

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/neuroscience

AIMS Neuroscience, 5 (2): 148–161. DOI: 10.3934/Neuroscience.2018.2.148

Received: 05 April 2018 Accepted: 11 May 2018 Published: 16 June 2018

Research article

Vascular biomarkers and ApoE4 expression in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease

Diana C. Oviedo¹, Hector Lezcano², Ambar R. Perez^{1,3}, Alcibiades E. Villarreal³, Maria B. Carreira³, Baltasar Isaza⁴, Lavinia Wesley⁴, Shantal A. Grajales³, Sara Fernandez⁵, Ana Frank⁶ and Gabrielle B. Britton^{3,*}

- ¹ Universidad Cat dica Santa Mar á La Antigua (USMA), Panam á
- ² Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Panam á, Panam á
- ³ Centro de Neurociencias y Unidad de Investigación Cl nica, Instituto de Investigaciones Cient ficas y Servicios de Alta Tecnolog n (INDICASAT AIP), Panam n
- ⁴ Servicio de Radiolog á, Complejo Hospitalario Arnulfo Arias Madrid, Caja del Seguro Social, Panam á
- Departmento de Psicolog á B ásica II (Procesos Cognitivos), Facultad de Psicolog á, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Espa ña
- ⁶ Servicio de Neurolog á, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, España
- * Correspondence: Email: gbritton@indicasat.org.pa; Tel: +5075170735; Fax: +5075070000.

Abstract: Vascular pathology and genetic markers such as apolipoprotein E allele $\epsilon 4$ (ApoE $\epsilon 4$) are risk factors for the progression from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to Alzheimer's disease (AD). In Panama, a high prevalence of vascular risk factors and an increase in the aging population, generate the need to investigate biomarkers using specific, sensitive, non-invasive and cost-efficient methods that could be used in primary care. The main objective of this study was to explore the association between vascular biomarkers such as intima-media thickness (IMT) and stenosis, ApoE $\epsilon 4$ and cognitive function in a sample of older adults, including healthy controls (n = 41), MCI (n = 33), and AD (n = 12). A descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted. Participants were part of the Panama Aging Research Initiative (PARI), the first prospective study in aging in Panama. Assessments included a neuropsychological battery, ApoE $\epsilon 4$ genotyping and a Doppler ultrasound of the left carotid artery to examine the presence of vascular risk factors. Neuropsychological tests were combined to form six cognitive domains: Global cognition, language, visuospatial abilities, learning and memory, attention and executive functions. Multivariable analyses (using age, education, and ApoE $\epsilon 4$ expression as covariates) were conducted. Participants with

increased IMT showed poorer performance in memory and those with carotid stenosis showed poorer performance in language, visuospatial abilities and attention, independent of age, education or ApoE & expression. The results support the use of vascular markers in cognitive assessments of aged individuals.

Keywords: aging; cognition; atherosclerosis; intima-media thickness; stenosis; Latin America; Panama

Abbreviations: AD: Alzheimer's disease; ANCOVA: Analysis of Covariance; ANOVA: One-way Analysis of Variance; ApoE ε4: Apolipoprotein E ε4 allele; BMI: Body Mass Index; CSS: Social Security of Panama; EQ-5D-3L: Subjective Health Statuses; FAQ: Functional Activity Questionnaire; GDetS: Global Deterioration Scale; GDS-30: Geriatric Depression Scale; IMT: Intima-media thickness; LAC: Latin America and Caribbean; MANCOVA: Multivariable Analyses of Covariance; MCI: Mild Cognitive Impairment; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; NC: Normal Control; PARI: Panama Aging Research Initiative; PSV: Peak Systolic Velocity

1. Introduction

Multiple reports indicate that individuals over 60 years old are the fastest growing group on earth [1]. A reduced mortality rate and the advances in medicine in the last decades have resulted in an increase in life expectancy and in the elderly population [2]. As people age they are more likely to develop chronic diseases such as mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) [3]. The Latin American and Caribbean (LAC) region is experiencing one of the fastest aging rates [4], and as a result the prevalence of dementia and MCI has increased causing economic, social and public health burdens. Therefore, one of the main objectives in AD research is to identify and study risk factors that could contribute to the discovery of specific, sensitive, non-invasive and cost-efficient methods that could be used in primary care for early detection of AD.

Numerous studies have shown that vascular pathologies such as cardiovascular disease are risk factors for AD and MCI [5,6]. Carotid atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disorder characterized by the accumulation of plaques in the walls of large and medium arteries [7,8]. Atherosclerosis is a risk factor for cerebrovascular diseases such as stroke, silent brain micro infarcts and brain hemorrhages, causing white matter lesions, neural dysfunction and cognitive impairment [9,10].

