

QFE, 8(3): 466–501. DOI: 10.3934/QFE.2024018 Received: 05 April 2024 Revised: 12 June 2024 Accepted: 27 June 2024 Published: 08 July 2024

https://www.aimspress.com/journal/QFE

Research article

Forecasting hourly WTI oil front monthly price volatility densities

Per B. Solibakke

Faculty of Economics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

* Correspondence: Email: per.b.solibakke@ntnu.no.

Supplementary

Appendix

The appendix focuses on daily data for the period January 2023 to April 2023. Figure A.1 plots the three (2+1) volatility factors. Table A reports a static forecast with associated forecast fit measures. Figure A.2 reports the fit, actual, and standard errors. Table A.2 reports the measures for the three machine learning techniques: Lasso, Ridge, and Decision Forest. We have not optimised the hyperparameter values for optimal performance. Figure A.3 reports the three machine learning techniques together with the static forecast, including two standard errors. Figures A.3 and A.4 report a neural network for V1t and V2t, respectively.

Category	Mean (all)/	Median	Maximum/	Moment	Quantile	Quantile	Cramer-	Serial dep	VaR
	Mode	Std.dev.	Minimum	Kurt/Skew	Kurt/Skew	Normal	Mises	Q(12)	(1%; 2.5%)
	0.69165	0.66716	2.7626	14.6064	-0.08342	2.9556	4.9654	25099.4	0.0031
Factor		0.26304	-0.1275	2.32520	0.07476	{0.2281}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	0.1469
V_{1t}	BDS-Z- statistic (<i>e</i> = 1)				Phillips-	Augmented		Breusch-	CVaR
	m=2	m=3	m=4	m=5	Perron	DF-test		Godfrey	(1%; 2,5%)
	122.9288	143.0326	169.4305	207.3663	-4.2775	-4.5796		9022.9	-0.0690
	$\{0.0000\}$	$\{0.0000\}$	{0.0000}	$\{0.0000\}$	{0.0034}	{0.0011}		{0.0000}	0.0148
Category	Mean (all)/	Median	Maximum/	Moment	Quantile	Quantile	Cramer-	Serial	VaR
								dependence	
	Mode	Std.dev.	Minimum	Kurt/Skew	Kurt/Skew	Normal	von- Mises	Q(12)	(1%; 2,5%)
	0.06585	0.04679	2.4103	105.5532	0.06215	17.1314	30.839	132.798	-0.1153
Factor		0.11034	-0.6927	6.21107	0.20374	{0.0002}	{0.0000}	$\{0.0000\}$	-0.0265
V_{2t}	BDS-Z- statistic (e				Phillips-	Augmented		Breusch-	CVaR
	= 1)								
	m=2	m=3	m=4	m=5	Perron	DF-test		Godfrey	(1%; 2,5%)
	11.4337	12.8488	13.9678	15.1503	-50.214	-13.9766		10.8923	-0.2912
	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}		{0.0000}	-0.1470
Category	Mean (all)/	Median	Maximum	Moment	Quantile	Quantile	Cramer-	Serial dependence	VaR
	Mode	Std.dev.	Minimum	Kurt/Skew	Kurt/Skew	Normal	von- Mises	Q(12)	(1%; 2,5%)
	23.61411	22.79810	209.1165	298.8589	-0.02123	5.5495	19.797	9418.18	15.223
Volatility		6.54268	11.7859	13.10155	0.11677	{0.0624}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	17.192
$exp(V_{1t}+V_{2t})$	BDS-Z-				Phillips-	Augmented		Breusch-	CVaR
	= 1)								
(yearly)	m=2	m=3	m=4	m=5	Perron	DF-test		Godfrey	(1%; 2,5%)
	67.1534	72.5761	77.3409	83.5409	-41.978	-5.6931		258.117	12.837
	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}	{0.0000}		{0.0000}	14.825

|--|

Daily Estimated Stochastic Volatility Forecast Fit Measures (Eviews)								
		Factor 1		Factor 2		Reprojected		
Contracts	Error Measures	V_1 t		V_2 t		Volatility		
	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	0.01436		0.03857		0.16740		
	Mean absolute Error (MAE)	0.01184		0.03050		0.13308		
	Mean absolute percent error (MAPE)	1.7662		1551.470		7.21080		
Ftse100	Theil inequality coefficient (U1)	0.01070		0.34703		0.04462		
spot	Bias proportion		0.0007		0.0415		0.0378	
index	Variance Proportion		0.0001		0.1692		0.1225	
(UK)	Covariance Proportion		0.9992		0.7893		0.8398	
	Theil U2 Coefficient	0.93584		2.31389		1.79626		
	Symmetric MAPE	1.7548		73.206		7.00502		

