

AIMS Mathematics, 7(10): 18553–18564. DOI:10.3934/math.20221019 Received: 30 June 2022 Revised: 09 August 2022 Accepted: 14 August 2022 Published: 18 August 2022

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

# **Research article**

# On *n*-ary ring congruences of *n*-ary semirings

# Pakorn Palakawong na Ayutthaya and Bundit Pibaljommee\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

\* Correspondence: Email: banpib@kku.ac.th.

**Abstract:** In universal algebra, it is well-known that if *S* is an algebraic structure, then the kind of algebraic structure of  $S/\rho$  is similar to *S* where  $\rho$  is a congruence relation on *S*. In this work, we study the notion of a full *k*-ideal *A* of an *n*-ary semiring *S* and construct a congruence relation  $\rho$  on *S* with respect to the full *k*-ideal *A* in order to make the quotient *n*-ary semiring  $S/\rho$  to be an *n*-ary ring. Moreover, the notion of an *h*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring was studied and connections between an *h*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring were investigated.

**Keywords:** *n*-ary ring; *n*-ary semiring; ring congruence; *k*-ideal; *h*-ideal **Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06F25, 16Y60

## 1. Introduction

A semiring which is a common generalization of rings and distributive lattices was introduced first by Vandiver [26] in 1934. This algebraic structure appears in a natural manner in some applications to the theory of automata, formal languages, optimization theory and other branches of applied mathematics (for example, see [4, 5, 11–14, 18]). In algebraic structure point of view, we are able to study the concept of an *n*-ary semiring as a generalization of a semiring because a semiring is a special kind of an *n*-ary semiring where n = 2 and so every results on an *n*-ary semiring is also true on a semiring but not conversely.

In modern algebra, it is well-known that the kernel of a ring homomorphism is an ideal [2] and also true for a semiring homomorphism. Conversely, each ideal of a ring can be considered as the kernel of a ring homomorphism. Notwithstanding, this condition is not generally true in case of an ideal of a semiring [1]. However, this condition can be true if we replace the ideal by a special ideal which is called a k-ideal defined by Henriksen [15]. A more restrict class of ideals of a semiring which is called an h-ideal was introduced by Iizuka [16].

To generalize the algebraic system of an algebra from a binary operation to an n-ary operation, Dörnte [8] first defined the notion of an n-ary group in 1928. Later, Timm [25] studied an n-ary group

with commutative property. As a generalization of a semigroup and an *n*-ary group, the notion of an *n*-ary semigroup was introduced by Siosson [22, 23]. Some properties of idempotent elements of an *n*-ary semigroup were studied by Dudek [10]. The concepts of homomorphism, quotient structures, and some ideal theoretic were studied by Crombez and Timm [6, 7]. Later, in 1981, Dudek [9] studied the divisibility property of an (m, n)-ring. As a generalization of a semiring and an (m, n)-ring, Alam, Rao and Davvaz [3, 19] introduced the notion of an (m, n)-semiring.

In 1992, Sen and Adhikari [20] studied the notion of a full *k*-ideal which is a *k*-ideal containing the set of all additively idempotent elements of a semiring and use it to construct a congruence relation in order to make the quotient semiring to be a ring. More results of full *k*-ideals of a semiring were also investigated by Sen and Maity [21] in 2021. As a similar way of Sen and Adhikari [20], Sunitha, Nagi Reddy, and Shobhalatha [24] studied full *k*-ideals of ternary semirings.

It is well-known that if we have a congruence relation  $\rho$  on an *n*-ary semiring *S*, then we can immediately obtain that  $S/\rho$  is also an *n*-ary semiring. It is interesting that what is the kind of a congruence relation  $\rho$  affecting  $S/\rho$  to be an *n*-ary ring. In this work, we study the notions of *k*-ideals and *h*-ideals of *n*-ary semirings and also investigate their connections. Finally, we use a full *k*-ideal to construct a congruence relation in order to make the quotient *n*-ary semiring to be an *n*-ary ring.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers and  $i, j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An algebra  $\langle S; f \rangle$  consisting of a nonempty set S together with an n-ary operation  $f: S^n \to S$  is called an n-ary groupoid [8]. For  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , the sequence  $y_i, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, \ldots, y_j$  of elements of S is denoted by  $y_i^j$ . If j < i, then we denote it to be the empty symbol. If  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_{i-1} = x$  where  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x \in S$ , we write  $\stackrel{(i-1)}{x}$  instead of  $x_1^{i-1}$ . So, the term

$$f(\underbrace{x, x, \ldots, x}_{i-1 \text{ terms}}, y_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j, z_{j+1}, z_{j+2}, \ldots, z_n)$$

where  $z_{j+1}, z_{j+2}, \ldots, z_n \in S$  can be simply represented by

$$f(x^{(i-1)}, y_i^j, z_{j+1}^n).$$

Similarly, for  $1 \le i < j \le n$ , we also denote the sequence  $A_i, A_{i+1}, A_{i+2}, \ldots, A_j$  of nonempty subsets of *S* by  $A_i^j$ . If  $A_1 = A_2 = \cdots = A_k = A$ , where  $1 < k \le n$  and  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ , *A* are nonempty subsets of *S*, then we write  $A^{(k)}$  instead of  $A_1^k$ .