During the process of aging, arteries undergo changes such as thickening of the intima-media and changes in the size and thickness of veins and arteries. The intima refers to the internal portion of the artery formed by an endothelium. The media or middle tunic is the middle layer of the artery. The distance between the intima and the media is known as the intima-media thickness (IMT) [11]. Several authors have reported associations between vascular risk factors such as IMT and stenosis and deficits in cognitive functions, although results have been inconsistent. An increased IMT can lead to a poor performance in memory and other cognitive functions such as language, attention, executive functions and psychomotor abilities [12–14]. However, other studies have not found such associations [15,16]. Therefore, the evaluation of these vascular markers can be a crucial step in identifying elderly individuals at risk of developing cognitive impairment.

Among genetic risk factors, apolipoprotein E ε4 (ApoE ε4) has been shown to be the strongest risk factor for AD [17,18]. ApoE ε4 expression increases the risk of developing dementia three to ten times [19]. ApoE ε4 and increased IMT have also been associated with cardiovascular disease [20]. Studies have shown that individuals with at least one copy of ApoE ε4 have a higher prevalence of cortical microinfarcts, atherosclerotic pathology, hemorrhages, thrombosis, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, cerebrovascular ischemia, pulsatility, hypertension, diabetes, among others [21]. In addition, ApoE ε4 is associated with an altered mechanism of cerebral circulation in older adults [22]. Evidence has stated that ApoE ε4 and vascular risk factors combined aggravate cognitive impairment [21] and their assessment can help in the understanding of the progression of MCI to AD [12].

To date, there are numerous studies focusing on the risk factors associated with cerebrovascular health and cognition in the elderly population, nevertheless most of this research has been carried out in developed countries. In the LAC region, the prevalence of vascular chronic diseases is increasing [23,24]. In Panamá, prevalence studies have shown that cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death [25]. To our knowledge, there are no studies that focus on the relationship between vascular pathologies, ApoE & and cognitive impairment in LAC countries. In the present study, we examined the association between carotid IMT and stenosis, ApoE & and cognitive function in a sample of elderly adults in Panama. Based on evidence from previous studies, we expected that vascular risk factors and ApoE & would influence performance in specific cognitive domains.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Data were analyzed from 86 participants of the Panama Aging Research Initiative (PARI) cohort [26,27]. Volunteers were recruited from the outpatient geriatric services of the Social Security (CSS), the largest public hospital located in Panama City. Inclusion criteria encompassed being 65 years or older, having received the baseline cognitive assessment, willingness to participate in the follow-up visit and having signed the informed consent. Exclusion criteria consisted of any medical condition that interfered with the person's ability to attend the evaluation, illiteracy and participation in an ongoing clinical study at the time of enrollment. The protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the CSS. Participants who were eligible for the study were explained the purpose of the study, the procedure, what was expected from them and then signed informed consent forms. Confidentiality was not breached in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964).

Participants underwent a standardized assessment protocol that included an interview to obtain information on sociodemographic characteristics, medical history, functional status and risk factors. A subsample of individuals (n = 70) underwent Doppler sonography to estimate the presence of vascular risk factors. A non-fasting blood sample was obtained to genotype for ApoE ϵ 4. Interviews and evaluations were conducted in Spanish and reviewed by physicians, medical students and graduate students. Clinical data, medical records and imaging were examined by experienced clinicians. Approximately 17 months (M = 16.8 months, SD = 3.4) after baseline assessments participants underwent a follow-up interview, cognitive testing and assessment of functional status, subjective health status and presence of depressive symptoms. Interviews and neuropsychological evaluations were completed in a single visit (1.5–2 hours) and were conducted in Spanish by students and neuropsychologists.

2.2. Variables and measurements

2.2.1. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment

The neuropsychological test battery included measures of six cognitive domains: 1) global cognition (Mini-Mental State Examination, MMSE) [28]; 2) attention (Digit Span forward [29] and Trail Making Test part A [30]); 3) executive function (Trail Making Test part B [30] and Digit Span Backward [29]); 4) memory (10 word free recall immediate and delayed list [31]); 5) language (Boston Naming [32] and Semantic Verbal Fluency [33]); and 6) visuospatial abilities (Clock Drawing copy version [34] and Poppelreuter Test [35]). Basic and instrumental activities of daily living were assessed with the Lawton and Brody Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale [36] and Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ) [37]. Depression was assessed with the Spanish version of the 30-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-30) [38], and health subjective status was evaluated using the European Quality of Life EuroQol Health Questionnaire (EGQ-5D-3L) [39]. Stages of cognitive function were rated according to the Global Deterioration Scale (GDetS) [40]. All information was reviewed by a consensus committee who diagnosed participants with AD, MCI or no cognitive impairment (normal controls; NC). Participants were included as controls if they performed within normal limits in the neuropsychological assessment and scored ≤ 10 in the GDS-30 (below the threshold for symptoms of depression). MCI diagnosis was based on core clinical criteria [41] and required deficits in at least one cognitive domain, independence in activities of daily living and a rating of GDetS \leq 3. Diagnosis of AD was based on NINCDS-ADRDA [42] criteria and required evidence of impairments in memory and at least one other cognitive domain, impairments in everyday social and/or work-related activities, and a GDetS score of four or higher (range 1–7).

