



Research article

Power bounded and power bounded below composition operators on Dirichlet Type spaces

Liu Yang¹ and Ruishen Qian^{2,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shanxi Xueqian Normal University, Xian 710100, shanxi, China

² School of Mathematics and Statistics Lingnan Normal University 524048, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, P. R. China

* **Correspondence:** qianruishen@sina.cn; Tel: +8613729162021; Fax: +8613729162021.

Abstract: Motivated by [11, 12], under some conditions on weighted function K , we investigated power bounded and power bounded below composition operators on Dirichlet Type spaces \mathcal{D}_K .

Keywords: composition operator; power bounded; Dirichlet Type spaces \mathcal{D}_K

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D45, 30D50

1. Introduction

As usual, let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $\partial\mathbb{D}$ be the boundary of \mathbb{D} , $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of functions analytic in \mathbb{D} and H^∞ be the set of bounded analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . Let $0 < p < \infty$. The Hardy space H^p (see [5]) is the sets of $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

Suppose that $K : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a right-continuous and nondecreasing function with $K(0) = 0$. The Dirichlet Type spaces \mathcal{D}_K , consists of those functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) < \infty.$$

The space \mathcal{D}_K has been extensively studied. Note that $K(t) = t$, it is Hardy spaces H^2 . When $K(t) = t^\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, it give the classical weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α . For more information on \mathcal{D}_K , we refer to [3, 7–10, 14–16, 19, 23].

Let ϕ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} . The composition operator C_ϕ on $H(\mathbb{D})$ is defined by

$$C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

It is an interesting problem to studying the properties related to composition operator acting on analytic function spaces. For example: Shapiro [17] introduced Nevanlinna counting functions studied the compactness of composition operator acting on Hardy spaces. Zorboska [23] studied the boundedness and compactness of composition operator on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α . El-Fallah, Kellay, Shabankhah and Youssfi [7] studied composition operator acting on Dirichlet type spaces D_α^p by level set and capacity. For general weighted function ω , Kellay and Lefèvre [9] using Nevanlinna type counting functions studied the boundedness and compactness of composition spaces on weighted Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_ω . After Kellay and Lefèvre's work, Pau and Pérez investigate more properties of composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α in [14]. For more information on composition operator, we refer to [4, 18].

We assume that \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space of analytic functions in the unit disc. Composition operator C_ϕ is called power bounded on \mathcal{H} if C_{ϕ^n} is bounded on \mathcal{H} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since power bounded composition operators is closely related to mean ergodic and some special properties (such as: stable orbits) of ϕ , it has attracted the attention of many scholars. Wolf [20, 21] studied power bounded composition operators acting on weighted type spaces H_v^∞ . Bonet and Domański [1, 2] proved that C_ϕ is power bounded if and only if C_ϕ is (uniformly) mean ergodic in real analytic manifold (or a connected domain of holomorphy in C^d). Keshavarzi and Khani-Robati [11] studied power bounded of composition operator acting on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α . Keshavarzi [12] investigated the power bounded below of composition operator acting on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α later. For more results related to power bounded composition operators acting on other function spaces, we refer to the paper cited and referin [1, 2, 11, 12, 20, 21].

We always assume that $K(0) = 0$, otherwise, \mathcal{D}_K is the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} . The following conditions play a crucial role in the study of weighted function K during the last few years (see [22]):

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\varphi_K(s)}{s} ds < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\varphi_K(s)}{s^2} ds < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\varphi_K(s) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} K(st)/K(t), \quad 0 < s < \infty.$$

Note that the weighted function K satisfies (1.1) and (1.2), it included many special case, such as $K(t) = t^p$, $0 < p < 1$, $K(t) = \log \frac{e}{t}$ and so on. Some special skills are needed in dealing with certain problems. Motivated by [11, 12], using several estimates on the weight function K , we studying power bounded composition operators acting on \mathcal{D}_K . In this paper, the symbol $a \approx b$ means that $a \lesssim b \lesssim a$. We say that $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant C such that $a \leq Cb$, where $a, b > 0$.

