where $\beta=a+b i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. It is clear that

$$
C^{\prime}:=\{x+y i \mid x=0,1, \ldots, \max \{|a|,|b|\}-1 \text { and } y=0,1, \ldots, d-1\} \subseteq C .
$$

In 2017, Singthongla et al. [7] established the result of A. Cohn in $O_{K}[x]$, where $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field such that $O_{K}$ is a Euclidean domain, namely $m=-1,-2,-3,-7$, and -11 [4]. Regarding the complete residue system (1.1), they established irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[i][x]$ as the following results.
Theorem A. [7] Let $\beta \in\{2 \pm 2 i, 1 \pm 3 i, 3 \pm i\}$ or $\beta=a+b i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be such that $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{2}$ and $a \geq 1$. For a Gaussian prime $\pi$, if

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta),
$$

with $n \geq 1, \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$, and $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in C^{\prime}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i][x]$.

In the proof of Theorem A in [7], the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\beta| \geq \frac{3+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\sqrt{(\max \{a,|b|\}-1)^{2}+(d-1)^{2}}$ is necessary. It can be verified that for $\beta=a+b i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, if $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{2}$ and $a \geq 1$, then $\beta \in\{3 \pm i, 2 \pm 2 i, 2 \pm i, 1 \pm 3 i, 1 \pm 2 i, 1 \pm i, 3,2,1\}$. It is clear that the Gaussian integers $2 \pm 2 i, 1 \pm 3 i$, and $3 \pm i$ satisfy (1.2), while $2 \pm i, 1 \pm 2 i, 1 \pm i, 3,2,1$ do not. Consequently, we cannot apply Theorem A for these numbers. However, there is an irreducibility criterion for polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[i][x]$ using $\beta=3$ in [7].

Theorem B. [7] If $\pi$ is a Gaussian prime such that

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} 3^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} 3^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} 3+\alpha_{0}
$$

where $n \geq 3, \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$, and $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in C^{\prime}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) & \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right), \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) & \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right), \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) & \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then the polynomial $f(x)=\alpha_{n} x^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} x+\alpha_{0}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[i][x]$.
In 2017, Tadee et al. [8] derived three explicit representations for a complete residue system in a general quadratic field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. We are interested in the first one and only the case $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ because the complete residue system in another case, $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ is inapplicable for our work. The $C R S(\beta)$ for $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ in [8] is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=\left\{x+y \sqrt{m} \mid x=0,1, \ldots, \frac{|N(\beta)|}{d}-1 \text { and } y=0,1, \ldots, d-1\right\}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=a+b \sqrt{m}$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.
Recently, Phetnun et al. [9] constructed a complete residue system in a general quadratic field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for the case $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, which is similar to that in (1.3). They then determined the so-called base- $\beta(C)$ representation in $O_{K}$ and generalized Theorem A for any imaginary quadratic field by using such representation. These results are as the following.
Theorem C. [9] Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be a quadratic field with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. If $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ with $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, then the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left\{x+y \sigma_{m} \mid x=0,1, \ldots, \frac{|N(\beta)|}{d}-1 \text { and } y=0,1, \ldots, d-1\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\operatorname{CRS}(\beta)$.
From (1.3) and (1.4), we have shown in [9] for any $m<0$, that the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}:=\left\{x+y \sigma_{m} \mid x=0,1, \ldots, \max \{|a|,|b|\}-1 \text { and } y=0,1, \ldots, d-1\right\} \subseteq C . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d=1$, then $C^{\prime}=\{0,1, \ldots, \max \{|a|,|b|\}-1\}$, while $b=0$ implies $C^{\prime}=$ $\left\{x+y \sigma_{m}|x, y=0,1, \ldots,|a|-1\}=C\right.$.

Definition A. [9] Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field. Let $\beta \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ and $C$ be a $C R S(\beta)$. We say that $\eta \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ has a base $-\beta(C)$ representation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1, \alpha_{n} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$, and $\alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{C}(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$. If $\alpha_{i} \in C^{\prime}(i=0,1, \ldots, n-1)$, then (1.6) is called a base- $\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation of $\eta$.
Theorem D. [9] Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=$ $a+b \sqrt{m} \in O_{K}$ be such that $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m}$ and $a \geq 1+\sqrt{1-m}$. For an irreducible element $\pi$ in $O_{K}$ with $|\pi| \geq|\beta|$, if

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta)
$$

is a base $-\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.
Theorem E. [9] Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=$ $a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K}$ be such that $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}, a \geq 1$, and $a+(b / 2) \geq 1$. For an irreducible element $\pi$ in $O_{K}$ with $|\pi|>\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}(|\beta|-1)$, if

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta)
$$

is a base- $\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.

In this work, we first establish further irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $O_{K}[x]$, where $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ is an imaginary quadratic field, which extend Theorem D and Theorem E. We observe that the result for the case $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ is a generalization of Theorem B. Furthermore, we provide elements of $\beta$ that can be applied to the new criteria but not to the previous ones.

