

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/Math

AIMS Mathematics, 7(1): 104–120.

DOI: 10.3934/math.2022006 Received: 29 August 2021 Accepted: 26 September 2021 Published: 30 September 2021

#### Research article

# A characterization for totally real submanifolds using self-adjoint differential operator

# Mohd. Aquib<sup>1</sup>, Amira A. Ishan<sup>2,\*</sup>, Meraj Ali Khan<sup>3</sup> and Mohammad Hasan Shahid<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sri Venkateshwara College, University of Delhi, New Delhi 110021, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of tabuk, Tabuk, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
- Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi 110025, India
- \* Correspondence: Email: a.ishan@tu.edu.sa.

**Abstract:** In this article, we study totally real submanifolds in Kaehler product manifold with constant scalar curvature using self-adjoint differential operator  $\Box$ . Under this setup, we obtain a characterization result. Moreover, we discuss  $\delta$ -invariant properties of such submanifolds and get an obstruction result as an application of the inequality derived. The results in the article are supported by non-trivial examples.

**Keywords:** totally real submanifolds; self-adjoint differential operator; Kaehler product manifold;  $\delta$ -invariant

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C05, 53C20, 53C40

#### 1. Introduction

Totally real submanifolds are one of the typical classes of submanifolds of Kaehler manifold. In 1974, B. Y. Chen and K. Ogiue [10] started the study of the totally real submanifolds from the point of view of their curvatures. Due to its geometrical importance, many geometers studied totally real submanifolds from the different point of views and various results were obtained in different ambient spaces [6,9,13,18]. Kaehler product manifold also attracts the attention of geometers toward itself [24]. S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau [11] obtained many well-known results introducing a self-adjoint differential

operator □ defined by

$$\Box f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (nH\delta_{ij} - \zeta_{ij}^{n+1}) f_{ij}, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f \in C^2(\mathcal{N}), (f_{ij})$  is Hessian of f, H mean curvature, and  $\zeta_{ij}^{n+1}$  is the coefficients of second fundamental form  $\zeta$ .

Using this differential operator H. Li [15] obtained a rigidity result for hypersurfaces in space forms with constant scalar curvature. In 2013, X. Gua and H. Li [17] extended the use of the operator for submanifolds and obtained interesting results for submanifolds with constant scalar curvature in a unit sphere.

Motivated by X. Gua and H. Li, we study the totally real submanifolds of Kaehler product manifold with constant scalar curvature using self-adjoint differential operator  $\Box$  and obtain a characterization result.

Further, we study  $\delta$ -invariant totally real submanifolds in same setting and prove some results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(\overline{\mathcal{N}}^m, J_m, g_m)$  and  $(\overline{\mathcal{N}}^p, J_p, g_p)$  are Kaehler manifolds of complex dimension m and complex dimension p respectively. Let  $J_m$  and  $g_m$  be almost complex structure and metric tensor on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^m$  respectively and  $J_p$  and  $g_p$  almost complex structure and metric tensor on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^p$  respectively. Further, let us assume  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^m(c_1)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}^p(c_2)$  are complex space forms with constant holomorphic sectional curvatures  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  respectively.

We suppose  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{N}^m(c_1) \times \overline{N}^p(c_2)$  the Kaehlerian product manifold with complex dimension (m + p). Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  and Q the projection operators of the tangent space of  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2)$  to the tangent spaces of  $\overline{N}^m(c_1)$  and  $\overline{N}^p(c_2)$  respectively. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}, \quad Q^2 = Q, \quad \mathcal{P}Q = Q\mathcal{P} = 0.$$

By setting  $F = \mathcal{P} - Q$ , it can be easily shown that  $F^2 = I$ . Thus, F is an almost product structure on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ . Moreover, for a Riemannian metric g on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$  we have [20]

$$g(E, F) = g_m(\mathcal{P}E, \mathcal{P}F) + g_p(QE, QF),$$

for all vector fields E, F on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ . We also have

$$g(FE, F) = g(FF, E).$$

If we assume  $JE = J_m \mathcal{P}E + J_p \mathcal{Q}E$  for any vector field E of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ . Then from [20], we see that

$$J_m \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} J, \ J_p Q = Q J, \ F J = J F,$$
  
 $J^2 = -I, \ g(J E, J F) = g(E, F), \ \overline{\nabla}_E J = 0.$ 

Therefore, J is a Kaehlerian structure on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ . Let  $\overline{R}$  be the Riemannian curvature tensor of a Kaehler product manifold  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ . Then [24]

$$\overline{R}(E, F, G, W) = \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[g(F, G)g(E, W) - g(E, G)g(F, W)]$$

$$+ g(JF,G)g(JE,W) - g(JE,G)g(JF,W) + 2g(JE,F)g(JG,W) + 2g(FF,G)g(FE,W) - g(FE,G)g(FF,W) + g(FJF,G)g(FJE,W) - g(FJE,G)g(FJF,W) + 2g(FE,JF)g(FJG,W)] +  $\frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[g(FF,G)g(E,W) - g(FE,G)g(F,W) + g(F,G)g(FE,W) - g(E,G)g(FF,W) + g(FJF,G)g(JE,W) - g(FJE,G)g(JF,W) + g(JF,G)g(FJE,W) - g(JE,G)g(FJF,W) + 2g(FE,JF)g(JG,W) + 2g(E,JF)g(FJG,W)],$  (2.1)$$