Let  $x_1^{2n-1} \in S$ . The associative law [10] for the *n*-ary operation *f* on *S* is defined by for all  $1 \le i < j \le n$ ,

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(x_1^{j-1}, f(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1}).$$

If this law holds for all elements  $x_1^{2n-1} \in S$ , an *n*-ary groupoid  $\langle S; f \rangle$  is called an *n*-ary semigroup.

An *n*-ary semiring is an algebra (S; +, f) type (2, n) for which (S; +) is a semigroup, (S; f) is an *n*-ary semigroup and for all  $x_1^n, a, b \in S, 1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, a+b, x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}^n) + f(x_1^{i-1}, b, x_{i+1}^n).$$

Indeed, an *n*-ary semiring is a (2, n)-semiring [3]. An *n*-ary semiring  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$  is said to be additively commutative if a + b = b + a for all  $a, b \in S$ .

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 10, 18553-18564.

In this work, we simply write S instead of an additively commutative *n*-ary semiring  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$ . For any nonempty subsets A, B,  $A_1^n$  of an *n*-ary semiring S, we denote

$$A + B = \{a + b \in S \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and

$$f(A_1^n) = \{ f(a_1^n) \in S \mid a_i \in A_i, 1 \le i \le n \}.$$

A nonempty subset *T* of an *n*-ary semiring *S* is called a subalgebra of *S* if  $T + T \subseteq T$  and  $f(\overset{(n)}{T}) \subseteq T$ . **Definition 1.** [3] Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A nonempty subset *A* of an *n*-ary semiring *S* is called an *i*-ideal of *S* if  $A + A \subseteq A$  and  $f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A$ . If *A* is an *i*-ideal of *S* for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then *A* is called an ideal of *S*.

An element *a* of an *n*-ary semiring *S* is called additively regular if a = a + b + a for some  $b \in S$ . If in addition, the element *b* is unique and satisfies b = b + a + b, then *b* is called the additively inverse of *a* in *S* and will be denoted by the notation *a'*. Particularly, if every element of *S* is additively regular, then *S* is called an additively regular *n*-ary semiring. Furthermore, if every additively regular element of *S* has the unique additively inverse, then *S* is called an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring.

Let S be an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring. It is obvious that x = (x')' and (x + y)' = x' + y' for all  $x, y \in S$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let S be an additively inverse n-ary semiring. Then for any  $x_1^n \in S$ ,  $(f(x_1^n))' = f(x_1^{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}^n)$ , for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1^n \in S$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ . Since

$$f(x_1^n) + f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}^n) + f(x_1^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i + x_i' + x_i, x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^n)$$

and

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}^n) + f(x_1^n) + f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i' + x_i + x_i', x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}^n),$$

we obtain that

$$(f(x_1^n))' = f(x_1^{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}^n).$$

An element x of an *n*-ary semiring S is called additively idempotent if x + x = x. We define the set of all additively idempotent elements of S by  $E^+ = \{x \in S \mid x + x = x\}$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $E^+$  is an ideal of S.

A partially ordered set  $(L, \prec)$  is said to be a lattice if every pair of elements *a*, *b* of *L* has both greatest lower bound and least upper bound. If every subset *A* of a lattice *L* has both greatest lower bound and least upper bound, then *L* is called a complete lattice. It is not difficult to show that a partially ordered set  $(L, \prec)$  has the greatest element and every subset of *L* has the greatest lower bound if and only if *L* is a complete lattice.

A lattice *L* is called modular [17] if *L* satisfies the following law; for all  $a, b \in L$ ,  $a \leq b$  implies  $a \lor (x \land b) = (a \lor x) \land b$ , for every  $x \in L$ , where  $x \lor y$  and  $x \land y$  is the least upper bound and the greatest lower bound of  $x, y \in L$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.** [17] A lattice *L* is modular if and only if for any  $a, b, c \in L$ ,  $a \land b = a \land c$ ,  $a \lor b = a \lor c$ , and  $b \le c$  implies b = c.

#### **3.** *k*-ideals and *h*-ideals of *n*-ary semirings

In this section, we introduce the notions of *k*-ideals and *h*-ideals of *n*-ary semirings and study some of their properties.

**Definition 2.** A nonempty subset A of an n-ary semiring S is called a k-ideal of S if  $A + A \subseteq A$ ,  $f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and the following condition is satisfied: for any  $x \in S$ , x + a = b for some  $a, b \in A$  implies  $x \in A$ . If A is a k-ideal of S and  $E^+ \subseteq A$ , then A is said to be a full k-ideal.

According to Definition 2, it is clear that every *k*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring is an ideal. However, the converse is not generally true as the following example shows.

**Example 1.** Define an *n*-ary operation f on  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $f(a_1^n) = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cdots a_n$  for any  $a_1^n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \max, f \rangle$  is an *n*-ary semiring. We have that  $2\mathbb{N}$  is an ideal of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \max, f \rangle$  but not a *k*-ideal because  $\max\{1, 2\} = 2$  but  $1 \notin 2\mathbb{N}$ .

The following example is an example of a *k*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring which is not a full *k*-ideal.