## 2. Further irreducibility criteria

In this section, we establish irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $O_{K}[x]$, where $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field. To prove this, we first recall the essential lemmas in $[7,10]$ as the following.
Lemma 1. [10] Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field. Then $|\beta| \geq 1$ for all $\beta \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$.
We note for an imaginary quadratic field $K$ that $|\alpha|=1$ for all $\alpha \in U\left(O_{K}\right)$.
Lemma 2. [7] Let $f(x)=\alpha_{n} x^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} x+\alpha_{0} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be such that $n \geq 3$ and $\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq M(0 \leq$ $i \leq n-2$ ) for some real number $M \geq 1$. If $f(x)$ satisfies the following:
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \geq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \geq 0$, and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \geq 0$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right)$,
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right)$, and
(iv) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n-1}\right) \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n-2}\right)$,
then any complex zero $\alpha$ of $f(x)$ satisfies $|\alpha|<M^{1 / 3}+0.465572$ if $|\arg \alpha| \leq \pi / 6$; otherwise

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)<\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right)
$$

We note that the inequality $|\alpha|<M^{1 / 3}+0.465572$ appears in Lemma 2 follows from the proof of the lemma in [7] as follows: It was shown in [7] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left|\frac{f(\alpha)}{\alpha^{n}}\right|>\frac{|\alpha|^{3}-|\alpha|^{2}-M}{|\alpha|^{2}(|\alpha|-1)}=: \frac{h(|\alpha|)}{|\alpha|^{2}(|\alpha|-1)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x)=x^{3}-x^{2}-M$. To obtain such inequality, the authors suppose to the contrary that $|\alpha| \geq M^{1 / 3}+$ 0.465572 . One can show that $h(x)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup(2 / 3, \infty)$. Since $M^{1 / 3}+0.465572>2 / 3$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(|\alpha|) & \geq h\left(M^{1 / 3}+0.465572\right) \\
& =0.396716 M^{2 / 3}-0.280872138448 M^{1 / 3}-0.115841163475170752 \\
& >0.396716 M^{2 / 3}-0.280873 M^{1 / 3}-0.115842 \\
& =M^{1 / 3}\left(0.396716 M^{1 / 3}-0.280873\right)-0.115842 \\
& \geq 0.000001, \text { since } M \geq 1 \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts to (2.1).
Now, we proceed to our first main results. To obtain an irreducibility criterion for the case $m \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod 4)$, we begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=a+b \sqrt{m} \in O_{K}$ be such that $a>1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\sqrt{(\max \{a,|b|\}-1)^{2}-m(d-1)^{2}}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then $M \geq 1$.
Proof. If $b=0$, then $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}-m(a-1)^{2}}=\sqrt{1-m}(a-1)>1$. Now, assume that $b \neq 0$ and we treat two separate cases.
Case 1: $|b| \geq a$. Then $M=\sqrt{(|b|-1)^{2}-m(d-1)^{2}} \geq \sqrt{(|b|-1)^{2}}=|b|-1 \geq 1$.
Case 2: $|b|<a$. Then $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}-m(d-1)^{2}} \geq \sqrt{(a-1)^{2}}=a-1 \geq 1$.
From every case, we conclude that $M \geq 1$.
By applying Lemmas $1-3$, we have the following.
Theorem 1. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=a+b \sqrt{m} \in$ $O_{K}$ be such that $|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ and $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, where $M$ is defined as in (2.2). For an irreducible element $\pi$ in $O_{K}$, if

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta)
$$

is a base $-\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $n \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$ satisfying conditions (ii)-(iv) of Lemma 2, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $f(x)$ is reducible in $O_{K}[x]$. Then $f(x)=g(x) h(x)$ with $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ in $O_{K}[x] \backslash U\left(O_{K}\right)$. We first show that either $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq 1$ and $|g(\beta)|=1$ or $\operatorname{deg} h(x) \geq 1$ and $|h(\beta)|=1$. It follows from $\operatorname{deg} f(x) \geq 3$ that $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ is a positive degree polynomial. If either $\operatorname{deg} g(x)=0$
or $\operatorname{deg} h(x)=0$, we may assume that $h(x)=\alpha \in O_{K}$. Then $\operatorname{deg} g(x)=\operatorname{deg} f(x)$ and $f(x)=\alpha g(x)$ so that $\pi=\alpha g(\beta)$. Since $\pi$ is an irreducible element and $\alpha \notin U\left(O_{K}\right)$, we obtain $g(\beta) \in U\left(O_{K}\right)$ and thus, $|g(\beta)|=1$. Otherwise, both $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{deg} h(x) \geq 1$, we have that $\pi=g(\beta) h(\beta)$. Using the irreducibility of $\pi$ again, we deduce that either $g(\beta)$ or $h(\beta)$ is a unit and hence, either $|g(\beta)|=1$ or $|h(\beta)|=1$, as desired.

We now assume without loss of generality that $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq 1$ and $|g(\beta)|=1$. We will show that this cannot happen. Note that $M \geq 1$ by Lemma 3. Moreover, since $\alpha_{i} \in C^{\prime}$ for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, where $C^{\prime}$ is defined as in (1.5), we have

$$
\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq|(\max \{a,|b|\}-1)+(d-1) \sqrt{m}|=\sqrt{(\max \{a,|b|\}-1)^{2}-m(d-1)^{2}}=M
$$

for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq 1, g(x)$ can be expressed in the form

$$
g(x)=\varepsilon \prod_{i}\left(x-\gamma_{i}\right),
$$

where $\varepsilon \in O_{K}$ is the leading coefficient of $g(x)$ and the product is over the set of complex zeros of $g(x)$. It follows from Lemma 2 that any complex zero $\gamma$ of $g(x)$ satisfies either

$$
|\gamma|<M^{1 / 3}+0.465572 \text { or } \operatorname{Re}(\gamma)<\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right)
$$

In the first case, it follows from $|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ that

$$
|\beta-\gamma| \geq|\beta|-|\gamma|>|\beta|-\left(M^{1 / 3}+0.465572\right) \geq 1
$$

In the latter case, it follows from $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$ that

$$
|\beta-\gamma| \geq \operatorname{Re}(\beta-\gamma)=\operatorname{Re}(\beta)-\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)=a-\operatorname{Re}(\gamma)>a-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right) \geq 1
$$

From both cases, by using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
1=|g(\beta)|=|\varepsilon| \prod_{i}\left|\beta-\gamma_{i}\right| \geq \prod_{i}\left|\beta-\gamma_{i}\right|>1,
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.
By taking $\beta=3$ together with $m=-1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain Theorem B. This shows that Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem B. We will show in the next section that if $\beta=a+b i \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[i] \backslash\{0\}$ with $b=0$, then $\beta=3$ is the only element that can be applied to Theorem 1 .