for any vector fields E, F and G on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a real n-dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a (m+p)-dimensional Kaehlerian product manifold  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1,c_2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be totally real submanifold of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1,c_2)$  if  $JT_x(\mathcal{N}) \perp T_x(\mathcal{N})$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  where  $T_x(\mathcal{N})$  denotes the tangent space to  $\mathcal{N}$  at  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Let g be the metric tensor field on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$  as well as that induced on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Also, we denote by  $\overline{\nabla}(\text{resp. }\nabla)$  the Levi-Civita connection on  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)(\text{resp. }\mathcal{N})$ . Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_E F = \nabla_E F + \zeta(E, F), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_E N = -\Lambda_N E + \nabla_E^{\perp} N, \tag{2.3}$$

for all E, F tangent to  $\mathcal{N}$  and vector field N normal to  $\mathcal{N}$ , where  $\zeta, \nabla_E^{\perp}$  and  $\Lambda_N$  denote the second fundamental form, normal connection and shape operator respectively. The relation between the second fundamental form and the shape operator is given by

$$g(\zeta(E,F),N) = g(\Lambda_N E,F). \tag{2.4}$$

We choose a local field of orthonormal frames  $e_1, \ldots, e_n; e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m+p}; e_{1^*} = Je_1, \ldots, e_{n^*} = Je_n;$   $e_{(n+1)^*} = Je_{n+1}; e_{(m+p)^*} = Je_{m+p}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$  in such a way that restricted to  $\mathcal{N}$ , the vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{N}$ . With respect to this frame field of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$ , let  $\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^n; \omega^{n+1}, \ldots, \omega^{m+p}; \omega^{1^*}, \ldots, \omega^{n^*}; \omega^{(n+1)^*}, \ldots, \omega^{(m+p)^*}$  be the field of dual frames. Unless otherwise stated, we use the following conventions over the range of indices:

$$A, B, C, D = 1, ..., m + p, 1^*, ..., (m + p)^*;$$
  
 $i, j, k, l, t, s = 1, ..., n;$   
 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = n + 1, ..., m + p; 1^*, ..., (m + p)^*;$   
 $\lambda, \mu, \nu = n + 1, ..., m + p.$ 

Then the mean curvature vector H is defined as

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha},$$
 where  $H^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \zeta_{ii}^{\alpha}.$  (2.5)

Also, the structure equations of  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(c_1, c_2)$  are given by [24]

$$\begin{cases} d\omega^{A} = -\omega_{B}^{A}\omega^{B}, & \omega_{B}^{A} + \omega_{A}^{B} = 0, \\ \omega_{j}^{i} + \omega_{i}^{j} = 0, & \omega_{j}^{i} = \omega_{j^{\star}}^{i^{\star}}, & \omega_{j}^{i^{\star}} = \omega_{i}^{j^{\star}}, \\ \omega_{\mu}^{\lambda} + \omega_{\lambda}^{\mu} = 0, & \omega_{\mu}^{\lambda} = \omega_{\mu^{\star}}^{\lambda^{\star}}, & \omega_{\mu}^{\lambda^{\star}} = \omega_{\lambda}^{\mu^{\star}}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6)$$

$$\begin{cases}
\omega_{\lambda}^{i} + \omega_{i}^{\lambda} = 0, & \omega_{\mu}^{i} = \omega_{\mu^{\star}}^{i^{\star}}, & \omega_{\lambda}^{i^{\star}} = \omega_{i}^{\lambda^{\star}}, \\
d\omega_{B}^{A} = -\omega_{C}^{A}\omega_{B}^{C} + \phi_{B}^{A}, & \phi_{B}^{A} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{R}_{BCD}^{A}\omega^{C} \wedge \omega^{D}.
\end{cases} (2.7)$$

Restricting these forms to  $\mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$\omega^{\alpha} = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$d\omega^i = -\omega_k^i \wedge \omega^k,\tag{2.9}$$

$$d\omega_j^i = -\omega_k^i \wedge \omega_j^k + \Omega_j^i, \qquad \Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2} R_{jkl}^i \omega^k \wedge \omega^l.$$
 (2.10)

Since  $0 = d\omega^{\alpha} = -\omega_i^{\alpha} \wedge \omega^i$ , by Cartan's Lemma we get

$$\omega_i^{\alpha} = \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega^j, \qquad \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} = \zeta_{ji}^{\alpha}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$d\omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} = -\omega_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\beta}^{\gamma} + \Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \qquad \Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\beta k l}^{\alpha} \omega^{k} \wedge \omega^{l}.$$
 (2.12)

From (2.6) and (2.11) we find

$$\zeta_{ik}^{i^{\star}} = \zeta_{ik}^{j^{\star}} = \zeta_{ij}^{k^{\star}}. \tag{2.13}$$

The covariant derivative of  $\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}$  is given by

$$\zeta_{ijk}^{\alpha} = d\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} - \zeta_{il}^{\alpha}\omega_{i}^{l} - \zeta_{lj}^{\alpha}\omega_{i}^{l} + \zeta_{ij}^{\beta}\omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}. \tag{2.14}$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}$  of  $\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}$  is defined as

$$\Delta \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{k} \zeta_{ijkk}^{\alpha},\tag{2.15}$$

where we have put  $\zeta_{ijkl}^{\alpha}\omega^{l} = d\zeta_{ijk}^{\alpha} - \zeta_{ljk}^{\alpha}\omega_{i}^{l} - \zeta_{ilk}^{\alpha}\omega_{j}^{l} - \zeta_{ijk}^{\beta}\omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ . Now, from [17] we have a trace-free linear map  $\Theta^{\alpha}: T_{x}\mathcal{N} \to T_{x}\mathcal{N}$  given by

$$g(\Theta^{\alpha}E, F) = g(\Lambda^{\alpha}E, F) - H^{\alpha}(E, F),$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and the shape operator  $\Lambda^{\alpha}$  of  $e_{\alpha}$  is given by