2. Power bounded of C_ϕ

We assume that \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space of analytic functions in the unit disc. Let $R \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\{R_\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{D}\}$ be an independent collection of reproducing kernels for \mathcal{H} . Here $R_\zeta(z) = R(\bar{\zeta}z)$. The reproducing kernels mean that $f(\zeta) = \langle f, R_\zeta \rangle$ for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $R_{K,z}$ be the reproducing kernels for

\mathcal{D}_K . By [3], we see that if K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), we have $\|R_{K,z}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(1-k^2)}}$. Before we go into further, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let K satisfies (1.1) and (1.2). Then*

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K(\frac{1}{n+1})} \approx \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)}$$

for all $0 \leq t < 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $4/5 < t < 1$. Since K is nondecreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K(\frac{1}{n+1})} &\approx \frac{1}{(\ln \frac{1}{t})K(\ln \frac{1}{t})} \int_{-\ln t}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma} K(\ln \frac{1}{t})}{K(\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{t})} d\gamma \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} \int_{\ln 2}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma} K(\ln \frac{1}{t})}{K(\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{t})} d\gamma \\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} \int_{\ln 2}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma} d\gamma \\ &\approx \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, make change of variables $y = \frac{1}{x}$, an easy computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K(\frac{1}{n+1})} &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x^2 K(x)} dx \\ &\approx \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x^2 K(x)} dx \approx \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t^y}{K(\frac{1}{y})} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $y = \frac{\gamma}{-\ln t}$. We can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K(\frac{1}{n+1})} &\approx \frac{1}{(\ln \frac{1}{t})} \int_{-\ln t}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma}}{K(\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{t})} d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{(\ln \frac{1}{t})K(\ln \frac{1}{t})} \int_{-\ln t}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma} K(\ln \frac{1}{t})}{K(\frac{1}{\gamma} \ln \frac{1}{t})} d\gamma \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} \int_{-\ln t}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma} \varphi_K(\gamma) d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By [6], under conditions (1.1) and (1.2), there exists an enough small $c > 0$ only depending on K such that

$$\varphi_K(s) \lesssim s^c, \quad 0 < s \leq 1$$

and

$$\varphi_K(s) \lesssim s^{1-c}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)} &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} \int_{-\ln t}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma} \varphi_K(\gamma) d\gamma \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \gamma^{2-c} d\gamma + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\gamma} \gamma^{1+c} d\gamma \right) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)} (\Gamma(3-c) + \Gamma(2+c)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. It follows that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{K\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-t)K(1-t)}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 1. *Let K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). Suppose that ϕ is an analytic self-map of unit disk which is not the identity or an elliptic automorphism. Then C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K if and only if ϕ has its Denjoy-Wolff point in \mathbb{D} and for every $0 < r < 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\int_{D(a,r)} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1-|a|^2)^2 K(1-|a|^2)} < \infty, \quad (\text{A})$$

where

$$D(a, r) = \left\{ z : \left| \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z} \right| < r \right\}, \quad 0 < r < 1$$

and

$$N_{\phi_n, K}(z) = \sum_{\phi_n(z_j)=w} K(1-|z_j(w)|^2).$$

Proof. Suppose that $w \in \mathbb{D}$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of ϕ and (A) holds. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(0) = w$. Hence, there is some $0 < r < 1$ such that $\{\phi_n(0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq r\mathbb{D}$. Thus,

$$|f(\phi_n(0))|^2 \lesssim \|R_{K, \phi_n(0)}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \lesssim \|R_{K,r}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_K.$$

From [24], we see that

$$1 - |a| \approx 1 - |z| \approx |1 - \bar{a}z|, \quad z \in D(a, r). \quad (\text{B})$$

Let $\{a_i\}$ be a r -lattice. By sub-mean properties of $|f'|$, combine with (B), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K} dA(z) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_i, r)} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_i, r)} \frac{1}{(1 - |a_i|)^2} \int_{D(a_i, l)} |f'(w)|^2 dA(w) N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_i, l)} |f'(w)|^2 \left(\int_{D(a_i, r)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1 - |a_i|)^2 K(1 - |a_i|^2)} \right) K(1 - |w|^2) dA(w) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_i, l)} |f'(w)|^2 K(1 - |w|^2) dA(w) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|f \circ \phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 = |f(\phi_n(0))|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K} dA(z) < \infty.$$

On the other hand. Suppose that C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K . Hence, for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|f(\phi_n(0))| \lesssim 1$. Hence, by [3], it is easily to see that $\|R_{K, \phi_n(0)}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(1 - |\phi_n(0)|^2)}} \lesssim 1$.