Next, we illustrate the use of Theorem 1 by the following example.
Example 1. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}), \beta=3+\sqrt{-5} \in O_{K}$, and $\pi=-9069-5968 \sqrt{-5}$. Then $d=1$ and so $C^{\prime}=\{0,1,2\}$. Note that $M=\sqrt{(3-1)^{2}+5(1-1)^{2}}=2,|\beta|=\sqrt{14}>M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$, $a=3>1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, and $\pi$ is an irreducible element because $N(\pi)=(-9069)^{2}+$ $5(-5968)^{2}=260331881$ is a rational prime. Now, we have

$$
\pi=(13+8 \sqrt{-5}) \beta^{5}+2 \beta^{4}+2 \beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+2 \beta+1
$$

is its base- $\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $n=5$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=13$ satisfying conditions (ii)-(iv) of Lemma 2 . By using Theorem 1, we obtain that

$$
f(x)=(13+8 \sqrt{-5}) x^{5}+2 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x+1
$$

is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.
Note from Example 1 that we cannot apply Theorem D to conclude the irreducibility of the polynomial $f(x)$ because $|\beta|=|3+\sqrt{-5}|<2+\sqrt{6}=2+\sqrt{1-m}$. Moreover, we see that $a=3<1+\sqrt{6}=1+\sqrt{1-m}$.

For the case $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, we start with the following lemma.
Lemma 4. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K}$ be such that $a+(b / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\sqrt{(\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)^{2}+(\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)(d-1)+(d-1)^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then $M \geq 1$.
Proof. If $b=0$, then $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)>1$. It follows that

$$
M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}+(a-1)^{2}+(a-1)^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}>\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}}=a-1 \geq 1 .
$$

If $a=0$, then $b / 2 \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)>1$. Thus, $b>2$ and so

$$
M=\sqrt{(b-1)^{2}+(b-1)^{2}+(b-1)^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}>\sqrt{(b-1)^{2}}=b-1>1 .
$$

Now, assume that $|a| \geq 1$ and $|b| \geq 1$. If $|a|=1$ and $|b|=1$, then $M=0$, yielding a contradiction because $a+(b / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$. Then $|a|>1$ or $|b|>1$. It follows from $d \geq 1$ that

$$
M \geq \sqrt{(2-1)^{2}+(2-1)(d-1)+(d-1)^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \geq \sqrt{(2-1)^{2}}=1
$$

By applying Lemmas 1,2 and 4 , we obtain an irreducibility criterion for the case $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ as the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in$ $O_{K}$ be such that $|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ and $a+(b / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, where $M$ is defined as in (2.3). For an irreducible element $\pi$ in $O_{K}$, if

$$
\pi=\alpha_{n} \beta^{n}+\alpha_{n-1} \beta^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{1} \beta+\alpha_{0}=: f(\beta)
$$

is a base- $\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $n \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \geq 1$ satisfying conditions (ii)-(iv) of Lemma 2, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $f(x)$ is reducible in $O_{K}[x]$. Then $f(x)=g(x) h(x)$ with $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ in $O_{K}[x] \backslash U\left(O_{K}\right)$. It can be proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 that either $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq$ 1 and $|g(\beta)|=1$ or $\operatorname{deg} h(x) \geq 1$ and $|h(\beta)|=1$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\operatorname{deg} g(x) \geq 1$ and $|g(\beta)|=1$. We will show that this cannot happen. By Lemma 4 , we have $M \geq 1$. For $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, since $\alpha_{i} \in C^{\prime}$, it follows from the definition of $C^{\prime}$ in (1.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\alpha_{i}\right| & \leq\left|(\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)+(d-1)\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left((\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)+\frac{d-1}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) \sqrt{m}\right| \\
& =\sqrt{(\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)^{2}+(\max \{|a|,|b|\}-1)(d-1)+(d-1)^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =M .
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining proof is again similar to that of Theorem 1 by using Lemmas 1,2 and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)=a+$ (b/2).

We illustrate the use of Theorem 2 by the following example.
Example 2. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \beta=4-\sigma_{-3}$, and $\pi=359-278 \sigma_{-3}$. Then $d=1$ and so $C^{\prime}=$ $\{0,1,2,3\}$. Note that $M=\sqrt{(4-1)^{2}+(4-1)(1-1)+(1-1)^{2}}=3,|\beta|=\sqrt{13}>M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$, $a+(b / 2)=3.5>1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, and $\pi$ is an irreducible element because $N(\pi)=$ $359^{2}-359 \cdot 278+(-278)^{2}=106363$ is a rational prime. Now, we have

$$
\pi=\beta^{4}+3 \beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+2 \beta+1
$$

is its base- $\beta\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ representation with $n=4$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=1$ satisfying conditions (ii)-(iv) of Lemma 2. By using Theorem 2, we obtain that

$$
f(x)=x^{4}+3 x^{3}+x^{2}+2 x+1
$$

is irreducible in $O_{K}[x]$.
From Example 2, we emphasize that we cannot apply Theorem E to conclude the irreducibility of the polynomial $f(x)$ because $|\beta|=\left|4-\sigma_{-3}\right|<2+\sqrt{3}=2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$, although $a=4>1$ and $a+(b / 2)=4-(1 / 2)>1$.