**Example 2.** Define an *n*-ary operation f on  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $f(a_1^n) = \min\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n\}$  for any  $a_1^n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \max, f \rangle$  is an *n*-ary semiring and  $E^+ = \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to obtain that the set  $\mathbb{I}_m = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\}$  is a *k*-ideal of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \max, f \rangle$  but not a full *k*-ideal because  $E^+ \not\subseteq \mathbb{I}_m$ .

The following example is an example of a *k*-ideal of a finite *n*-ary semiring which is not a full *k*-ideal.

**Example 3.** Let  $S = \{a, b\}$ . Then  $\langle P(S); \cup, f \rangle$  is an *n*-ary semiring where P(S) is the power set of *S* and *f* is the *n*-ary operation on P(S) defined by  $f(A_1^n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  for any  $A_i \in P(S)$ . It is easy to show that  $\{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$  is a *k*-ideal of  $\langle P(S); \cup, f \rangle$  but not full because  $E^+ = P(S) \notin \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ .

We give an example of a proper full *k*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring as follows.

**Example 4.** Consider the *n*-ary semiring  $\langle \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; +, f \rangle$  where + is the usual addition and *f* is the *n*-ary operation defined in Example 1. We have that the set of all additively idempotent elements of  $\langle \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; +, f \rangle$  is  $\{0\}$  and  $2\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is a full *k*-ideal.

**Remark 1.** Let  $\{A\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of full *k*-ideals of an *n*-ary semiring *S*. Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is a full *k*-ideal as well if it is not empty.

**Remark 2.** Every *k*-ideal of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring *S* is an additively inverse subalgebra of *S*.

*Proof.* Let *K* be a *k*-ideal of *S*. Clearly, *K* is a subalgebra of *S*. Let  $a \in K$ . Then  $(a + a') + a = a \in K$  and so  $a + a' \in K$ . This implies that  $a' \in K$ . Hence, *K* is additively inverse.

The k-closure of a nonempty subset A of an n-ary semiring S is defined by

$$[A]_k = \{x \in S \mid x + a = b \text{ for some } a, b \in A\}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

It is easy to prove that for any  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ ,  $A \subseteq [A]_k$  if  $A + A \subseteq A$ . Furthermore, if A is closed under the addition, then  $[A]_k$  is also closed. Now, we give some necessary properties of k-closure of nonempty subsets of an *n*-ary semiring as follows.

**Lemma 3.** Let A, B, and  $A_1^n$  be nonempty subsets of an n-ary semiring S. Then the following statements hold:

(1) if  $A + A \subseteq A$ , then  $[A]_k = [[A]_k]_k$ ;

(2) if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $[A]_k \subseteq [B]_k$ ;

(3)  $[A]_k + [B]_k \subseteq [A + B]_k;$ 

(4) if  $A_1^n$  are closed under the addition, then  $f(A_i^{i-1}, [A_i]_k, A_{i+1}^n) \subseteq [f(A_1^n)]_k$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$  be such that  $A + A \subseteq A$ . Obviously,  $[A]_k \subseteq [[A]_k]_k$ . If  $x \in [[A]_k]_k$ , then x + y = z for some  $y, z \in [A]_k$  such that  $y + a_1 = b_1$  and  $z + a_2 = b_2$  for some  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$ . Then

$$x + y + a_1 + a_2 = z + a_1 + a_2 = z + a_2 + a_1 = b_2 + a_1.$$
(3.1)

We have  $y + a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + a_2 \in A + A \subseteq A$  and  $b_2 + a_1 \in A + A \subseteq A$ . Using (3.1), we get  $x \in [A]_k$  and so  $[[A]_k]_k \subseteq [A]_k$ .

(2)–(4) are straightforward.

**Lemma 4.** If A is an ideal of an n-ary semiring S, then  $[A]_k$  is a k-ideal of S.

*Proof.* Let *A* be an ideal of *S*. It is clear that  $[A]_k$  is closed under the addition. Using *A* being an ideal of *S* and Lemma 3(2) and (4), we obtain that  $f(S, [A]_k, S) \subseteq [f(S, A, S)]_k \subseteq [A]_k$ . If  $x \in S$  is such that x + a = b for some  $a, b \in [A]_k$ , then by Lemma 3(1), we get  $x \in [[A]_k]_k = [A]_k$ . Therefore,  $[A]_k$  is a *k*-ideal of *S*.

The following corollary is directly obtained by Lemma 4.

**Corollary 1.** Let S be an n-ary semiring. The following statements hold:

- (1) an ideal A of S is a k-ideal if and only if  $A = [A]_k$ ;
- (2)  $[E^+]_k$  is a full k-ideal of S.

**Lemma 5.** Let A and B be two full k-ideals of an additively inverse n-ary semiring S. Then  $[A + B]_k$  is a full k-ideal of S such that  $A \subseteq [A + B]_k$  and  $B \subseteq [A + B]_k$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, A + B is closed under the addition. It holds that

$$f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A + B, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) + f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, B, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A + B$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Now, A + B is an ideal of *S*. Using Lemma 4, we immediately get that  $[A + B]_k$  is a *k*-ideal. Since  $E^+ \subseteq A$  and  $E^+ \subseteq B$ ,  $E^+ = E^+ + E^+ \subseteq A + B \subseteq [A + B]_k$ . Hence,  $[A + B]_k$  is a full *k*-ideal of *S*.