2.2.2. ApoE ε4 genotyping

For ApoE genotyping, DNA samples were obtained from whole blood leukocytes (EDTA plasma collection tubes) using QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer recommendations. ApoE genotyping was conducted according to standardized PCR procedures [43].

2.2.3. Ultrasound assessment of carotid IMT and stenosis

High resolution B-mode ultrasonography (LOGIQ e GE Medical Systems, China) with 7.5 MHz high frequency linear transducer was used to measure the volume and speed of blood flow and IMT and stenosis in the left carotid artery. The exam was conducted while the participant was lying in a supine position with the head slightly rotated to 45° from the examiner, first from a cross-sectional view, starting from the base of the neck up to the bifurcation in the internal carotid and external carotid arteries. IMT was measured at the level of the distal portion of the left common carotid artery and was defined as the distance (in millimeters) between the leading edges of the lumen-intima and media-adventitia interfaces of the arterial wall. A cut-off value of 0.9 mm was considered abnormal thickening [44]. In addition, blood flow velocities and the presence of atheromatous plaques were evaluated. Carotid artery stenosis was determined using values of the peak systolic velocity (PSV) as follows: (1) normal when PSV < 125 cm/s without visible plaque or intimal thickening; (2) < 50% stenosis when PSV < 125 cm/s and visible plaque or intimal thickening; (3) 50–69% stenosis when

PSV 125–230 cm/s and visible plaque; $(4) \ge 70\%$ stenosis to near occlusion when PSV > 230 cm/s and visible plaque and lumen narrowing are seen; (5) near occlusion when there was a markedly narrowed lumen; and (6) total occlusion when there was no detectable lumen [45]. Values were then dichotomized into absence (normal) or presence of stenosis (all other values).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). First, demographic and clinical characteristics were examined using descriptive statistics. Univariable one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and chi square (X^2) tests were applied to continuous and categorical variables, respectively, and post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. Neuropsychological test scores were converted to z scores, then summed and averaged to calculate an average z score in six cognitive domains: Global cognition, language, visuospatial, memory, executive function and attention. Cognitive performance between groups was compared using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and using age, education and ApoE ε 4 expression as covariates. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Separate multivariable analyses of covariance (MANCOVA) were conducted in order to establish the influence of vascular risk factors and ApoE £4 on cognitive performance across diagnostic groups. Covariates included age, education and ApoE £4 expression.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Diagnostic groups did not differ in sex, education, depressive symptoms, subjective health status, stenosis or ApoE $\epsilon 4$ expression (Table 1). The percentage of the AD group with IMT ≥ 0.9 mm was greater than the control and MCI groups, and the MCI group also differed from controls. As expected, groups differed in performance across all cognitive domains, independent of age, education and ApoE $\epsilon 4$ expression, although MANCOVA revealed greater deficits in global cognition in the AD group in the presence of ApoE $\epsilon 4$.

3.2. Association between IMT, stenosis, ApoE $\varepsilon 4$, and cognitive performance

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the results of 2×3 MANCOVAs combining diagnostic groups and vascular markers. There was no significant interaction between diagnostic groups and IMT (Table 2) or stenosis (Table 3). Therefore, IMT groups were examined independent of diagnosis. This analysis showed that IMT values equal to or greater than 0.9 mm were associated with a lower performance in the learning and memory domain [F(1,65) = 9.03, p = 0.004] independent of age, education and ApoE ε 4 expression (Table 4). Also, there was a tendency for poorer performance in the language and visuospatial domains (ps < 0.07). When participants were divided into those with and without stenosis (Table 5), stenosis was significantly associated with language [F(1,65) = 12.81, p = 0.001], visuospatial [F(1,65) = 7.72, p = 0.007], memory [F(1,65) = 11.24, p = 0.001], and attention [F(1,65) = 5.08, p = 0.028] deficits.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

