

Green Finance, 5 (1): 18–67. DOI: 10.3934/GF.2023002 Received: 16 August 2022 Revised: 22 October 2022 Accepted: 08 November 2022 Published: 18 January 2023

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/GF

Research article Green bond market boom: did environmental, social and governance criteria play a role in reducing health-related uncertainty?

Vitor Miguel Ribeiro*

Econometrics Group, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics, University of Porto. Rua. Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-464 Porto, Portugal

* Correspondence: Email: vsribeiro@fep.up.pt; Tel: +351-220-426-268.

Supplementary Appendix

Appendix A

	λlopt [*]	×	Marginal effects of the DSDM						
Covariates	$[\lambda_{LSE}^*]$								
	RIDGE	Post-estimation	Short run			Long run			
		OLS	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	
VI1BusinInten	0.1316	0.1259	0.0384	0.0140	0.0524	0.0612	0.0545	0.1157	
	[0.2171]	[0.1259]	(0.0464)	(0.1093)	(0.1278)	(0.0744)	(0.2437)	(0.2816)	
VI2ATourismFlow	-0.1264	-0.1280	-0.0235	0.1064	0.0829	-0.0316	0.2086	0.1770	
	[-0.0809]	[-0.1280]	(0.0521)	(0.0998)	(0.0874)	(0.0795)	(0.2022)	(0.1942)	
VI4ForeignOpen	0.0082	0.0089	0.0208*	0.0433	0.0641	0.0351*	0.1054	0.1405	
	[-0.0066]	[0.0089]	(0.0111)	(0.0537)	(0.0585)	(0.0188)	(0.1223)	(0.1333)	
VI5IntConsump	0.4548	0.4610	0.4662***	-0.1495	0.3166	0.7244***	-0.0483	0.6761	
	[0.3327]	[0.4610]	(0.1437)	(0.2519)	(0.2872)	(0.2266)	(0.5437)	(0.6310)	
VI3Mob	0.1521	0.1505	-0.0296	0.1029	0.0733	-0.0408	0.2055	0.1647	
	[0.1827]	[0.1505]	(0.0672)	(0.2095)	(0.2313)	(0.1079)	(0.4536)	(0.5021)	
VI6EldIPSS	0.0656	0.0661	-2.4050	-15.0124	-17. 4174	-4.5189	-32.5838	-37.1057	
	[0.0497]	[0.0661]	(5.2987)	(13.3379)	(12.4784)	(8.0918)	(27.9652)	(27.7303)	
Mean of FE					3.3062				
Time-lagged χ			0.3459*** (0.0397)						
Spatial and time-lagged	φ		-0.0785 (0.0525)						
Spatial p					0.4870*** (0.067)	3)			
σ_{ε}^2					0.0135***(0.0013	5)			
\mathbb{R}^2					0.0109				
Log Pseudo-likelihood			1244.3117						
AIC	-2587.8640								
BIC					-2501.1540				
Obs.					1668				
Stability (Identification)	[Endogeneity]			Satisfie	d (Satisfied) [Satisfi	ed]			

Table A1. Results with the application of a two-step machine learning procedure	e:
RIDGE (first stage) and dynamic spatial Durbin model (second stage).	

Notes. Considering k-fold cross-validation (with 10 folds) as the decision criterion, results determine that the value of α that minimizes the mean squared prediction error (MSPE) is $\alpha^* = 0$ such that RIDGE regression is the optimal choice. This implies that all 6 explanatory variables significantly affect the dependent variable such that none is excluded. Consequently, second-stage outcomes resulting from the application of DSDM coincide with those obtained in the benchmark exercise. The value of lambda (i.e. penalty level) that minimises the MSPE is given by $\lambda_{LOPT}^* = 17.8375$, where the value of MSPE corresponds to 0.03818. In turn, the largest lambda for which the MSPE is within one standard error of the minimal MSPE is given by $\lambda_{LSE}^* = 671.5690$. Estimated coefficients associated with λ_{LOPT} . Symbol *** (**) [*] represents 1% (5%) [10%] of significance level, respectively. The regression includes robust standard errors, which accurately take into account the cluster-correlated data by adjusting for within-cluster correlation, and the constant term was omitted.