Let  $a \in A$ . Then

$$a = a + a' + a = a + (a' + a) \in A + E^+ \subseteq A + B \subseteq [A + B]_k.$$

Hence,  $A \subseteq [A + B]_k$ . Similarly, we are able to get that  $B \subseteq [A + B]_k$ .

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 10, 18553–18564.

**Theorem 1.** Let K(S) be the set of all full k-ideals of an additively inverse n-ary semiring S. Then K(S) is a complete lattice which is also modular.

*Proof.* We have that K(S) is a partially ordered set with respect to usual set inclusion. Let  $A, B \in K(S)$ . By Remark 1 and Lemma 5, we obtain that  $A \cap B \in K(S)$  and  $[A + B]_k \in K(S)$ , respectively. Define  $A \wedge B = A \cap B$  and  $A \vee B = [A + B]_k$ . Obviously,  $A \cap B$  is the greatest lower bound of A and B. Let  $C \in K(S)$  such that  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . Then  $A + B \subseteq C + C \subseteq C$ . By Remark 3(2) and Corollary 1(1), we get  $[A + B]_k \subseteq [C]_k = C$ . Hence,  $[A + B]_k$  is the least upper bound of A and B. Now, K(S) is a lattice. Clearly, S is the greatest element of K(S). Let  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements in K(S). By Remark 1, we get that  $\bigcap \{C_i\}_{i \in I} \in K(S)$ . These imply that K(S) is a complete lattice.

Finally, let  $A, B, C \in K(S)$  such that

$$A \wedge B = A \wedge C$$
 and  $A \vee B = A \vee C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ .

Let  $x \in C$ . Then  $x \in C \subseteq A \lor C = A \lor B = [A + B]_k$ . It follows that there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  such that  $x + a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2$ . Then

$$x + a_1 + a'_1 + b_1 = x + a_1 + b_1 + a'_1 = a_2 + b_2 + a'_1 = a_2 + a'_1 + b_2.$$
(3.2)

Now,  $x \in C$ ,  $a_1 + a'_1 \in E^+ \subseteq C$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B \subseteq C$ . Using (3.2),  $a_2 + a'_1 \in [C]_k = C$ . At this point,  $a_1 + a'_1, a_2 + a'_1 \in A \cap C = A \wedge C = A \wedge B = A \cap B \subseteq B$ . It follows that  $a_1 + a'_1 + b_1 \in B$  and  $a_2 + a'_1 + b_2 \in B$ . Using (3.2) again, we obtain that  $x \in [B]_k = B$  and so  $C \subseteq B$ . Hence, B = C. By Lemma 2, K(S) is a modular lattice.

Now, we introduce a more restricted class of k-ideals of an n-ary semiring as follows.

**Definition 3.** A nonempty subset A of an n-ary semiring S is called an h-ideal of S if  $A + A \subseteq A$ ,  $f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and the following condition is satisfied: for any  $x \in S$ , x + a + s = b + s for some  $a, b \in A$  and  $s \in S$  implies  $x \in A$ .

It is unnecessary to define a full *h*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring because every *h*-ideal is immediately full, i.e., if *A* is an *h*-ideal of *S* and  $x \in E^+$ , then for any  $a \in A$ , x + a + x = a + x implies  $x \in A$ .

It is obvious that every *h*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring is a *k*-ideal. In general, the converse is not true as it is shown by the following example.

## **Example 5.** Let $S = \{a, b, c\}$ .

Define an *n*-ary operation f on the power set P(S) of S by  $f(A_1^n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$  for any  $A_1^n \in P(S)$ . Then  $\langle P(S); \cup, f \rangle$  is an *n*-ary semiring. We have that  $T = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  is a *k*-ideal of  $\langle P(S); \cup, f \rangle$ . However, T is not an *h*-ideal because  $\{c\} \cup \{a, b\} \cup \{a, c\} = S = \{b\} \cup \{a, c\}$  where  $\{a, b\}, \{b\} \in T$  but  $\{c\} \notin T$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $\{A\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of *h*-ideals of an *n*-ary semiring *S*. Then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is an *h*-ideal as well if it is not empty.

**Remark 4.** Every *h*-ideal of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring *S* is an additively inverse subalgebra of *S*.

*Proof.* Let *H* be an *h*-ideal of *S*. Clearly, *H* is a subalgebra of *S*. Let  $a \in H$ . Then (a+a')+a+s=a+sfor all  $s \in S$ . So,  $a + a' \in H$ . This means that a' + a = b for some  $b \in H$  and thus a' + a + t = b + t for any  $t \in S$ . This implies that  $a' \in H$ . Hence, H is additively inverse. 

The *h*-closure of a nonempty subset A of an *n*-ary semiring S is defined by

$$[A]_h = \{x \in S \mid x + a + s = b + s \text{ for some } a, b \in A \text{ and } s \in S\}.$$

It is obvious that  $[A]_k \subseteq [A]_h$  for any  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ . Moreover, it is not difficult to verify that for any  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$ ,  $A \subseteq [A]_h$  if  $A + A \subseteq A$ . Furthermore, if A is closed under the addition, then  $[A]_h$  is also closed. Now, we give some necessary properties of h-closure of nonempty subsets on an n-ary semiring as follows.