	Normal control $(n = 41)$	MCI $(n = 33)$	AD (<i>n</i> = 12)	Test statistic	p
Years of Study	10.7 (4.9)	9.5 (4.0)	7.9 (4.4)	F(2,83) = 2.0	0.141
Age	76.6 (5.6)	79.2 (7.8)	82.4 (7.9)a	F(2,83) = 3.0	0.030
% female sex	31 (75.6%)	21 (63.6%)	10 (83.3%)	$\chi^2(2) = 2.2$	0.336
BMI	26.3 (4.9)	24.1 (4.4)	23.3 (6.1)	F(2,83) = 2.6	0.080
EQ-5D-3L	76.6 (18.6)	70.9 (22.7)	80.5 (17.1)	F(2,82) = 1.2	0.303
FAQ	1.2 (2.7) b	2.1 (2.7)	18.3 (6.6) a	F(2,83) = 12.4	0.000
Functionality Index	0.9 (0.2) b	0.9 (0.1)	0.4 (0.2) a	F(2,83) = 78.7	0.000
GDetS	1.5 (0.6) b	2.5 (0.5) a	4.9 (0.9) a	F(2,83) = 9.5	0.000
GDS-30	5.6 (4.9)	7.5 (5.2)	9.3 (5.9)	F(2,83) = 2.7	0.071
$\%$ IMT \geq 0.9 mm	11/32 (34.4%)	15/27 (55.6%)	9/11 (81.8%)	$\chi(2) = 7.9$	0.019
% Stenosis	8/32 (25.0%)	11/27 (40.7%)	7/11 (63.6%)	$\chi(2) = 5.5$	0.065
ΑροΕ ε4	9/40 (22.5%)	11/32 (34.4%)	6/12 (50.0%)	$\chi(2) = 3.6$	0.170
Global Cognition	0.5 (0.4)	0.2 (0.5)	-1.2 (1.3) a b	F(2,77) = 25.7	0.000
Language	0.4 (0.5) b	0.04 (0.7)	$-1.0~(0.8)^{\mathrm{a}\mathrm{b}}$	F(2,77) = 18.4	0.000
Visuospatial	0.4 (0.3)	0.1 (0.5)	-1.1 (1.4)a b	F(2,77) = 18.5	0.000
Memory	0.6 (0.6) b	-0.3 (0.5)	$-0.9~(0.7)^{\mathrm{a}\mathrm{b}}$	F(2,77) = 32.0	0.000
Attention	0.3 (0.5) b	-0.07 (0.6)	$-0.7~(0.8)^{\mathrm{a}\mathrm{b}}$	F(2,77) = 8.0	0.001
Executive Function	0.4 (0.6) b	-0.2 (0.7)	-0.4 (0.4) a	F(2,77) = 7.5	0.001

Functionality Index: Number of activities on which the participant was independent divided by the total number of activities assessed; ApoE ϵ 4: % ApoE with at least one copy of ϵ 4 allele. Control, MCI and AD groups were compared using ANOVA for continuous variables and Pearson chi-square for categorical variables. ANOVA post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. ^aStatistically different from control group. ^bStatistically different from MCI group. This table also describes the ANCOVA comparing z-scores for each cognitive domain between control, MCI and AD groups, controlling for age, education and ApoE4. The comparison was considered significant when p < 0.05.

Table 2. Association between IMT and diagnostic groups for each cognitive domain

Cognitive Domains	NC (n = 32)		MCI (n = 27)		AD (<i>n</i> = 11)		F(2,61)	p	$\eta_p^{\ 2}$
	< 0.9 IMT	≥ 0.9 IMT	< 0.9 IMT	≥ 0.9 IMT	< 0.9 IMT	≥0.9 IMT			
Global Cognition	0.6 (0.2)	0.4 (0.4)	0.1 (0.4)	0.2 (0.6)	-1.1 (2.7)	-1.4 (1.2)	0.5	0.594	0.02
Language	0.5 (0.4)	0.5 (0.7)	0.1 (0.8)	-0.1(0.6)	-0.6(0.5)	-1.2(0.8)	0.2	0.794	0.01
Visuospatial	0.4 (0.2)	0.4 (0.3)	0.2(0.5)	0.04 (0.6)	-0.3(1.2)	-1.5(1.4)	1.9	0.148	0.06
Memory	0.9(0.5)	0.2(0.5)	-0.1(0.6)	-0.3(0.6)	-0.9(0.1)	-0.9(0.8)	1.3	0.273	0.04
Attention	0.4 (0.5)	0.3 (0.6)	-0.1(0.5)	-0.2(0.6)	-0.9(0.2)	0.9(0.8)	0.2	0.822	0.01
Executive Function	-0.4(0.5)	-0.5(0.6)	-0.5(0.6)	-0.1(0.6)	-0.8(0.6)	-0.4(0.3)	0.4	0.692	0.01

This table summarizes the average z scores for each cognitive domain. Statistics describe the MANCOVA comparing group IMT < 0.9 and IMT ≥ 0.9 within each diagnostic group for each cognitive domain, controlling for age, education and ApoE4. MANCOVA post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Table 3. Association between stenosis and diagnostic groups for each cognitive domain

Cognitive Domains	NC (<i>n</i> = 32)		MCI $(n = 27)$		AD (<i>n</i> = 11)		F(2,61)	p	${\eta_p}^2$
	No stenosis	Stenosis	No stenosis	Stenosis	No stenosis	Stenosis			
Global cognition	0.6 (0.3)	0.4 (0.4)	0.1 (0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	-1.4 (1.6)	-1.3 (1.3)	0.3	0.715	0.01
Language	0.6 (0.4)	0.4 (0.8)	0.1 (0.6)	-0.2(0.7)	-0.9(0.6)	-1.1(0.9)	0.2	0.829	0.01
Visuospatial	0.4 (0.2)	0.4 (0.3)	0.2 (0.5)	0.02(0.6)	-0.7(0.9)	-1.6(1.6)	2.5	0.094	0.08
Memory	0.8(0.5)	0.2 (0.6)	-0.1(0.6)	-0.5(0.5)	-0.9(0.1)	-0.9(0.9)	0.9	0.400	0.03
Attention	0.3 (0.5)	0.5 (0.6)	-0.01(0.6)	-0.4(0.5)	-0.8(0.1)	-0.9(0.9)	1.0	0.371	0.03
Executive Function	0.4 (0.6)	0.6 (0.4)	0.3 (0.7)	-0.3(0.6)	-0.6(0.4)	-0.4(0.3)	0.1	0.932	0.00