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}(e_i) = -\sum_j g(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}e_{\alpha}, e_j)e_j = \sum_j \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}e_j,$$

and  $\Theta$  is a bilinear map  $\Theta: T_x \mathcal{N} \times T_x \mathcal{N} \to T_x^{\perp} \mathcal{N}$  defined by

$$\Theta(E, F) = \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{m+p} g(\Theta^{\alpha}E, F)e_{\alpha}.$$
 (2.16)

Then we have  $|\Theta|^2 = |\Lambda|^2 - nH^2$ , where  $H^2 = \sum_{\alpha} (H^{\alpha})^2$ .

The Gauss equation is given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \overline{R}_{ijkl} + \sum_{\alpha} (\zeta_{ik}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jl}^{\alpha} - \zeta_{il}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha}). \tag{2.17}$$

From (2.1) and Gauss equation, we obtain

$$2\tau - \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)n(n+1) = n^2H^2 - |\Lambda|^2,$$
(2.18)

where  $\tau$  is scalar curvature.

The Codazzi and the Ricci equation are respectively

$$\zeta_{ij,k}^{\alpha} = \zeta_{ik,l}^{\alpha},\tag{2.19}$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp} = \sum_{k} (\zeta_{ik}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kj}^{\beta} - \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ki}^{\beta}). \tag{2.20}$$

Then, by using Codazzi equation one can easily see that the operator □ is self-adjoint. That is

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} \Box f dv = 0, f \in C^2(\mathcal{N}). \tag{2.21}$$

Since we have constant scalar curvature, Eq (2.18) implies that

$$|\nabla \Lambda|^2 = n^2 |\nabla H^2|. \tag{2.22}$$

We can choose a unit normal vector field  $e_{n+1}$  which is parallel to H. Hence we have [16]

$$H^{n+1} = H, H^{\alpha} = 0 (n+2 \le \alpha \le m+p), (2.23)$$

$$\Theta_{ij}^{n+1} = \zeta_{ij}^{n+1} - H\delta_{ij}, \quad \Theta_{ij}^{\alpha} = \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}, \quad (n+2 \le \alpha \le m+p).$$
 (2.24)

Now, we quote the following lemmas for later use.

**Lemma 2.2.** [19] Let  $B: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a symmetric linear map such that trB = 0, then

$$-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|B|^3 \le trB^3 \le \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|B|^3,$$

where  $|B|^2 = trB^2$ , and the equality holds if and only if at least n-1 eigenvalues of B are equal.

**Lemma 2.3.** [21] Let  $C, B : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a symmetric linear map such that [C, B] = 0 and trC = trB = 0, then

$$-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|C|^2|B| \le tr(C^2B) \le \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|C|^2|B|.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [14] Let  $B^1, B^2, \ldots, B^m$ , be symmetric  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Set  $S_{\alpha\beta} = tr(B^{\alpha}B^{\beta}), S_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha\alpha}, S = \sum_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} |B^{\alpha}B^{\beta} - B^{\beta}B^{\alpha}|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \le \frac{3}{2} (\sum_{\alpha} S_{\alpha})^2.$$

# 3. Main Theorem

This section is devoted to the proof of main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathbb{N}^n$  be a totally real submanifold in Kaehlerian product manifold  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{\mathbb{N}}_1^m(c_1) \times \overline{\mathbb{N}}_2^p(c_2)$ ,  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ , with constant scalar curvature. If trF vanishes, then  $\mathbb{N}$  is totally geodesic.

For proving that result, we need to prove the following preliminary Lemmas. Since F is symmetric and J is skew-symmetric, following result is obvious.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let N be a totally real submanifold in Kaehler product manifold  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{N}_1^m(c_1) \times \overline{N}_2^p(c_2)$ , then trFJ = trJF = 0.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let N be a totally real submanifold in Kaehler product manifold  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{N}_1^m(c_1) \times \overline{N}_2^p(c_2)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Lambda|^{2} = |\nabla\Lambda|^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kkij}^{\alpha} 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} + c_{2}) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n + 9 + 6(trF)^{2})tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2} - (3 + (trF)^{2}) \right] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} - c_{2}) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n + 1)(trF)tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2} - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^{2} \right] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} + c_{2}) \sum_{t} \left[ (4(trF)^{2} - 2)tr\Lambda_{t}^{2} - (1 + (trF)^{2})(tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} \right] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} - c_{2}) \sum_{t} \left[ 2(trF)tr\Lambda_{t}^{2} - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} \right] 
- \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^{2} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} nH^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha}.$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* From [12], we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha,i,j} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \Delta \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} &= \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kkij}^{\alpha} - \overline{R}_{ij\beta}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kk}^{\beta} + 4 \overline{R}_{\beta ki}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\beta} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \\ &- \overline{R}_{k\beta k}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ji}^{\beta} + 2 \overline{R}_{kik}^{l} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} + 2 \overline{R}_{ijk}^{l} \zeta_{ik}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}) \end{split}$$

$$-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,l} (\zeta_{ik}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\beta} - \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ik}^{\beta}) (\zeta_{il}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jl}^{\beta} - \zeta_{jl}^{\alpha} \zeta_{il}^{\beta})$$

$$-\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,l} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k,l} \zeta_{ji}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ki}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kj}^{\beta} \zeta_{ll}^{\beta}.$$
(3.2)