## 3. Comparison of the criteria

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field. In this section, we will try to find elements of $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ that can be applied to Theorem 1, respectively, Theorem 2 but not to Theorem D, respectively, Theorem E. We are only interested in two cases, namely $b=0$ and $b \neq 0$ with $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ because the remaining case, $b \neq 0$ with $d>1$ requires us to solve a multi-variable system of inequalities, which is more complicated. To proceed with this objective, we begin with the following remarks.

Remark 1. Let a and $m$ be integers with $m<0$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}}{2}\right)$ if and only if $a \geq 3$.
(ii) $a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \sqrt{1-m}(a-1)}}{2}\right)$ if and only if $a \geq \frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{1-m}}{4}$.
(iii) $a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}(a-1)}}{2}\right)$ if and only if $a \geq \frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}}{4}$.

Proof. For convenience, we let $A=a-1$. We have for any real number $x>0$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 x(a-1)}}{2}\right) & \text { if and only if } A \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(1+\sqrt{1+4 x A}), \\
& \text { if and only if }\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{3} A}{3}-1\right)^{2} \geq 1+4 x A, \\
& \text { if and only if } \frac{16 A^{2}}{3}-\frac{(8 \sqrt{3}+12 x) A}{3} \geq 0, \\
& \text { if and only if } A[4 A-(2 \sqrt{3}+3 x)] \geq 0, \\
& \text { if and only if } 4 A-(2 \sqrt{3}+3 x) \geq 0, \\
& \text { if and only if } A \geq \frac{2 \sqrt{3}+3 x}{4}, \\
& \text { if and only if } a \geq \frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 x}{4} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $x=1, x=\sqrt{1-m}$, and $x=\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$ in (3.1) lead to (i)-(iii), respectively, as desired.

To compare Theorem 1 with Theorem D and to compare Theorem 2 with Theorem E, we require the following remark.

Remark 2. For any real number $x$, the following statements hold.
(i) $\frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{x}}{4} \geq(x+\sqrt{x})^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[3, \infty)$.
(ii) $\sqrt{x^{2}+5} \geq(x-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[1, \infty)$.
(iii) $\sqrt{3 x+1} \geq(x-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[1, \infty)$.
(iv) $\sqrt{\frac{x^{2}}{2}+1} \geq(x-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[4, \infty)$.
(v) $x \geq(\sqrt{2}(x-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[2.85, \infty)$.
(vi) $\sqrt{x^{2}+1} \geq(x-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ for all $x \in[3, \infty)$.
(vii) $\sqrt{-73-121 x}>4+\sqrt{9-x}$ for all $x \in(-\infty,-2]$.
(viii) $\sqrt{29-9 x}>4+\sqrt{9-x}$ for all $x \in(-\infty,-3]$.

Proof of Remark 2. By using the WolframAlpha computational intelligence (www.wolframalpha.com), it can be verified by considering the graphs of both left and right functions of each inequality.

### 3.1. Comparison of the criteria for $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In this subsection, we will find elements of $\beta \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ that can be applied to Theorem 1 but not to Theorem D. Now, let $\beta=a+b \sqrt{m}$ be a nonzero element in $O_{K}$ that can be applied to Theorem 1 but not to Theorem D. Then $|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ and $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, where $M$ is defined as in (2.2). Since $\beta$ cannot be applied to Theorem D, one can consider two possible cases, namely, $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{1-m}$ or $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m}$ as follows:
Case A: $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{1-m}$. Then, we now try to find elements of $\beta$ that satisfy the following inequality system:

$$
\begin{align*}
&|\beta|<2+\sqrt{1-m} \\
&|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.2}\\
& a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We consider two cases as follows:
Case 1: $b=0$. Then $\beta=a$ and $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}-m(a-1)^{2}}=\sqrt{1-m}(a-1)$. Thus, the system (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& a<2+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.3}\\
& a \geq(\sqrt{1-m}(a-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.4}\\
& a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \sqrt{1-m}(a-1)}}{2}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.5) and Remark 1(ii), we have $a \geq(4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{1-m}) / 4$, which together with (3.3) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{1-m}}{4} \leq a<2+\sqrt{1-m} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the integers $\beta=a$ satisfying (3.6) are solutions of the system above, we must show that they also satisfy (3.4). If $m=-1$, then $a \geq(4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{2}) / 4 \approx 2.93$. It follows from Remark 2(v) with $x=a$ that $a \geq(\sqrt{2}(a-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572=(\sqrt{1-m}(a-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572$. Assume that $m \leq-2$. By taking $x=1-m$ in Remark 2(i), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{1-m}}{4} & \geq(1-m+\sqrt{1-m})^{1 / 3}+1.465572 \\
& =(\sqrt{1-m}(2+\sqrt{1-m}-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572 \\
& >(\sqrt{1-m}(a-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572, \text { by }(3.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

implying (3.4).
We note for $m=-1$ that the inequality (3.6) implies $a=3$. Hence, $\beta=3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is the only element that can be applied to Theorem 1 but not to Theorem D.
Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$. There are two further subcases:
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq a$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}$ and $M=\sqrt{(|b|-1)^{2}}=|b|-1$. Thus, the system (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & <2+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.7}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & \geq(|b|-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572 \\
a & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(|b|-1)}}{2}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|b| \geq a$, we obtain from (3.8) that $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$. Using Remark 1 (i), we have that $a \geq 3$. It follows from $|b| \geq a, a \geq 3$, and $m \leq-1$ that