**Lemma 6.** Let A, B and  $A_1^n$  be nonempty subsets of an n-ary semiring S. Then the following statements hold:

(1) if  $A + A \subseteq A$ , then  $[A]_h = [[A]_h]_h$ ;

(2) if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $[A]_h \subseteq [B]_h$ ;

$$(3) \ [A]_h + [B]_h \subseteq [A+B]_h;$$

(4) if  $A_1^n$  are closed under the addition, then  $f(A_i^{i-1}, [A_i]_h, A_{i+1}^n) \subseteq [f(A_1^n)]_h$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S$  be such that  $A + A \subseteq A$ . Obviously,  $[A]_h \subseteq [[A]_h]_h$ . If  $x \in [[A]_h]_h$ , then x + y + s = z + s for some  $y, z \in [A]_h$  and  $s \in S$  where  $y + a_1 + u = b_1 + u$  and  $z + a_2 + v = b_2 + v$  for some  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$  and  $u, v \in S$ . Then

$$x + y + s + a_{1} + u + a_{2} + v = x + (y + a_{1} + u) + a_{2} + s + v$$
  

$$= x + b_{1} + u + a_{2} + s + v$$
  

$$= x + b_{1} + a_{2} + u + s + v$$
  

$$x + y + s + a_{1} + u + a_{2} + v = z + s + a_{1} + u + a_{2} + v$$
  

$$= a_{1} + (z + a_{2} + v) + s + u$$
  

$$= a_{1} + b_{2} + v + s + u.$$
  
(3.4)

Using (3.3) and (3.4), we get that  $x + (b_1 + a_2) + u + s + v = (a_1 + b_2) + u + s + v$  where  $b_1 + a_2, a_1 + b_2 \in a_1 + b_2$  $A + A \subseteq A$  and  $u + s + v \in S$  implies  $x \in [A]_h$  and so  $[[A]_h]_h \subseteq [A]_h$ . 

(2)–(4) are straightforward.

**Lemma 7.** If A is an ideal of an n-ary semiring S, then  $[A]_h$  is an h-ideal of S.

*Proof.* Let A be an ideal of S. Clearly,  $[A]_h$  is closed under the addition. Using A being an ideal of S and Lemma 6(2) and (4), we obtain that  $f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, [A]_h, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq [f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S})]_h \subseteq [A]_h$ . If  $x \in S$  is such that x + a + s = b + s for some  $a, b \in [A]_h$  and  $s \in S$ , then by Lemma 6(1), we get  $x \in [[A]_h]_h = [A]_h$ . Therefore,  $[A]_h$  is an *h*-ideal of *S*. П

The following corollary is directly obtained by Lemma 7.

**Corollary 2.** Let S be an n-ary semiring. The following statements hold:

(1) an ideal A of S is an h-ideal if and only if  $A = [A]_h$ ;

AIMS Mathematics

(2)  $[E^+]_h$  is an h-ideal of S.

**Lemma 8.** Let A and B be two h-ideals of an additively inverse n-ary semiring S. Then  $[A + B]_h$  is an h-ideal of S such that  $A \subseteq [A + B]_h$  and  $B \subseteq [A + B]_h$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A + B, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) + f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, B, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A + B$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and A + B is closed under the addition, we get A + B is an ideal of S. Using Lemma 7, we obtain that  $[A + B]_h$  is an h-ideal. Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $a = a + a' + a = a + (a' + a) \in A + E^+ \subseteq A + B \subseteq [A + B]_h$ . Hence,  $A \subseteq [A + B]_h$ . Similarly, we are able to get that  $B \subseteq [A + B]_h$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let H(S) be the set of all h-ideals of an additively inverse n-ary semiring S. Then H(S) is a complete lattice which is also modular.

*Proof.* We have that H(S) is a partially ordered set with respect to the usual set inclusion. Let  $A, B \in H(S)$ . By Remark 3 and Lemma 8, we obtain that  $A \cap B \in H(S)$  and  $[A + B]_h \in H(S)$ , respectively. Define  $A \wedge B = A \cap B$  and  $A \vee B = [A + B]_h$ . Obviously,  $A \cap B$  is the greatest lower bound of A and B. Let  $C \in H(S)$  such that  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ . Then  $A + B \subseteq C + C \subseteq C$ . By Remark 6(2) and Corollary 2(1), we get  $[A + B]_h \subseteq [C]_h = C$ . Hence,  $[A + B]_h$  is the least upper bound of A and B. Now, H(S) is a lattice. Clearly, S is the greatest element of H(S). Let  $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of elements of H(S). By Remark 3, we obtain that  $\bigcap \{C_i\} \in H(S)$ . These imply that H(S) is a complete lattice.

Finally, let  $A, B, C \in H(S)$  such that

$$A \wedge B = A \wedge C$$
 and  $A \vee B = A \vee C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ .

Let  $x \in C$ . Then  $x \in C \subseteq A \lor C = A \lor B = [A + B]_h$ . It follows that there exist  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and  $s \in S$  such that  $x + a_1 + b_1 + s = a_2 + b_2 + s$ . Then

$$x + a_1 + a'_1 + b_1 + s = x + a_1 + b_1 + s + a'_1$$
  
=  $a_2 + b_2 + s + a'_1$   
=  $a_2 + a'_1 + b_2 + s.$  (3.5)

Since,  $x \in C$ ,  $a_1 + a'_1 \in E^+ \subseteq C$  and  $b_1 \in B \subseteq C$ , we have  $x + a_1 + a'_1 + b_1 \in C$ . Using (3.5) and  $b_2 \in B \subseteq C$ , we get  $a_2 + a'_1 \in [C]_h = C$ . At this point,  $a_1 + a'_1, a_2 + a'_1 \in A \cap C = A \wedge C = A \wedge B = A \cap B \subseteq B$ . It follows that  $a_1 + a'_1 + b_1 \in B$  and  $a_2 + a'_1 + b_2 \in B$ . Using (3.5) again, we obtain that  $x \in [B]_h = B$  and so  $C \subseteq B$ . Hence, B = C. By Lemma 2, H(S) is a modular lattice.

### 4. *n*-ary ring congruences

In this section, we characterize an *n*-ary ring congruence with respect to a full *k*-ideal of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring.

**Definition 4.** A binary relation  $\rho$  on an n-ary semigroup  $\langle S; f \rangle$  is said to be a congruence if  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation and satisfies the following property; for any  $a_1^n, b_1^n \in S$ ,

$$(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \in \rho \text{ implies } (f(a_1^n), f(b_1^n)) \in \rho.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 10, 18553-18564.

**Lemma 9.** An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on an n-ary semigroup  $\langle S; f \rangle$  is a congruence if and only if for any  $a, b, x_1^n \in S$ ,

$$(a,b) \in \rho \text{ implies } (f(x_1^{i-1},a,x_{i+1}^n),f(x_1^{i-1},b,x_{i+1}^n)) \in \rho$$

for each  $1 \le i \le n$ .

An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on an *n*-ary semiring  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$  is a congruence if  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $\langle S; + \rangle$  and  $\langle S; f \rangle$ .

**Definition 5.** An *n*-ary semiring  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$  is called an *n*-ary ring if  $\langle S; + \rangle$  is a group. In other words, the following conditions are satisfied;

(1) there exists  $0 \in S$  such that x + 0 = x = 0 + x for all  $x \in S$ ;

(2) for each  $x \in S$ , there is  $y \in S$  such that x + y = 0 = y + x.

If  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$  is an n-ary ring, then the element y in the condition 2 is usually denoted by -x.

**Definition 6.** A congruence  $\rho$  on an n-ary semiring S is called an n-ary ring congruence if the quotient *n*-ary semiring  $S/\rho := \{[a]_{\rho} \mid a \in S\}$  is an n-ary ring.

**Theorem 3.** Let A be a full k-ideal of an additively inverse n-ary semiring S. Then the relation  $\rho_A = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a + b' \in A\}$  is an n-ary ring congruence such that  $-[a]_{\rho_A} = [a']_{\rho_A}$ .

*Proof.* Let *A* be a full *k*-ideal of *S*.

Firstly, we show that  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on *S*. Let  $a, b, c \in S$ . Since  $a + a' \in E^+ \subseteq A$ ,  $\rho_A$  is reflexive. If  $a + b' \in A$ , then by Remark 2, we get  $b + a' = (b')' + a' = (a + b')' \in A$  and so  $\rho_A$  is symmetric. Assume that  $a + b' \in A$  and  $b + c' \in A$ . Then  $a + c' + b + b' \in A$ . Since  $b + b' \in E^+ \subseteq A$ ,  $a + c' \in [A]_k = A$ . So,  $\rho_A$  is transitive. Now,  $\rho_A$  is an equivalence relation.

Secondly, let  $a, b, c, x_1^n \in S$ . Assume that  $(a, b) \in \rho_A$ . Then  $a + b' \in A$  and so

$$(a+c) + (b+c)' = a + c + c' + b'$$
$$= (a+b') + (c+c')$$
$$\in A + E^+ \subseteq A + A \subseteq A$$

Hence,  $(a + c, b + c) \in \rho_A$ . Using Lemma 1, we obtain that for each  $1 \le i \le n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1^{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}^n) + (f(x_1^{i-1}, b, x_{i+1}^n))' &= f(x_1^{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}^n) + f(x_1^{i-1}, b', x_{i+1}^n) \\ &= f(x_1^{i-1}, a + b', x_{i+1}^n) \\ &\in f(\overset{(i-1)}{S}, A, \overset{(n-i)}{S}) \subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(f(x_1^{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}^n), f(x_1^{i-1}, b, x_{i+1}^n)) \in \rho_A$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $\rho_A$  is a congruence on *S*.

Finally, we show that  $S/\rho_A$  is an *n*-ary ring together with the operations  $\oplus$  and *F* on  $S/\rho_A$  defined by

$$[a]_{\rho_A} \oplus [b]_{\rho_A} = [a+b]_{\rho_A} \text{ and } F([a_1]_{\rho_A}, [a_2]_{\rho_A}, \dots, [a_n]_{\rho_A}) = [f(a_1^n)]_{\rho_A}$$

for any  $a, b, a_1^n \in S$ . It is immediately to obtain that  $\langle S/\rho_A; \oplus, F \rangle$  is a quotient *n*-ary semiring of  $\langle S; +, f \rangle$ . Let  $e \in E^+$  and  $x \in S$ . Then  $(e + x) + x' = e + (x + x') \in E^+ + E^+ = E^+ \subseteq A$  and so  $(e + x, x) \in \rho_A$ . It follows that

$$[e]_{\rho_A} \oplus [x]_{\rho_A} = [e + x]_{\rho_A} = [x]_{\rho_A}.$$

AIMS Mathematics

Volume 7, Issue 10, 18553-18564.