On the other hand, one has

$$\sum_{\alpha,i,j} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \Delta \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\Lambda|^2 - |\nabla \Lambda|^2.$$
(3.3)

By using Eqs (2.5), (2.20) and (3.3) in (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Lambda|^{2} = |\nabla\Lambda|^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kkij}^{\alpha} 
+ \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} (-\overline{R}_{ij\beta}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kk}^{\beta} + 4\overline{R}_{\beta ki}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\beta} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} - \overline{R}_{k\beta k}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\beta} 
+ 2\overline{R}_{kik}^{l} \zeta_{lj}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} + 2\overline{R}_{ijk}^{l} \zeta_{lk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^{2} 
- \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} nH^{\beta} \zeta_{kj}^{\beta} \zeta_{ji}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ki}^{\alpha}.$$
(3.4)

Using (2.1) and Lemma 3.2, we now compute the values of curvature terms involving  $\overline{R}$  of the Eq (3.4) as follows:

$$\overline{R}_{ij\beta}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kk}^{\beta} = g(\overline{R}(e_j, e_{\beta})e_i, e_{\alpha}) \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kk}^{\beta} 
= \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) \left[ \sum_{\alpha} tr \Lambda_{\alpha}^2 - 3 \sum_{t} (tr \Lambda_t)^2 \right] 
- 3 \sum_{t} (trF)^2 (tr \Lambda_t)^2 - \sum_{\alpha} (trF)^2 (tr \Lambda_{\alpha})^2 
+ \frac{1}{16} (c_1 - c_2) \left[ -2(trF) \sum_{\alpha} (tr \Lambda_{\alpha})^2 \right] 
- \sum_{t} 6(trF) (tr \Lambda_t)^2 .$$
(3.5)

Similarly we obtain,

$$\overline{R}_{\beta k i}^{\alpha} \zeta_{j k}^{\beta} \zeta_{i j}^{\alpha} = g(\overline{R}(e_{k}, e_{i})e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}) \zeta_{j k}^{\beta} \zeta_{i j}^{\alpha} 
= \frac{1}{16} (c_{1} + c_{2}) \left[ \sum_{t} (tr\Lambda_{t}^{2}) - \sum_{t} (tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha} (tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) \right] 
+ \sum_{t} (trF)^{2} (tr\Lambda_{t}^{2}) - \sum_{t} (trF)^{2} (tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} 
+ \frac{1}{16} (c_{1} - c_{2}) \left[ 2 \sum_{t} (trF) (tr\Lambda_{t}^{2}) - 2 \sum_{t} (trF) (tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} \right],$$
(3.6)

$$\overline{R}_{k\beta k}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\beta} = g(\overline{R}(e_{\beta}, e_{k})e_{k}, e_{\alpha})\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} + c_{2})[(n - 1)\sum_{\alpha}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) + \sum_{t} 6(tr\Lambda_{t}^{2})$$

$$+ 2\sum_{\alpha}(trF)^{2}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2})]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} - c_{2})[(n + 1)\sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2})$$

$$+ \sum_{t} 6(trF)(tr\Lambda_{t}^{2})], \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{R}_{kik}^{l}\zeta_{lj}^{\alpha}\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} &= g(\overline{R}(e_{i},e_{k})e_{k},e_{l})\zeta_{lj}^{\alpha}\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{16}(c_{1}+c_{2})\left[\sum_{\alpha}n(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) + \sum_{\alpha}2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) + \sum_{\alpha}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2})\right] + \frac{1}{16}(c_{1}-c_{2})\left[n\sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) - \sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2})\right], \end{split} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\overline{R}_{ijk}^{l}\zeta_{lk}^{\alpha}\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} = g(\overline{R}(e_{j}, e_{k})e_{i}, e_{l})\zeta_{lk}^{\alpha}\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} + c_{2})\left[\sum_{\alpha}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) - \sum_{\alpha}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha}(trF)^{2}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) - \sum_{\alpha}(trF)^{2}(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^{2}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1} - c_{2})\left[2\sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) - \sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2})\right]$$

$$- 2\sum_{\alpha}(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^{2}\right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, making use of Eqs (3.5)–(3.9) in (3.4), we get (3.1).

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* From (1.1) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\Box(nH) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\Lambda|^2 - n^2|\nabla H|^2 - \sum n\zeta_{ij}H_{,ij}.$$
 (3.10)