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} \geq \sqrt{a^{2}-m a^{2}}=\sqrt{a^{2}(1-m)} \geq \sqrt{9(1-m)}=3 \sqrt{1-m}>2+\sqrt{1-m},
$$

which is contrary to (3.7). Thus, the system above has no integer solution $(a, b)$. This means that the assumptions in the system generate no pairs $(a, b)$ that are solutions to Theorem 1 and that are also not solutions to Theorem D.
Subcase 2.2: $|b|<a$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}$ and $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}}=a-1$. Thus, the system (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & <2+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.9}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.10}\\
a & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}}{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Remark 1(i) and (3.11), we have $a \geq 3$. Since $m \leq-1$, we obtain $(6-5 m)^{2}=25 m^{2}-60 m+36>$ $16 m^{2}-52 m+36=4(9-4 m)(1-m)$, yielding $6-5 m>2 \sqrt{(9-4 m)(1-m)}$. It follows that

$$
(\sqrt{9-4 m}-\sqrt{1-m})^{2}=10-5 m-2 \sqrt{(9-4 m)(1-m)}>4
$$

and so $\sqrt{9-4 m}-\sqrt{1-m}>2$. If $|b| \geq 2$, then $\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} \geq \sqrt{9-4 m}>2+\sqrt{1-m}$, which is contrary to (3.9). Thus, $|b|=1$. Using (3.9) and $a \geq 3$, we have $\sqrt{9-m} \leq \sqrt{a^{2}-m}<2+\sqrt{1-m}$ and so $9 \leq a^{2}<5+4 \sqrt{1-m}$, i.e., $3 \leq a<\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{1-m}}$. We next show that the pairs $(a, b)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \leq a<\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{1-m}} \text { and } b= \pm 1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

also satisfy (3.10). Since $|b|=1, a \geq 3$, and Remark 2(vi) with $x=a$, we have

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}=\sqrt{a^{2}-m} \geq \sqrt{a^{2}+1} \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

yielding (3.10). Thus, we conclude that the pairs $(a, b)$ satisfying (3.12) are solutions of the system above.
Case B: $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m}$. Since we cannot apply the element $\beta$ to Theorem D, we have $a<1+\sqrt{1-m}$. Now, we try again to find elements of $\beta$ that satisfy the following inequality system:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\beta| & \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m} \\
a & <1+\sqrt{1-m} \\
|\beta| & \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.13}\\
a & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We consider two cases as follows:
Case 1: $b=0$. Then $a<1+\sqrt{1-m}<2+\sqrt{1-m} \leq|\beta|=a$, which is a contradiction. Hence, the system (3.13) has no integer solution $\beta=a$. In other words, the assumptions in the system generate no pairs $(a, b)$ that are solutions to Theorem 1 and that are also not solutions to Theorem D.
Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$. There are two further subcases:
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq a$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}$ and $M=\sqrt{(|b|-1)^{2}}=|b|-1$. Thus, the system (3.13) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.14}\\
a & <1+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.15}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & \geq(|b|-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.16}\\
a & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(|b|-1)}}{2}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|b| \geq a$, we obtain from (3.17) that $a \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$. It follows from Remark 1(i) that $a \geq 3$. Since $d=1$, we have $|b|>a$. By using (3.15) together with $a \geq 3$, we have $3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m}$, implying $m \leq-5$. It can be verified by using (3.17) that $|b| \leq$ $\left((4 \sqrt{3}(a-1)-3)^{2}+27\right) / 36$. Now, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m} \text { and } a<|b| \leq \frac{(4 \sqrt{3}(a-1)-3)^{2}+27}{36} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the pairs $(a, b)$ satisfying (3.18) are solutions of the system, it remains to show that they also satisfy (3.14) and (3.16). Since $|b|>a \geq 3$ and $m<0$, we obtain

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}>\sqrt{a^{2}-m a^{2}}=a \sqrt{1-m} \geq 3 \sqrt{1-m}>2+\sqrt{1-m},
$$

yielding (3.14). From Remark 2(ii) with $x=|b|$, we have

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}>\sqrt{5+b^{2}} \geq(|b|-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

showing (3.16).
Subcase 2.2: $|b|<a$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}}$ and $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}}=a-1$. Thus, the system (3.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
a & <1+\sqrt{1-m}  \tag{3.20}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} & \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.21}\\
a & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}}{2}\right) . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, using Remark 1(i) and (3.22), we obtain $a \geq 3$. By using (3.20) together with $a \geq 3$, we have $3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m}$, implying $m \leq-5$. Using (3.19), we can verify that $|b| \geq$ $\sqrt{\left(5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}-a^{2}\right) /(-m)}$. Now, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m} \text { and } \sqrt{\frac{5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}-a^{2}}{-m}} \leq|b|<a . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the pairs $(a, b)$ satisfying (3.23) are solutions of the system, it remains to show that they also satisfy (3.21). It follows from $b^{2} \geq 1, m \leq-5$, and Remark 2(ii) with $x=a$ that

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-m b^{2}} \geq \sqrt{a^{2}+5} \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

yielding (3.21).
From every case, we conclude that elements of $\beta=a+b \sqrt{m} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ with $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ that can be applied to Theorem 1 but not to Theorem D are shown in the following tables.