This table summarizes the average z scores for each cognitive domain. Statistics describe the MANCOVA comparing the group with no stenosis and with stenosis within each diagnostic group for each cognitive domain, controlling for age, education and ApoE4. MANCOVA post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

AIMS Neuroscience Volume 5, Issue 2, 148–161.

Cognitive Domains	< 0.9 IMT $(n = 35)$	\geq 0.9 IMT $(n=35)$	F(1,65)	p	${\eta_p}^2$
Global Cognition	0.3 (0.7)	-0.2(1.0)	1.7	0.199	0.03
Language	0.3(0.6)	-0.2(0.9)	3.5	0.065	0.05
Visuospatial	0.3 (0.4)	-0.2(1.1)	4.0	0.051	0.06
Memory	0.5 (0.8)	-0.3(0.7)	9.0	0.004	0.12
Attention	0.2 (0.6)	-0.2(0.8)	2.7	0.107	0.04
Executive Function	0.02 (0.7)	0.0 (0.6)	0.8	0.367	0.01

Table 4. Association between IMT and cognitive domains

This table summarizes the average z scores for each cognitive domain. Statistics describe the ANCOVA comparing group IMT < 0.9 and ≥ 0.9 for each cognitive domain, controlling for age, education and ApoE4. ANCOVA post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

 Table 5. Association between stenosis and cognitive domains

Cognitive Domains	No stenosis $(n = 44)$	Stenosis $(n = 26)$	F(1,65)	p	${\eta_p}^2$
Global Cognition	0.2 (0.8)	-0.1 (1.0)	2.2	0.148	0.03
Language	0.3 (0.7)	-0.3(0.9)	12.8	0.001	0.17
Visuospatial	0.2 (0.5)	-0.3 (1.2)	7.7	0.007	0.11
Memory	0.3 (0.8)	-0.4(0.7)	11.2	0.001	0.15
Attention	0.1 (0.6)	-0.3(0.8)	5.1	0.028	0.07
Executive Function	0.03 (0.7)	-0.03(0.6)	0.0	0.987	0.00

This table summarizes the average z scores for each cognitive domain. Statistics describe the ANCOVA comparing no stenosis versus stenosis groups for each cognitive domain, controlling for age, education and ApoE4. ANCOVA post hoc comparisons were conducted with Bonferroni tests. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Discussion

The main objective of this study was to explore the impact of vascular biomarkers such as IMT and stenosis on cognitive function in aged adults diagnosed with MCI or AD. Initially participants were assessed with a cognitive test battery, and as expected, groups performed differently across cognitive domains. The tests used in this study are common in AD research and diagnosis and have yielded similar results [46,47]. In addition, the combination of tests to form composite scores has generated comparable results in several studies [48,49] where the most frequently studied domains were attention, executive functions, global cognition, processing speed, episodic memory, verbal abilities and visuospatial abilities [50]. Our findings confirmed that participants with AD with at least one copy of ApoE £4 had a significantly lower performance in global cognition [26].

Vascular markers were examined to identify their association with cognitive function. The results showed that there was no significant effect of IMT and stenosis when they are examined together with group diagnosis. However, when diagnosis was not considered, having an IMT \geq 0.9 mm was associated with worse performance in memory; likewise the presence of carotid stenosis was

AIMS Neuroscience

related to worse performance in language, visuospatial abilities, memory and attention. These results were independent of age, education or ApoE & expression.

Several studies have found a positive relationship between IMT and memory deficits [51]. Longitudinal studies that included older adults without a diagnosis of vascular pathologies or dementia, found that the higher the IMT, the lower the performance on memory tasks [51,52]. Memory alteration can be a preclinical manifestation of dementia, so the association between the vascular marker and the memory deficit can play a decisive role in establishing which subjects have a higher risk of progressing towards a more pronounced stage of cognitive deterioration. Consistent with our findings, others have found that vascular alterations were associated with lower cognitive performance in memory, attention, processing speed and executive function [53,54]. In contrast, other studies found no relationship between IMT and the memory domain, although greater IMT values were associated with deficits in executive functions and global cognition [48]. Likewise, as we observed, cognitive performance in multiple cognitive functions has been shown to be lower in subjects with stenosis [55,56]. In studies that compared cognitive performance of subjects with and without stenosis, subjects with stenosis had a worse performance in attention, psychomotor speed, memory, motor skills [57], visuospatial abilities and language [58,59].

