



Research article

# Toeplitz operators between large Fock spaces in several complex variables

Ermin Wang and Jiajia Xu\*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lingnan Normal University, Guangdong, Zhanjiang 524048, China

\* **Correspondence:** Email: xjj0719@sina.com; Tel: +8618476929282; Fax: +8618476929282.

**Abstract:** Let  $\omega$  belong to the weight class  $\mathcal{W}$ , the large Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_\omega^p$  consists of all holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that the function  $f(\cdot)\omega(\cdot)^{1/2}$  is in  $L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, d\nu)$ . In this paper, given a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we characterize the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu$  between two large Fock spaces  $F_\omega^p$  and  $F_\omega^q$  for all possible  $0 < p, q < \infty$ .

**Keywords:** large Fock space; boundedness; compactness; Toeplitz operator

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32A25, 47B35

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. For any two points  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we write  $\langle z, \xi \rangle = z_1\bar{\xi}_1 + \dots + z_n\bar{\xi}_n$  and  $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$ . Given  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $r > 0$ , set the Euclidean ball  $B(z, r) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\xi - z| < r\}$ . Let  $dV$  to be the ordinary Lebesgue volume measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^2$ -plurisubharmonic function and set

$$\omega(z) = \exp(-2\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We say that  $\omega$  belongs to the weight class  $\mathcal{W}$  if  $\varphi$  satisfies the following conditions:

(I) There exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \sup_{\xi \in B(z, c)} \Delta\varphi(\xi) > 0; \tag{1.1}$$

(II)  $\Delta\varphi$  satisfies the reverse-Hölder inequality

$$\|\Delta\varphi\|_{L^\infty(B(z, r))} \leq Cr^{-2n} \int_{B(z, r)} \Delta\varphi(\xi) dV(\xi), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n, r > 0$$

for some  $0 < C < +\infty$ ;

(III) The eigenvalues of  $H_\varphi$  are comparable, that is, there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$(H_\varphi(z)u, u) \geq \delta_0 \Delta\varphi(z)|u|^2, \quad \forall z, u \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where

$$H_\varphi = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right)_{j,k}.$$

Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ . The space  $L_\omega^p$  consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\omega} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^p \omega(z)^{p/2} dV(z) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It is obvious that  $L_\omega^p = L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega^{p/2} dV)$ . We use  $L^p$  to stand the usual  $p$ -th Lebesgue space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\cdot|^p dV(z) \right)^{1/p}$ .

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the family of all entire functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The weighted Fock space  $F_\omega^p$  is defined as

$$F_\omega^p = L_\omega^p \cap H(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

It is clear that  $F_\omega^p$  is a Banach space under  $\|\cdot\|_{p,\omega}$  if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $F_\omega^p$  is an  $F$ -space under the metric  $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{p,\omega}$  if  $0 < p < 1$ .

Taking  $\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$ ,  $F_\omega^p$  is the classical Fock space, which has been studied by many authors, see [5, 7, 10, 11, 18] and the references therein. The weight function  $\omega$  with the restriction that  $dd^c\varphi \simeq dd^c|z|^2$  in [8] and [16] belongs to  $\mathcal{W}$  as well. Notice that the class  $\mathcal{W}$  also contains nonradial weights, an example is given by

$$\omega_{p,f}(z) = |f(z)|^p e^{-|z|^2/2},$$

where  $p > 0$  and  $f$  is a nonvanishing analytic function in  $F_\omega^p$ . This class of weights have attracted many attention in the setting of Fock spaces, see [1, 6, 14] for instance.

For  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ , the mapping  $f \mapsto f(z)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $F_\omega^2$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . By the Riesz representation theorem in functional analysis, there exists a unique function  $K_z \in F_\omega^2$  such that  $f(z) = \langle f, K_z \rangle_\omega$  for all  $f \in F_\omega^2$ , where

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\omega = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \omega(z) dV(z), \quad f, g \in F_\omega^2.$$

The function  $K(\cdot, z) = K_z(\cdot)$  is called the reproducing kernel of  $F_\omega^2$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we let

$$k_{p,z}(\cdot) = K(\cdot, z) / \|K(\cdot, z)\|_{p,\omega}$$

denote the normalized reproducing kernel for  $F_\omega^p$ . Notice that the set  $\{k_{p,z} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$  is bounded in  $F_\omega^p$  and  $k_{p,z} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  when  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu$  induced by  $\mu$  is defined as

$$T_\mu f(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(\xi) K(\cdot, \xi) \omega(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

if it is well (densely) defined.