Now, using (3.1) in the above equation, we get

$$\Box(nH) = |\nabla \Lambda|^2 + \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kkij}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{16} (c_1 + c_2) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n + 9 + 6(trF)^2) tr \Lambda_{\alpha}^2 - (3 + (trF)^2) \right]$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1}-c_{2}) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n+1)(trF)tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2} - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^{2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1}+c_{2}) \sum_{t} \left[ (4(trF)^{2}-2)tr\Lambda_{t}^{2} - (1+(trF)^{2})(tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}(c_{1}-c_{2}) \sum_{t} \left[ 2(trF)tr\Lambda_{t}^{2} - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{t})^{2} \right] \\ &- \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^{2} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha}\zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} nH^{\beta}\zeta_{kl}^{\beta}\zeta_{jl}^{\alpha}\zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \\ &- n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2} - \sum_{i} n\zeta_{ij}H_{i,ij}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\Box(nH) = \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n + 9 + 6(trF)^2) tr \Lambda_{\alpha}^2 - (3 + (trF)^2) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2) \sum_{\alpha} \left[ (n + 1)(trF) tr \Lambda_{\alpha}^2 - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2) \sum_{t} \left[ (4(trF)^2 - 2) tr \Lambda_{t}^2 - (1 + (trF)^2)(tr\Lambda_{t})^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2) \sum_{t} \left[ 2(trF) tr \Lambda_{t}^2 - 2(trF)(tr\Lambda_{t})^2 \right]$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} nH^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha}.$$
(3.11)

A direct computation gives

$$\sum_{\alpha} (tr\Lambda_{\alpha})^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta_{ii}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jj}^{\alpha} = n^2 H^2.$$
 (3.12)

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{\alpha} (tr\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}) = \sum_{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} = |\Theta|^{2} + nH^{2}$$
(3.13)

and

$$\sum_{\alpha} (tr\Lambda_t^2) = \|\zeta^{\star}\|^2, \tag{3.14}$$

where  $\zeta_{ij}^{\star} = g(\zeta(e_i, e_j), e_{t^{\star}})$  and  $\zeta_{ij} = \overline{\zeta}_{ij} \oplus \zeta_{ij}^{\star}$ . Also we have

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^{2} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \left[ tr(\Lambda^{\alpha} \Lambda^{\beta}) \right]^{2} + \sum_{\alpha\neq n+1, \beta\neq n+1,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp})^{2}.$$

$$(3.15)$$

Using Lemma 2.4 in (3.15), we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\zeta_{ij}^{\alpha} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} (R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{+})^{2} &\leq \left[ tr(\Lambda^{n+1} \Lambda^{n+1}) \right]^{2} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\beta \neq n+1} (tr\Lambda^{n+1} \Lambda^{\beta})^{2} + \frac{3}{2} \left[ \sum_{\beta \neq n+1} |\Theta^{\beta}|^{2} \right]^{2} \\ &= \frac{5}{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{4} + 2nH^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + n^{2}H^{4} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\beta \neq n+1} (tr\Theta^{n+1} \Theta^{\beta})^{2} - 2(tr\Theta^{n+1} \Theta^{n+1})^{2} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} |\Theta|^{4} - 3|\Theta|^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{5}{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{4} + 2nH^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + n^{2}H^{4} \\ &+ 2|\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} (|\Theta|^{2} - |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2}) + \frac{3}{2} |\Theta|^{4} \\ &- 3|\Theta|^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{4} + 2nH^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + n^{2}H^{4} \\ &- |\Theta|^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + \frac{3}{2} |\Theta|^{4}. \end{split}$$

$$(3.16)$$

Taking into account the Eq (2.24), we derive

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k} H^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} H \zeta_{kl}^{n+1} \zeta_{jl}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha}$$

$$= Htr(\Theta^{n+1})^{3} + 3H^{2}(\Theta^{n+1})^{2} + nH^{4}$$

$$+ 3tr\Theta^{n+1}H^{2} + \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{m+p} H^{2}|\Theta^{\alpha}|^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=n+2}^{m+p} \sum_{i,j,k} H\Theta_{ij}^{n+1} \Theta_{jk}^{\alpha} \Theta_{ki}^{\alpha}. \tag{3.17}$$

Taking Lemma 2.2 and Eq (3.17) into account, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k} H^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} \zeta_{jl}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \ge -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{3} |H| + 3H^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + nH^{4} + (\sum_{\alpha=n+2} H^{2} |\Theta^{\alpha}|^{2} - H^{2} |\Theta^{n+1}|^{2}) + \sum_{\alpha=n+2} Htr(\Theta^{n+1})(\Theta^{\alpha})^{2}.$$
(3.18)

Which by virtue of Lemma 2.3 and (3.18), yields

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k} H^{\beta} \zeta_{kl}^{\beta} \zeta_{jl}^{\alpha} \zeta_{jk}^{\alpha} \ge -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\Theta^{n+1}|^3 |H| + 3H^2 |\Theta^{n+1}|^2 + nH^4$$

$$+ H^{2}|\Theta|^{2} - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sum_{\alpha=n+2} |\Theta^{n+1}| |\Theta^{\alpha}|^{2} |H|$$

$$= 2H^{2}|\Theta^{n+1}|^{2} + H^{2}|\Theta|^{2} + nH^{4}$$

$$- \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\Theta^{n+1}| |\Theta|^{2} |H|.$$
(3.19)