There are different mechanisms that could explain the association between vascular alterations and cognitive impairment. First, changes in the arteries such as such as luminal narrowing or IMT thickening can reduce blood circulation and interrupt the flow of nutrients to the brain. As a result, different cognitive domains may be affected [5,60]. Also, it has been reported that an increase in IMT is a consequence of other vascular pathologies such as hypertension and atherosclerosis that may be related to brain changes, such as atrophy and white matter lesions, which also alter cognition [15,61]. Specifically, atrophy of temporal lobe structures is associated with difficulties learning and recovering information [62,63].

This study had several limitations, one of which was the small number of participants who were diagnosed with AD. A greater sample size would clarify further potential interactions among the variables examined. Also, the study was cross-sectional so we cannot draw causal inference about the variables measured and cognitive function. Another potential limitation involves the accuracy of AD and MCI diagnosis. Evidence has shown that the clinical diagnosis of AD has an accuracy of 70–90% [64] and the diagnosis of MCI is even more complex due do its mixed etiology that can be influenced by multiple factors. As such, our results should be interpreted with these limitations in mind. Each of these limitations is being addressed in ongoing studies. Study strengths include providing the first report of cognitive impairment associated with vascular markers and ApoE4 in the LAC region. The association between these measures reveals the possibility of incorporating markers (based on their association with neuropsychological tests) at the level of primary care in order to have additional information that could help establish the risk factors for cognitive impairment. Currently, no biological marker is used to detect individuals at risk of cognitive impairment in local public health facilities.

5. Conclusion

In Panama, research and policies focused on the health of older adults continue to be scarce. One of the main problems is that research on aging and associated conditions is insufficient making it difficult to develop biomarkers for diseases associated with cognitive impairment. On the other hand, there is a lack of adequate, consistent and timely diagnoses, especially in primary care. Thus our study contributes to the understanding of risk factors among Hispanics both within and outside

LAC. Our results indicate that including vascular markers in the assessment of older adults can provide a non-invasive tool that can facilitate early diagnosis of age-related impairments. These results support the notion that regardless of diagnosis, vascular pathologies are associated with worse performance in specific domains, which could serve to guide assessments in primary care.

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the Melo Brain Project, Universidad Santa Mar á la Antigua (DCO, ARP, and GBB), Sistema Nacional de Investigación of Panama (GBB, AEV, and MBC) and Secretar á Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnolog á e Innovación (SENACYT) of Panama (GBB, AEV, and MBC). We thank the support of administration and support staff of the Complejo Hospitalario Dr. Arnulfo Arias Madrid de la Caja de Seguro Social and the following collaborators from the Panama Aging Research Initiative for their assistance in conducting this study: Aquiles Aguilar, M.D.; Lissette Chang, M.D.; Frank Ferro, M.D.; Patricia Gonz ález, M.D.; Vanessa Gonz ález, M.D.; Luis Lee, M.D.; Mar á Mendieta, M.D.; Ribana Molino, M.D.; Josu é Morales, M.D.; Viterbo Osorio, M.D.; and Ram ón Zarak, M.D.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

D. Oviedo designed the study, conducted clinical data collection, interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. H. Lezcano assisted with laboratory data acquisition, interpretation of the data and drafting the manuscript. S. Grajales and A.Villarreal assisted with the design of the study, clinical and laboratory data collection and provided input to the manuscript. B. Isaza and L. Wesley assisted with laboratory data collection and drafting the manuscript. M. Carreira, A. Perez, S. Fernandez and A. Frank assisted with analyzing and interpreting the data and yielded input to the manuscript. G. Britton designed the study, conducted statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be responsible for all aspects of the study in ensuring questions regarding all aspects of the study are clarified and resolved.

References

- 1. Nations U (2017) World Population Aging.
- 2. Nations U (2015) Department of Economic and Social Affairs.
- 3. Hebert LE, Bienias JL, Aggarwal NT, et al. (2010) Change in risk of Alzheimer disease over time. *Neurology* 75: 786-791.
- 4. Palloni A, Pinto-Aguirre G, Pelaez M (2002) Demographic and health conditions of ageing in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Int J Epidemiol* 31: 762-771.
- 5. de la Torre JC (2012) Cerebral hemodynamics and vascular risk factors: setting the stage for Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 32: 553-567.