Covariates	Tfe SFA	Tre SFA	MLrei SFA	ILSfe SFA	MLred SFA	LSDVfe SFA
VI1BusinInten	0.1170***	-0.0494	0.0268	-0.0616	-0.0100	-0.0025
	(0.0000)	(0.0498)	(0.1603)	(0.0503)	(0.0491)	(0.0757)
VI2ATourismFlow	-0.0869 * * *	-0.0878 * *	-0.0342	0.0437	-0.0880*	-0.1832*
	(0.0000)	(0.0384)	(0.1148)	(0.0579)	(0.0485)	(0.1078)
VI4ForeignOpen	0.0077***	0.0156	0.0184	-0.0403	0.0136	0.0228
	(0.0000)	(0.0155)	(0.0286)	(0.1065)	(0.0084)	(0.0161)
VI5IntConsump	0.8140***	0.8350***	-0.6793***	-0.0021	0.5203***	-0.3018
	(0.0000)	(0.0621)	(0.2147)	(0.0089)	(0.0485)	(0.2231)
VI3Mob	0.3526***	0.1090	1.2412	-0.0478	0.1224	-0.0219
	(0.0000)	(0.0771)	(1.3045)	(0.1030)	(0.1003)	(0.1372)
VI6EldIPSS	0.1040***	0.2266***	0.0274	-0.1229*	0.0596**	-18.1665*
	(0.0000)	(0.0667)	(0.0462)	(0.0711)	(0.0279)	(10.9022)

Table A2. Use of time-variant models for stochastic frontier analysis.

Notes: Estimated coefficients through panel data time-varying SFA models should be interpreted as direct (i.e. ownmunicipality) effects since they correspond to representative coefficients of the β vector. Tfe SFA stands for true fixed effects SFA model (Greene, 2005). Tre SFA stands for true random effects SFA model (Greene, 2005) MLrei SFA stands for maximum likelihood (ML) random effects time-varying inefficiency effects model (Battese & Coelli, 1995). ILSfe SFA stands for iterative least squares time-varying fixed effects model (Lee & Schmidt, 1993). MLred SFA stands for ML random effects time-varying efficiency decay model (Battese & Coelli, 1992). LSDVfe SFA stands for modified LSDV time-varying fixed effects model (Cornwell et al., 1990). Symbol *** (**) [*] represents 1% (5%) [10%] of significance level, respectively. The regression includes robust standard errors, which accurately take into account the cluster-correlated data by adjusting for within-cluster correlation. Constant terms were deliberately omitted.

		Absence of lags in covariates	With lag in VI5IntConsump	With lag in VI1BusinInten	With lag in VI2ATourismFlow	
VD2dInfCov L1		0.0163	0.0323	0 0399	0.0167	
, D 20 111 00, _21		(0.0103)	(0.0525)	(0.0163)	(0.0107)	
		[0.86]	(0.0173)	[2.44]	[0.89]	
VI1BusinInten	0.1252***	-0.0225	-0.0104	-0.0161	-0.0303	
	(0.0392)	(0.0308)	(0.0299)	(0.0293)	(0.0311)	
		[-0.73]	[-0.35]	[-0.55]	[-0.97]	
VI2ATourismFlow -0.1285***		-0.0709	-0.0701	-0.0571	-0.0925	
	(0.0371)	(0.0264)	(0.0255)	(0.0251)	(0.0292)	
		[-2.68]	[-2.75]	[-2.28]	[-3.17]	
VI4ForeignOpen	0.0080	0.0140	0.0140	0.0142	0.0137	
	(0.0206)	(0.0198)	(0.0191)	(0.0188)	(0.0198)	
		[0.71]	[0.73]	[0.75]	[0.69]	
VI5IntConsump	0.4616***	0.4950***	0.4129***	0.4132***	0.4956***	
	(0.0283)	(0.0474)	(0.0445)	(0.0423)	(0.0472)	
		[10.44]	[9.28]	[9.77]	[10.50]	
VI3Mob	0.1514***	0.1069	0.0919	0.0807	0.1054	
	(0.0357)	(0.0529)	(0.0512)	(0.0504)	(0.0530)	
VICELIDEE	0.0/70***	[2.02]	[1.80]	[1.60]	[1.99]	
v loEldIPSS	$0.06/2^{***}$	0.0498	0.0429	0.0396	0.0486	
	(0.0151)	(0.0312)	(0.0301)	(0.0295)	(0.0313)	
VI5IntConsump I 1		[1.39]	[1.43]	[1.34]	[1.55]	
v151ntConsump_L1			$(0.0/13^{+++})$			
			(0.0192)			
VI1BusinInten L1			[3.73]	0 1027***		
VIIDusminten_EI				(0.0223)		
				[4 61]		
VI2AtourismFlow L1				[1.01]	0.0497	
_					(0.0280)	
					[1.78]	
F-test	229.9419***	51.0870***	50.6117***	54.4015***	44.8917***	
σ_{ε}^2 (MSE)	0.0441	0.0427	0.0408	0.0399	0.0427	
R ²	0.4528	0.4707	0.4938	0.5057	0.4711	
Log Likelihood	429.3723	461.5681	505.0072	528.2470	462.2972	
Obs.	1946	1946	1946	1946	1946	