Now, substituting (3.12)–(3.14), (3.16) and (3.19) in (3.11), we find

$$\Box(nH) \ge \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(n + 9 + 6(trF)^2)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2) - 3 - (trF)^2] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[(trF)(n|\Theta|^2 - n^2H^2 + |\Theta|^2 + nH^2)] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(4(trF)^2 - 2)||\zeta^*||^2] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[2(trF)||\zeta^*||^2] - \frac{n - 2}{\sqrt{n(n - 1)}}|\Theta^{n+1}|\Theta|^2||H| 
+ nH^2|\Theta|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\Theta^{n+1}|^4 + |\Theta|^2|\Theta^{n+1}|^2 - \frac{3}{2}|\Theta|^4 
= \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(n + 9 + 6(trF)^2)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2) - 3 - (trF)^2] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[(trF)(n|\Theta|^2 - n^2H^2 + |\Theta|^2 + nH^2)] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(4(trF)^2 - 2)||\zeta^*||^2] 
+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[2(trF)||\zeta^*||^2] 
+ |\Theta|^2 \left[ -\frac{n - 2}{\sqrt{n(n - 1)}}|\Theta||H| + nH^2 - |\Theta|^2 \right] 
+ (|\Theta| - |\Theta^{n+1}|) \left[ \frac{n - 2}{\sqrt{n(n - 1)}}|\Theta|^2|H| 
- \frac{1}{2}(|\Theta| - |\Theta^{n+1}|)(|\Theta| + |\Theta^{n+1}|)^2 \right].$$
(3.20)

It is known that [17],

$$(|\Theta| - |\Theta^{n+1}|) \left[ \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\Theta|^2 |H| - \frac{1}{2} (|\Theta| - |\Theta^{n+1}|) (|\Theta| + |\Theta^{n+1}|)^2 \right] \ge 0.$$

Therefore, from (3.20) we have

$$\Box(nH) \ge \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(n+9+6(trF)^2)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2) - 3 - (trF)^2]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[(trF)(n|\Theta|^2 - n^2H^2 + |\Theta|^2 + nH^2)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(4(trF)^2 - 2)||\zeta^*||^2]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16}(c_1 - c_2)[2(trF)||\zeta^{\star}||^2] + |\Theta|^2 \left[ -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\Theta||H| + nH^2 - |\Theta|^2 \right].$$
 (3.21)

Since,  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and trF = 0. Then the above inequality implies the following inequality

$$\Box(nH) \ge \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(n+9)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2) - 3 - 2||\zeta^*||^2]$$

$$+ |\Theta|^2 \left[ -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\Theta||H| + nH^2 - |\Theta|^2 \right]$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)[(n+9)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2)] + nH^2|\Theta|^2. \tag{3.22}$$

From (2.21) we have  $\int_{\mathcal{N}} \Box (nH) dv = 0$ . Thus we have following two cases:

Case 1:

$$|\Theta|^2 + nH^2 = 0$$
 and  $nH^2|\Theta|^2 = 0$ 

which yields  $\Lambda = 0$  and H = 0. Thus, the submanifold is totally geodesic.

Case 2:

$$\frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)(n+9)(|\Theta|^2 + nH^2) = -nH^2|\Theta|^2$$

which implies that  $\Lambda = 0$  and H = 0. It is again totally geodesic.

Hence, we have our assertion.

Now, we give an example in the support of the Theorem 3.1.

*Example.* It is known that the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n(1)$  is totally geodesic submanifold of the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n(4)$  [3]. Also from [23] we know that, if  $N_1$  is any submanifolds of Kaehler manifold  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is any submanifold of Kaehler manifold  $M_2$ , then the natural product  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  is a submanifold of the Kaehler product manifold  $M_1 = M_1 \times M_2$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{R}P^n(1) \times \mathbb{R}P^n(1)$  is a submanifolds of the Kaehler product manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^n(4) \times \mathbb{C}P^n(4)$ , which satisfies all the hypothesis of the Theorem 3.1 and indeed totally geodesic.

Remark 3.4. In the above example, it can be noticed that trF vanishes, due to the fact that the projection operators  $\mathcal{P}$  and Q coincide.

### 4. $\delta$ -invariant totally real submanifold in Kaehler product manifold

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $K(\pi)$  denotes the sectional curvature of  $\mathcal{N}$  of the plane section  $\pi \subset T_x \mathcal{N}$  at a point  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  and  $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2(m+p)}\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $T_x \mathcal{N}$  and  $T_x^{\perp} \mathcal{N}$  at any  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , then the scalar curvature  $\tau$  at that point is given by

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} K(e_i \wedge e_j).$$

If we consider that L is an r-dimensional subspace of TN,  $r \ge 2$ , and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r\}$  is an orthonormal basis of L. Then the scalar curvature of the r-plane section L is given as

$$\tau(L) = \sum_{1 \le \gamma < \beta \le r} K(e_{\gamma} \wedge e_{\beta}), \qquad 1 \le \gamma, \beta \le r, \tag{4.1}$$

for  $n \ge 3$  and  $k \ge 1$ . Let us assume  $\mathfrak{S}(n,k)$  the finite set consisting of k-tuples  $(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$  of integers satisfying

$$2 \le n_1, \dots, n_k < n \text{ and } n_1 + \dots + n_k \le n.$$

Also denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(n)$  the union  $\bigcup_{k>1} \mathfrak{S}(n,k)$ .

For each  $(n_1, ..., n_k) \in \mathfrak{S}(n)$  and each point  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , B. Y. Chen [8] introduced a Riemannian invariant  $\delta(n_1, ..., n_k)(x)$  defined by

$$\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)(x) = \tau(x) - \inf\{\tau(L_1) + \dots + \tau(L_k)\},\tag{4.2}$$

where  $L_1, ..., L_k$  run over all k mutually orthogonal subspaces of  $T_x \mathcal{N}$  such that  $dim L_j = \sum n_j$ , j = 1, ..., k.