We note from Subcase 2.2 in Table 1 that the number of $a$ roughly grows as $2 \sqrt[4]{1-m}$. To see this, since $8 \sqrt[4]{1-m}>1$, we have

$$
5+4 \sqrt{1-m}<4 \sqrt{1-m}+8 \sqrt[4]{1-m}+4=(2 \sqrt[4]{1-m}+2)^{2}
$$

and so $3 \leq a<\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{1-m}}<2 \sqrt[4]{1-m}+2$. This means that the number of such $a$ is approximately $2 \sqrt[4]{1-m}$.

Table 1. Case A: $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{1-m}$.

$$
\beta=a+b \sqrt{m}, d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \quad \text { Integer solutions }(a, b)
$$

$$
\text { Case 1: } b=0 \quad \frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{1-m}}{4} \leq a<2+\sqrt{1-m} \text { and } b=0
$$

Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq a$
none

Subcase 2.2: $|b|<a$

$$
3 \leq a<\sqrt{5+4 \sqrt{1-m}} \text { and } b= \pm 1
$$

We note from Table 2 that the complicated lower bound in Subcase 2.2 is actually very close to 1 . Indeed, we show that

$$
\sqrt{\frac{5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}-a^{2}}{-m}}<2
$$

Since $m \leq-1$, it follows that

$$
(4-3 m)^{2}-16(1-m)=\left(9 m^{2}-24 m+16\right)-16+16 m=9 m^{2}-8 m=m(9 m-8)>0,
$$

showing $(4-3 m)^{2}>16(1-m)$ and so $4-3 m>4 \sqrt{1-m}$. Using $3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m}$, we have that $-2+m-2 \sqrt{1-m}<-a^{2} \leq-9$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\frac{3+2 \sqrt{1-m}}{-m} & =\frac{(5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m})+(-2+m-2 \sqrt{1-m})}{-m} \\
& <\frac{(5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m})-a^{2}}{-m} \\
& \leq \frac{(5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m})-9}{-m} \\
& =\frac{-4-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}}{-m} \\
& <\frac{-4-m+(4-3 m)}{-m} \\
& =4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\sqrt{\left(5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}-a^{2}\right) /(-m)}<\sqrt{4}=2$, as desired.
Table 2. Case B: $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{1-m}$.

$$
\beta=a+b \sqrt{m}, d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \quad \text { Integer solutions }(a, b)
$$

Case 1: $b=0$
none

Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq a$

$$
3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m} \text { and } a<|b| \leq \frac{(4 \sqrt{3}(a-1)-3)^{2}+27}{36}
$$

Subcase 2.2: $|b|<a \quad 3 \leq a<1+\sqrt{1-m}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{5-m+4 \sqrt{1-m}-a^{2}}{-m}} \leq|b|<a$

### 3.2. Comparison of the criteria for $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In this subsection, we find elements of $\beta \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ that can be applied to Theorem 2 but not to Theorem E. Now, let $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m}$ be a nonzero element in $O_{K}$ that can be applied to Theorem 2 but not to Theorem E. Then $|\beta| \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572$ and $a+(b / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4 M}) / 2)$, where $M$ is defined as in (2.3). Since $\beta$ cannot be applied to Theorem E, one can consider two possible cases, namely, $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$ or $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$ as follows:
Case A: $|\beta|<2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$. Then we will find elements of $\beta$ that satisfy the inequality system:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\beta| & <2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}} \\
|\beta| & \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.24}\\
a+\frac{b}{2} & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We consider two cases as follows:
Case 1: $b=0$. Then $\beta=a$ and $M=\sqrt{(a-1)^{2}+(a-1)(a-1)+(a-1)^{2}(1-m) / 4}=\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}(a-$ 1). Thus, the system (3.24) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& a<2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}  \tag{3.25}\\
& a \geq\left(\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}(a-1)\right)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.26}\\
& a \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}(a-1)}}{2}\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.27) and Remark 1(iii), we have that $a \geq(4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}) / 4$, which together with (3.25) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}}{4} \leq a<2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the integers $\beta=a$ satisfying (3.28) are solutions of the system above, we must show that they also satisfy (3.26). By taking $x=(9-m) / 4$ in Remark 2(i) and using (3.25), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}}{4} & \geq\left(\frac{9-m}{4}+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}\right)^{1 / 3}+1.465572 \\
& =\left[\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}\left(2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}-1\right)\right]^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.29}\\
& >\left(\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}(a-1)\right)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.28) and (3.29) that $a>(\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}(a-1))^{1 / 3}+1.465572$, yielding (3.26).
Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$. There are two further subcases:
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq|a|$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}(1-m) / 4}$ and $M=\sqrt{(|b|-1)^{2}}=|b|-1$. Thus, the system (3.24) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & <2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}  \tag{3.30}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq(|b|-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572 \\
a+\frac{b}{2} & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(|b|-1)}}{2}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