During the past few decades much effort has been devoted to the study of Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces. In the case  $n = 1$ , when  $d\mu = g dA$  for some restricted function  $g$ , for example  $g$  is bounded or  $g \in BMO$ , the induced Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu$  has been studied in [2–5, 8, 17]. For  $\mu \geq 0$ , Isralowitz and Zhu in [11] characterized the mapping properties of  $T_\mu$  on  $F_{|z|^2/2}^2$ . In [7], Hu and Lv obtained sufficient and necessary conditions on  $\mu$  for which  $T_\mu$  is bounded (or compact) from  $F_{|z|^2/2}^p$  to  $F_{|z|^2/2}^q$  for  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . Denote  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$  and  $d^c = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ . With the restriction that  $dd^c\varphi \simeq dd^c|z|^2$  on the weight  $\varphi$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , Schuster and Varolin in [16] studied the boundedness and compactness of Toeplitz operators in terms of averaging functions and Berezin transforms. Later on, the corresponding problems were discussed from  $F_\varphi^p$  to  $F_\varphi^q$  for  $0 < p, q < \infty$  in [8], between  $F_\varphi^p$  and  $F_\varphi^\infty$  for  $0 < p \leq \infty$  in [12]. In 2015, Oliver and Pascuas in [15] characterized the boundedness and compactness of positive Toeplitz operators on doubling Fock space  $F_\phi^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In [9], the authors discussed the corresponding problems from  $F_\phi^p$  to  $F_\phi^q$  for  $0 < p, q < \infty$ .

The purpose of this work is to extend some results of [7–9, 11, 12, 15] concerning Toeplitz operators to large Fock spaces. In Section 2, we will give some lemmas would be used in the following sections. Section 3 is devoted to characterize those  $\mu \geq 0$  for which the induced operators  $T_\mu$  are bounded (or compact) from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$  for  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . Our approach depends on whether  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  or  $0 < q < p < \infty$ . We summarize the main results of the paper as below:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $0 < p \leq q < \infty, \mu \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  be as defined in (2.6) below. Suppose also  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $T_\mu : F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q$  is bounded;
- (B)  $\widetilde{\mu}_t(\cdot)\tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}}(\cdot) \in L^\infty$  for some (equivalent: any)  $t > 0$ ;
- (C)  $\widetilde{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)\tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}}(\cdot) \in L^\infty$  for some (equivalent: any)  $0 < \delta \leq \alpha$ ;
- (D) The sequence  $\left\{ \widetilde{\mu}_r(a_k)\tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k$  is bounded for some (equivalent: any)  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$  with  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ .

Furthermore,

$$\|T_\mu\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q} \simeq \left\| \widetilde{\mu}_t \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \simeq \left\| \widetilde{\mu}_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \simeq \left\| \left\{ \widetilde{\mu}_r(a_k)\tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \right\|_{l^\infty}. \tag{1.2}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $0 < p \leq q < \infty, \mu \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  be as defined in (2.6) below. Suppose also  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $T_\mu : F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q$  is compact;
- (B)  $\widetilde{\mu}_t(z)\tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}}(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  for some (equivalent: any)  $t > 0$ ;
- (C)  $\widetilde{\mu}_\delta(z)\tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}}(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  for some (equivalent: any)  $0 < \delta \leq \alpha$ ;
- (D)  $\widetilde{\mu}_r(a_k)\tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some (equivalent: any)  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$  with  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $0 < q < p < \infty, \mu \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  be as defined in (2.6) below. Suppose also  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A)  $T_\mu : F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q$  is bounded;
- (B)  $T_\mu : F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q$  is compact;
- (C)  $\widetilde{\mu}_t \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$  for some (equivalent: any)  $t > 0$ ;

(D)  $\widehat{\mu}_s \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$  for some (equivalent: any)  $0 < s \leq \alpha$ ;

(E)  $\left\{ \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \in l^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$  for some (equivalent: any)  $(\tau, \delta)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$  with  $0 < \delta \leq \alpha$ .