We recall the following Lemma [7]:

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, a_{n+1}$  be n+1 real numbers such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^2 = (n-1)\left(a_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Then  $2a_1a_2 \ge a_{n+1}$ , with equality holding if and only if  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_n$ .

In this section we state and prove the following.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let N be a totally real submanifold in Kaehler product manifold  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{N}_1^m(c_1) \times \overline{N}_2^p(c_2)$  and if  $tr\mathcal{P}$  coincides with  $tr\mathcal{Q}$ , then

$$\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) \le \frac{n^2(n+k-1-\sum n_j)}{2(n+k-\sum n_j)} H^2 + \frac{1}{32} \left[ n(n+1) - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j(n_j+1) \right] (c_1+c_2), \tag{4.4}$$

and the equality holds in (4.4) if and only if at a point  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_{2(m+p)}$  at x such that the shape operator of  $\mathbb{N}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}(c_1, c_2)$  at x takes the forms:

$$\Lambda_r = \begin{pmatrix}
\Lambda_1^r & \dots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & O \\
0 & \dots & \Lambda_k^r \\
O & & \mu_r I
\end{pmatrix}, r = n+1, \dots, 2(m+p), \tag{4.5}$$

where O is a null matrix, I is an identity matrix and each  $\Lambda_j^r$  is a symmetric  $n_j \times n_j$  submatrix such that

$$tr(\Lambda_1^r) = \dots = tr(\Lambda_k^r) = \mu_r.$$
 (4.6)

Proof. We put

$$\varepsilon = 2\tau - \frac{1}{16}(c_1 + c_2)n(n+1) - \frac{n^2(n+k-1-\sum n_j)}{2(n+k-\sum n_j)}H^2.$$
 (4.7)

By combining (2.18) and (4.7), we obtain

$$n^2H^2=(n+k-\sum n_j)(\varepsilon+|\Lambda|^2).$$

With respect to the orthonormal basis, the last equation can be written as

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^{2} = (n+k-\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{j})(\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\zeta_{ii}^{n+1})^{2} + \sum_{i\neq j} (\zeta_{ij}^{n+1})^{2} + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\zeta_{ij}^{r})^{2}), \tag{4.8}$$

which implies that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{2} = (n+k-\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i})(\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i})^{2} + \sum_{i\neq j} (\zeta_{ij}^{n+1})^{2} + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\zeta_{ij}^{r})^{2}\right). \tag{4.9}$$

Now, let us set

$$\Delta_1 = \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \dots, \Delta_k = \{n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_k\},\$$

and

$$\overline{a}_1 = a_1, \overline{a}_2 = a_2 + \dots + a_{n_1}, 
\overline{a}_3 = a_{n_1+1} + \dots + a_{n_1+n_2}, \dots, \overline{a}_{k+1} = a_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1} + \dots + a_{n_1+\dots+n_k}, 
\overline{a}_{k+2} = a_{n_1+\dots+n_k+1}, \dots, \overline{a}_{n+k+1-\sum n_j} = a_n.$$

Then Eq (4.9) is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+k+1-\sum n_j} \overline{a}_i\right)^2 = (n+k-\sum n_j)(\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n+k+1-\sum n_j} (\overline{a}_i)^2 + \sum_{i\neq j} (\zeta_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\zeta_{ij}^r)^2) - \sum_{2\leq \alpha_1 \neq \beta_1 \leq n_1} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\beta_1} - \sum_{\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1} a_{\alpha_2} a_{\beta_2}$$

$$-\cdots - \sum_{\alpha_k \neq \beta_k} a_{\alpha_k} a_{\beta_k}, \tag{4.10}$$

where  $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \Delta_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \Delta_k$ . Using Lemma 4.1 in (4.10) yields

$$\sum_{\alpha_{1} < \beta_{1}} a_{\alpha_{1}} a_{\beta_{1}} - \sum_{\alpha_{2} < \beta_{2}} a_{\alpha_{2}} a_{\beta_{2}} - \dots - \sum_{\alpha_{k} < \beta_{k}} a_{\alpha_{k}} a_{\beta_{k}}$$

$$\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{i \neq i} (\zeta_{ij}^{n+1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (\zeta_{ij}^{r})^{2}, \tag{4.11}$$

where  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \Delta_j, j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Furthermore, combining (4.1) with the Gauss equation, we obtain

$$\tau(L_j) = \frac{1}{32} n_j (n_j + 1)(c_1 + c_2) + \sum_{r=n+1}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{\alpha_j < \beta_j} (\zeta_{\alpha_j \alpha_j}^r \zeta_{\beta_j \beta_j}^r - (\zeta_{\alpha_j \beta_j}^r)^2).$$
(4.12)

Combining (4.11) and (4.12) gives

$$\tau(L_{1}) + \dots + \tau(L_{k}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}(n_{j} + 1)(c_{1} + c_{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\notin\Delta^{2}} (\zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{r})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{2(m+p)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\sum_{\alpha_{j}\in\Delta_{j}} \zeta_{\alpha_{j}\alpha_{j}}^{r})^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{32} \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_{j}(n_{j} + 1)(c_{1} + c_{2}), \tag{4.13}$$

where  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_k, \Delta^2 = (\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\Delta_k \times \Delta_k)$ .

Thus, Eqs (4.2), (4.7) and (4.13) imply (4.4).

Moreover, equality in (4.11) and (4.13) holds at a point x, if it holds for (4.4) at a point x. In this case from Lemma 4.1 and Eqs (4.10)–(4.13), we have (4.5) and (4.6). A straightforward computation yields the converse part.