Note that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \|R_{K, z}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K} \approx \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K(1 - |z|)}} = \infty.$$

Therefore, we deduce that $\phi_n(0) \in r\mathbb{D}$, where $0 < r < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also note that if $w \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ is the Denjoy-Wolff point of ϕ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(0) = w$. Thus, $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Let

$$f_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{\bar{a} \sqrt{K(1 - |a|^2)}(1 - \bar{a}z)}.$$

It is easily to verify that $f_a \in \mathcal{D}_K$ and $f'_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{\sqrt{K(1 - |a|^2)}(1 - \bar{a}z)^2}$. Thus, combine with (B), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{D(a, r)} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1 - |a|^2)^2 K(1 - |a|^2)} & \lesssim \int_{D(a, r)} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{K(1 - |a|^2)|1 - \bar{a}z|^4} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{K(1 - |a|^2)|1 - \bar{a}z|^4} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\
& \lesssim \|f_a \circ \phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (A) hold. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2. Let K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). Suppose that ϕ is an analytic self-map of unit disk which is not the identity or an elliptic automorphism with w as its Denjoy-wolff point. Then C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K if and only if

- (1). $w \in \mathbb{D}$.
- (2). $\{\phi_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{D}_K .
- (3). If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|a| \geq \frac{1 + |\phi_n(0)|}{2}$, then $\frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(a)}{K(1 - |a|^2)} \lesssim 1$.

Proof. Suppose that C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K . By Theorem 1, we see that $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Note that $z \in \mathcal{D}_K$ and $\phi_n = C_{\phi_n} z$, we have (2) hold. Now, we are going to show (3) hold. Let $|a| \geq \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}$ and $\Delta(a) = \{z : |z - a| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - |a|)\}$. Thus,

$$|\phi_n(0)| < |z|, \quad z \in \Delta(a).$$

If K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). By [9], $N_{\phi_n, K}$ has sub-mean properties. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(a)}{K(1 - |a|^2)} &\lesssim \frac{\int_{\Delta(a)} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1 - |a|^2)^2 K(1 - |a|^2)} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Delta(a)} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{K(1 - |a|^2)|1 - \bar{a}z|^4} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{K(1 - |a|^2)|1 - \bar{a}z|^4} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f \circ \phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely. Suppose that (1)–(3) holds. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$. Note that $z \in \mathcal{D}_K$, $z' = 1$ and $\frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2} < 1$. By Lemma 1, we see that

$$\|R'_{K, \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \approx \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2})K(1 - \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2})} < \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{|z| \geq \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) + \int_{|z| < \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{|z| \geq \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) + \|R'_{K, \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \int_{|z| < \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}} N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 + \|R'_{K, \frac{1+|\phi_n(0)|}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Theorem 3. Let K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). Suppose that ϕ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} with Denjoy-Wolff point w and C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K . Then $f \in \Gamma_{c, K}(\phi)$ if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon(f)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2)} = 0, \quad (C)$$

where $\Gamma_{c, K}(\phi) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}_K : C_{\phi_n} f \text{ is convergent}\}$ and $\Omega_\epsilon(f) = \{z : (1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|^2 \geq \epsilon\}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ and (C) hold. For any $\delta > 0$, we choose $0 < \epsilon < \delta$ and ϵ is small enough such that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon(f)^c} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) < \delta.$$

By our assumption, we also know that for this ϵ , there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq N$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2)} dA(z) < \delta.$$

Since

$$|f'(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}}{(1 - |z|^2) \sqrt{K(1 - |z|^2)}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_K.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2)} dA(z) < \delta \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c \cap r\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c \setminus r\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c \cap r\mathbb{D}} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2)} dA(z) + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c \setminus r\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \epsilon \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c \cap r\mathbb{D}} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{(1 - r^2)^2 K(1 - r^2)} dA(z) + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c} |f'(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\ & < \delta \frac{\|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2}{(1 - r^2)^2 K(1 - r^2)} + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) + \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)^c} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \lesssim \left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 + \frac{\|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2}{(1 - r^2)^2 K(1 - r^2)} + 1 \right) \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ and w is the Denjoy-Wolff point of ϕ . Thus, $f \circ \phi_n \rightarrow f(w)$ uniform convergent and $f \in \Gamma_{c, K}(\phi)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) = 0.$$

Suppose there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that (C) dose not hold. There is a sequence $\{n_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and some $\eta > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}(f)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 K(1 - |z|^2)} > \eta.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega_\epsilon(f)} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \geq \epsilon \int_{\Omega_\epsilon(f)} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^2 K(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) > \eta\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

That is a contradiction. The proof is completed. \square

3. Power bounded below of C_ϕ

The composition operator C_ϕ is called power bounded below if there exists some $C > 0$ such that $\|C_{\phi_n} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this section, we are going to show the equivalent characterizations of composition operator C_ϕ power bounded below on \mathcal{D}_K . Before we get into prove, let us recall some notions.