Furthermore,

$$\|T_\mu\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q} \approx \|\widehat{\mu}_t\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \approx \|\widehat{\mu}_s\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \approx \left\| \left\{ \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \right\|_{l^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}. \tag{1.3}$$

In what follows, we use the notation  $A \lesssim B$  to indicate that there is a constant  $C > 0$  with  $A \leq CB$ .  $A$  and  $B$  are called equivalent, denoted by " $A \approx B$ ", if there exists some  $C$  such that  $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some basic estimates which would be used in the following sections. For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , set

$$\tau_\varphi(z) = \sup\{r > 0 : \sup_{\xi \in B(z,r)} \Delta\varphi(\xi) \leq r^{-2}\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we simply write  $\tau(z)$  instead of  $\tau_\varphi(z)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be as in (1.1), then there exist  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$|z|^{-A} \lesssim \tau(z) \lesssim |z|^B, \quad \text{for } |z| > 1. \tag{2.1}$$

See [1], given  $\delta > 0$ , write  $B^\delta(z) = B(z, \delta\tau(z))$ , and  $B(z) = B^1(z)$  for short. By [14], there exists some  $C > 0$  such that for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$C^{-1}\tau(\xi) \leq \tau(z) \leq C\tau(\xi) \tag{2.2}$$

for  $\xi \in B^\delta(z)$ .

From (2.2) and the triangle inequality, for  $\delta > 0$  we have  $m_1 = m_1(\delta), m_2 = m_2(\delta)$  that

$$B^\delta(z) \subseteq B^{m_1\delta}(\xi) \text{ and } B^\delta(\xi) \subseteq B^{m_2\delta}(z) \text{ whenever } \xi \in B^\delta(z). \tag{2.3}$$

Clearly,  $m_j > 1$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Furthermore,

$$\rho = \sup_{0 < \delta \leq 1} [m_1(\delta) + m_2(\delta)] < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Given  $\delta > 0$ , we call a sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a  $(\tau, \delta)$ -lattice if  $\{B^\delta(a_k)\}_k$  covers  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the balls  $\{B^{\delta/5}(a_k)\}_k$  are pairwise disjoint. For  $\delta > 0$ , the existence of some  $\delta$ -lattice comes from a standard covering lemma, see Proposition 7 in [6] for details. Given a  $(\tau, \delta)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$  and  $m > 0$ , there exists some integer  $N$  such that each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  can be in at most  $N$  disks of  $\{B^{m\delta}(a_k)\}_k$ . Equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \chi_{B^{m\delta}(a_k)}(z) \leq N \tag{2.5}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , see [6].

Arroussi and Tong in [1] obtained the pointwise and the  $L_\omega^p$ -norm estimates of the reproducing kernel  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  as follows:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $K_z$  be the reproducing kernel of  $F_\omega^2$ . Then

(a) For  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ , there exists  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$|K_z(\zeta)| \simeq \|K_z\|_{2,\omega} \cdot \|K_\zeta\|_{2,\omega}, \quad \zeta \in B^\alpha(z). \quad (2.6)$$

(b) For  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , one has

$$\|K_z\|_{p,\omega} \simeq \omega(z)^{-1/2} \tau(z)^{2n(1-p)/p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.7)$$

The following result gives the boundedness of the point evaluation functional on  $F_\omega^p$ , which can be seen in [1].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then for any  $f \in H(\mathbb{C}^n)$ :

(a) For any  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$|f(z)|^p \omega(z)^{p/2} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{2n} \tau(z)^{2n}} \int_{B^\delta(z)} |f(\zeta)|^p \omega(\zeta)^{p/2} dV(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

(b) For any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $C$  depending only on  $n, p$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^p \omega(z)^{p/2} d\mu(z) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^p \omega(z)^{p/2} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) dV(z).$$

For our later use, we need the concepts of averaging functions and Berezin transforms. The average of  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) = \mu(B^\delta(z)) \cdot \tau(z)^{-2n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Given  $t > 0$ , we set the general Berezin transform of  $\mu$  to be

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_{t,z}(\zeta)|^t \omega(\zeta)^{t/2} d\mu(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\alpha$  be as defined in (2.6). Suppose  $0 < p < \infty, \mu \geq 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(A)  $\widetilde{\mu}_t(\cdot) \in L^p$  for any  $t > 0$ ;

(B)  $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) \in L^p$  for any  $0 < \delta \leq \alpha$ ;

(C) The sequence  $\{\tau(a_k)^{2n/p} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k)\}_k \in l^p$  for any  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$  with  $0 < r \leq \alpha$ .

Furthermore,

$$\|\widetilde{\mu}_t\|_{L^p} \simeq \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^p} \simeq \left\| \left\{ \tau(a_k)^{2n/p} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \right\}_k \right\|_{l^p}. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* The equivalence between (A) and (B) follows from Lemma 6.1 in [1]. The proof of the equivalence between (B) and (C) is similar to that of Lemma 2.5 in [9] and we omit the details.