Example. Due to the fact that the real hyperbolic space  $H^n(1)$  can be isometrically embedded in the complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{C}H^n(-4)$  as a totally real totally geodesic submanifold of minimal codimension [22]. It follows that  $N = RP^n(1) \times RP^n(1)$  is a totally real submanifold of  $M = HP^n(4) \times HP^n(4)$ . This submanifold satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 4.2. In this case the inequality is satisfied with equality at all points.

Theorem 4.2 yields the following obstruction result.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let N be a totally real submanifold in Kaehler product manifold  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2) = \overline{N}_1^n(c_1) \times \overline{N}_2^p(c_2)$  and if trF vanishes, then for  $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ , N can not be minimally immersed in  $\overline{N}(c_1, c_2)$ .

#### 5. Conclusions

We characterized totally real submanifold using self-adjoint differential operator. The self-adjoint differential operators are mainly used in functional analysis and quantum mechanics. In quantum mechanics their importance lies in the Drac-Von Neumann formulation of quantum mechanics in which momentum, angular momentum and spin are represented by self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space. A self-adjoint differential operator is an important class of unbounded operators. Therefore, we can use such operator for infinite dimensional cases and we resemble the finite dimensional case. Thus, use of the operator for such characterization may open a new path to link results in differential geometry with quantum mechanics as well as well with functional analysis.

### **Acknowledgments**

This work is supported by Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/223), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

#### References

- 1. A. H. Alkhaldi, A. Ali, Geometry of bi-warped product submanifolds of nearly trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Mathematics*, **9** (2021), 847. doi: 10.3390/math9080847.
- 2. N. Alluhaibi, A. Ali, I. Ahmad, On differential equations characterizing Legendrian submanifolds of Sasakian space forms, *Mathematics*, **8** (2020), 150. doi: 10.3390/math8020150.
- 3. M. Aquib, J. W. Lee, G. E. Vilcu, D. W. Yoon, Classification of Casorati ideal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms, *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, **63** (2019), 30–49. doi: 10.1016/j.difgeo.2018.12.006.
- 4. M. Atceken, CR-submanifolds of Kaehlerian product manifolds, *Balk. J. Geom. Appl.*, **12** (2007), 8–20. doi: 10.1142/9789812708908\_0018.
- 5. M. Atceken, S. Keles, On the CR-submanifolds of Kaehler product manifolds, *Differ. Geom. Dyn. Sys.*, **10** (2008), 21–31.
- 6. D. Blair, On the geometric meaning of the Bochner tensor, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **4** (1975), 33–38. doi: 10.1007/BF00147399.
- 7. B. Y. Chen, Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, *Arch. Math.*, **60** (1993), 568–578. doi: 10.1007/BF01236084.
- 8. B. Y. Chen, Some new obstruction to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions, *Jpn. J. Math.*, **26** (1993), 105–127. doi: 10.4099/math1924.26.105.
- 9. B. Y. Chen, Riemannian geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, **5** (2001), 681–723. doi: 10.11650/twjm/1500574989.

- 10. B. Y. Chen, K. Ogiue, On totally real submanifolds, *T. Am. Math. Soc.*, **193** (1974), 257–266. doi: 10.2307/1996914.
- 11. S. Y. Cheng, S. T. Yau, Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, *Math. Ann.*, **225** (1977), 195–204. doi: 10.1007/BF01425237.
- 12. S. S. Chern, M. P. do Carmo, S. Kobayshi, Minimal submanifolds of sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, Springer-Verlag, 1970, 59–75. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-25588-5\_5.
- 13. U. H. Ki, Y. H. Kim, Totally real submanifolds of a complex space form, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **19** (1996), 39–44. doi: 10.1155/S0161171296000075.
- 14. A. M. Li, J. M. Li, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math.*, **58** (1992), 582–594. doi: 10.1007/BF01193528.
- 15. H. Li, Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms, *Math. Ann.*, **305** (1996), 665–672. doi: 10.1007/BF01444243.
- 16. H. Li, Willmore submanifolds in a sphere, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **9** (2002), 771–790. doi: 10.4310/MRL.2002.v9.n6.a6.
- 17. X. Guo, H. Li, Submanifolds with constant scalar curvature in a unit sphere, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **65** (2013), 331–339. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1378991019.
- 18. G. D. Ludden, M. Okumura, K. Yano, A totally real surface in *CP*<sup>2</sup> that is not totally geodesic, *P. Am. Math. Soc.*, **53** (1975), 186–190. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-1975-0380683-0.
- 19. M. Okumura, Hypersurface and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, *Am. J. Math.*, **96** (1974), 207–213. doi: 10.2307/2373587.
- 20. B. Sahin, S. Keles, Slant submanifolds of Kaehler product manifolds, *Turk. J. Math.*, **31** (2007), 65–77.
- 21. W. Santos, Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector in spheres, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **46** (1994), 403–415. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178225720.
- 22. G. E. Vilcu, An optimal inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms involving Casorati curvature, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **465** (2018), 1209–1222. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.05.060.
- 23. K. Yano, M. Kon, Submanifolds of Kaehlerian product manifolds, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Mem. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Sez. Ia* (8), **15** (1979), 265–292.
- 24. K. Yano, M. Kon, Structures on Manifolds: Series in Pure Mathematics, World Scientific, 1984.



© 2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)