Since  $e + (x + x')' = e + x' + x \in A$ ,  $(e, x + x') \in \rho_A$ . It turns out that

$$[x]_{\rho_A} \oplus [x']_{\rho_A} = [x + x']_{\rho_A} = [e]_{\rho_A}.$$

Therefore,  $S/\rho_A$  is an *n*-ary ring.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\rho$  be a congruence on an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring *S* such that  $S/\rho$  is an *n*-ary ring and  $-[a]_{\rho} = [a']_{\rho}$ . Then there exists a full *k*-ideal *A* of *S* such that  $\rho_A = \rho$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a \in S \mid (a, e) \in \rho \text{ for some } e \in E^+\}$ . Using the reflexivity of  $\rho$ , we get  $E^+ \subseteq A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in A$ . Then there exist  $e, f \in E^+$  such that  $(a, e) \in \rho$  and  $(b, f) \in \rho$ . Then  $(a + b, e + f) \in \rho$ and  $e + f \in E^+$ . Hence,  $a + b \in A$  and thus  $A + A \subseteq A$ . Let  $x \in f(S, A, S)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $x = f(x_1^{i-1}, c, x_{i+1}^n)$  for some  $x_1^n \in S$  and  $c \in A$  such that  $(c, g) \in \rho$  for some  $g \in E^+$ . It follows that  $(x, f(x_1^{i-1}, g, x_{i+1}^n)) = (f(x_1^{i-1}, c, x_{i+1}^n), f(x_1^{i-1}, g, x_{i+1}^n)) \in \rho$ . Since  $E^+$  is an ideal of S,  $f(x_1^{i-1}, g, x_{i+1}^n) + f(x_1^{i-1}, g, x_{i+1}^n) = f(x_1^{i-1}, g + g, x_{i+1}^n) \in f(S, E^+, S) \subseteq E^+$ . So,  $x \in A$  leads to  $f(S, A, S) \subseteq A$ . Now, A is an ideal of S.

Let  $x \in [A]_k$ . Then x + a = b for some  $a, b \in A$  where  $(a, e) \in \rho$  and  $(b, f) \in \rho$  for some  $e, f \in E^+$ . However,  $[f]_{\rho}$  and  $[e]_{\rho}$  are additively idempotent in the ring  $S/\rho$ . This obtains that  $[e]_{\rho} = [f]_{\rho}$  is the zero element of  $S/\rho$ . It follows that  $[f]_{\rho} = [b]_{\rho} = [x + a]_{\rho} = [x]_{\rho} \oplus [a]_{\rho} = [x]_{\rho} \oplus [e]_{\rho} = [x]_{\rho}$ . Thus,  $x \in A$  and so  $[A]_k = A$ . By Corollary 1(1), A is a full k-ideal of S.

Finally, we show that  $\rho = \rho_A$ . Let  $(a, b) \in \rho$ . Then  $(a + b', b + b') \in \rho$ . Since  $b + b' \in E^+$ ,  $a + b' \in A$ and thus  $(a, b) \in \rho_A$ . Hence,  $\rho \subseteq \rho_A$ . If  $(a, b) \in \rho_A$ , then  $a + b' \in A$ . Thus,  $(a + b', e) \in \rho$  for some  $e \in E^+$ . We have that  $[b]_{\rho} = [e]_{\rho} \oplus [b]_{\rho} = [a + b']_{\rho} \oplus [b]_{\rho} = [a]_{\rho} \oplus [b']_{\rho} \oplus [b]_{\rho} = [a]_{\rho} \oplus [b + b']_{\rho} = [a]_{\rho}$ , since  $b + b' \in E^+$ . This shows that  $(a, b) \in \rho$  and so  $\rho_A \subseteq \rho$ . Therefore,  $\rho = \rho_A$ .

The concepts of full *k*-ideals and *h*-ideals of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring are coincidence as the following remark.

**Remark 5.** If S is an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring, then full *k*-ideals and *h*-ideals coincide in S.

*Proof.* Since every *h*-ideal is a full *k*-ideal, we show that every full *k*-ideal is an *h*-ideal. Let *A* be a full *k*-ideal. Then  $S/\rho_A$  is an *n*-ary ring and *A* is its zero element by Theorem 3. Let  $x \in S$  and x + a + s = b + s for some  $a, b \in A$  and  $s \in S$ . Then  $[x]_{\rho} + [a]_{\rho} + [s]_{\rho} = [b]_{\rho} + [s]_{\rho}$ . It follows that  $[x]_{\rho} + 0 + [s]_{\rho} = 0 + [s]_{\rho}$  where 0 is the zero of  $S/\rho_A$ . Hence,  $[x]_{\rho} + [s]_{\rho} = [s]_{\rho}$  and so  $[x]_{\rho} = 0$ . It turns out that  $x \in A$ . Therefore, *A* is an *h*-ideal.