### 3. Results

In this section, we are going to characterize those  $\mu \geq 0$  for which the induced Toeplitz operator  $T_\mu$  is bounded (or compact) from one large Fock space  $F_\omega^p$  to another  $F_\omega^q$ . To this purpose, we need the relatively compact subsets in  $F_\omega^p$ . With the same proof as that of Lemma 3.2 in [8], we know a bounded subset  $E \subset F_\omega^p$  is relatively compact if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $S > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{f \in E} \int_{|z| \geq S} |f(z)|^p \omega(z)^{p/2} dV(z) < \varepsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

This observation on the compact subsets in Fock spaces is crucial to our study on the compactness of  $T_\mu$  from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$ . Because the inclusion between any two spaces  $F_\omega^p$  and  $F_\omega^q$  is no longer valid while  $p \neq q$ , and also  $F_\omega^p$  is not a Banach space with  $0 < p < 1$ , the approach in [7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16] does not work here.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We show (C)  $\Rightarrow$  (D) first. Similar to the proof of (2.13) in [9], for given  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice  $\{a_j\}_j$ ,  $(\tau, \delta)$ -lattice  $\{b_j\}_j$ , we get

$$\left\| \left\{ \widehat{\mu}_r(a_j) \tau(a_j)^{s+2n/p} \right\}_j \right\|_{lp} \simeq \left\| \left\{ \widehat{\mu}_\delta(b_j) \tau(b_j)^{s+2n/p} \right\}_j \right\|_{lp}.$$

Then (D) follows from (C) immediately, moreover

$$\left\| \left\{ \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \right\|_{l^\infty} \leq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next we prove (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (C). Taking  $0 < r_0 \leq \alpha$  as  $\alpha$  in (2.6), then

$$\widehat{\mu}_{r_0}(z) \lesssim \widetilde{\mu}_2(z).$$

This tells us (B) implies (C) for  $r_0$ . By Lemma 2.3, for fixed  $\delta, r > 0$  we obtain

$$\|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^p} \simeq \|\widehat{\mu}_r\|_{L^p}.$$

Notice that this formula is still true for  $p = \infty$ . These imply

$$\left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \simeq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_{r_0} \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_t \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $\delta > 0$ .

Now we prove that (D) implies (B). By (2.3), we have some  $m > 0$  such that  $B^r(z) \subset B^{mr}(a)$  for  $z \in B^r(a)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . For any  $t > 0$ , set  $s = \frac{tpq}{pq-p+q}$ . Lemma 2.2 tells us, for  $f \in F_\omega^s$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in B^r(a)} |f(z)|^s \omega(z)^{s/2} \leq \frac{C}{\tau(a)^{2n}} \int_{B^{mr}(a)} |f(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} dV(\zeta). \quad (3.4)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we know

$$|k_{t,z}(\zeta)|^t \tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \simeq |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^t.$$

Then from (3.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mu}_t(z)\tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \\
& \approx \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^t \omega(\zeta)^{t/2} d\mu(\zeta) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B^r(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^t \omega(\zeta)^{t/2} d\mu(\zeta) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B^r(a_k)) \left( \sup_{\zeta \in B^r(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} \right)^{t/s} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \left( \int_{B^{mr}(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{t/s} \\
& \lesssim \sup_k \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B^{mr}(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{t/s} \\
& \lesssim N^{t/s} \sup_k \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \|k_{s,z}\|_{s,\omega}^t.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\left\| \widetilde{\mu}_t \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \right\|_{l^\infty}. \quad (3.5)$$

That is, (D) indicates (B).

Now we prove that (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B). We suppose the statement (A) is valid. Since  $\|k_{p,z}\|_{p,\omega} = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\mu\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q} & \geq \|T_\mu k_{p,z}\|_{q,\omega} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |T_\mu k_{p,z}(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{1/q} \\
& \gtrsim \left( \int_{B(z)} |T_\mu k_{p,z}(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{1/q} \\
& \gtrsim \tau(z)^{2n/q} |T_\mu k_{p,z}(z)| \omega(z)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above follows from Lemma 2.2(a). Meanwhile, by Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_\mu k_{p,z}(z)| & \geq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} k_{p,z}(\zeta) K(z, \zeta) \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\
& = \frac{1}{\|K(\cdot, z)\|_{p,\omega}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(z, \zeta)|^2 \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\
& = \frac{\|K(\cdot, z)\|_{2,\omega}^2}{\|K(\cdot, z)\|_{p,\omega}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_{2,z}(\zeta)|^2 \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\
& \approx \tau(z)^{-2n/p} \omega(z)^{-1/2} \widetilde{\mu}_2(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_2(z)\tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \lesssim \|T_\mu\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q}. \quad (3.6)$$

This and the equivalence between (B) and (C) shows the estimate (3.6) remains true when  $\widetilde{\mu}_2$  is replaced by  $\widetilde{\mu}_t$  for any  $t > 0$ . That is, (A) implies (B).