- 6. Viswanathan A, Rocca WA, Tzourio C (2009) Vascular risk factors and dementia: how to move forward? *Neurology* 72: 368-374.
- 7. Iadecola C (2003) Atherosclerosis and neurodegeneration: unexpected conspirators in Alzheimer's dementia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 23: 1951-1953.
- 8. Roher AE, Esh C, Kokjohn TA, et al. (2003) Circle of willis atherosclerosis is a risk factor for sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 23: 2055-2062.
- 9. Hofman A, Ott A, Breteler MM, et al. (1997) Atherosclerosis, apolipoprotein E, and prevalence of dementia and Alzheimer's disease in the Rotterdam Study. *Lancet* 349: 151-154.
- 10. Xiang J, Zhang T, Yang QW, et al. (2013) Carotid artery atherosclerosis is correlated with cognitive impairment in an elderly urban Chinese non-stroke population. *J Clin Neurosci* 20: 1571-1575.
- 11. Yamaura Y, Watanabe N, Obase K, et al. (2010) Relation between progression of aortic valve sclerosis and carotid intima-media thickening in asymptomatic subjects with cardiovascular risk factors. *J Echocardiogr* 8: 87-93.
- 12. Silvestrini M, Viticchi G, Falsetti L, et al. (2011) The role of carotid atherosclerosis in Alzheimer's disease progression. *J Alzheimers Dis* 25: 719-726.
- 13. Wendell CR, Waldstein SR, Evans MK, et al. (2016) Subclinical carotid atherosclerosis and neurocognitive function in an urban population. *Atherosclerosis* 249: 125-131.
- 14. Zeki Al Hazzouri A, Vittinghoff E, Sidney S, et al. (2015) Intima-Media Thickness and Cognitive Function in Stroke-Free Middle-Aged Adults: Findings From the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Study. *Stroke* 46: 2190-2196.
- 15. Romero JR, Beiser A, Seshadri S, et al. (2009) Carotid artery atherosclerosis, MRI indices of brain ischemia, aging, and cognitive impairment: the Framingham study. *Stroke* 40: 1590-1596.
- 16. Arntzen KA, Schirmer H, Johnsen SH, et al. (2012) Carotid atherosclerosis predicts lower cognitive test results: a 7-year follow-up study of 4,371 stroke-free subjects—the Tromso study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 33: 159-165.
- 17. Cervantes S, Samaranch L, Vidal-Taboada JM, et al. (2011) Genetic variation in APOE cluster region and Alzheimer's disease risk. *Neurobiol Aging* 32: e2107-2117.
- 18. Michaelson DM (2014) APOE epsilon4: the most prevalent yet understudied risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 10: 861-868.
- 19. Verghese PB, Castellano JM, Holtzman DM (2011) Apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's disease and other neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol* 10: 241-252.
- 20. Doliner B, Dong C, Blanton SH, et al. (2018) Apolipoprotein E Gene Polymorphism and Subclinical Carotid Atherosclerosis: The Northern Manhattan Study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 27: 645-652.
- 21. Bangen KJ, Beiser A, Delano-Wood L, et al. (2013) APOE genotype modifies the relationship between midlife vascular risk factors and later cognitive decline. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 22: 1361-1369.
- 22. Filippini N, Ebmeier KP, MacIntosh BJ, et al. (2011) Differential effects of the APOE genotype on brain function across the lifespan. *Neuroimage* 54: 602-610.
- 23. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. (2004) Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet* 364: 937-952.

- 24. Gracia F, Benzadon A, Gonzalez-Castellon M, et al. (2014) The impact of cerebrovascular disease in Panama. *Int J Stroke* 9 Suppl A100: 28-30.
- 25. Carrion Donderis M, Moreno Velasquez I, Castro F, et al. (2016) Analysis of mortality trends due to cardiovascular diseases in Panama, 2001-2014. *Open Heart* 3: e000510.
- 26. Villarreal AE, Grajales S, O'Bryant SE, et al. (2016) Characterization of Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment in Older Adults in Panama. *J Alzheimers Dis* 54: 897-901.
- 27. Villarreal AE, O'Bryant SE, Edwards M, et al. (2016) Serum-based protein profiles of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment in elderly Hispanics. *Neurodegener Dis Manag*.
- 28. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 12: 189-198.
- 29. Wechsler D (1997) WAIS-III administration and scoring manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- 30. Reitan RM (1958) Validity of the Trail Making Test as an Indicator or Organic Brain Damage. *Perceptual Motor Skills* 8: 271–276.
- 31. Connor DJ, Schafer K (1998) *Administration Manual for the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale*. San Diego: Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study.
- 32. Kaplan EF, Goodglass H, Weintraub S (1983) The Boston Naming Test (2nd ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger.
- 33. Spreen O, Benton AL (1977) Neurosensory center comprehensive examination for aphasia: Manual of directions. Vicotria, BC, Canada: Neuropsychology Laboratory, University of Victoria.
- 34. Sunderland T, Hill JL, Mellow AM, et al. (1989) Clock drawing in Alzheimer's disease. A novel measure of dementia severity. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 37: 725-729.
- 35. Poppelreuter W (1923) Zur Psychologie und Pathologie der optischen Wahrnehmung. Zeitschrift für die Gesamte Neurologie und Psychiatrie 83: 152.
- 36. Lawton MP, Brody EM (1969) Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 9: 179-186.
- 37. Pfeffer RI, Kurosaki TT, Harrah CH, Jr., et al. (1982) Measurement of functional activities in older adults in the community. *J Gerontol* 37: 323-329.
- 38. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. (1982) Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res* 17: 37-49.
- 39. Badia X, Roset M, Montserrat S, et al. (1999) La versión española del EuroQol: Descripción y aplicaciones. *Medicina Clinica* 112 79–86.
- 40. Reisberg B, Ferris SH, de Leon MJ, et al. (1982) The Global Deterioration Scale for assessment of primary degenerative dementia. *Am J Psychiatry* 139: 1136-1139.
- 41. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. (2011) The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7: 270-279.
- 42. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. (2011) The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7: 263-269.