In this subcase, we now show that the system has no integer solution $(a, b)$. If $a<0$, then it follows from (3.31) that $b>0$ and so $(b / 2)-1 \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}) / 2)$. Then $b^{2}-(11+\sqrt{3}) b+$ $(19+4 \sqrt{3}) \geq 0$, implying $b \geq 11$. It follows from $a^{2} \geq 1, a>1-(b / 2), b \geq 11$, and Remark 2(vii) with $x=m$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & >\sqrt{1+\left(1-\frac{b}{2}\right) b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{b^{2}\left(\frac{-1-m}{4}\right)+b+1} \\
& \geq \sqrt{\frac{-121-121 m+48}{4}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-73-121 m} \\
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}} \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

which is contrary to (3.30). Thus, $a \geq 0$. If $a=0$, then $|b|=1$ because $d=1$. This contradicts to (3.31), so $a \geq 1$. If $|b|=1$, then $a=1$ and so (3.31) is false. Thus, $|b| \geq 2$ and so $|b|>a$ because $d=1$. It follows from (3.31) and $|b| \geq 2$ that $a+(b / 2)>2.4$ and so $|b|+(b / 2)>2.4$. This implies that $b \geq 2$ or $b \leq-5$. If $b=2$, then we obtain that $2=|b|>a \geq(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(2-1)}) / 2)>1.4$, which is a contradiction. If $b=3$, then we obtain that $3=|b|>a \geq(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(3-1)}) / 2)-(1 / 2)>1.2$, which implies that $a=2$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & =\sqrt{2^{2}+2 \cdot 3+3^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{49-9 m}+\sqrt{49-9 m})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\frac{1}{4}(8+\sqrt{36-4 m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.30). If $b \geq 4$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq \sqrt{1+4+16\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{9-4 m}+\sqrt{9-4 m}) \\
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.30). If $b \leq-5$, then

$$
a-\frac{5}{2} \geq a+\frac{b}{2} \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(|b|-1)}}{2}\right) \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(5-1)}}{2}\right)>3.22,
$$

showing $a \geq 6$. Since $b \leq-5$ and $a \geq 6$, it follows from $-b=|b|>a$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & >\sqrt{a^{2}-b^{2}+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}-1\right)+a^{2}} \\
& \geq \sqrt{25\left(\frac{1-m}{4}-1\right)+36} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{69-25 m}+\sqrt{69-25 m}) \\
& >\frac{1}{4}(8+\sqrt{36-4 m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.30).
Thus, in this subcase, we conclude that the assumptions in the system generate no pairs $(a, b)$ that are solutions to Theorem 2 and that are also not solutions to E.
Subcase 2.2: $|b|<|a|$. Then $|\beta|=\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}(1-m) / 4}$ and $M=\sqrt{(|a|-1)^{2}}=|a|-1$. Thus, the system (3.24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}<2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq(|a|-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.34}\\
a+\frac{b}{2} & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(|a|-1)}}{2}\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

If $a<0$, then it follows from $a+(b / 2)>1$ that $b>0$. Since $|a|>|b|=b$ and (3.35), we obtain $(b / 2)-1 \geq a+(b / 2)>1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}) / 2)$, implying $b \geq 11$. Now, we have that $a^{2}>1, a>1-(b / 2)$, and $b \geq 11$. It can be proved similarly to (3.32) that

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}>2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
$$

which is contrary to (3.33). Thus, $a \geq 0$. If $a=0$ or $a=1$, then $0<|b|<|a| \leq 1$, which is impossible so that $a \geq 2$. If $b=-1$, then it follows from (3.35) that $a-(1 / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$, implying $a \geq 4$. By taking $x=a$ in Remark 2(iii), we have

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}-a+\frac{1-m}{4}}=\sqrt{a(a-1)+\frac{1-m}{4}} \geq \sqrt{3 a+1} \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

yielding (3.34). It can be verified by (3.33) with $b=-1$ that $a<(\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}+1) / 2$. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}+1}{2}, \text { when } b=-1 \text {. } \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=1$, then it follows from (3.35) that $a+(1 / 2) \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$, implying $a \geq 2$. By taking $x=a$ in Remark 2(iii), we have that

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}+a+\frac{1-m}{4}}=\sqrt{a(a+1)+\frac{1-m}{4}} \geq \sqrt{3 a+1} \geq(a-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