Now we are going to prove the implication (C)  $\Rightarrow$  (A). Given  $\delta > 0$ , we claim there is some positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\|T_\mu f\|_{q,\omega}^q \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta)^q dV(\zeta) \quad (3.7)$$

for  $f \in F_\omega^p$ . In fact, when  $q > 1$ , by applying Lemma 2.2(a) with  $\delta = 1$  to the weight  $\omega^2$  and the holomorphic function  $K(\cdot, z)f(\cdot)$  to get

$$|T_\mu f(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(\zeta, z)| |f(\zeta)| \omega(\zeta) \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta) dV(\zeta).$$

This and Hölder's inequality tell us

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_\mu f(z)|^q \omega(z)^{q/2} \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta) |f(\zeta)| |K(\zeta, z)| \omega(\zeta) \omega(z)^{1/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^q \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta)^q |K(\zeta, z) \omega(\zeta)^{1/2} \omega(z)^{1/2}| dV(\zeta) \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |K(\zeta, z) \omega(\zeta)^{1/2} \omega(z)^{1/2}| dV(\zeta) \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta)^q |K(\zeta, z) \omega(\zeta)^{1/2} \omega(z)^{1/2}| dV(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides above, applying Fubini's theorem and (2.7) to get (3.7). When  $q \leq 1$ , for given  $\delta > 0$  we pick some  $r > 0$  so that  $\rho^2 r \leq \min\{\delta, 1\}$  with  $\rho$  as in (2.4), and let  $\{a_k\}_k$  be some  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice. Then for  $f \in F_\omega^p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\mu f(z)|^q & \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B^r(a_k)} |f(\zeta) K(\zeta, z)| \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \right)^q \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B^r(a_k)} |f(\zeta) K(\zeta, z)| \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \right)^q \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k)^q \tau(a_k)^{2nq} \left( \sup_{\zeta \in B^r(a_k)} |f(\zeta) K(\zeta, z)| \omega(\zeta) \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 2.2(a), there is some constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|T_\mu f(z)|^q$  is not more than  $C$  times

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k)^q \tau(a_k)^{2nq-2n} \int_{B^{\rho r}(a_k)} |f(\zeta) K(\zeta, z)|^q \omega(\zeta)^q dV(\zeta).$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), we have  $B^r(a_k) \subseteq B^{\rho^2 r}(\zeta)$  if  $\zeta \in B^{\rho r}(a_k)$ . This, together with (2.2) and (2.5), implies



$$\begin{aligned}
|T_\mu f(z)|^q &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{B^{pr}(a_k)} \widehat{\mu}_{\rho^{2r}}(\zeta)^q \tau(\zeta)^{2nq-2n} |f(\zeta)|^q |K(\zeta, z)|^q \omega(\zeta)^q dV(\zeta) \\
&\leq CN \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widehat{\mu}_{\rho^{2r}}(\zeta)^q \tau(\zeta)^{2nq-2n} |f(\zeta)|^q |K(\zeta, z)|^q \omega(\zeta)^q dV(\zeta) \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta)^q \tau(\zeta)^{2nq-2n} |f(\zeta)|^q |K(\zeta, z)|^q \omega(\zeta)^q dV(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, integrating both sides of the above with respect to  $\omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z)$  and applying Fubini's theorem to get (3.7).

Now we suppose (C) is true, by  $p \leq q$ , (3.7) and the fact that

$$|f(z)|\omega(z)^{1/2} \lesssim \tau(z)^{-2n/p} \|f\|_{p,\omega} \quad \text{for } f \in F_\omega^p,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\mu f\|_{q,\omega}^q &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(\zeta)|^p \omega(\zeta)^{p/2} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\zeta)^q \left(\tau(\zeta)^{-2n/p} \|f\|_{p,\omega}\right)^{q-p} dV(\zeta) \\
&\lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty}^q \|f\|_{p,\omega}^q
\end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in F_\omega^p$ . Therefore,  $T_\mu$  is bounded from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$  and

$$\|T_\mu\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.8)$$

The estimates of (1.2) come from (3.2), (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8). The proof is finished.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The proof of the implications (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (C) and (C)  $\Rightarrow$  (D) can be carried out as the same part of Theorem 1.1.