(1) We say that $\{G_n\}$, a sequence of Borel subsets of \mathbb{D} satisfies the reverse Carleson condition on \mathcal{D}_K if there exists some positive constant δ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$,

$$\delta \int_{G_n} |f'(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z).$$

(2) We say that $\{\mu_n\}$, a sequence of Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} satisfies the reverse Carleson condition, if there exists some positive constant δ and $0 < r < 1$ such that

$$\mu_n(D(a, r)) > \delta |D(a, r)|$$

for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4. Let K satisfy (1.1) and (1.2). Suppose that ϕ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and C_ϕ is power bounded on \mathcal{D}_K . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1). C_ϕ is power bounded below.
- (2). There exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $\|C_{\phi_n} f_a\| \geq \delta$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3). There exists some $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) > \delta,$$

where $G_\epsilon(n) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{K(1-|z|^2)} \geq \epsilon\}$.

(4). There is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sequence of measures $\{\chi_{G_\epsilon(n)} dA\}$ satisfies the reverse Carleson condition.

(5). The sequence of measures $\{\frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(z)}{K(1-|z|^2)} dA\}$ satisfies the reverse Carleson condition.

(6). There is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that the sequence of Borel sets $\{G_\epsilon(n)\}$ satisfies the reverse Carleson condition.

Proof. Suppose that w is the Denjoy-Wolff point of ϕ . By Theorem 2, $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Without loss of generality, we use $\varphi_w \circ \phi \circ \varphi_w$ instead of ϕ .

(1) \Rightarrow (2). It is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). By [6], there exist a small $c > 0$ such that $\frac{K(t)}{r^c}$ is nondecreasing ($0 < t < 1$). Thus, the proof is similar to [18, page 5]. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}} K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \geq \frac{K(1 - r^2)}{(1 - r^2)^c} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^c dA(z) > 1 - \frac{C\delta}{2}.$$

Making change of variable $z = \varphi_a(w) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C\delta}{2} &\geq \int_{r\mathbb{D}} K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{D(a,r)} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{a}w|^4} K(1 - |\varphi_a(w)|^2) dA(w) \\ &\geq C \int_{D(a,r)} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^2}{K(1 - |a|^2)|1 - \bar{a}w|^4} K(1 - |w|^2) dA(w) \\ &= C \int_{D(a,r)} |f'_a(w)|^2 K(1 - |w|^2) dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D(a,r) \cap G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) - \int_{D(a,r)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \\ &\geq \delta - \frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $r = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1 + |\phi_n(0)|}{2}$. We claim that: there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ and some $\delta > 0$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{r\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) > \delta$$

or

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) > \delta.$$

Suppose that there are no $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that the above inequalities hold. Thus, there exists sequences $\{a_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ and $\{n_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{r\mathbb{D}} |f'_{a_k}(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) < \frac{1}{k}$$

or

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_{a_k}(z)|^2 K(1 - |z|^2) dA(z) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) &= \int_{r\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &+ \int_{G_{\frac{1}{k}}(n_k) \setminus r\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) + \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus (G_{\frac{1}{k}}(n_k) \setminus r\mathbb{D})} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} + \frac{L}{k} + \frac{\eta}{k} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Where

$$L = \sup_{|a| \geq \frac{1+\phi_n(0)}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{N_{\phi_n, K}(a)}{K(1-|a|^2)}, \quad \eta = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_a\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2.$$

This contradict (2), so our claim hold. Let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ be as in above. Since $f'_a \rightarrow 0$, uniformly on the compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , as $|a| \rightarrow 1$, there exists some $0 < s < 1$ such that for all $|a| > s$, we have

$$\int_{r\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) \leq \|f'_a|_{r\mathbb{D}}\|_{H^\infty}^2 \|\phi_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 \leq \delta.$$

That is, for $|a| > s$, we deduce that

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) > \delta.$$

Similar to the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (4), there must be $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$|G_\epsilon(n) \cap D(a, \alpha)| > \beta |D(a, \alpha)|, \quad \forall |a| > s, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n) \cap D(a, \alpha)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim \beta \int_{D(a, \alpha)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z), \quad \forall |a| > s, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now if $\{a_k\}$ is a α -lattice for \mathbb{D} , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{G_\epsilon(n) \cap D(a_k, \alpha)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{D(a_k, \alpha)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z), \quad \forall |a| > s, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any $|a| \leq s$, we obtain $|f'_a(z)| \gtrsim (1-s^2)^2 K^2(1-s^2)$. Hence,

$$\int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim (1-s^2)^2 K^2(1-s^2) \int_{G_\epsilon(n)} K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim 1.$$

Therefore, (3) hold.