#### 5. Discussion and conclusions

In algebraic structure point of view, we are able to say that an *n*-ary semiring is a generalization of a semiring and a ternary semiring, and any results on an *n*-ary semiring are also true on a semiring and a ternary semiring because a semiring is an *n*-ary semiring where n = 2 and a ternary semiring is an *n*-ary semiring where n = 3.

The notions of a *k*-ideal and a full *k*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring were defined in Section 3. There is a *k*-ideal which is not full as it is shown by Example 2. However, every *h*-ideal of an *n*-ary semiring

is immediately full. Moreover, *h*-ideals and full *k*-ideals are coincidence in an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring and the set of all of them forms a complete lattice and also a modular lattice.

A group (ring) congruence is such a congruence relation on a semigroup (semiring) that the quotient semigroup (semiring) is a group (ring). Similarly, an *n*-ary ring congruence is such a congruence relation on an *n*-ary semiring that the quotient *n*-ary semiring is an *n*-ary ring. Constructing a relation with respect to a full *k*-ideal of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring is a way to obtain an *n*-ary ring congruence. Indeed, if *A* is a full *k*-ideal of an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring *S*, then the relation  $\rho_A = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a + b' \in A\}$  is an *n*-ary ring congruence where *b'* is the additively inverse of *b*. Conversely, if  $\rho$  is an *n*-ary ring congruence on an additively inverse *n*-ary semiring *S*, then there exists a such full *k*-ideal *A* of *S* that  $\rho = \rho_A$ .

### Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Fundamental Fund of Khon Kaen University and has received funding support from the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF).

## **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare no conflicts of interest in this paper.

## References

- 1. M. Adhikari, *Basic algebraic topology and its applications*, New Delhi: Springer, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2843-1
- 2. M. Adhikari, A. Adhikari, *Basic modern algebra with applications*, New Delhi: Springer, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1599-8
- 3. S. Alam, S. Rao, B. Davvaz, (*m*, *n*)-semirings and a generalized fault-tolerance algebra of systems, *J. Appl. Math.*, **2013** (2013), 482391. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/482391
- 4. D. Benson, Bialgebras: some foundations for distributed and concurrent computation, *Fund. Inform.*, **12** (1989), 427–486. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/FI-1989-12402
- 5. J. Conway, Regular algebra and finite machines, London: Chapman and Hall, 1971.
- 6. G. Crombez, On (*n*, *m*)-rings, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **37** (1972), 180. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02999695
- G. Crombez, J. Timm, On (n, m)-quotient rings, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 37 (1972), 200– 203. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02999696
- W. Dönte, Untersuchungen über einen veralgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Z.*, 29 (1929), 1– 19. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01180515
- 9. W. Dudek, On the divisibility theory in (*m*, *n*)-rings, *Demonstr. Math.*, **14** (1981), 19–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/dema-1981-0103
- 10. W. Dudek, Idempotents in *n*-ary semigroups, *SEA Bull. Math.*, **25** (2001), 97–104. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10012-001-0097-y

**AIMS Mathematics** 

- 11. S. Eilenberg, Automata, languages and machines, New York: Acedmic press, 1974.
- 12. K. Glazek, A guide to literature on semirings and their applications in mathematics and information sciences with complete bibliography, Dodrecht: Springer, 2002. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9964-1
- 13. J. Golan, *Semirings and their applications*, Dodrecht: Springer, 1999. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9333-5
- 14. U. Hebisch, H. Weinert, *Semirings: algebraic theory and applications in the computer science*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- 15. M. Henriksen, Ideals in semirings with commutative addition, Am. Math. Soc. Notices, 6 (1958), 321.
- 16. K. Iizuka, On the Jacobson radial of a semiring, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **11** (1959), 409–421. http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178244538
- 17. V. Khanna, Lattices and boolean algebra: first concepts, London: Vikas Publication, 2004.
- 18. W. Kuich, A. Salomma, *Semirings, automata, languages*, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1986. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69959-7
- S. Rao, An algebra of fault tolerance, *Journal of Algebra and Discrete Structures*, 6 (2008), 161–180. http://dx.doi.org/arXiv:0907.3194
- 20. M. Sen, M. Adhikari, On *k*-ideals of semirings, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **15** (1992), 642431. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S0161171292000437
- 21. M. Sen, S. Maity, K. Shum, Some aspects of semirings, SE Asian B. Math., 45 (2021), 919–930.
- 22. F. Siosson, Cyclic and homogeneus *m*-Semigroups, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39** (1963), 444–449. http://dx.doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195522996
- 23. F. Siosson, Ideals in (*m* + 1)-semigroups, *Annali di Matematica*, **68** (1965), 161–200. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02411024
- 24. T. Sunitha, U. Nagi Reddy, G. Shobhalatha, A note on full k-ideals in ternary semirings, *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, **14** (2021), 1786–1790. http://dx.doi.org/10.17485/IJST/v14i21.150
- 25. J. Timm, Kommutative n-Gruppen, Ph. D. Thesis, Universität Hamburg, 1967.
- 26. H. Vandiver, Note on a simple type of algebra in which cancellation law of addition does not hold, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **40** (1934), 914–920. https://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1934-06003-8



 $\bigcirc$  2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)