Now we assume  $\mu$  satisfies condition (D) for some  $(\tau, r)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some integer  $K > 0$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} < \varepsilon$  whenever  $k > K$ . Notice that,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^K \overline{B^{mr}(a_k)}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\{k_{s,z} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \subseteq F_\omega^s$  uniformly converges to 0 on  $\bigcup_{k=1}^K \overline{B^{mr}(a_k)}$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $s = \frac{tpq}{pq-p+q}$ . From Lemma 2.1, (2.5) and (3.4), when  $|z|$  is sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mu}_t(z) \tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \\
&\simeq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^t \omega(\zeta)^{t/2} d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\leq \int_{\bigcup_{k=1}^K \overline{B^{mr}(a_k)}} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^t \omega(\zeta)^{t/2} d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \mu(B^r(a_k)) \left( \sup_{\zeta \in B^r(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} d\mu(\zeta) \right)^{t/s}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \varepsilon + C \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \left( \int_{B^{mr}(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{t/s} \\
&< \varepsilon + C \sup_{k \geq K+1} \widehat{\mu}_r(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \left( \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \int_{B^{mr}(a_k)} |k_{s,z}(\zeta)|^s \omega(\zeta)^{s/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{t/s} \\
&< \varepsilon + CN^{\frac{pq-p+q}{pq}} \|k_{s,z}\|_{s,\omega}^t \varepsilon = C\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . This yields that  $\widetilde{\mu}_t(z)\tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $\mu$  satisfies (B) for any  $t > 0$ .

To prove (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B), we suppose  $T_\mu$  is compact from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$ . Since  $\{k_{p,z} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$  is bounded in  $F_\omega^p$ ,  $\{T_\mu k_{p,z} : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$  is relatively compact in  $F_\omega^q$ . By (3.1), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $S > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \int_{|\zeta| > S} |T_\mu k_{p,z}(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} dV(\zeta) < \varepsilon^q.$$

When  $|z|$  is sufficiently large and  $\zeta \in B(z)$ ,

$$|\zeta| \geq |z| - |\zeta - z| \geq |z| - \tau(z) \geq |z| - |z|^B \geq |z|^B > S,$$

where  $B \in (0, 1)$  as in (2.1). Hence,  $B(z) \subseteq \{\zeta : |\zeta| > S\}$ . By the proof of (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (B) in Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mu}_2(z)\tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \lesssim \left( \int_{B(z)} |T_\mu k_{p,z}(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2} dV(\zeta) \right)^{1/q} < \varepsilon$$

when  $|z|$  is sufficiently large. Hence,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mu}_2(z)\tau(z)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} = 0.$$

The equivalence between (B) and (C) shows the above limit is still valid if  $\mu_2$  is replaced by  $\mu_t$  for any  $t > 0$ .

Finally, we suppose the statement (C) is true. For  $R > 0$ , set  $\mu_R$  to be  $\mu_R(V) = \mu(V \cap \overline{B(0, R)})$  for  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  measurable. Then a similar way to that of Lemma 3.1 in [9] shows  $T_{\mu_R}$  is compact from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$ . And also,  $\mu - \mu_R \geq 0$ . By (C) and (1.2), for  $\delta > 0$  fixed, we have

$$\|T_\mu - T_{\mu_R}\|_{F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q} \simeq \left\| (\widehat{\mu - \mu_R})_\delta \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $T_\mu$  is compact from  $F_\omega^p$  to  $F_\omega^q$ . The proof is finished.

Now we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.3. For our purpose, we recall Khinchine's inequality. Let  $r_s$  be the Rademacher function defined by

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t - [t] < \frac{1}{2} \\ -1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t - [t] < 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $r_s(t) = r_0(2^s t)$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $[t]$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $t$ . For  $0 < l < \infty$ , there exists some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depending only on  $l$  such that

$$C_1 \left( \sum_{s=1}^m |b_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^m b_s r_s(t) \right|^l dt \leq C_2 \left( \sum_{s=1}^m |b_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{l}{2}}$$

for all  $m \geq 1$  and complex numbers  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ . More details can be found in [13].

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* The equivalence among the statements (C), (D) and (E) follows from Lemma 2.4. It is trivial that (B)  $\Rightarrow$  (A). To finish our proof, we are going to prove the implications (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (E), (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (A) and (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (B).