Table A3. Benchmark results with the adoption of different estimators.

IV applied to DSDM with GS2SLS

Notes: GMM stands for generalised method of moments, IV stands for instrumental variable and GS2SLS stands for generalised spatial two-stage least squares. Estimated coefficients through different spatial panel data estimators should be interpreted as direct (i.e. own-municipality) effects since these correspond to coefficients of the β vector. Symbol *** (**) [*] represents 1% (5%) [10%] of significance level, respectively. Constant terms were deliberately omitted. The IV approach assumes that the one period lagged dependent variable is influenced by all covariates according to which, by construction, the ones representative of the economic dimension are already lagged by one period in time. Consequently, the setting faces the danger that the one period lagged dependent variable is endogenous. The model consists of 6 exogenous variables and contains 12 possible instruments (i.e. all covariates and respective one period lagged covariates). We opt to use VI5IntConsump as IV of VD2dInfCov_L1. Finally, t-ratios are presented within brackets and already take into account the rule clarified in Lee et al. (2022) according to which the criterion for trusting in t-ratio inferences is to have F-test \geq 104.7 in the IV first-stage or, in case of considering $10 \leq$ F-test < 104.7, the critical value 1.96 must be replaced by the critical value 3.43 to ensure the persistence of the symbol *** representative of 0.01 significance level.

Coefficients

GMM

1)	spatial and time-peri		Short run			Long run		
1)	spatial and time-period fixed effects		Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total
1)	β vector	θ vector						
Fime-lagged χ Spatial and time-lagged	0.6166*** (0.0458) -0.2535***							
)	(0.0459)							
VI1BusinInten	-0.0116 (0.0181)	-0.0119 (0.0270)	-0.0125 (0.0179)	-0.0236 (0.0416)	-0.0361 (0.0511)	-0.0319 (0.0463)	-0.0558 (0.1066)	-0.0877 (0.1299)
VI2ATourismFlow	-0.0132 (0.0212)	-0.0240 (0.0353)	-0.0165 (0.0209)	-0.0443 (0.0505)	-0.0608 (0.0527)	-0.0423 (0.0555)	-0.1122 (0.1338)	-0.1545 (0.1424)
VI4ForeignOpen	0.0068** (0.0034)	-0.0083 (0.0098)	0.0063* (0.0035)	-0.0088 (0.0145)	-0.0025 (0.0156)	0.0166* (0.0090)	-0.2259 (0.0375)	-0.0059 (0.0402)
VI5IntConsump	0.3527*** (0.0683)	-0.0199** (0.1023)	0.3661*** (0.0666)	-0.1760 (0.1509)	0.5421*** (0.1595)	0.9525*** (0.1790)	-0.4165 (0.4678)	1.3690*** (0.5145)
	-0.0321 (0.0260)	0.0335 (0.0541)	-0.0290 (0.0267)	0.0343 (0.0818)	0.0052 (0.0910)	-0.0755 (0.0694)	-0.0957 (0.2093)	0.0202 (0.2312)
Vicen of FE (Obs.)	4.8252 (3.1199)	-12.20/6*** (4.4236)	3.8460 (2.9826)	(5.8505)	-12. 5656** (5.3615)	10.2608 (7.7818)	-42.1551** (16.8048)	-31.894** (15.7239)
	2.0770 (1008)							
Spatial p	0.3838*** (0.04	7)						
σ_{ε}^2	0.0019*** (0.00	02)						
R ² (Log Pseudo-likelihood))		0.0430 (2925.3837)					
AIC (BIC)	-5916.3910 (-58	829.6810)						
Stability (Identification) [E	Indogeneity]		Satisfied (Satisfied) [Satisfied]					
2)								
Fime-lagged χ	1.1431*** (0.0679)							
Spatial and time-lagged	0.6333***							
)	(0.0835)							