Quantitative Finance and Economics

Daily Estimated Stochastic Volatility Forecast Fit Measures								
	-	Factor 1		Factor 2		Reprojec	ted	
Category		V_{1t}		V_{2t}		Volatility		
						$(e^{(V1t+V2t)})$)	
Lasso Regression								
(l = 0.0)								
Ridge Regression	RMSE	0.015346		0.036834		1.09599		
(l = 0.0)	MSE	0.011879		0.029463		0.87104		
	MAPE	1.878833		455.3575		3.89490		
	Theil inequality coefficient	0.019533		6.40554		0.00111		
	1 (U1)					3		
	Bias Proportion		0.00173		0.04434		0.00003	
	Variance Proportion		0.01772		0.17177		0.19182	
	Covariance Proportion	0.0000	0.98055	0 40754	0./8389	0.07155	0.80815	
	Theil U2 Coefficient	0.62386		0.48/54		3.0/155		
	Symmetric MAPE	0.02240		0./4/16		0.04623		
Lasso Regression $(1-0.05)$	DMSE	0.022211		0.020862		0 61211		
(l = 0.03)	KMSE MSE	0.022211		0.039803		0.04544		
		2 267560		0.054452 541 2264		0.30196		
	Theil inequality coefficient	0.027678		5 68713		2.23697		
	1 (U1).	0.027078		5.00715		8		
	Bias Proportion		0 45714		0 29925	0	0 00407	
	Variance Proportion		0.21660		0.63805		0.01897	
	Covariance Proportion		0.32626		0.06271		0.97696	
	Theil U ₂ Coefficient	1.46367		1.11529		1.06054		
	Symmetric MAPE	0.03662		0.75878		0.02662		
Ridge Regression	5							
(l = 0.1)	RMSE	0.015285		0.036715		1.08430		
	MSE	0.011832		0.029404		0.86094		
	MAPE	1.871757		455.7302		1.66536		
	Theil inequality coefficient	0.019456		6.38953		0.00110		
	1 (U1):					1		
	Bias Proportion		0.00177		0.04459		0.00003	
	Variance Proportion		0.01738		0.17531		0.18838	
	Covariance Proportion	0 (100)	0.98085	0 47015	0.78010	2 00 50 1	0.81159	
	Theil U2 Coefficient	0.61936		0.4/915		3.00591		
Desision Ferret	Symmetric MAPE	0.02231		0./4569		0.04570		
Decision Forest	DMSE	0.014762		0.022250		0 47012		
	NMSE MSE	0.014702		0.035239		0.47012		
		1 001256		337 5840		1 66536		
	Theil inequality coefficient	0.018709		6 50757		0.000/18		
	1 (U1).	0.010702		0.57151		5		
	Bias Proportion		0 05191		0.00510	5	0.01146	
	Variance Proportion		0.01877		0.43315		0.00497	
	Covariance Proportion		0.92932		0.56175		0.98356	
	Theil U2 Coefficient	0.57565	··· =/ • =	0.61513		0.59142		
	Symmetric MAPE	0.02353		0.72987		0.01974		

Table A.3. Projection fit for machine learning regression models.



See Figure 8 above for interpretation of volatility time series.

Figure A.1. Daily volatility paths for two factors (V_1 and V_2) and re-projected exp(V_1+V_2) volatility (2014–2024(3)).



Machine Learning techniques for Predicting Volatility Factor 1 2024(5) (One-step Ahead Plot)

See Figure 10 above for interpretation of volatility time series.



See Figure 10 above for interpretation of volatility time series.



Machine Learning tecniques for Predicting Reprojected Volatility 2024(5) (One-step Ahead Plot)

See Figure 10 above for interpretation of volatility time series.

Figure A.2. Daily machine learning prediction model fits.



See Figure 11 above for interpretation of volatility time series



See Figure 11 above for interpretation of volatility time series



See Figure 11 above for interpretation of volatility time series.

Figure A.3. Daily neural network CNN/RNN/LSTM results and performance for WTI oil V_{1t}.



See Figure 12 above for interpretation of volatility time series



See Figure 12 above for interpretation of volatility time series.

Figure A.4. Daily neural network CNN/RNN/LSTM results and performance for WTI oil V2t.



© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)