To get (A)  $\Rightarrow$  (E), fix  $\delta = \delta_0$  with  $\delta_0$  in for any  $(\tau, \delta_0)$ -lattice  $\{a_s\}_s$  and sequence  $\{\lambda_s\}_s \in l^p$ , we consider

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n k_{p,a_s}.$$

By Proposition 2.3 in [1] we know  $f \in F_{\omega}^p$  with  $\|f\|_{p,\omega} \lesssim \|\{\lambda_s\}_s\|_{l^p}$ . Since  $T_{\mu} : F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q$  is bounded, we obtain

$$T_{\mu}(f) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \lambda_s T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s} \in F_{\omega}^q.$$

By Khinchine's inequality we have

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_s T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z)|^2 \right)^{q/2} \lesssim \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_s r_s(t) T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z) \right|^q dt.$$

This and Fubini's theorem give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_s T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z)|^2 \right)^{q/2} \omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z) \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_s r_s(t) T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z) \right|^q \omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z) \\ & = \int_0^1 \left\| T_{\mu} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lambda_s r_s(t) k_{p,a_s} \right) \right\|_{q,\omega}^q dt \\ & \lesssim \|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q}^q \|\{\lambda_s\}_s\|_{l^p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, there is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_s T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z)|^2 \right)^{q/2} \omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z) \\ & \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B^{\delta_0}(a_j)} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_s T_{\mu} k_{p,a_s}(z)|^2 \right)^{q/2} \omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z) \\ & \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^q \int_{B^{\delta_0}(a_j)} |T_{\mu} k_{p,a_j}(z)|^q \omega(z)^{q/2} dV(z) \\ & \gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^q \tau(a_j)^{2n} |T_{\mu} k_{p,a_j}(a_j)|^q \omega(a_j)^{q/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^q \tau(a_j)^{2n+2nq-2nq/p} \left| \int_{B^{\delta_0}(a_j)} |K(a_j, \zeta)|^2 \omega(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \right|^q \omega(a_j)^q \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^q \tau(a_j)^{2n-2nq/p} \widehat{\mu}_{\delta_0}(a_j)^q, \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^q \tau(a_j)^{2n-2nq/p} \widehat{\mu}_{\delta_0}(a_j)^q &\lesssim \|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q}^q \|\{\lambda_j\}_j\|_p^q \\ &= \|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q}^q \|\{|\lambda_j|^q\}_j\|_{l^{p/q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p > q$ , the conjugate exponent of  $\frac{p}{q}$  is  $\frac{p}{p-q}$ , the duality argument shows

$$\left\{ \tau(a_j)^{2n-2nq/p} \widehat{\mu}_{\delta_0}(a_j)^q \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in l^{\frac{p}{p-q}},$$

and

$$\left\| \left\{ \tau(a_j)^{2n-2nq/p} \widehat{\mu}_{\delta_0}(a_j)^q \right\}_j \right\|_{l^{\frac{p}{p-q}}} \lesssim \|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q}^q.$$

This and Lemma 2.4 imply

$$\left\| \left\{ \tau(a_j)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \widehat{\mu}_{\delta}(a_j) \right\}_j \right\|_{l^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \lesssim \|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q} \quad (3.9)$$

for any  $(\tau, \delta)$ -lattice  $\{a_j\}$ . From this, the conclusion (E) follows.

Now we prove (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (A). Suppose  $\widehat{\mu}_s \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}$  for some  $s > 0$ . Similar to that in Theorem 4.4 of [11], we know  $\left\{ \widehat{\mu}_s(a_k) \tau(a_k)^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \right\}_k \in l^{\infty}$  for some  $(\tau, s)$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}_k$ . Theorem 1.1 gives  $\widehat{\mu}_s \tau^{\frac{2n(p-q)}{pq}} \in L^{\infty}$ , which shows that  $T_{\mu}$  is well-defined on  $F_{\omega}^p$ . Notice that  $p/q > 1$ . By (3.7), Hölder's inequality and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\mu} f\|_{q, \omega}^q &\lesssim \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (|f(\zeta)|^q \omega(\zeta)^{q/2})^{p/q} dV(\zeta) \right\}^{q/p} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \widehat{\mu}_s(\zeta)^{\frac{pq}{p-q}} dV(\zeta) \right\}^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_s\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}^q \|f\|_{p, \omega}^q \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in F_{\omega}^p$ . Hence,  $T_{\mu}$  is bounded from  $F_{\omega}^p$  to  $F_{\omega}^q$  with

$$\|T_{\mu}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q} \lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_s\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}}. \quad (3.10)$$