- 43. Koch W, Ehrenhaft A, Griesser K, et al. (2002) TaqMan systems for genotyping of disease-related polymorphisms present in the gene encoding apolipoprotein E. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 40: 1123-1131.
- 44. Van Bortel LM (2005) What does intima-media thickness tell us? *J Hypertension*.
- 45. Grant EG, Benson CB, Moneta GL, et al. (2003) Carotid artery stenosis: gray-scale and Doppler US diagnosis--Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Conference. *Radiology* 229: 340-346.
- 46. Cerami C, Dubois B, Boccardi M, et al. (2017) Clinical validity of delayed recall tests as a gateway biomarker for Alzheimer's disease in the context of a structured 5-phase development framework. *Neurobiol Aging* 52: 153-166.
- 47. Luna-Lario P, Azcarate-Jimenez L, Seijas-Gomez R, et al. (2015) Proposal for a neuropsychological cognitive evaluation battery for detecting and distinguishing between mild cognitive impairment and dementias. *Rev Neurol* 60: 553-561.
- 48. Lim SL, Gao Q, Nyunt MS, et al. (2016) Vascular Health Indices and Cognitive Domain Function: Singapore Longitudinal Ageing Studies. *J Alzheimers Dis* 50: 27-40.
- 49. Cloutier S, Chertkow H, Kergoat MJ, et al. (2015) Patterns of Cognitive Decline Prior to Dementia in Persons with Mild Cognitive Impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis* 47: 901-913.
- 50. Wisdom NM, Callahan JL, Hawkins KA (2011) The effects of apolipoprotein E on non-impaired cognitive functioning: a meta-analysis. *Neurobiol Aging* 32: 63-74.
- 51. Johnston SC, O'Meara ES, Manolio TA, et al. (2004) Cognitive impairment and decline are associated with carotid artery disease in patients without clinically evident cerebrovascular disease. *Ann Intern Med* 140: 237-247.
- 52. Vinkers DJ, Stek ML, van der Mast RC, et al. (2005) Generalized atherosclerosis, cognitive decline, and depressive symptoms in old age. *Neurology* 65: 107-112.
- 53. Mitchell GF, van Buchem MA, Sigurdsson S, et al. (2011) Arterial stiffness, pressure and flow pulsatility and brain structure and function: the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility—Reykjavik study. *Brain* 134: 3398-3407.
- 54. Zhong W, Cruickshanks KJ, Schubert CR, et al. (2014) Pulse wave velocity and cognitive function in older adults. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 28: 44-49.
- 55. Li X, Ma X, Lin J, et al. (2017) Severe carotid artery stenosis evaluated by ultrasound is associated with post stroke vascular cognitive impairment. *Brain Behav* 7: e00606.
- 56. Wang T, Mei B, Zhang J (2016) Atherosclerotic carotid stenosis and cognitive function. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 146: 64-70.
- 57. Mathiesen EB, Waterloo K, Joakimsen O, et al. (2004) Reduced neuropsychological test performance in asymptomatic carotid stenosis: The Tromso Study. *Neurology* 62: 695-701.
- 58. Nemeth D, Sefcsik T, Nemeth K, et al. (2013) Impaired language production in asymptomatic carotid stenosis. *J Neurolinguistics* 6: 462–469.
- 59. Rocque BG, Jackson D, Varghese T, et al. (2012) Impaired cognitive function in patients with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis and correlation with ultrasound strain measurements. *J Neurol Sci* 322: 20-24.
- 60. Mataro M, Soriano-Raya JJ, Lopez-Oloriz J, et al. (2014) Cerebrovascular markers in lowered cognitive function. *J Alzheimers Dis* 42: S383-391.
- 61. Bots ML, van Swieten JC, Breteler MM, et al. (1993) Cerebral white matter lesions and atherosclerosis in the Rotterdam Study. *Lancet* 341: 1232-1237.

- 62. McDonald CR, Gharapetian L, McEvoy LK, et al. (2012) Relationship between regional atrophy rates and cognitive decline in mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging* 33: 242-253.
- 63. Moretti DV (2015) Electroencephalography reveals lower regional blood perfusion and atrophy of the temporoparietal network associated with memory deficits and hippocampal volume reduction in mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 11: 461-470.
- 64. Korolev IO, Symonds LL, Bozoki AC, et al. (2016) Predicting Progression from Mild Cognitive Impairment to Alzheimer's Dementia Using Clinical, MRI, and Plasma Biomarkers via Probabilistic Pattern Classification. *PLoS One* 11: e0138866.



© 2018 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)