Green Finance

Volume 5, Issue 1, 18–67.

	spatial and time-period fixed effects		Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total
	β vector	θ vector						
VI1BusinInten	-0.0072*	-0.0118	-0.0071*	-0.0143	-0.214*	0.2432	-0.2231	0.0201*
	(0.0043)	(0.0121)	(0.0041)	(0.0127)	(0.0120)	(4.5798)	(4.5808)	(0.0117)
VI2ATourismFlow	0.0060	0.0269***	0.0068	0.0319***	0.0388***	0.0096	-0.0452	-0.036***
	(0.0052)	(0.0092)	(0.0049)	(0.0104)	(0.0080)	(0.9263)	(0.9266)	(0.0068)
VI4ForeignOpen	0.0033	-0.0090	0.0034	-0.0084	-0.0051	-0.1191	0.1243	0.0052
	(0.0055)	(0.0107)	(0.0053)	(0.0123)	(0.0133)	(2.5849)	(2.5846)	(0.0124)
VI5IntConsump	0.0161	-0.1060***	0.0128	-0.1189***	-0.1061***	-0.1028	0.2011	0.0982***
	(0.0132)	(0.0287)	(0.0130)	(0.0318)	(0.0311)	(9.6211)	(9.6222)	(0.0299)
VI3Mob	-0.0057	-0.0435**	-0.0069	-0.0514**	-0.0583 **	0.0992	-0.0457	0.0535**
	(0.0049)	(0.0202)	(0.0046)	(0.0232)	(0.0238)	(0.6538)	(0.6536)	(0.0210)
VI6EldIPSS	2.3192**	2.7086	2.3709**	3.6882*	6.0591***	-7.3984	1.8832	-5.515***
	(1.0106)	(1.7431)	(0.9430)	(2.0325)	(1.5420)	(237.9190)	(237.9250)	(1.0957)
Mean of FE (Obs.)				-2.0239 (1668)				
Spatial p	0.1456*** (0	.0456)						
σ_{ε}^{2}	0.0006*** (0	.0001)						
R ² (Log Pseudo-likelihood)			0.00	013 (3106.9334)				
AIC (BIC)			-79	37.5200 (-7850.8100)				
Stability (Identification) [Endogeneity]			Satisfied (Satisfied) [Satisfied]					

Notes: t-statistics in parenthesis and degrees of freedom in brackets. Symbols ***, ** and * represent one, five, and ten percent significance levels. The regression includes robust standard errors estimations and robust variance estimations, which accurately take into account the cluster-correlated data by adjusting for within-cluster correlation.

Table A5. Re-estimation of coefficients considering:(1) only 35 municipalities of the MAL and MAP(2) remaining 243 municipalities of mainland Portugal.