To prove (D)  $\Rightarrow$  (B), we take  $\mu_R$  as  $\mu_R(V) = \mu(V \cap \overline{B(0, R)})$  for  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  measurable. Then  $\mu - \mu_R \geq 0$ , and for  $s > 0$  we have  $\|(\widehat{\mu} - \widehat{\mu}_R)_s\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . By (3.10),

$$\|T_{\mu} - T_{\mu_R}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q} = \|T_{(\mu - \mu_R)}\|_{F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q} \lesssim \|(\widehat{\mu} - \widehat{\mu}_R)_s\|_{L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \rightarrow 0$$

whenever  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $T_{\mu_R}$  is compact from  $F_{\omega}^p$  to  $F_{\omega}^q$ , the operator  $T_{\mu} : F_{\omega}^p \rightarrow F_{\omega}^q$  is compact as well.

The norm equivalence (1.3) comes from Lemma 2.3, (3.9) and (3.10). The proof is finished.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we study those  $\mu \geq 0$  for which the induced Toeplitz operators  $T_\mu$  are bounded (or compact) between two large Fock spaces  $F_\omega^p$  and  $F_\omega^q$  for all possible  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . Our approach depends on whether  $0 < p \leq q < \infty$  or  $0 < q < p < \infty$ . The boundedness (or compactness) of  $T_\mu : F_\omega^p \rightarrow F_\omega^q$  is characterized in terms of the average or the general Berezin transforms of  $\mu$ .

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12001258), the department of education of Guangdong Province (2019KQNCX077) and Lingnan Normal University (ZL1925). The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this paper.

## References

1. H. Arroussi, C. Z. Tong, Weighted composition operators between large Fock spaces in several complex variables, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **277** (2019), 3436–3466. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2019.04.008.
2. C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn, Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **301** (1987), 813–829. doi: 10.2307/2000671.
3. W. Bauer, L. A. Coburn, J. Isralowitz, Heat flow, BMO, and the compactness of Toeplitz operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **259** (2010), 57–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2010.03.016.
4. W. Bauer, J. Isralowitz, Compactness characterization of operators in the Toeplitz algebra of the Fock space  $F_\alpha^p$ , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **263** (2012), 1323–1355. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2012.04.020.
5. L. A. Coburn, J. Isralowitz, B. Li, Toeplitz operators with BMO symbols on the Segal-Bargmann space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **363** (2011), 3015–3030. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05278-5.
6. G. M. Dall’Ara, Pointwise estimates of weighted Bergman kernels in several complex variables, *Adv. Math.*, **285** (2015), 1706–1740. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2015.06.024.
7. Z. J. Hu, X. F. Lv, Toeplitz operators from one Fock space to another, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **70** (2011), 541–559. doi: 10.1007/s00020-011-1887-y.
8. Z. J. Hu, X. F. Lv, Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces  $F^p(\varphi)$ , *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **80** (2014), 33–59. doi: 10.1007/s00020-014-2168-3.
9. Z. J. Hu, X. F. Lv, Positive Toeplitz operators between different doubling Fock spaces, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, **21** (2017), 467–487. doi: 10.11650/tjm/7031.
10. Z. J. Hu, E. M. Wang, Hankel operators between Fock spaces, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **90** (2018), 1–20. doi: 10.1007/s00020-018-2459-1.

11. J. Isralowitz, K. H. Zhu, Toeplitz operators on the Fock space, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **66** (2010), 593–611. doi: 10.1007/s00020-010-1768-9.
12. J. Lu, X. F. Lv, Toeplitz operators between Fock spaces, *B. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **92** (2015), 316–324. doi: 10.1017/S0004972715000477.
13. D. H. Luecking, Embedding theorems for spaces of analytic functions via Khinchine’s inequality, *Mich. Math. J.*, **40** (1993), 333–358. doi: 10.1307/mmj/1029004756.
14. X. F. Lv, Bergman projections on weighted Fock spaces in several complex variables, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2017** (2017), 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13660-017-1560-3.
15. R. Oliver, D. Pascuas, Toeplitz operators on doubling Fock spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **435** (2016), 1426–1457. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.11.023.
16. A. P. Schuster, D. Varolin, Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures on generalized Bargmann-Fock spaces, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.*, **72** (2012), 363–392. doi: 10.1007/s00020-011-1939-3.
17. E. M. Wang, Toeplitz operators with BMO and IMO symbols between Fock spaces, *Arch. Math.*, **114** (2020), 541–551. doi: 10.1007/s00013-020-01445-4.
18. K. H. Zhu, *Analysis on Fock spaces*, New York: Springer, 2012. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8801-0.



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)