yielding (3.34). It can be verified by (3.33) with $b=1$ that $a<(\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}-1) / 2$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}-1}{2}, \text { when } b=1 \text {. } \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next show for $b \geq 2$ or $b \leq-2$ that the system above has no integer solution $(a, b)$. If $b \geq 2$, then $a=|a|>|b|=b \geq 2$ and so $a \geq 3$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq \sqrt{3^{2}+3 \cdot 2+2^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{16-m}+\sqrt{16-m})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.33). If $b=-2$, then we obtain from (3.35) that $a-1 \geq 1+$ $(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$, implying $a \geq 4$. Since $d=1$ and $b=-2$, we have that $a \geq 5$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}-2 a+1-m} & =\sqrt{a(a-2)+1-m} \\
& \geq \sqrt{5(3)+1-m} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{16-m}+\sqrt{16-m}) \\
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.33). If $b \leq-3$, then we have $a-(3 / 2) \geq a+(b / 2) \geq 1+$ $(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(a-1)}) / 2)$. This implies that $a \geq 5$. Since $a>|b|=-b$, we obtain that $-b \leq a-1$ and so $a b \geq-a^{2}+a$. It follows from $b \leq-3, a \geq 5, a b \geq-a^{2}+a$, and Remark 2(viii) with $x=m$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq \sqrt{a^{2}-a^{2}+a+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& \geq \sqrt{9\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)+5} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{29-9 m} \\
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is contrary to (3.33).
Thus, in this subcase, we obtain that the pairs $(a, b)$ with $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$ satisfying (3.36) or (3.37) are integer solutions of the system (3.24).
Case B: $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{(9-m) / 4}$. Since $a+(b / 2)>1$ and we cannot apply $\beta$ to Theorem E, it follows that $a<1$. Thus, we have to find elements of $\beta$ that satisfy the following inequality system:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\beta| & \geq 2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}, a<1 \\
|\beta| & \geq M^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.38}\\
a+\frac{b}{2} & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 M}}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $M \geq 1$ by Lemma 4. Then $b / 2 \geq 1+(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)>2.4$ and so $b \geq 5$. If $b<|a|$, then $a \leq-6$ and so $a+(b / 2)<a+b<a+|a|=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $b \geq|a|=-a$ and so $M=\sqrt{(b-1)^{2}}=b-1$. Hence, the system (3.38) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq 2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}, a<1  \tag{3.39}\\
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & \geq(b-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572  \tag{3.40}\\
a+\frac{b}{2} & \geq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}}{2}\right) \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $b \geq 5$ and $d=1$, we have $a \leq-1$. It follows by (3.41) that ( $b / 2$ ) $-1 \geq 1+$ $(\sqrt{3} / 2)((1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}) / 2)$, implying $b \geq 11$. Note that $b \geq-a, b \geq 11$, and $d=1$ imply $b>-a$. That is, $-b<a \leq-1$. Now, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \geq 11 \text { and } 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}}{2}\right)-\frac{b}{2} \leq a \leq-1 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that the pairs ( $a, b$ ) satisfying (3.42) are solutions of the system, it remains to show that they also satisfy (3.39) and (3.40). Since $a^{2} \geq 1, a>1-(b / 2)$, and $b \geq 11$, we obtain by Remark 2(vii) with $x=m$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} & >\sqrt{1+\left(1-\frac{b}{2}\right) b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{b^{2}\left(\frac{-1-m}{4}\right)+b+1} \\
& \geq \sqrt{121\left(\frac{-1-m}{4}\right)+12} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-73-121 m} \\
& >\frac{1}{2}(4+\sqrt{9-m}) \\
& =2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

showing (3.39). It follows from $a^{2} \geq 1, a>1-(b / 2), m \leq-3$, and Remark 2(iv) with $x=b$ that

$$
\sqrt{a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\left(\frac{1-m}{4}\right)}>\sqrt{1+\left(1-\frac{b}{2}\right) b+b^{2}}>\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{2}+1} \geq(b-1)^{1 / 3}+1.465572
$$

yielding (3.40), as desired.
From every case, we conclude that elements of $\beta=a+b \sigma_{m} \in O_{K} \backslash\{0\}$ with $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ that can be applied to Theorem 2 but not to Theorem E are shown in the following tables.

We note from Subcase 2.2 in Table 3 that when $b=-1$, the number of $a$ roughly grows as $\sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}$. Otherwise, $b=1$ implies that the number of $a$ roughly grows as $\sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+1$. To see these, one can see that

$$
8 \sqrt{9-m}+25<8 \sqrt{9-m}+20 \sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+25=(2 \sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+5)^{2}
$$

and so $\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}<2 \sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+5$. If $b=-1$, then

$$
4 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}+1}{2}<\frac{2 \sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+6}{2}=\sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+3
$$

showing that the number of such $a$ is approximately $\sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}$. If $b=1$, we obtain

$$
2 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}-1}{2}<\frac{2 \sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+4}{2}=\sqrt[4]{4(9-m)}+2
$$

showing that the number of such $a$ is approximately $\sqrt[4]{(9-m)}+1$.
Table 3. Case $\mathrm{A}:|\beta|<2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}$.
$\beta=a+b \sigma_{m}, d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \quad$ Integer solutions $(a, b)$

Case 1: $b=0 \quad \frac{4+2 \sqrt{3}+3 \sqrt{(9-m) / 4}}{4} \leq a<2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}$ and $b=0$

Case 2: $b \neq 0$ and $d=1$
Subcase 2.1: $|b| \geq|a|$ none

Subcase 2.2: $|b|<|a|$

$$
4 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}+1}{2}, \text { when } b=-1,
$$

$$
2 \leq a<\frac{\sqrt{8 \sqrt{9-m}+25}-1}{2}, \text { when } b=1
$$

From Table 4 , one can verify that if $b \geq|a|$ and $d=1$, then $b \geq 11$ and

$$
4.2-\frac{b}{2} \approx 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(11-1)}}{2}\right)-\frac{b}{2} \leq 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}}{2}\right)-\frac{b}{2} \leq a \leq-1 .
$$

This implies that the number of possible values of $a$ is at most $\lfloor(b / 2)-4.2\rfloor$, the greatest integer less than or equal to $(b / 2)-4.2$.

Table 4. Case B: $|\beta| \geq 2+\sqrt{\frac{9-m}{4}}$.

$$
\beta=a+b \sigma_{m}, d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \quad \text { Integer solutions }(a, b)
$$

$$
b<|a| \quad \text { none }
$$

$b \geq|a|$ and $d=1$

$$
b \geq 11 \text { and } 1+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4(b-1)}}{2}\right)-\frac{b}{2} \leq a \leq-1
$$

## 4. Conclusions

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $O_{K}$ its ring of integers. In this paper, further irreducibility criteria for polynomials in $O_{K}[x]$ are established which extend the authors' earlier works (Theorems D and E). Moreover, elements of $\beta \in O_{K}$ that can be applied to the new criteria but not to the previous ones are also provided.
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