	DSDM		Short run			Long run		
	spatial and time-per	riod fixed effects	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total
	β vector	θ vector						
(1)								
Time-lagged χ	0.3559***							
	(0.0439)							
Spatial and time-lagged	-0.0593							
Ψ MID II	(0.0821)	0.1000	0.1150	0.2221	0.4271	0.0007	1 20 47	1 1075
VIIBusinInten	0.0816	0.1082	0.1150	0.3221	0.4371	0.2207	1.2067	1.4275
VIO A T	(0.0955)	(0.1329)	(0.0970)	(0.2527)	(0.3014)	(0.1784)	(1.7636)	(1.8652)
V12A10UrISmFlow	0.0013	-0.0/58	-0.0136	-0.1593	-0.1/28	-0.03/8	-0.5344	-0.5722
WI4E and an Onen	(0.0604)	(0.1206)	(0.0568)	(0.2272)	(0.2406)	(0.1010)	(1.1908)	(1.2394)
v 14ForeignOpen	(0.6009)	1.7880^{***}	1.1485^{*}	4.0524^{***}	5.8010^{**}	2.3479^{*}	10.4215	18./094
VI5IntConsump	(0.0280)	(0.8714)	(0.0/14) 0.7116*	(2.0430)	(2.4526)	(1.5504) 1.0017	(23.9433) 1 7358	(20.7201)
VISINCOnsump	$(0.7825)^{10}$	(0.3441)	(0.7110°)	-0.8140	(1.1280)	(0.69/1)	-1.7558	-0.0441
VI3Mob	(0.3312) 0.0489	(0.3441) 0.4444*	0 1227	0.0430)	1.0576*	(0.0941) 0.2985	3 1758	(0.4304)
151100	(0.1006)	(0.2497)	(0.1227)	(0.5187)	(0.5686)	(0.2321)	(3 5302)	(3, 5302)
VI6EldIPSS	-172881	19 1495	-15 4969	24 6530	9 1561	-23.0898	62 6167	39 5269
	(16.1604)	(30.2050)	(16.1129)	(61.4301)	(68,8571)	(30,7006)	(318,9860)	(338,3546)
Mean of FE (Obs.)	-0.0418 (1668)	(30.2000)	(10.112))	(0111201)	(00.0071)	(30.7000)	(510.9000)	(550.5510)
Spatial p	0.5291*** (0.00	621)						
σ_{ϵ}^2	0.0047*** (0.00	008)						
R ² (Log Pseudo-likelihood	l)		0.012	26 (2138.2706)				
AIC (BIC)	-4321.8550 (-4	235.1450)						
Stability (Identification) []	Endogeneity]		Satisfied (Satisfied) [Satisfied]			
(2)								
Time-lagged χ	0.3868***							
	(0.0570)							
Spatial and time-lagged	-0.0813							
φ	(0.0524)							
VI1BusinInten	0.0308	0.0193	0.0399	0.0528	0.0928	0.0672	0.1078	0.1750
	(0.0629)	(0.0595)	(0.0616)	(0.0876)	(0.1224)	(0.1023)	(0.1710)	(0.2336)
VI2ATourismFlow	0.0273	0.0604	0.0331	0.1112	0.1443	0.0576	0.2144	0.2720
	(0.0753)	(0.0843)	(0.0722)	(0.0992)	(0.0956)	(0.1177)	(0.1859)	(0.1885)
Green Finance							Volum	e 5, Issue 1, 18–67.

Volume 5, Issue 1, 18–67.

VI4ForeignOpen	0.0162	0.0053	0.0179*	0.0182	0.0361	0.0301*	0.0402	0.0703
VI5IntConsump	0.3782**	(0.0292) -0.2760 (0.1852)	0.3555**	(0.0438) -0.2474 (0.2453)	(0.0471) 0.1081 (0.2082)	0.5776*	(0.0838) -0.3831 (0.4624)	(0.0952) 0.1944 (0.5684)
VI3Mob	(0.1814) -0.1005 (0.0827)	(0.1852) -0.1564 (0.1006)	(0.1793) -0.1143 (0.0826)	(0.2433) -0.2714* (0.1403)	(0.2982) -0.3857** (0.1762)	(0.2934) -0.1952 (0.1372)	(0.4024) -0.5279* (0.2780)	(0.3084) -0.7231** (0.3463)
VI6EldIPSS	(0.0827) -3.4172 (4.7666)	(0.1000) -10.9738 (7.4212)	(0.0820) -4.5378 (4.5806)	(0.1403) -17.1021* (10.0640)	(0.1703) -21.6399** (0.7628)	(0.1372) -7.9295 (7.4518)	(0.2789) -32.6562* (10.0078)	(0.3403) -40.5857** (18.0745)
Mean of FE (Obs.)	(4.7000)	(7.4312)	(4.3800)	4.7089 (1668)	(9.7028)	(7.4318)	(19.0078)	(18.9743)
Spatial p	0.3345*** (0	0.0573)						
σ_{ε}^2	0.0103*** (0.0013)							
R ² (Log Pseudo-likelihood)			0.0096 (1487.7924)					
AIC (BIC)			-3090.2850 (-3003.5740)					
Stability (Identification)) [Endogeneity]		Satisfied (Satisfied) [Satisfied]					

Notes: t-statistics in parenthesis and degrees of freedom in brackets. Symbols ***, ** and * represent one, five, and ten percent significance levels. By definition, dynamic spatial panel data models only consider fixed effects. The regression includes robust standard errors estimations and robust variance estimations, which accurately take into account the cluster-correlated data by adjusting for within-cluster correlation.



© 2023 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

8