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \geq \int_{D(a,r)} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z) dA(z) \\ & \gtrsim \int_{D(a,r)} \frac{N_{\phi_{n_k}, K}(z)}{K(1-|z|^2)} dA(z) \gtrsim 1. \end{aligned}$$

(4) \Rightarrow (6). Note that Luecking using a long proof to show that G satisfies the reverse Carleson condition if and only if the measure $\chi_G dA(z)$ is a reverse Carleson measure. Similar to the proof of [13], we omitted here.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\phi_n} f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2 &= |f(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\geq |f(0)|^2 + \int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 N_{\phi_n, K}(z) dA(z) \\ &\geq |f(0)|^2 + \epsilon \int_{G_\epsilon(n)} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\ &\geq |f(0)|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'_a(z)|^2 K(1-|z|^2) dA(z) \gtrsim \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_K}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is easily to get our result. The proof is completed. \square

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we give some equivalent characterizations of power bounded and power bounded below composition operator C_ϕ on Dirichlet Type spaces, which generalize the main results in [11, 12].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referee for useful remarks and comments that led to the improvement of this paper. This work was supported by NNSF of China (No. 11801250, No.11871257), Overseas Scholarship Program for Elite Young and Middle-aged Teachers of Lingnan Normal University, Yanling Youqing Program of Lingnan Normal University, the Key Program of Lingnan Normal University (No. LZ1905), The Innovation and developing School Project of Guangdong Province (No. 2019KZDXM032) and Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (No. 19JK0213).

Conflict of interest

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

References

1. J. Bonet, P. Domański, A note on mean ergodic composition operators on spaces of holomorphic functions, *RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. A*, **105** (2011), 389–396.
2. J. Bonet, P. Domański, Power bounded composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, *Collect. Math.*, **62** (2011), 69–83.
3. G. Bao, Z. Lou, R. Qian, H. Wulan, On multipliers of Dirichlet type spaces, *Collect. Math.*, **9** (2015), 1701–1732.
4. C. Cowen, B. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1955.
5. P. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
6. M. Essen, H. Wulan, J. Xiao, Several function-theoretic characterizations of Möbius invariant \mathcal{Q}_K spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **230** (2006), 78–115.
7. O. El-Fallah, K. Kellay, M. Shabankhah, H. Youssfi, Level sets and composition operators on the Dirichlet space, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **260** (2011), 1721–1733.
8. D. Girela, J. Peláez, Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **241** (2006), 334–358.
9. K. Kellay, P. Lefèvre, Compact composition operators on weighted Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **386** (2012), 718–727.
10. R. Kerman, E. Sawyer, Carleson measures and multipliers of Dirichlet-type spaces, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **309** (1988), 87–98.
11. H. Keshavarzi, B. Khani-Robati, Power bounded composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces, *New York J. Math.*, **24** (2018), 389–403.
12. H. Keshavarzi, Power bounded weighted composition operators and power bounded below composition operators, *Collect. Math.*, **71** (2020), 205–221.
13. D. Luecking, Inequalities on Bergman spaces, *Illinois J. Math.*, **25** (1981), 1–11.
14. J. Pau, P. A. Pérez, Composition operators acting on weighted Dirichlet spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **401** (2013), 682–694.
15. R. Rochberg, Z. Wu, A new characterization of Dirichlet type spaces and applications, *Illinois J. Math.*, **37** (1993), 101–122.
16. D. Stegenga, Multipliers of the Dirichlet space, *Illinois J. Math.*, **24** (1980), 113–139.
17. J. Shapiro, The essential norm of a composition operator, *Ann. Math.*, **125** (1987), 375–404.
18. J. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer, New York, 1993.
19. G. Taylor, Multipliers on D_α , *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **123** (1966), 229–240.
20. E. Wolf, Power bounded composition operators, *Comput. Methods. Funct. Theory*, **12** (2012), 105–117.

21. E. Wolf, Power bounded composition operators in several variables, *Rom. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **5** (2015), 1–12.
22. H. Wulan, K. Zhu, *Möbius invariant Q_K spaces*, Springer, Cham, 2017.
23. N. Zorboska, Composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces, *Poc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), 2013–2023.
24. K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.



AIMS Press

© 2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)